

# COHOMOLOGICAL INVARIANTS AND $R$ -TRIVIALITY OF ADJOINT CLASSICAL GROUPS

GRÉGORIE BERHUY, MARINA MONSURRÒ, AND JEAN-PIERRE TIGNOL

ABSTRACT. Using a cohomological obstruction, we construct examples of absolutely simple adjoint classical groups of type  ${}^2A_n$  with  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $C_n$  or  ${}^1D_n$  with  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , which are not  $R$ -trivial hence not stably rational.

## INTRODUCTION

For an algebraic group  $G$  defined over a field  $F$ , let  $G(F)/R$  be the group of  $R$ -equivalence classes introduced by Manin in [6]. The algebraic group  $G$  is called  *$R$ -trivial* if  $G(L)/R = 1$  for every field extension  $L/F$ . It was established by Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc in [2] (see also [7, Proposition 1]) that the group  $G$  is  $R$ -trivial if the variety of  $G$  is stably rational.

In this paper, we focus on the case where  $G$  is an absolutely simple classical group of adjoint type. Adjoint groups of type  ${}^1A_n$  or  $B_n$  are easily seen to be rational (see [7, pp. 199, 200]). Voskresenskiĭ and Klyachko [11, Cor. of Th. 8] proved that adjoint groups of type  ${}^2A_n$  are rational if  $n$  is even, and Merkurjev [7, Prop. 4] showed that adjoint groups of type  $C_n$  are stably rational for  $n$  odd. On the other hand, Merkurjev also produced in [7] examples of adjoint groups of type  ${}^2A_3$  ( $= {}^2D_3$ ) and of type  ${}^2D_n$  for any  $n \geq 4$  which are not  $R$ -trivial, hence not stably rational. Examples of adjoint groups of type  ${}^1D_4$  which are not  $R$ -trivial were constructed by Gille in [3].

The goal of the present paper is to construct examples of adjoint groups of type  ${}^2A_n$  with  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and of adjoint groups of type  $C_n$  or  ${}^1D_n$  with  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  which are not  $R$ -trivial. Our constructions are based on Merkurjev's computation in [7] of the group of  $R$ -equivalence classes of adjoint classical groups, which we now recall briefly. According to Weil (see [4, §26]), every absolutely simple classical group of adjoint type over a field  $F$  of characteristic different from 2 can be obtained as the connected component of the identity in the automorphism group of a central<sup>1</sup> simple algebra with involution  $(A, \sigma)$  over  $F$ . Let  $\mathbf{Sim}(A, \sigma)$  be the algebraic group of similitudes of  $(A, \sigma)$ , defined (as a group scheme) by

$$\mathbf{Sim}(A, \sigma)(E) = \{u \in A \otimes_F E \mid (\sigma \otimes \text{Id})(u)u \in E^\times\}$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11E57, 20G15.

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the TMR network “ $K$ -theory and linear algebraic groups” (ERB FMRX CT97-0107). The third author is also grateful to the National Fund for Scientific Research (Belgium) for partial support.

<sup>1</sup>We use the same terminology as in [4]. In particular, the center of  $A$  is  $F$  if  $\sigma$  is of the first kind; it is a quadratic étale extension of  $F$  if  $\sigma$  is of the second kind.

for every commutative  $F$ -algebra  $E$ , and let  $\mathbf{PSim}(A, \sigma)$  be the group of projective similitudes,

$$\mathbf{PSim}(A, \sigma) = \mathbf{Sim}(A, \sigma) / R_{K/F}(\mathbf{G}_{m, K})$$

where  $K$  is the center of  $A$ . The connected component of the identity in these groups is denoted by  $\mathbf{Sim}^+(A, \sigma)$  and  $\mathbf{PSim}^+(A, \sigma)$  respectively. We let  $\mathbf{Sim}(A, \sigma)$ ,  $\mathbf{PSim}(A, \sigma)$ ,  $\mathbf{Sim}^+(A, \sigma)$  and  $\mathbf{PSim}^+(A, \sigma)$  denote the corresponding groups of  $F$ -rational points:

$$\mathbf{Sim}(A, \sigma) = \mathbf{Sim}(A, \sigma)(F), \quad \mathbf{PSim}(A, \sigma) = \mathbf{PSim}(A, \sigma)(F), \quad \text{etc.}$$

The group  $\mathbf{PSim}^+(A, \sigma)$  is canonically isomorphic (under the map which carries every similitude  $g$  to the induced inner automorphism  $\text{Int}(g)$ ) to the connected component of the identity in the automorphism group of  $(A, \sigma)$ . To describe the group of  $R$ -equivalence classes of  $\mathbf{PSim}^+(A, \sigma)$ , consider the homomorphism

$$\mu: \mathbf{Sim}(A, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$$

which carries every similitude to its multiplier

$$\mu(g) = \sigma(g)g.$$

Let  $G^+(A, \sigma) = \mu(\mathbf{Sim}^+(A, \sigma)) \subset F^\times$  and  $NK^\times = \mu(K^\times) \subset F^\times$  (so  $NK^\times = F^{\times 2}$  if  $K = F$ ). Let also  $\text{Hyp}(A, \sigma)$  be the subgroup of  $F^\times$  generated by the norms of the finite extensions  $L$  of  $F$  such that  $(A, \sigma)$  becomes hyperbolic after scalar extension to  $L$ . In [7, Theorem 1], Merkurjev shows that the multiplier map  $\mu$  induces a canonical isomorphism

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{PSim}^+(A, \sigma) / R \simeq G^+(A, \sigma) / (NK^\times \cdot \text{Hyp}(A, \sigma)).$$

For any positive integer  $d$ , let  $H^d(F, \mu_2)$  be the degree  $d$  cohomology group of the absolute Galois group of  $F$  with coefficients  $\mu_2 = \{\pm 1\}$ . In Section 3 we consider the case where  $\sigma$  is of the first kind. If it is orthogonal, we assume further that its discriminant is trivial. Assuming the index of  $A$  divides  $\frac{1}{2} \deg A$ , we construct a homomorphism

$$\Theta_1: \mathbf{PSim}^+(A, \sigma) / R \rightarrow H^4(F, \mu_2),$$

and give examples where this homomorphism is nonzero, hence  $\mathbf{PSim}^+(A, \sigma) / R \neq 1$ . Similarly, if  $\sigma$  is of the second kind and the exponent of  $A$  divides  $\frac{1}{2} \deg A$ , we construct in Section 4 a homomorphism

$$\Theta_2: \mathbf{PSim}^+(A, \sigma) / R \rightarrow H^3(F, \mu_2)$$

and show that this map is nonzero in certain cases. In all the examples where we show  $\Theta_1 \neq 0$  or  $\Theta_2 \neq 0$ , the algebra with involution has the form  $(A, \sigma) = (B, \rho) \otimes (C, \tau)$  where  $\rho$  is an orthogonal involution which admits improper similitudes.

Throughout the paper, the characteristic of the base field  $F$  is different from 2.

## 1. IMPROPER SIMILITUDES

Let  $(A, \sigma)$  be a central simple  $F$ -algebra with orthogonal involution of degree  $n = 2m$ . The group of similitudes  $\mathbf{Sim}(A, \sigma)$  is denoted  $\mathbf{GO}(A, \sigma)$ . This group is not connected. Its connected component of the identity  $\mathbf{GO}^+(A, \sigma)$  is defined by the equation

$$\text{Nrd}_A(g) = \mu(g)^m,$$

where  $\text{Nrd}_A$  is the reduced norm. We denote by  $\text{GO}(A, \sigma)$  and  $\text{GO}^+(A, \sigma)$  the group of  $F$ -rational points

$$\text{GO}(A, \sigma) = \mathbf{GO}(A, \sigma)(F), \quad \text{GO}^+(A, \sigma) = \mathbf{GO}^+(A, \sigma)(F).$$

The elements in  $\text{GO}^+(A, \sigma)$  are called *proper similitudes*, and those in the nontrivial coset

$$\text{GO}^-(A, \sigma) = \{g \in \text{GO}(A, \sigma) \mid \text{Nrd}_A(g) = -\mu(g)^m\}$$

are called *improper similitudes*.

For example, if  $m = 1$  (i.e.  $A$  is a quaternion algebra), then every orthogonal involution has the form  $\sigma = \text{Int}(q) \circ \gamma$ , where  $\gamma$  is the canonical involution,  $q$  is an invertible pure quaternion and  $\text{Int}(q)$  is the inner automorphism induced by  $q$ , mapping  $x \in A$  to  $qxq^{-1}$ . It is easily checked that

$$\text{GO}^+(A, \sigma) = F(q)^\times \quad \text{and} \quad \text{GO}^-(A, \sigma) = q'F(q)^\times,$$

where  $q'$  is a unit which anticommutes with  $q$ . Therefore,  $\text{GO}^-(A, \sigma) \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $m > 1$ , the existence of improper similitudes is an important restriction on  $A$  and  $\sigma$ , since it implies that  $A$  is split by the quadratic étale  $F$ -algebra  $F[\sqrt{\text{disc } \sigma}]$ , where  $\text{disc } \sigma$  is the discriminant of  $\sigma$ , see [9, Theorem A] or [4, (13.38)]. In particular, the index of  $A$  satisfies  $\text{ind } A \leq 2$ , i.e.  $A$  is Brauer-equivalent to a quaternion algebra. Moreover, if  $m$  is even, then  $-1 \in \text{Nrd}_A(A)$ , see [9, Corollary 1.13]. There is no other restriction on  $A$ , as the following proposition shows.

**1. Proposition.** *Let  $H$  be an arbitrary quaternion  $F$ -algebra and let  $m$  be an arbitrary integer. If  $m$  is even, assume  $-1 \in \text{Nrd}_H(H^\times)$ . Then the algebra  $M_m(H)$  carries an orthogonal involution which admits improper similitudes.*

*Proof.* Suppose first  $m$  is odd. Let  $i, j$  be elements in a standard quaternion basis of  $H$ . We set

$$\sigma = t \otimes (\text{Int}(i) \circ \gamma) \quad \text{on } M_m(H) = M_m(F) \otimes_F H,$$

where  $\gamma$  is the canonical involution on  $H$ . It is readily verified that  $1 \otimes j$  is an improper similitude of  $\sigma$ .

Suppose next  $m$  is even, and  $q \in H$  satisfies  $\text{Nrd}_H(q) = -1$ . We pick a quaternion basis  $1, i, j, k = ij$  such that  $i$  commutes with  $q$ , and set

$$\sigma = \text{Int } \text{diag}(j, i, \dots, i) \circ (t \otimes \gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad g = \text{diag}(j, qj, \dots, qj).$$

Again, computation shows that  $g$  is an improper similitude of  $\sigma$ . □

Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of improper similitudes for a given involution  $\sigma$  are not known if  $m \geq 4$ . For  $m = 2$  (resp.  $m = 3$ ), Corollary (15.9) (resp. (15.26)) in [4] shows that  $\text{GO}^-(A, \sigma) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if the Clifford algebra  $C(A, \sigma)$  has outer automorphisms (resp. outer automorphisms which commute with its canonical involution). (For  $m = 2$  another equivalent condition is that  $A$  is split by the center of  $C(A, \sigma)$ , see [4, (15.11)] or [9, Prop. 1.15].) We use this fact to prove the following result:

**2. Proposition.** *Let  $(A, \sigma)$  be a central simple  $F$ -algebra with orthogonal involution of degree 4. Assume that  $A$  is not split and  $\text{disc } \sigma \neq 1$ . Then there exists a field extension  $L/F$  such that  $A_L$  is not split and  $\text{GO}^-(A_L, \sigma_L) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis,  $F(\sqrt{\text{disc } \sigma})$  is a quadratic field extension of  $F$ . We denote it by  $K$  for simplicity and let  $\iota$  be its nontrivial  $F$ -automorphism. The Clifford algebra  $C = C(A, \sigma)$  is a quaternion  $K$ -algebra. Let  $X$  be the Severi-Brauer variety of  $C \otimes_K {}^t C$  and let  $L$  be the function field of its Weil transfer:

$$L = F(R_{K/F}(X)).$$

Then  $(C \otimes_K {}^t C) \otimes_K KL$  splits, so  $C_{KL}$  is isomorphic to  ${}^t C_{KL}$ , which means that  $C_{KL}$  has outer automorphisms. By [4, (15.9)], it follows that  $\text{GO}^-(A_L, \sigma_L) \neq \emptyset$ .

On the other hand, by [9, Corollary 2.12], the kernel of the scalar extension map  $\text{Br}(F) \rightarrow \text{Br}(L)$  is generated by the corestriction of  $C \otimes_K {}^t C$ . Since this corestriction is trivial,  $A_L$  is not split.  $\square$

## 2. TRACE FORMS

In this section,  $A$  is a central simple  $F$ -algebra of even degree with an involution  $\sigma$  of the first kind. We consider the quadratic forms  $T_A$  and  $T_\sigma$  on  $A$  defined by

$$T_A(x) = \text{Trd}_A(x^2), \quad T_\sigma(x) = \text{Trd}_A(\sigma(x)x) \quad \text{for } x \in A,$$

where  $\text{Trd}_A$  is the reduced trace on  $A$ . We denote by  $T_\sigma^+$  (resp.  $T_\sigma^-$ ) the restriction of  $T_\sigma$  to the space  $\text{Sym}(\sigma)$  of symmetric elements (resp. to the space  $\text{Skew}(\sigma)$  of skew-symmetric elements), so that

$$(2) \quad T_A = T_\sigma^+ \perp -T_\sigma^- \quad \text{and} \quad T_\sigma = T_\sigma^+ \perp T_\sigma^-.$$

Recall that if  $\sigma$  is orthogonal the (signed) discriminant  $\text{disc } T_\sigma^+$  is equal to the discriminant  $\text{disc } \sigma$  up to a factor which depends only on the degree of  $A$ , see for instance [4, (11.5)]. In the following, we denote by  $I^n F$  the  $n$ -th power of the fundamental ideal  $IF$  of the Witt ring  $WF$ .

**3. Lemma.** *Let  $\sigma, \sigma_0$  be two involutions of the first kind on  $A$ .*

- *If  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_0$  are both symplectic, then  $T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+ \in I^3 F$ .*
- *If  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_0$  are both orthogonal, then  $\text{disc}(T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+) = \text{disc } \sigma \text{ disc } \sigma_0$ . Moreover, if  $\text{disc } \sigma = \text{disc } \sigma_0$ , then  $T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+ \in I^3 F$ .*

*Proof.* The symplectic case has been considered in [1, Theorem 4]. For the rest of the proof, we assume that  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_0$  are both orthogonal. By [4, (11.5)], there is a factor  $c \in F^\times$  such that

$$\text{disc } T_\sigma^+ = c \text{ disc } \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \text{disc } T_{\sigma_0}^+ = c \text{ disc } \sigma_0,$$

hence

$$\text{disc}(T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+) = \text{disc } T_\sigma^+ \text{ disc } T_{\sigma_0}^+ = \text{disc } \sigma \text{ disc } \sigma_0.$$

To complete the proof, observe that the Witt-Clifford invariant  $e_2(T_\sigma^+)$  (or, equivalently, the Hasse invariant  $w_2(T_\sigma^+)$ ) depends only on  $\text{disc } \sigma$  and on the Brauer class of  $A$ , as was shown by Quéguiner [10, p. 307]. Therefore, if  $\text{disc } \sigma = \text{disc } \sigma_0$ , then  $e_2(T_\sigma^+) = e_2(T_{\sigma_0}^+)$ , hence  $T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+ \in I^3 F$  by a theorem of Merkurjev.  $\square$

We next compute the Arason invariant  $e_3(T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+) \in H^3(F, \mu_2)$  in the special case where  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_0$  decompose. We use the following notation:  $[A] \in H^2(F, \mu_2)$  is the cohomology class corresponding to the Brauer class of  $A$  under the canonical isomorphism  $H^2(F, \mu_2) = {}_2 \text{Br}(F)$ . For  $a \in F^\times$  we denote by  $(a)$  the cohomology class corresponding to the square class of  $a$  under the canonical isomorphism  $H^1(F, \mu_2) = F^\times / F^{\times 2}$ .

**4. Lemma.** *Suppose  $A = B \otimes_F C$  for some central simple  $F$ -algebras  $B, C$  of even degree. Let  $\rho$  and  $\rho_0$  be orthogonal involutions on  $B$  and let  $\tau$  be an involution of the first kind on  $C$ . Let also  $\sigma = \rho \otimes \tau$  and  $\sigma_0 = \rho_0 \otimes \tau$ .*

*If  $\tau$  (hence also  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_0$ ) is symplectic, then*

$$e_3(T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \deg C \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ (\text{disc } \rho \text{ disc } \rho_0) \cup [C] & \text{if } \deg C \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

*If  $\tau$  (hence also  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_0$ ) is orthogonal, then*

$$e_3(T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+) = \begin{cases} (\text{disc } \rho \text{ disc } \rho_0) \cup (\text{disc } \tau) \cup (-1) & \text{if } \deg C \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ (\text{disc } \rho \text{ disc } \rho_0) \cup ((\text{disc } \tau) \cup (-1) + [C]) & \text{if } \deg C \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The decomposition

$$\text{Sym}(\sigma) = (\text{Sym}(\rho) \otimes \text{Sym}(\tau)) \oplus (\text{Skew}(\rho) \otimes \text{Skew}(\tau))$$

yields

$$T_\sigma^+ = T_\rho^+ T_\tau^+ + T_\rho^- T_\tau^- \quad \text{in } WF.$$

Since  $T_B = T_\rho^+ - T_\rho^-$  we may eliminate  $T_\rho^-$  in the equation above to obtain

$$T_\sigma^+ = T_\rho^+ T_\tau^+ + (T_\rho^+ - T_B) T_\tau^-.$$

Similarly,

$$T_{\sigma_0}^+ = T_{\rho_0}^+ T_\tau^+ + (T_{\rho_0}^+ - T_B) T_\tau^-$$

and subtracting the two equalities yields

$$T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+ = (T_\rho^+ - T_{\rho_0}^+) T_\tau^+ + (T_\rho^+ - T_{\rho_0}^+) T_\tau^- = (T_\rho^+ - T_{\rho_0}^+) T_\tau.$$

Since  $\deg C$  is even, we have  $T_\tau \in I^2 F$  (see [4, (11.5)]), hence

$$e_3(T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+) = (\text{disc}(T_\rho^+ - T_{\rho_0}^+)) \cup e_2(T_\tau) \quad \text{in } H^3(F, \mu_2).$$

By Lemma 3 we have

$$\text{disc}(T_\rho^+ - T_{\rho_0}^+) = \text{disc } \rho \text{ disc } \rho_0.$$

The computation of  $e_2(T_\tau)$  in [10, Theorem 1] or [5] completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark.** If  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_0$  are symplectic, the Arason invariant  $e_3(T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+)$  is the discriminant  $\Delta_{\sigma_0}(\sigma)$  investigated in [1].

### 3. INVOLUTIONS OF THE FIRST KIND

In this section,  $A$  is a central simple  $F$ -algebra of even degree, and  $\sigma$  is an involution of the first kind on  $A$ . We assume  $\text{ind } A$  divides  $\frac{1}{2} \deg A$ , i.e.  $A \simeq M_2(A_0)$  for some central simple  $F$ -algebra  $A_0$ , so that  $A$  carries a hyperbolic involution  $\sigma_0$  of the same type as  $\sigma$ . If  $\sigma$  is orthogonal, we assume  $\text{disc } \sigma = 1$  ( $= \text{disc } \sigma_0$ ), so that in all cases  $T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+ \in I^3 F$ , by Lemma 3.

**5. Proposition.** *The map  $\theta_1: \text{Sim}(A, \sigma) \rightarrow H^4(F, \mu_2)$  defined by*

$$\theta_1(g) = (\mu(g)) \cup e_3(T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+)$$

*induces a homomorphism*

$$\Theta_1: \text{PSim}^+(A, \sigma)/R \rightarrow H^4(F, \mu_2).$$

*Moreover, for all  $g \in \text{Sim}(A, \sigma)$ , we have*

$$\theta_1(g) \cup (-1) = 0 \quad \text{in } H^5(F, \mu_2).$$

*Proof.* In view of the isomorphism (1), it suffices to show that for every finite field extension  $L/F$  such that  $(A, \sigma) \otimes_F L$  is hyperbolic and for every  $x \in L^\times$ ,

$$(N_{L/F}(x)) \cup e_3(T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+) = 0 \quad \text{in } H^4(F, \mu_2).$$

The projection formula yields

$$(N_{L/F}(x)) \cup e_3(T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+) = \text{cor}_{L/F}((x) \cup e_3(T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+)_L).$$

Since  $\sigma_L$  is hyperbolic, the involutions  $\sigma_L$  and  $(\sigma_0)_L$  are conjugate, hence

$$e_3(T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+)_L = 0.$$

For the last equality, observe that (2) yields the following equations in  $WF$ :

$$T_\sigma + T_A = \langle 1, 1 \rangle T_\sigma^+ \quad \text{and} \quad T_{\sigma_0} + T_A = \langle 1, 1 \rangle T_{\sigma_0}^+,$$

hence

$$T_\sigma - T_{\sigma_0} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle (T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+).$$

Since  $\sigma_0$  is hyperbolic, we have  $T_{\sigma_0} = 0$ . Moreover, for  $g \in \text{Sim}(A, \sigma)$  the map  $x \mapsto gx$  is a similitude of  $T_\sigma$  with multiplier  $\mu(g)$ , hence

$$\langle 1, -\mu(g) \rangle T_\sigma = \langle 1, -\mu(g) \rangle \langle 1, 1 \rangle (T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+) = 0.$$

Since

$$e_5(\langle 1, -\mu(g) \rangle \langle 1, 1 \rangle (T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+)) = \theta_1(g) \cup (-1),$$

the proposition follows.  $\square$

**6. Proposition.** *Let  $(A, \sigma) = (B, \rho) \otimes (C, \tau)$ , where  $B$  and  $C$  are central simple  $F$ -algebras of even degree and  $\rho, \tau$  are involutions of the first kind. Suppose  $\text{ind } B$  divides  $\frac{1}{2} \deg B$  and  $\rho$  is orthogonal. For  $g \in \text{GO}^-(B, \rho)$ , we have  $g \otimes 1 \in \text{Sim}^+(A, \sigma)$  and*

$$\theta_1(g \otimes 1) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \deg C \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ [B] \cup [C] & \text{if } \deg C \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For  $g \in \text{GO}(B, \rho)$ , we have

$$\sigma(g \otimes 1)g \otimes 1 = \rho(g)g = \mu(g)$$

and

$$\text{Nrd}_A(g \otimes 1) = \text{Nrd}_B(g)^{\deg C},$$

so  $g \otimes 1 \in \text{Sim}^+(A, \sigma)$ .

Since  $\text{ind } B$  divides  $\frac{1}{2} \deg B$ , we may find a hyperbolic orthogonal involution  $\rho_0$  on  $B$ , and set  $\sigma_0 = \rho_0 \otimes \tau$ , a hyperbolic involution on  $A$  of the same type as  $\sigma$ .

If  $\tau$  is symplectic, Lemma 4 yields

$$e_3(T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \deg C \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ (\text{disc } \rho) \cup [C] & \text{if } \deg C \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

The proposition follows by taking the cup-product with  $(\mu(g))$ , since  $(\mu(g)) \cup (\text{disc } \rho) = [B]$  by [9, Theorem A] (see also [4, (13.38)]).

Suppose next  $\tau$  is orthogonal. By Lemma 4,

$$e_3(T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+) = \begin{cases} (\text{disc } \rho) \cup (\text{disc } \tau) \cup (-1) & \text{if } \deg C \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ (\text{disc } \rho) \cup ((\text{disc } \tau) \cup (-1) + [C]) & \text{if } \deg C \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Using again the equation  $(\mu(g)) \cup (\text{disc } \rho) = [B]$  and taking into account the equation  $(-1) \cup [B] = 0$ , which follows from [9, Corollary 1.13], we obtain the formula for  $\theta_1(g \otimes 1)$ .  $\square$

Using Proposition 6, it is easy to construct examples where  $\theta_1 \neq 0$ . For these examples, the map  $\Theta_1$  of Proposition 5 is not trivial, hence  $\mathbf{PSim}^+(A, \sigma)$  is not  $R$ -trivial.

**7. Corollary.** *Let  $Q, H$  be quaternion  $F$ -algebras satisfying*

$$(-1) \cup [H] = 0 \text{ in } H^3(F, \mu_2) \quad \text{and} \quad [H] \cup [Q] \neq 0 \text{ in } H^4(F, \mu_2).$$

*Let  $A = M_{2r}(H) \otimes M_s(Q)$ , where  $r$  is arbitrary and  $s$  is odd. Let  $\rho$  be an orthogonal involution on  $M_{2r}(H)$  which admits improper similitudes (see Lemma 1), and let  $\tau$  be any involution of the first kind on  $M_s(Q)$ . Then  $\mathbf{PSim}^+(A, \rho \otimes \tau)$  is not  $R$ -trivial.*

To obtain explicit examples, we may take for  $F$  the field of rational fractions in four indeterminates  $F = \mathbb{C}(x_1, y_1, y_2, y_2)$  and set  $H = (x_1, y_1)_F$ ,  $Q = (x_2, y_2)_F$ . Note that the degree of  $A$  can be any multiple of 8 and that the conditions on  $Q$  and  $H$  in Corollary 7 imply  $\text{ind } A = 4$ . Indeed, if there is a quadratic extension of  $F$  which splits  $Q$  and  $H$ , then  $[H] \cup [Q]$  is a multiple of  $(-1) \cup [H]$ .

Other examples can be obtained from Proposition 2.

**8. Corollary.** *Let  $(B, \rho)$  be a central simple algebra of degree 4 and index 2 with orthogonal involution of nontrivial discriminant over a field  $F_0$ . Let  $F = F_0(x, y)$  be the field of rational fractions in two indeterminates  $x, y$  over  $F_0$ , and let  $(C, \tau)$  be a central simple  $F$ -algebra with involution of the first kind such that*

$$\deg C \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \quad \text{and} \quad [C] = (x) \cup (y) \in H^2(F, \mu_2).$$

*Then  $\mathbf{PSim}^+(B \otimes C, \rho \otimes \tau)$  is not  $R$ -trivial.*

*Proof.* Proposition 2 yields an extension  $L_0/F_0$  such that  $\rho_{L_0}$  admits an improper similitude  $g$  and  $B_{L_0}$  is not split. Set  $L = L_0(x, y)$ . By Proposition 6,

$$g \otimes 1 \in \mathbf{Sim}^+(B \otimes C, \rho \otimes \tau)(L) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_1(g \otimes 1) = [B_L] \cup (x) \cup (y).$$

Since  $[B_{L_0}] \neq 0$ , taking successive residues for the  $x$ -adic and the  $y$ -adic valuations shows that  $\theta_1(g \otimes 1) \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{PSim}^+(B \otimes C, \rho \otimes \tau)(L)/R \neq 1$ , hence  $\mathbf{PSim}^+(B \otimes C, \rho \otimes \tau)$  is not  $R$ -trivial.  $\square$

#### 4. INVOLUTIONS OF THE SECOND KIND

We assume in this section that  $(A, \sigma)$  is a central simple algebra with unitary involution over  $F$ . In this case, the group of similitudes is connected,

$$\mathbf{Sim}^+(A, \sigma) = \mathbf{Sim}(A, \sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{PSim}^+(A, \sigma) = \mathbf{PSim}(A, \sigma).$$

We denote by  $K$  the center of  $A$  and write  $K = F[X]/(X^2 - \alpha)$ . We assume the degree of  $A$  is even,  $\deg A = n = 2m$ , and denote by  $D(A, \sigma)$  the discriminant algebra of  $(A, \sigma)$  (see [4, §10] for a definition).

**9. Lemma.**  *$D(A, \sigma)$  is split if  $(A, \sigma)$  is hyperbolic.*

*Proof.* The lemma is clear if  $A$  is split, for then  $\sigma$  is adjoint to a hyperbolic hermitian form  $h$  and  $[D(A, \sigma)] = (\alpha) \cup (\text{disc } h)$  by [4, (10.35)]. The general case is reduced to the case where  $A$  is split by scalar extension to the field of functions  $L = F(R_{K/F}(\text{SB}(A)))$  of the Weil transfer of the Severi-Brauer variety of  $A$ . Indeed,  $A \otimes_F L$  is split and the scalar extension map  $\text{Br}(F) \rightarrow \text{Br}(L)$  is injective by [9, Corollary 2.12].  $\square$

**10. Proposition.** *Suppose  $A^{\otimes m}$  is split. The map  $\theta_2: \text{Sim}(A, \sigma) \rightarrow H^3(F, \mu_2)$  defined by*

$$\theta_2(g) = (\mu(g)) \cup [D(A, \sigma)]$$

*induces a homomorphism*

$$\Theta_2: \text{PSim}(A, \sigma)/R \rightarrow H^3(F, \mu_2).$$

*Moreover, for any  $g \in \text{Sim}(A, \sigma)$ ,*

$$\theta_2(g) \cup (\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{in } H^4(F, \mu_2).$$

*Proof.* In view of the isomorphism (1), it suffices to show that for every finite field extension  $L/F$  such that  $(A, \sigma) \otimes_F L$  is hyperbolic and for every  $x \in L^\times$ ,

$$(N_{L/F}(x)) \cup [D(A, \sigma)] = 0 \quad \text{in } H^3(F, \mu_2),$$

and that for every  $\lambda \in K^\times$ ,

$$(N_{K/F}(\lambda)) \cup [D(A, \sigma)] = 0 \quad \text{in } H^3(F, \mu_2).$$

As in the proof of Proposition 5, we are reduced by the projection formula to proving that  $D(A, \sigma)$  is split by  $K$  and by every extension  $L/F$  such that  $(A, \sigma) \otimes L$  is hyperbolic. The latter assertion follows from the lemma. On the other hand, by [4, (10.30)] and by the hypothesis on  $B$  we have

$$[D(A, \sigma)_K] = [\lambda^m A] = m[A] = 0.$$

To prove the last part, we use the trace form  $T_\sigma$  defined as in Section 2,

$$T_\sigma(x) = \text{Tr}_A(\sigma(x)x) \quad \text{for } x \in A,$$

and its restrictions  $T_\sigma^+$ ,  $T_\sigma^-$  to  $\text{Sym}(A, \sigma)$  and  $\text{Skew}(A, \sigma)$  respectively. In the case of involutions of unitary type we have

$$T_\sigma = T_\sigma^+ \perp T_\sigma^- = \langle 1, -\alpha \rangle T_\sigma^+.$$

The computation of the Clifford algebra of  $T_\sigma^+$  in [4, (11.17)] shows that  $T_\sigma \in I^3 F$  and

$$e_3(T_\sigma) = (\alpha) \cup [D(A, \sigma)].$$

Now, for  $g \in \text{Sim}(A, \sigma)$  the map  $x \mapsto gx$  is a similitude of  $T_\sigma$  with multiplier  $\mu(g)$ , hence  $\langle 1, -\mu(g) \rangle T_\sigma = 0$  in  $WF$ . Taking the image under  $e_4$  yields

$$0 = (\mu(g)) \cup e_3(T_\sigma) = \theta_2(g) \cup (\alpha).$$

$\square$

**11. Remarks.** (1) If  $\text{ind } A$  divides  $\frac{1}{2} \deg A$ , so that  $A$  carries a hyperbolic unitary involution  $\sigma_0$ , then [4, (11.17)] and Lemma 9 yield

$$[D(A, \sigma)] = e_2(T_\sigma^+ - T_{\sigma_0}^+).$$

This observation underlines the analogy between  $\theta_2$  and the map  $\theta_1$  of Proposition 5. Note however that no hypothesis on the index of  $A$  is required in Proposition 10.

- (2) For  $g \in \text{Sim}(A, \sigma)$ , the equation  $\theta_2(g) \cup (\alpha) = 0$  implies that  $\theta_2(g)$  lies in the image of the corestriction map  $\text{cor}_{K/F}: H^3(K, \mu_2) \rightarrow H^3(F, \mu_2)$ , by [4, (30.12)]. On the other hand, if the characteristic does not divide  $m$ , Corollary 1.18 of [8] yields an explicit element  $\xi \in H^3(K, \mu_m^{\otimes 2})$  such that  $\text{cor}_{K/F}(\xi) = \theta_2(g)$ . In particular, if  $m$  is odd it follows that  $\theta_2 = 0$ .

The following explicit computation yields examples where  $\theta_2 \neq 0$ .

**12. Proposition.** *Let  $\iota$  be the nontrivial automorphism of  $K/F$ , and assume*

$$(A, \sigma) = (B, \rho) \otimes_F (K, \iota)$$

*for some central simple  $F$ -algebra with orthogonal involution  $(B, \rho)$  of degree  $n = 2m$ . Assume  $m$  is even. For  $g \in \text{GO}^-(B, \rho)$  we have  $g \otimes 1 \in \text{Sim}(A, \sigma)$  and*

$$\theta_2(g \otimes 1) = (\alpha) \cup [B].$$

*Proof.* For  $g \in \text{GO}^-(B, \rho)$ ,

$$\sigma(g \otimes 1)g \otimes 1 = \rho(g)g = \mu(g),$$

so  $g \otimes 1 \in \text{Sim}(A, \sigma)$ . By [4, (10.33)], we have

$$[D(A, \sigma)] = m[B] + (\alpha) \cup (\text{disc } \rho).$$

Since  $m$  is even, the first term on the right side vanishes. The proposition follows by taking the cup-product with  $(\mu(g))$ , since  $[B] = (\mu(g)) \cup (\text{disc } \rho)$  by [9, Theorem A] (see also [4, (13.38)]).  $\square$

**Remark.** If  $m$  is odd in Proposition 12, then the definition of  $\theta_2$  requires the extra hypothesis that  $B$  is split by  $K$ . Computation then shows that  $\theta_2(g \otimes 1) = 0$  for all  $g \in \text{GO}^-(B, \rho)$ , as follows also from Remark 11.2 above.

**13. Corollary.** *Let  $r$  be an arbitrary integer. Let  $H$  be a quaternion  $F$ -algebra,  $\alpha \in F^\times$ ,  $K = F[X]/(X^2 - \alpha)$ , and let  $\iota$  be the nontrivial automorphism of  $K/F$ . Assume*

$$(-1) \cup [H] = 0 \text{ in } H^3(F, \mu_2) \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha) \cup [H] \neq 0 \text{ in } H^3(F, \mu_2).$$

*Let  $\rho$  be an orthogonal involution on  $M_{2r}(H)$  which admits improper similitudes (see Lemma 1). Then  $\mathbf{PSim}(M_{2r}(H) \otimes_F K, \rho \otimes \iota)$  is not  $R$ -trivial.*

As in the previous section (see Corollary 8), alternative examples can be constructed from Proposition 2:

**14. Corollary.** *Let  $(B, \rho)$  be a central simple algebra of degree 4 with orthogonal involution over a field  $F_0$ . Assume  $B$  is not split and  $\text{disc } \rho \neq 1$ . Let  $F = F_0(x)$  be the field of rational fractions in one indeterminate over  $F_0$ , let  $K = F(\sqrt{x})$  and let  $\iota$  be the nontrivial automorphism of  $K/F$ . The group  $\mathbf{PSim}(B \otimes_{F_0} K, \rho \otimes \iota)$  is not  $R$ -trivial.*

Note that this corollary also follows from [7, Theorem 3].

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. BERHUY, M. MONSURRÒ, J.-P. TIGNOL, *The discriminant of a symplectic involution*. To appear in Pacific J. of Math.
- [2] J.-L. COLLIOT-THÉLÈNE, J.-J. SANSUC, *La R-équivalence sur les tores*. Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4<sup>e</sup> série, **10**, 175–230 (1997).
- [3] P. GILLE, *Examples of non-rational varieties of adjoint groups*. J. Algebra **193**, 728–747 (1997).
- [4] M.-A. KNUS, A.S. MERKURJEV, M. ROST, J.-P. TIGNOL, *The Book of Involutions*. Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Pub. **44**, AMS, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [5] D.W. LEWIS, *A note on trace forms and involutions of the first kind*. Exposition. Math. **15**, 265–272 (1997).
- [6] Y. MANIN, *Cubic forms*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.
- [7] A. MERKURJEV, *R-equivalence and rationality problem for semisimple adjoint classical algebraic groups*. Pub. Math. IHES **46**, 189–213 (1996).
- [8] A. MERKURJEV, R. PARIMALA, J.-P. TIGNOL, *Invariants of quasi-trivial tori and the Rost invariant*. Preprint.
- [9] A. MERKURJEV, J.-P. TIGNOL, *The multipliers of similitudes and the Brauer group of homogeneous varieties*. J. reine angew. Math. **461**, 13–47 (1995).
- [10] A. QUÉGUINER, *Cohomological invariants of algebras with involution*. J. Algebra **194**, no 1, 299–330 (1997).
- [11] V.E. VOSKRESENKIĬ, A.A. KLYACHKO, *Toroidal Fano varieties and root system*. Math. USSR Izvestija **24**, 221–244 (1985).

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE, CH-1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND

*E-mail address:* `gregory.berhuy@epfl.ch`, `marina.monsurro@epfl.ch`

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUE PURE ET APPLIQUÉE, UNIVERSITÉ CATHOLIQUE DE LOUVAIN, B-1348 LOUVAIN-LA-NEUVE, BELGIUM

*E-mail address:* `tignol@math.ucl.ac.be`