

ON THE ADJUNCT OF AN ENDOMORPHISM

MARKUS ROST

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. The endomorphisms $A_k(f)$	2
1.1. Notation for elements in the exterior algebra	2
1.2. Multiplication and co-multiplication of the exterior algebra	2
1.3. The operator Φ	3
1.4. The endomorphisms P_n	3
1.5. The endomorphisms $A_k(f)$	4
1.6. The adjunct	5
1.7. Example: The case $n = 2$	6
2. The Cayley-Hamilton theorem	6
2.1. The endomorphisms $L_{n,k}(f)$	6
2.2. The Cayley-Hamilton theorem	7
3. The endomorphisms $A_{k,h}(f)$	8
3.1. The endomorphisms $A_{k,h}(f)$	8
3.2. More relations	9

INTRODUCTION

Let R be a ring (unital, commutative), let M be a R -module and let $f \in \text{End}(M)$ be an endomorphism.

For $k \geq 0$ we consider endomorphisms

$$A_k(f) \in \text{End}(M \otimes \Lambda^{k+1}M)$$

defined linearly from $\Lambda^k f$ with (co)-product operations of the exterior algebra.

For an explicit description of $A_k(f)$ see (2). For $A_1(f)$ see 1.7.

If M is a locally free R -module of rank n , then $A_{n-1}(f)$ yields the adjunct $f^\#$ of f . In short, this text is based on a simple observation: When the adjunct is considered as element of

$$\text{End}(M \otimes \Lambda^n M)$$

rather than of $\text{End}(M)$, there is no duality needed and the definition and proofs of basic properties extend smoothly to arbitrary R -modules.

The resulting generalization of the standard relation $\det(f) = ff^\# = f^\#f$ to the $A_k(f)$ is formulated in Proposition 2. The proof is immediate from the definition and the functoriality of the product and the co-product of the exterior algebra.

Date: February 28, 2017.

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem generalizes accordingly, see Corollary 5. Here we follow the standard method of expanding $A_k(f - t \cdot 1_M)$ as polynomial in t .

Corollary 8 generalizes the standard expression of $f^\#$ as a polynomial in f .

The proofs are formulated on a quite formal functorial level and worked out in detail, even when a inspection of explicit formulas might appear simpler.

1. THE ENDOMORPHISMS $A_k(f)$

Let M be a R -module.

1.1. Notation for elements in the exterior algebra. Let K be a finite ordered set. For an K -tuple

$$x \in M^K$$

and a subset I of K of length r we use the notation

$$x_I = x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_r} \in \Lambda^r M$$

where $i_1 < \cdots < i_r$ are the elements of I .

For instance, if $K = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x_K &= x_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n \\ &= (-1)^i x_i \wedge x_{K \setminus \{i\}} = (-1)^{n-i} x_{K \setminus \{i\}} \wedge x_i \end{aligned}$$

1.2. Multiplication and co-multiplication of the exterior algebra. For the exterior algebra of M

$$\Lambda M = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Lambda^k M$$

we denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu: \Lambda M \otimes \Lambda M &\rightarrow \Lambda M \\ \delta: \Lambda M &\rightarrow \Lambda M \hat{\otimes} \Lambda M \end{aligned}$$

its product and co-product and by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{m,n}: \Lambda^m M \otimes \Lambda^n M &\rightarrow \Lambda^{m+n} M \\ \delta_{m,n}: \Lambda^{m+n} M &\rightarrow \Lambda^m M \otimes \Lambda^n M \end{aligned}$$

the corresponding components.

The product μ is given by

$$\mu(\omega \otimes \eta) = \omega \wedge \eta$$

and the co-product δ is the R -algebra homomorphism to the graded tensor product with

$$\delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \quad (x \in M)$$

Explicitly one has

$$\delta_{m,n}(x_K) = \sum_{I \subset K, |I|=m} \varepsilon_I x_I \otimes x_{K \setminus I} \quad (\varepsilon_I x_I \wedge x_{K \setminus I} = x_K)$$

with $K = \{1, \dots, n+m\}$ and appropriate signs ε_I as indicated on the right.

Note that

$$\mu_{m,n} \circ \delta_{m,n} = \binom{m+n}{m}$$

The (co-)product is (co)-associative. We use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{m,n,k} &= \mu_{m+n,k} \circ (\mu_{m,n} \otimes 1_{\Lambda^k M}) = \mu_{m,n+k} \circ (1_{\Lambda^m M} \otimes \mu_{n,k}) \\ \delta_{m,n,k} &= (\delta_{m,n} \otimes 1_{\Lambda^k M}) \circ \delta_{m+n,k} = (1_{\Lambda^m M} \otimes \delta_{n,k}) \circ \delta_{m,n+k}\end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. If M is locally free of finite rank, the homomorphism $\delta_{m,n}$ is the “functorial dual” of $\mu_{m,n}$. This means that with respect to the canonical isomorphisms $(\Lambda^k M)^\vee = \Lambda^k(M^\vee)$ the dual of $\delta_{m,n}$ is the homomorphism $\mu_{m,n}$ for the dual of M :

$$((\delta_{m,n})_M)^\vee = (\mu_{m,n})_{(M^\vee)}$$

1.3. The operator Φ . Let

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi: \text{End}(\Lambda^k M) &\rightarrow \text{End}(M \otimes \Lambda^{k+1} M) \\ \Phi(\varphi) &= (1_M \otimes \mu_{1,k}) \circ (\tau \otimes \varphi) \circ (1_M \otimes \delta_{1,k})\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau \in \text{End}(M \otimes M)$ is the switch involution. Thus

$$\Phi(\varphi)(x \otimes s_L) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i s_i \otimes x \wedge \varphi(s_{L \setminus \{i\}})$$

for $x \in M$ and $s \in M^L$, $L = \{0, \dots, k\}$.

Sometimes it is convenient to use the following variants. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi: \text{End}(\Lambda^k M) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(M \otimes \Lambda^{k+1} M, \Lambda^{k+1} M \otimes M) \\ \Psi(\varphi) &= (\mu_{1,k} \otimes 1_M) \circ (1_M \otimes \varphi \otimes 1_M) \circ (1_M \otimes \delta_{k,1})\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^t: \text{End}(\Lambda^k M) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Lambda^{k+1} M \otimes M, M \otimes \Lambda^{k+1} M) \\ \Psi^t(\varphi) &= (1_M \otimes \mu_{k,1}) \circ (1_M \otimes \varphi \otimes 1_M) \circ (\delta_{1,k} \otimes 1_M)\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\varphi)(x \otimes s_L) &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} x \wedge \varphi(s_{L \setminus \{i\}}) \otimes s_i \\ \Psi^t(\varphi)(s_L \otimes x) &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i s_i \otimes \varphi(s_{L \setminus \{i\}}) \wedge x\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sigma \circ \Psi(\varphi) = \Psi^t(\varphi) \circ \sigma = (-1)^k \Phi(\varphi)$$

where

$$\sigma: \Lambda^{k+1} M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes \Lambda^{k+1} M$$

is the switch.

1.4. The endomorphisms P_n . For $n \geq 1$ let

$$P_n = \Phi(1_{\Lambda^{n-1} M}) \in \text{End}(M \otimes \Lambda^n M)$$

Thus

$$(1) \quad P_n(x \otimes s_N) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} s_i \otimes x \wedge s_{N \setminus \{i\}}$$

for $x \in M$ and $s \in M^N$, $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$. We put $P_0 = 0$.

Let further Q_n be the composite of

$$M \otimes \Lambda^n M \xrightarrow{\mu} \Lambda^{n+1} M \xrightarrow{\delta} M \otimes \Lambda^n M$$

that is,

$$Q_n = \delta_{1,n} \circ \mu_{1,n} \in \text{End}(M \otimes \Lambda^n M)$$

Obviously, if $\Lambda^{n+1} M = 0$, then $Q_n = 0$.

Lemma 1. *One has*

$$P_n + Q_n = 1_{M \otimes \Lambda^n M}$$

In particular, if $\Lambda^{n+1} M = 0$, then P_n is the identity morphism.

Proof. This is a consequence of the basic axiom for graded bialgebras. Explicitly:

$$Q_n(x \otimes s_N) = \delta_{1,n}(x \wedge s_N) = x \otimes s_N + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i s_i \otimes x \wedge s_{N \setminus \{i\}}$$

□

Remark 2. Since $\mu_{1,n} \circ \delta_{1,n} = n + 1$ one has

$$Q_n^2 = (n + 1)Q_n$$

and

$$(P_n - 1)(P_n + n) = 0$$

Moreover

$$\mu_{1,n} \circ P_n = -n\mu_{1,n}$$

1.5. The endomorphisms $A_k(f)$. Let

$$f \in \text{End}(M)$$

be an endomorphism of M .

We define

$$A_k(f) = \Phi(\Lambda^k f) \in \text{End}(M \otimes \Lambda^{k+1} M)$$

Hence

$$(2) \quad A_k(f)(x \otimes s_L) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i s_i \otimes x \wedge (\Lambda^k f)(s_{N \setminus \{i\}})$$

for $x \in M$ and $s \in M^L$, $L = \{0, \dots, k\}$.

Proposition 2. *For $n \geq 1$ one has*

$$P_n \circ (1_M \otimes \Lambda^n f) = (f \otimes 1_{\Lambda^n M}) \circ A_{n-1}(f)$$

$$(1_M \otimes \Lambda^n f) \circ P_n = A_{n-1}(f) \circ (f \otimes 1_{\Lambda^n M})$$

Proof. This follows quickly by inspection of the explicit expressions (1) and (2). For a formal proof it is convenient consider instead of P_n and $A_{n-1}(f)$ the endomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} P'_n &= \Psi(1_{\Lambda^{n-1} M}) = (-1)^{n-1} \sigma^{-1} \circ P_n \\ &= (\mu_{1,n-1} \otimes 1_M) \circ (1_M \otimes \delta_{n-1,1}) \\ A'_{n-1}(f) &= \Psi(\Lambda^{n-1} f) = (-1)^{n-1} \sigma^{-1} \circ A_{n-1}(f) \\ &= (\mu_{1,n-1} \otimes 1_M) \circ (1_M \otimes \Lambda^{n-1} f \otimes 1_M) \circ (1_M \otimes \delta_{n-1,1}) \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Then the first claim follows from the functoriality of the co-product:

$$\begin{aligned} P'_n \circ (1_M \otimes \Lambda^n f) &= (\mu_{1,n-1} \otimes 1_M) \circ (1_M \otimes \delta_{n-1,1}) \circ (1_M \otimes \Lambda^n f) \\ &= (\mu_{1,n-1} \otimes 1_M) \circ (1_M \otimes \Lambda^{n-1} f \otimes f) \circ (1_M \otimes \delta_{n-1,1}) \\ &= (1_{\Lambda^n M} \otimes f) \circ A'_{n-1}(f) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for the second claim:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda^n f \otimes 1_M) \circ P'_n &= (\Lambda^n f \otimes 1_M) \circ (\mu_{1,n-1} \otimes 1_M) \circ (1_M \otimes \delta_{n-1,1}) \\ &= (\mu_{1,n-1} \otimes 1_M) \circ (f \otimes \Lambda^{n-1} f \otimes 1_M) \circ (1_M \otimes \delta_{n-1,1}) \\ &= A'_{n-1}(f) \circ (f \otimes 1_{\Lambda^n M}) \end{aligned}$$

□

1.6. The adjunct. To simplify notation, we consider f and $\Lambda^n f$ as endomorphisms of $M \otimes \Lambda^n M$ by the action on the first resp. second factor.

Corollary 3. *Suppose $\Lambda^{n+1} M = 0$. Then*

$$\Lambda^n f = f \circ A_{n-1}(f) = A_{n-1}(f) \circ f$$

in $\text{End}(M \otimes \Lambda^n M)$.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 2 and Lemma 1. □

Suppose M is a locally free R -module of rank n . Then $\Lambda^n M$ is an invertible R -module. A standard definition of the adjunct of f

$$f^\# \in \text{End}(M)$$

is to take the adjoint of

$$\Lambda^{n-1} f \in \text{End}(\Lambda^{n-1} M)$$

with respect to the non-degenerate pairing

$$M \otimes \Lambda^{n-1} M \xrightarrow{\mu} \Lambda^n M$$

Hence $f^\#$ is characterized by

$$(\#) \quad f^\#(x) \wedge \eta = x \wedge (\Lambda^{n-1} f)(\eta) \quad (x \in M, \eta \in \Lambda^{n-1} M)$$

The basic property

$$(\#\#) \quad \det(f) \cdot 1_M = f f^\# = f^\# f$$

follows then from

$$(f^\# f)(x) \wedge \eta = f(x) \wedge (\Lambda^{n-1} f)(\eta) = (\Lambda^n f)(x \wedge \eta)$$

and $(f^\#)^\vee = (f^\vee)^\#$.

Lemma 4. *If M is a locally free R -module of rank n , then*

$$A_{n-1}(f) = f^\# \in \text{End}(M \otimes \Lambda^n M) = \text{End}(M)$$

Proof. It suffices to verify (#) with $f^\#$ replaced by $A_{n-1}(f)$. Instead of $A_{n-1}(f)$ we use again

$$A'_{n-1}(f) = ((\mu_{1,n-1} \circ (1_M \otimes \Lambda^{n-1} f)) \otimes 1_M) \circ (1_M \otimes \delta_{n-1,1})$$

(cf. proof of Proposition 2). Note the general rule

$$\delta_{n-1,1}(\omega) \wedge \eta = (-1)^{n-1} \eta \otimes \omega \quad (\omega \in \Lambda^n M, \eta \in \Lambda^{n-1} M)$$

for locally free R -modules of rank n . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} A'_{n-1}(f)(x \otimes \omega) \wedge \eta &= (-1)^{n-1} ((\mu_{1,n-1} \circ (1_M \otimes \Lambda^{n-1} f)) \otimes 1_{\Lambda^n M})(x \otimes \eta \otimes \omega) \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} x \wedge (\Lambda^{n-1} f)(\eta) \otimes \omega \end{aligned}$$

which was to be shown. \square

Remark 3. Lemma 4 follows also from Corollary 3, since $f^\#$ is uniquely determined by $(\#\#)$ as a functor on triples (R, M, f) .

1.7. Example: The case $n = 2$. The general expression for $A_1(f)$ is

$$A_1(f)(x \otimes s \wedge t) = s \otimes x \wedge f(t) - t \otimes x \wedge f(s)$$

It is easy to see that $A_1(f)$ and $f \otimes 1_{\Lambda^2 M}$ do not commute in general. On other hand suppose that M is locally free of rank 2. Then one gets indeed

$$\begin{aligned} (fA_1(f))(x \otimes s \wedge t) &= f(s) \otimes x \wedge f(t) - f(t) \otimes x \wedge f(s) \\ &= x \otimes f(s) \wedge f(t) \\ &= (x \otimes s \wedge t) \det(f) \end{aligned}$$

using $x \wedge f(s) \wedge f(t) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1(f)f)(x \otimes s \wedge t) &= s \otimes f(x) \wedge f(t) - t \otimes f(x) \wedge f(s) \\ &= (s \otimes x \wedge t - t \otimes x \wedge s) \det(f) \\ &= (x \otimes s \wedge t) \det(f) \end{aligned}$$

using $x \wedge s \wedge t = 0$.

2. THE CAYLEY-HAMILTON THEOREM

In this section we exploit Proposition 2 using a standard method: Replace f by $f - t \cdot 1_M$ and take the coefficients of the resulting polynomials in t .

2.1. The endomorphisms $L_{n,k}(f)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_r &: \text{End}(\Lambda^k M) \rightarrow \text{End}(\Lambda^{k+r} M) \\ \Theta_r(\varphi) &= \mu_{k,r} \circ (\varphi \otimes 1_{\Lambda^r M}) \circ \delta_{k,r} \\ &= \mu_{r,k} \circ (1_{\Lambda^r M} \otimes \varphi) \circ \delta_{r,k} \end{aligned}$$

and for $f \in \text{End}(M)$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$ let

$$L_{n,k}(f) = \Theta_{n-k}(\Lambda^k f) \in \text{End}(\Lambda^n M)$$

Particular cases are

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n,0}(f) &= 1_{\Lambda^n M} \\ L_{n,n}(f) &= \Lambda^n f \end{aligned}$$

Explicitly one has

$$(3) \quad L_{n,k}(f)(x_N) = \sum_{I \subset N, |I|=k} f^{I(1)}(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge f^{I(n)}(x_n)$$

with $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and

$$I(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in I \\ 0 & i \notin I \end{cases}$$

It follows easily that

$$(4) \quad \Lambda^n(f + t \cdot 1_M) = \sum_{k=0}^n L_{n,k}(f)t^{n-k}$$

in $\text{End}(\Lambda^n M)[t]$.

In particular, if M is locally free of rank n , the elements

$$L_{n,k}(f) \in \text{End}(\Lambda^n M) = R$$

are the (unsigned) coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of f .

2.2. The Cayley-Hamilton theorem. Here is a general form of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem.

Corollary 5. *For any R -module M , any $f \in \text{End}(M)$ and $n \geq 0$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k f^{n-k} P_n L_{n,k}(f) &= 0 \\ \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k L_{n,k}(f) P_n f^{n-k} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

in $\text{End}(M \otimes \Lambda^n M)$

Proof. Follows from Proposition 2 and the expansion (4) with a standard argument used in proofs of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem. For instance, write the first relation of Proposition 2 as

$$\beta(f) = f\alpha(f)$$

Then

$$\beta(f - t) = (f - t)\alpha(f - t)$$

gives

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^n f^{n-k} t^k \right) \beta(f - t) = (f^{n+1} - t^{n+1})\alpha(f - t)$$

Comparing the coefficients of t^n yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^n f^{n-k} \beta_k(f) = 0$$

with

$$\beta(f - t) = \sum_{k=0}^n t^{n-k} \beta_k(f), \quad \alpha(f - t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t^{n-1-k} \alpha_k(f)$$

and

$$\beta_k(f) = -\alpha_k(f) + f\alpha_{k-1}(f)$$

□

Note that f and $L_{n,k}(f)$ commute as they act separately on the factors of $M \otimes \Lambda^n M$. If $\Lambda^{n+1}M = 0$, then $P_n = 1$ and the two statements of Corollary 5 coincide. In particular, one gets the classical Cayley-Hamilton theorem:

Corollary 6. *If M is a locally free R -module of rank n , then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k f^{n-k} L_{n,k}(f) = 0$$

in $\text{End}(M \otimes \Lambda^n M) = \text{End}(M)$. \square

Remark 4. Let us make the first relation of Corollary 5 in the case $n = 2$ explicit. With

$$U = x \otimes s \wedge t$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(U) &= s \otimes x \wedge t - t \otimes x \wedge s \\ L_{2,1}(f)(U) &= x \otimes (f(s) \wedge t + s \wedge f(t)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^2 P_2 L_{2,0}(f)(U) &= f^2(s) \otimes x \wedge t - f^2(t) \otimes x \wedge s \\ f P_2 L_{2,1}(f)(U) &= f^2(s) \otimes x \wedge t - f(t) \otimes x \wedge f(s) \\ &\quad + f(s) \otimes x \wedge f(t) - f^2(t) \otimes x \wedge s \\ P_2 L_{2,2}(f)(U) &= f(s) \otimes x \wedge f(t) - f(t) \otimes x \wedge f(s) \end{aligned}$$

The terms just cancel each other out. The same happens in general when expanding the relations of Corollary 5 with the explicit expressions (1) and (3).

The significance of Corollary 5 comes from fact that in the formulation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k f^{n-k} L_{n,k}(f) &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k f^{n-k} Q_n L_{n,k}(f) \\ \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k L_{n,k}(f) f^{n-k} &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k L_{n,k}(f) Q_n f^{n-k} \end{aligned}$$

all terms on the right hand sides factor through $\Lambda^{n+1}M$.

3. THE ENDOMORPHISMS $A_{k,h}(f)$

Finally we consider the endomorphisms $A_{k,h}(f)$ determined by the “ t -expansion” of $A_k(f)$. They appear when computing $P_n L_{n,k}(f)$, $L_{n,k}(f) P_n$ and showed already up in the proof of Corollary 5. We also compute $Q_n A_{n-1,k}(f)$, $A_{n-1,k}(f) Q_n$.

3.1. The endomorphisms $A_{k,h}(f)$. For $0 \leq h \leq k$ let

$$A_{k,h}(f) = \Phi(L_{k,h}(f)) \in \text{End}(M \otimes \Lambda^{k+1}M)$$

Note that

$$A_{k,h}(f) = (1_M \otimes \mu_{1,h,k-h}) \circ (\tau \otimes \Lambda^h f \otimes 1_{\Lambda^{k-h}M}) \circ (1_M \otimes \delta_{1,h,k-h})$$

and

$$(5) \quad A_{k,h}(f) = (1_M \otimes \mu_{h+1,k-h}) \circ (A_h(f) \otimes 1_{\Lambda^{k-h}M}) \circ (1_M \otimes \delta_{h+1,k-h})$$

We understand $A_{k,h}(f) = 0$ for $h < 0$ or $h > k$.

Particular cases are

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k,0}(f) &= P_{k+1} \\ A_{k,k}(f) &= A_k(f) \end{aligned}$$

From (4) it is clear that

$$(6) \quad A_k(f + t \cdot 1_M) = \sum_{h=0}^k A_{k,h}(f) t^{k-h}$$

in $\text{End}(M \otimes \Lambda^{k+1}M)[t]$.

Lemma 7. For $0 \leq k \leq n$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} P_n L_{n,k}(f) &= A_{n-1,k}(f) + f A_{n-1,k-1}(f) \\ L_{n,k}(f) P_n &= A_{n-1,k}(f) + A_{n-1,k-1}(f) f \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Follows from Proposition 2 by replacing f with $f + t \cdot 1_M$ and comparing the coefficients in t . See also the relation at the end of the proof of Proposition 2. \square

Corollary 8. For $0 \leq k < n$ one has

$$A_{n-1,k}(f) = \sum_{h=0}^k (-f)^{k-h} P_n L_{n,h}(f) = \sum_{h=0}^k L_{n,h}(f) P_n (-f)^{k-h}$$

\square

3.2. More relations. First we need a supplement for the endomorphisms $L_{n,k}(f)$.

Lemma 9. One has

$$L_{n,k}(f + t \cdot 1_M) = \sum_{h=0}^k \binom{n-h}{k-h} L_{n,h}(f) t^{k-h}$$

Proof. Follows from the definitions and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{h,k-h,n-k} \circ (\Lambda^h f \otimes 1_{\Lambda^{k-h}M} \otimes 1_{\Lambda^{n-k}M}) \circ \delta_{h,k-h,n-k} = \\ \binom{n-h}{k-h} \mu_{h,n-h} \circ (\Lambda^h f \otimes 1_{\Lambda^{n-h}M}) \circ \delta_{h,n-h} \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 9 yields the following generalization of (6).

Corollary 10. For $0 \leq k \leq m$ one has

$$A_{m,k}(f + t \cdot 1_M) = \sum_{h=0}^k \binom{m-h}{k-h} A_{m,h}(f) t^{k-h}$$

\square

Lemma 11. *For $n \geq 1$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} P_n A_{n-1}(f) &= L_{n,n-1}(f) - f A_{n-1,n-2}(f) \\ A_{n-1}(f) P_n &= L_{n,n-1}(f) - A_{n-1,n-2}(f) f \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove only the first claim. One has

$$\begin{aligned} P_n A_{n-1}(f) &= \Psi^t(1_{\Lambda^{n-1}}) \circ \Psi(\Lambda^{n-1} f) \\ &= (1 \otimes \mu_{n-1,1}) \circ (Q_{n-1} \Lambda^{n-1} f \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes \delta_{n-1,1}) \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$Q_{n-1} \Lambda^{n-1} f = \Lambda^{n-1} f - f A_{n-2}(f)$$

by Proposition 2, one gets

$$P_n A_{n-1}(f) = L_{n,n-1}(f) - (f \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes \mu_{n-1,1}) \circ (A_{n-2}(f) \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes \delta_{n-1,1})$$

The claim follows from (5). \square

Lemma 11 generalizes as follows.

Corollary 12. *For $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n A_{n-1,k}(f) &= (n-k)[A_{n-1,k}(f) + f A_{n-1,k-1}(f) - L_{n,k}(f)] \\ A_{n-1,k}(f) Q_n &= (n-k)[A_{n-1,k}(f) + A_{n-1,k-1}(f) f - L_{n,k}(f)] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 11, Lemma 9 and Corollary 10. \square

Remark 5. Proposition 2 shows that

$$P_n(\Lambda^n f) P_n = f A_{n-1}(f) P_n = P_n A_{n-1}(f) f$$

is divisible by f from the left and from the right. With Lemma 11 one can make this more precise:

$$P_n(\Lambda^n f) P_n = f L_{n,n-1}(f) - f A_{n-1,n-2}(f) f$$

(f and $L_{n,n-1}(f)$ commute).

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, POSTFACH 100131, D-33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY

E-mail address: `rost at math.uni-bielefeld.de`

URL: `www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~rost`