

# ON THE DISCRIMINANT OF BINARY FORMS

MARKUS ROST

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries and Examples	2
3. Description of the discriminant as determinant	3
4. Demonstration via the resultant	5
5. Interpretation with jet bundles	6
References	7

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let

$$f(x, y) = a_0x^d + a_1x^{d-1}y + \cdots + a_dy^{d-1}$$

be a homogeneous form of degree  $d$  in 2 variables. The discriminant of  $f$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $2d - 2$  in the coefficients  $a_i$ .

In this text we describe a presentation of the discriminant as the determinant of a  $(2d - 2) \times (2d - 2)$ -matrix which is linear in the coefficients of  $f$ . There are no denominators and the method works over any ring in a coordinate free way. The construction has a natural explanation in terms of jet spaces.

In brief, the discriminant of  $f$  is the determinant of the equation

$$af_x + bf_y - (a_x + b_y)f = 0$$

or, in a more compact form,

$$(a/f)_x + (b/f)_y = 0$$

where  $a, b$  are homogeneous forms of degree  $d - 2$ .

As is well known, the determinant of

$$af_x + bf_y = 0$$

is the resultant of the derivatives  $f_x, f_y$  which is  $d^{d-2}$  times the discriminant. The extra term  $-(a_x + b_y)f$  eliminates the factor  $d^{d-2}$ , so to speak.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND EXAMPLES

We use the notations  $\text{disc}(f)$  and

$$\Delta(f) = (-1)^{d(d-1)/2} \text{disc}(f)$$

for the two common definitions of the discriminant (see [1, A IV.76], [2]). They are characterized by

$$\text{disc}(f) = \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$$

$$\Delta(f) = \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$$

for a polynomial of the form  $f(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^d (x - \alpha_i y)$ .

For  $d = 2, 3$  one has

$$\Delta(f) = a_1^2 - 4a_0a_2$$

$$\Delta(f) = a_1^2a_2^2 - 4a_0a_2^3 - 4a_3a_1^3 - 27a_0^2a_3^2 + 18a_0a_1a_2a_3$$

respectively, and  $\text{disc}(f) = -\Delta(f)$  in both cases.

A standard method to describe (or define)  $\text{disc}(f)$  is to consider the resultants of  $f$  and its derivatives. One has

$$\text{disc}(f) = \frac{1}{a_0} \text{Res}(f, f_x) = \frac{1}{d^{d-2}} \text{Res}(f_x, f_y)$$

and the resultant may be computed with the Sylvester matrix [1, A IV.71].

For instance computing via  $\text{Res}(f, f_x)$  yields for  $d = 2$

$$\text{disc}(f) = \frac{1}{a_0} |f, xf_x, yf_x| = \frac{1}{a_0} \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & 2a_0 & 0 \\ a_1 & a_1 & 2a_0 \\ a_2 & 0 & a_1 \end{vmatrix} = 4a_0a_2 - a_1^2$$

and computing via  $\text{Res}(f_x, f_y)$  yields for  $d = 3$

$$\text{disc}(f) = \frac{1}{3} |xf_x, yf_x, xf_y, yf_y| = \frac{1}{3} \begin{vmatrix} 3a_0 & 0 & a_1 & 0 \\ 2a_1 & 3a_0 & 2a_2 & a_1 \\ a_2 & 2a_1 & 3a_3 & 2a_2 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & 3a_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

There are several methods to get rid of the denominators. A basic remark is Eulers relation

$$xf_x + yf_y = df$$

As for  $\frac{1}{a_0} \text{Res}(f, f_x)$ , one first subtracts  $d$ -times the first column  $x^{d-2}f$  from the  $d$ -th column  $x^{d-1}f_x$ . Then the first row has just  $a_0$  in the first place and one drops the first line and column. This results in

$$\text{disc}(f) = |x^{d-3}f, \dots, y^{d-3}f, -x^{d-2}f_y, x^{d-2}f_x, \dots, y^{d-2}f_x|$$

For  $d = 3$  this gives

$$\text{disc}(f) = |f, -xf_y, xf_x, yf_x|$$

To eliminate the factor  $d^{d-2}$  from  $\text{Res}(f_x, f_y)$  one may rearrange the matrix so that one can divide by  $d$  on a  $(d-2)$ -dimensional subspace.

Let us first consider the example  $d = 3$ . To get rid of the factor 3 one uses again Eulers relation

$$xf_x + yf_y = 3f$$

It shows that one may simply replace the first or the last column by  $f$  and drop the denominator 3. This results for instance in

$$\text{disc}(f) = |xf_x, yf_x, xf_y, f|$$

However, to get more symmetry it is better to replace the columns  $xf_x, yf_y$  by

$$u = xf_x - f, \quad v = yf_y - f$$

Indeed, since  $u + v = f$ , one has

$$xf_x = 2u + v$$

$$yf_y = v + 2u$$

and the factor  $\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 3$  gets eliminated. Thus

$$\text{disc}(f) = |xf_x - f, yf_x, xf_y, yf_y - f| = \begin{vmatrix} 2a_0 & 0 & a_1 & -a_0 \\ a_1 & 3a_0 & 2a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2a_1 & 3a_3 & a_2 \\ -a_3 & a_2 & 0 & 2a_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

### 3. DESCRIPTION OF THE DISCRIMINANT AS DETERMINANT

The last example generalizes to any degree  $d$  as follows. Let  $S^k$  denote the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $k$  in  $x, y$ . One has  $\text{rank } S^k = k + 1$ . Given  $f \in S^d$ , consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_f: S^{d-2} \oplus S^{d-2} &\rightarrow S^{2d-3} \\ \Phi_f(a, b) &= af_x + bf_y - (a_x + b_y)f \end{aligned}$$

Since both spaces have the same dimension, one can take the determinant. If one chooses the respective basis over the ground ring  $\mathbf{Z}$ , that determinant is well-defined up to sign. With the basis as in [1, A IV.71] one gets

$$(1) \quad \text{disc}(f) = \det(\Phi_f)$$

Without the extra term  $-(a_x + b_y)f$ , the map  $\Phi_f$  would give the usual Sylvester matrix computing the resultant  $\text{Res}(f_x, f_y)$  (as above for  $d = 3$ ).

We will prove (1) in two ways. A first step is to define  $\Phi_f$  in a coordinate free way.

Let  $V$  be a locally free module of rank 2 and let

$$\begin{aligned} D: S^k V &\rightarrow V \otimes S^{k-1} V \\ D(v_1 \cdots v_k) &= \sum_i v_i \otimes v_1 \cdots v_{i-1} v_{i+1} \cdots v_k \end{aligned}$$

be the derivative. For  $f \in S^d V$  the map  $\Phi_f$  reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_f: V^* \otimes S^{d-2} V &\rightarrow S^{2d-3} V \\ \Phi_f(\varphi \otimes g) &= (Df)(\varphi) \cdot g - (Dg)(\varphi) \cdot f \end{aligned}$$

It follows in particular that  $f \rightarrow \Phi_f$  is  $\mathrm{SL}(2)$ -invariant. In this setting, (1) reads as

$$\det(\Phi_{v_1 \dots v_d}) = \prod_{i \neq j} (v_i \wedge v_j) \in (\Lambda^2 V)^{d(d-1)}$$

Note further that

$$\Phi_f(a, b) = (af_x - a_x f) + (bf_y - b_y f)$$

Hence a compact way to write  $\Phi_f$  is

$$-\Phi_f(a, b)/f^2 = (a/f)_x + (b/f)_y$$

If  $f$  has a double root, say  $f = x^2 g$ , then  $\Phi_f$  has the zero  $(a, b) = (0, g)$ .

To prove (1) recall the formula

$$\Delta(xg) = g(0, 1)^2 \Delta(g)$$

for  $g \in S^{d-1}$  which is another way of characterizing  $\Delta$ . The corresponding statement for  $\det(\Phi)$  follows from the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} S^{d-3} \oplus S^{d-3} & \xrightarrow{\cdot(x,x)} & S^{d-2} \oplus S^{d-2} & \longrightarrow & \langle y^{d-2} \rangle \oplus \langle y^{d-2} \rangle \\ \Phi_g \downarrow & & \Phi_{xg} \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} * & g(0,1) \\ g(0,1) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ S^{2d-5} & \xrightarrow{\cdot x^2} & S^{2d-3} & \longrightarrow & \langle xy^{2d-4}, y^{2d-3} \rangle \end{array}$$

By induction one concludes (1).

The commutativity of (2) follows from a direct computation. One has

$$\Phi_{xg} = a(g + xg_x) + bxg_y - (a_x + b_y)xg$$

Taking this mod  $xS^{2d-4}$  yields

$$\Phi_{xg}(a, b) \equiv a(0, 1)g(0, 1)y^{2d-3} \pmod{xS^{2d-4}}$$

This explains the second row in the matrix on the right of (2).

Assume then  $a(0, 1) = 0$  so that  $a = x\tilde{a}$ . One has

$$\Phi_{xg}(x\tilde{a}, b) = x\tilde{a}(g + xg_x) + bxg_y - (\tilde{a} + x\tilde{a}_x + b_y)xg$$

which yields

$$(3) \quad \Phi_{xg}(x\tilde{a}, b) = (bg_y - b_y g)x + (\tilde{a}g_x - \tilde{a}_x g)x^2$$

Calculating mod  $x^2 S^{2d-3}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{xg}(x\tilde{a}, b) &\equiv (bg_y - b_y g)x \\ &\equiv b(0, 1)g(0, 1)(y^{d-2}(y^{d-1})_y - (y^{d-2})_y y^{d-1})x \\ &\equiv b(0, 1)g(0, 1)y^{2d-4}x \end{aligned}$$

This explains the first row in the matrix on the right of (2).

Another consequence of (3) is

$$\Phi_{xg}(x\tilde{a}, x\tilde{b}) = x^2 \Phi_g(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$$

which means the commutativity of the first square of (2).

## 4. DEMONSTRATION VIA THE RESULTANT

Another proof of (1) stems from a direct comparison with the Sylvester matrix for the resultant which is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_f: S^{d-2} \oplus S^{d-2} &\rightarrow S^{2d-3} \\ \Psi_f(a, b) &= af_x + bf_y\end{aligned}$$

or, without coordinates, by

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_f: V^* \otimes S^{d-2}V &\rightarrow S^{2d-3}V \\ \Psi_f(\varphi \otimes g) &= (Df)(\varphi) \cdot g\end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to tensor with the line bundle  $\Lambda^2V$  and to rewrite  $\Psi_f$  and  $\Phi_f$  as

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_f, \Phi_f: V \otimes S^{d-2}V &\rightarrow \Lambda^2V \otimes S^{2d-3}V \\ \Psi_f(v \otimes g) &= v \wedge Df \cdot g \\ \Phi_f(v \otimes g) &= v \wedge Df \cdot g - v \wedge Dg \cdot f\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\Phi_f = \Psi_f - \lambda \cdot f$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda: V \otimes S^{d-2}V &\rightarrow \Lambda^2V \otimes S^{d-3}V \\ \lambda(v \otimes g) &= v \wedge Dg\end{aligned}$$

There is the standard exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^2V \otimes S^{d-3}V \xrightarrow{\kappa} V \otimes S^{d-2}V \xrightarrow{\mu} S^{d-1}V \rightarrow 0$$

with

$$\kappa((v \wedge w) \otimes h) = v \otimes wh - w \otimes vh$$

and with  $\mu$  the multiplication in the symmetric algebra. One has  $\lambda \circ D = 0$  (in derivative notation this is  $h_{xy} = h_{yx}$ ) and the following variants of Eulers relation

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda \circ \kappa &= d - 1 \\ \kappa \circ \lambda + D \circ \mu &= d - 1 \\ \mu \circ D &= d - 1\end{aligned}$$

Now a key observation is that

$$\Psi_f \circ \kappa: \Lambda^2V \otimes S^{d-3}V \rightarrow \Lambda^2V \otimes S^{2d-3}V$$

is multiplication by  $df$ :

$$\Psi_f \circ \kappa = df$$

Thus if we put (assuming for a moment that  $d$  is a non zero divisor)

$$M = \frac{1}{d}\kappa(\Lambda^2V \otimes S^{d-3}V) + V \otimes S^{d-2}V \subset \frac{1}{d}(V \otimes S^{d-2}V)$$

there is the induced morphism

$$\tilde{\Psi}_f: M \rightarrow S^{2d-3}V$$

For the determinants one has

$$\det(\Psi_f) = d^{\text{rank } S^{d-3}V} \det(\tilde{\Psi}_f)$$

so that

$$\det(\tilde{\Psi}_f) = \text{disc}(f)$$

The module  $M$  can be defined without assuming that  $d$  is a non zero divisor by means of extensions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Lambda^2 V \otimes S^{d-3}V & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & V \otimes S^{d-2}V & \xrightarrow{\mu} & S^{d-1}V \\ d \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \Lambda^2 V \otimes S^{d-3}V & \xrightarrow{\kappa_M} & M & \longrightarrow & S^{d-1}V \end{array}$$

In other words

$$M = \frac{\Lambda^2 V \otimes S^{d-3}V \oplus V \otimes S^{d-2}V}{(d, -\kappa)(\Lambda^2 V \otimes S^{d-3}V)}$$

and  $\tilde{\Psi}_f$  is given by

$$\tilde{\Psi}_f([\beta, \alpha]) = \Psi_f(\alpha) + \beta \cdot f$$

A further observation is that there is the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} r: \Lambda^2 V \otimes S^{d-2}V &\rightarrow M \\ r(\alpha) &= p - \kappa_M \circ \lambda \end{aligned}$$

(note that  $r \circ \kappa = d\kappa_M - (d-1)\kappa_M = \kappa_M$ ). Finally one finds

$$\Phi_f = \tilde{\Psi}_f \circ r$$

which results in (1).

## 5. INTERPRETATION WITH JET BUNDLES

Formula (1) can be interpreted geometrically by means of jet bundles on  $\mathbf{P}^1$  (I am indebted to P. Deligne for explanations). In brief, the map  $\Phi_f$  is given by

$$\varphi_f: H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, J_d(-1)) \xrightarrow{\wedge^j(f)} H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, (\Lambda^2 J_d)(-1))$$

where  $J_d$  is the jet bundle for  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  and  $j(f)$  is the jet of  $f$ . It is easy to see that  $\Lambda^2 J_d \simeq \mathcal{O}(2d-2)$ . The computation of the global sections of  $J_d(-1)$  is more delicate.

If one assumes that  $d$  is invertible, the jet bundle splits as

$$J_d \simeq \mathcal{O}(d-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(d-1)$$

This way  $\varphi_f$  becomes a map

$$\varphi_f: H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(d-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(d-2)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(2d-3))$$

or

$$\varphi_f: S^{d-2} \oplus S^{d-2} \rightarrow S^{2d-3}$$

Computing  $\varphi_f$  this way yields  $\Psi_f$  (the Sylvester matrix of the derivatives).

In the general case (over  $\mathbf{Z}$ ) one finds

$$H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, J_d(-1)) \simeq M$$

and together with the isomorphism  $r$  above one gets again

$$H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, J_d(-1)) \simeq S^{d-2} \oplus S^{d-2}$$

However, computing  $\varphi_f$  this way yields  $\tilde{\Psi}_f$  resp.  $\Phi_f$ .

The principle result on discriminants and jet bundles involved here is [3, Theorem 2.5, p. 56]. The book [3] works generally over  $\mathbf{C}$  and gives as application the Sylvester formula with the denominator  $d^{d-2}$  [3, formula (2.9), p. 60].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique*, Masson, Paris, 1981, Algèbre. Chapitres 4 à 7. [Algebra. Chapters 4–7].
- [2] M. Demazure, *Résultant, discriminant*, Enseign. Math. (2) **58** (2012), no. 3-4, 333–373.
- [3] I. Gelfand, M. Kapranov, and A. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2008, Reprint of the 1994 edition.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, POSTFACH 100131, D-33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `rost at math.uni-bielefeld.de`

*URL:* `www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~rost`