

# ON THE ESSENTIAL DIMENSION OF CYCLIC GROUPS

WANSHUN WONG

ABSTRACT. We find an upper bound for the essential dimension of finite cyclic groups  $\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r} \mathbb{Z}$  over a field  $F$  of characteristic different from  $p_i$  containing all the primitive  $p_i$ -th roots of unity, where  $p_i$  are distinct prime numbers.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The essential dimension of an algebraic structure is a numerical invariant that measures the complexity of the structure. Informally, the essential dimension of an algebraic structure over a field  $F$  is the smallest number of algebraically independent parameters required to define the structure over a field extension of  $F$  (see [1] and [8]).

Let  $\mathfrak{F} : Fields/F \rightarrow Sets$  be a functor (an algebraic structure) from the category  $Fields/F$  of field extensions of  $F$  and field homomorphisms over  $F$  to the category of sets. Let  $K \in Fields/F$  and  $a \in \mathfrak{F}(K)$ . The essential dimension of  $a$ , denoted  $ed(a)$ , is the least transcendence degree  $tr.deg_F(K_0)$  over all subfields  $K_0$  of  $K$  over  $F$  such that  $a$  is in the image of the map  $\mathfrak{F}(K_0) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(K)$ . The essential dimension of the functor  $\mathfrak{F}$  is

$$ed(\mathfrak{F}) = \sup\{ed(a)\}$$

where the supremum is taken over all  $K \in Fields/F$  and all  $a \in \mathfrak{F}(K)$ .

If  $G$  is a finite group, we view  $G$  as a constant group scheme over a field  $F$ . The essential dimension of  $G$  is defined as

$$ed(G) = ed(H^1(-, G)).$$

Thus the essential dimension of  $G$  measures the complexity of the category  $G$ -torsors. If  $G$  is a finite cyclic  $p$ -group, and  $F$  is a field of characteristic different from  $p$  containing primitive  $p$ -th roots of unity, then the essential dimension of  $G$  is computed in [4] and [5]. In this paper we prove in Thm. 3.1 that if  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r} \mathbb{Z}$  is a finite cyclic group,  $p_i$  are distinct prime numbers, and  $F$  is a field of characteristic different from  $p_i$  containing all the primitive  $p_i$ -th roots of unity, then

$$ed(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r} \mathbb{Z}) \leq [F(\xi_{p_1^{n_1}}) : F] + \cdots + [F(\xi_{p_r^{n_r}}) : F] - r + 1$$

where  $\xi_r$  denotes  $r$ -th primitive root of unity for any positive integer  $r$ .

Let  $A$  be a central division  $F$ -algebra of degree  $q_1^{a_1} \cdots q_k^{a_k}$ , where  $q_i$  are distinct prime numbers,  $a_i$  are non-negative integers. It is a conjecture (see [3]) that

$$cdim(SB(A)) = q_1^{a_1} + \cdots + q_k^{a_k} - k$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G15; 14L30.

*Key words and phrases.* Essential dimension, finite cyclic groups, algebraic tori.

where  $\text{cdim}(SB(A))$  is the canonical dimension of the Severi-Brauer variety of  $A$  (see Section 4 for definition). If the conjecture is valid, then we show in Thm. 4.4 that

$$\text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r} \mathbb{Z}) = [F(\xi_{p_1^{n_1}}) : F] + \cdots + [F(\xi_{p_r^{n_r}}) : F] - r + 1.$$

*Acknowledgements:* The author would like to thank his advisor Alexander Merkurjev for his help along the way.

## 2. AFFINE GROUP SCHEMES

References for affine group schemes are [6] and [10].

Let  $p$  be a prime number,  $F$  be a field such that  $\text{char}(F) \neq p$  and  $\xi_p \in F$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the absolute Galois group of  $F$ , i.e.  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_{\text{sep}}/F)$  where  $F_{\text{sep}}$  is a separable closure of  $F$ .

For any non-negative integer  $r$ , let  $G_r = \mathbb{Z}/p^r \mathbb{Z}$  be a constant group scheme over  $F$ ,  $F_r = F(\xi_{p^r})$  be a field extension of  $F$  and  $\Gamma_r = \text{Gal}(F_r/F)$  be the corresponding Galois group. Then let  $T_r = R_{F_r/F}(\mathbb{G}_m)$  be the corestriction of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{G}_m$  from  $F_r$  to  $F$ .

For any  $\gamma \in \Gamma_r$ ,  $\gamma(\xi_{p^r}) = \xi_{p^r}^{\chi_r(\gamma)}$  for some  $\chi_r(\gamma) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^r \mathbb{Z})^\times$ . Then  $\chi_r$  is a  $\Gamma_r$ -homomorphism  $\chi_r : \Gamma_r \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^r \mathbb{Z})^\times$ . It extends linearly to a surjective  $\Gamma$ -homomorphism  $f_r : (T_{r,\text{sep}})^* = \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_r] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^r \mathbb{Z} = (G_{r,\text{sep}})^*$ ,

$$f_r\left(\sum a_\gamma \gamma\right) = \sum a_\gamma \chi_r(\gamma) \pmod{p^r}.$$

Fix a positive integer  $n$ . Let  $s = \min\{n, \sup\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid \xi_{p^m} \in F\}\}$ . Define a surjective  $\Gamma$ -homomorphism  $g : \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{n-s}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$g(x, y) = p^s \cdot f_{n-s}(x) + f_n(y)$$

for every  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{n-s}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_n]$ . Let  $V$  be the factor group scheme

$$V = (T_{n-s} \times T_n)/G_n$$

with  $(V_{\text{sep}})^* = \ker(g)$ , so we have an exact sequence of group schemes

$$1 \longrightarrow G_n \longrightarrow T_{n-s} \times T_n \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow 1.$$

For every  $K \in \text{Fields}/F$ , passing to cohomology and applying Hilbert's Theorem 90 give

$$V(K) \longrightarrow H^1(K, G_n) \longrightarrow H^1(K, T_{n-s} \times T_n) = 1.$$

Consider the composition

$$(1) \quad V(K) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(K, G_n) \longrightarrow H^1(K, G_{n-s}),$$

where the latter homomorphism is induced by the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow G_s \longrightarrow G_n \longrightarrow G_{n-s} \longrightarrow 1.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{F}(K)$  be the image of  $V(K)$  in  $H^1(K, G_{n-s})$ , which is the same as the image of  $H^1(K, G_n)$ . Then we get a subfunctor  $\mathfrak{F}$  of  $H^1(-, G_{n-s})$ . The main result of this section is the following

**Proposition 2.1.** *There exists a closed subscheme  $Y \subseteq V$  of dimension  $[F_n : F] - 1$  such that for every infinite  $K \in \text{Fields}/F$ , the image of  $Y(K)$  in  $H^1(K, G_{n-s})$  is equal to  $\mathfrak{F}(K)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $n = s$ , the result follows immediately from  $H^1(K, G_{n-s}) = 1$  and  $[F_n : F] = 1$ .

If  $n > s$ , first we want to show that (1) is part of a commutative diagram. Consider the following commutative diagram of  $\Gamma$ -modules

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow{p^s} & \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-s}\mathbb{Z} \\ \uparrow \pi \circ f_n & & \uparrow g & & \uparrow f_{n-s} \\ \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_n] & \xleftarrow{\varpi} & \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{n-s}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_n] & \xleftarrow{i} & \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{n-s}] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \ker(\pi \circ f_n) & \xleftarrow{\varpi} & \ker(g) & \xleftarrow{i} & \ker(f_{n-s}) \end{array}$$

where  $\pi$  is the canonical projection,  $i$  is the canonical inclusion  $i(x) = (x, 0)$ ,  $\varpi$  is the canonical projection  $\varpi(x, y) = y$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{n-s}]$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_n]$ . Note that all the rows and columns in (2) are short exact sequences. Let  $U = T_n/G_s$  with  $(U_{sep})^* = \ker(\pi \circ f_n)$ , and  $S = T_{n-s}/G_{n-s}$  with  $(S_{sep})^* = \ker(f_{n-s})$ . The commutative diagram of group schemes dual to (2) is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G_s & \longrightarrow & G_n & \longrightarrow & G_{n-s} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_n & \longrightarrow & T_{n-s} \times T_n & \longrightarrow & T_{n-s} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

which gives the following commutative diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T_{n-s}(K) \times T_n(K) & \longrightarrow & T_{n-s}(K) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V(K) & \longrightarrow & S(K) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(K, G_n) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, G_{n-s}) \end{array}$$

for every  $K \in \text{Fields}/F$ .

In order to construct  $Y$ , we consider

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{n-s}] \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow{j} & \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{n-s}] \\ \uparrow \varphi & & \uparrow \\ \ker(g) & \xleftarrow{i} & \ker(f_{n-s}) \end{array}$$

where  $j$  is the inclusion  $j(x) = (x, 0)$ ,  $\varphi$  is defined by  $\varphi(x, y) = (x, \epsilon(y)/p^s)$  for every  $(x, y) \in \ker(g) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{n-s}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_n]$ , where  $\epsilon$  is the augmentation map of a group ring.

**Lemma 2.2.**  $\varphi : \ker(g) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{n-s}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  is well-defined and surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $(x, y)$  be any element in  $\ker(g)$ .

- (1) For  $p \neq 2$ , and for  $p = 2$  and  $s \geq 2$ ,  $\Gamma_n$  is a cyclic group. Let  $\sigma$  be the generator of  $\Gamma_n$  such that  $\sigma(\xi_{p^n}) = \xi_{p^n}^{p^s+1}$ . Then we can write  $y = \sum a_m \sigma^m$ , and  $f_n(y) = \sum a_m (p^s + 1)^m \pmod{p^n}$ . Since  $(x, y) \in \ker(g)$ ,  $p^s \cdot f_{n-s}(x) + \sum a_m (p^s + 1)^m = 0 \pmod{p^n}$ . Therefore  $p^s$  divides  $\sum a_m$ .
- (2) For  $p = 2$  and  $s = 1$ , write  $y = \sum a_\gamma \gamma$ . Since  $(x, y) \in \ker(g)$ ,  $2f_{n-1}(x) + \sum a_\gamma \chi_n(\gamma) = 0 \pmod{2}$ . Note that  $\chi_n(\gamma) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})^\times$  for every  $\gamma$ , in particular  $\chi_n(\gamma)$  is odd. Hence  $\sum a_\gamma$  is even.

Therefore  $\varphi$  is well-defined.

*Claim:* If  $\epsilon/p^s : \ker(f_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is surjective, then  $\varphi$  is surjective.

To prove the claim let  $(x, m)$  be any element in  $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{n-s}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ . Recall that  $f_n : \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  is surjective. There exists  $y \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_n]$  such that  $f_n(y) = -p^s \cdot f_{n-1}(x)$ , which implies  $(x, y) \in \ker(g)$ . Let  $m' = \epsilon(y)/p^s$ . Note that for every  $y' \in \ker(f_n)$ ,  $(0, y') \in \ker(g)$ . If  $\epsilon/p^s : \ker(f_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is surjective, let  $y' \in \ker(f_n)$  such that  $\epsilon(y')/p^s = 1$ . Then  $(x, y + (m - m')y') \in \ker(g)$  and  $\varphi(x, y + (m - m')y') = (x, m)$ , proving the claim. It remains to show that  $\epsilon/p^s : \ker(f_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is surjective.

- (1) For  $p \neq 2$ , and for  $p = 2$  and  $s \geq 2$ , simply note that  $\sigma - p^s - 1 \in \ker(f_n)$ .
- (2) For  $p = 2$  and  $s = 1$ , consider  $\text{Im}(\chi_n) \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . Note that  $(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})^\times$  is a direct product of two cyclic subgroups generated by 5 and  $-1$  respectively. We claim that  $-5^r \in \text{Im}(\chi_n)$  for some integer  $r$ . Suppose not, then all elements of  $\text{Im}(\chi_n)$  are powers of 5, which implies  $\Gamma_n$  fixes  $\xi_4 = \xi_{2^n}^{2^{n-2}}$  and contradicts the fact that  $\xi_4 \notin F$ . Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$  such that  $\chi_n(\gamma) = -5^r$ . Since  $\gamma + 5^r$  and  $2^n \in \ker(f_n)$ ,  $(1 + 5^r)/2$  and  $2^{n-1} \in \text{Im}(\epsilon/2)$ . As  $5^r = 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $(1 + 5^r)/2$  is odd. Hence  $(1 + 5^r)/2$  and  $2^{n-1}$  are coprime and  $\text{Im}(\epsilon/2) = \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

It is clear that the diagram (4) is commutative, so we have the dual commutative digram of group schemes

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T_{n-s} \times \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & T_{n-s} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow \pi & & \\ V' & & \end{array}$$

where  $V' = V/(T_{n-s} \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ .

Let  $E = F(V')$  be the function field of  $V'$ . From the exact sequence of cohomology

$$V(E) \longrightarrow V'(E) \longrightarrow H^1(E, T_{n-s} \times \mathbb{G}_m) = 1,$$

the generic point of  $V'$ ,  $\text{Spec}(E) \rightarrow V'$  factors through  $V$ . Therefore there exists a rational map  $\alpha : V' \rightarrow V$  such that the composition with the projection  $\pi$  is the identity map on the largest open set  $U$  which  $\alpha$  is defined. Let  $Y = \overline{\text{Im}(\alpha)}$ . Clearly  $\dim Y = \dim V' = \dim V - \dim(T_{n-s} \times \mathbb{G}_m) = [F_n : F] - 1$ . It remains to check that the images of  $Y(K)$  and  $V(K)$  in  $H^1(K, G_{n-s})$  are equal.

**Lemma 2.3.** *For every  $v \in V(K)$ , there exists  $u \in Y(K)$  such that the images of  $v$  and  $u$  in  $H^1(K, G_{n-s})$  are equal.*

*Proof.* Since  $T_{n-s} \times T_n$  is a quasi-split torus, it is an open subset of an affine space. Therefore  $T_{n-s}(K) \times T_n(K)$ , viewed as the set of  $K$ -rational points, is a dense subset of  $T_{n-s} \times T_n$  because  $K$  is infinite. Then the image of  $T_{n-s}(K) \times T_n(K)$  in  $V(K)$  is dense, and  $V'(K)$  is dense in  $V'$ . As  $U$  is open in  $V'$ ,  $U(K) = U \cap V'(K) \neq \emptyset$ . We can find some  $v'$  in the image of  $T_{n-s}(K) \times T_n(K)$  such that  $\pi(v \cdot v') \in U(K)$ . By (3)  $v$  and  $v \cdot v'$  have the same image in  $H^1(K, G_n)$ . Therefore by replacing  $v$  by  $v \cdot v'$  we may assume  $\pi(v) \in U(K)$ .

Let  $u = \alpha \circ \pi(v) \in Y(K)$ . As  $\pi(u) = \pi(v)$ , by (5)  $u$  and  $v$  differ by an element in  $T_{n-s}(K) \times \mathbb{G}_m(K)$ . Then by commutativity of (5) the images of  $u$  and  $v$  in  $S(K)$  differ by the image of an element in  $T_{n-s}(K)$ . Hence by (3)  $u$  and  $v$  have the same image in  $H^1(K, G_{n-s})$ .  $\square$

This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.**  $\text{ed}(\mathfrak{F}) \leq \text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) - 1$ .

*Proof.* First we show that  $\text{ed}(\mathfrak{F}) \leq \text{ed}(Y)$ . Let  $K \in \text{Fields}/F$  and  $a \in \mathfrak{F}(K)$ . If  $K$  is a finite field, then  $\text{tr.deg}_F(K) = 0$  and  $\text{ed}(a) = 0 \leq \text{ed}(Y)$ . If  $K$  is infinite, by Prop. 2.1  $Y(K) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(K)$  is a surjection. Then  $\text{ed}(a) \leq \text{ed}(Y)$  by the proof of [1] Lemma 1.9.

By [1] Prop. 1.17 and [5] Cor. 5.2, we have

$$\text{ed}(\mathfrak{F}) \leq \text{ed}(Y) = \dim(Y) = [F_n : F] - 1 = \text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) - 1. \quad \square$$

### 3. MAIN THEOREM

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  be distinct prime numbers,  $n_1, \dots, n_r$  be positive integers. Let  $F$  be a field such that  $\text{char}(F) \neq p_i$  and  $\xi_{p_i} \in F$  for every  $i$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}\mathbb{Z}) &\leq \text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1}\mathbb{Z}) + \cdots + \text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_r^{n_r}\mathbb{Z}) - r + 1 \\ &= [F(\xi_{p_1}^{n_1}) : F] + \cdots + [F(\xi_{p_r}^{n_r}) : F] - r + 1. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $s_i = \min\{n_i, \sup\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid \xi_{p_i^m} \in F\}\}$  for every  $i$ . For each prime number  $p_i$ , let  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  be the corresponding  $\mathfrak{F}$  defined above. Let  $C_N = \mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}\mathbb{Z}$  be a constant group scheme over  $F$ ,  $C_S = \mathbb{Z}/p_1^{s_1} \cdots p_r^{s_r}\mathbb{Z}$  and  $C_{N-S} = \mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1-s_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r-s_r}\mathbb{Z}$ . The exact sequence of group schemes

$$1 \longrightarrow C_S \longrightarrow C_N \longrightarrow C_{N-S} \longrightarrow 1$$

induces an exact sequence

$$(6) \quad \cdots \longrightarrow H^1(K, C_S) \longrightarrow H^1(K, C_N) \longrightarrow H^1(K, C_{N-S}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

for every  $K \in \text{Fields}/F$ . Note that

$$H^1(K, C_N) = H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1}\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}/p_r^{n_r}\mathbb{Z}),$$

and similarly

$$H^1(K, C_{N-S}) = H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1-s_1}\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}/p_r^{n_r-s_r}\mathbb{Z}).$$

Then the exact sequence (6) implies that we have a fibration of functors ([1] Def. 1.12)

$$H^1(-, C_S) \longrightarrow H^1(-, C_N) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{F}_r.$$

By Cor. 2.4, [1] Lemma 1.11 and Prop. 1.13, and [5] Cor. 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ed}(C_N) &\leq \text{ed}(C_S) + \text{ed}(\mathfrak{F}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{F}_r) \\
&\leq 1 + \text{ed}(\mathfrak{F}_1) + \cdots + \text{ed}(\mathfrak{F}_r) \\
&\leq 1 + (\text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1}\mathbb{Z}) - 1) + \cdots + (\text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_r^{n_r}\mathbb{Z}) - 1) \\
&= \text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1}\mathbb{Z}) + \cdots + \text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_r^{n_r}\mathbb{Z}) - r + 1 \\
&= [F(\xi_{p_1^{n_1}}) : F] + \cdots + [F(\xi_{p_r^{n_r}}) : F] - r + 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{ed}(C_S) = 1$  as  $\xi_{p_1^{s_1} \cdots p_r^{s_r}} \in F$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.2.** If  $s_i = n_i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq r$ , then  $\xi_{p_r^{n_r}} \in F$  for  $2 \leq i \leq r$ . Thm. 3.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}\mathbb{Z}) &\leq [F(\xi_{p_1^{n_1}}) : F] + \cdots + [F(\xi_{p_r^{n_r}}) : F] - r + 1 \\
&= [F(\xi_{p_1^{n_1}}) : F] \\
&= \text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1}\mathbb{Z}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$H^1(-, \mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}\mathbb{Z}) = H^1(-, \mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1}\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times H^1(-, \mathbb{Z}/p_r^{n_r}\mathbb{Z}).$$

By [1] Remark 1.16  $\max\{\text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{n_i})\} \leq \text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}\mathbb{Z})$  where the maximum is taken over  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Therefore

$$\text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}\mathbb{Z}) = \text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1}\mathbb{Z}).$$

**Remark 3.3.** Let  $m = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}$ , and  $G = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $V$  is a faithful linear representation of  $G$  over  $F$  then  $\text{ed}(G) \leq \dim(V)$  ([1] Prop. 4.15). We want to compare the least dimension of a faithful representation of  $G$  over  $F$  with the upper bound of  $\text{ed}(G)$  given by Thm. 3.1.

Let  $n_i > s_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq a$ , and  $n_i = s_i$  for  $a < i \leq r$  for some integer  $1 \leq a < r$ . By Maschke's Theorem ([7] Ch. XVIII Thm. 1.2),  $F[G]$  is semisimple. Since  $F[G]$  is a commutative ring,  $F[G] \cong F[t]/\langle t^m - 1 \rangle$  is isomorphic to a product of fields  $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_k$ . For every divisor  $d$  of  $m$ , there exists a surjection  $F[t]/\langle t^m - 1 \rangle \twoheadrightarrow F(\xi_d)$ ,  $t \mapsto \xi_d$ . Therefore  $F(\xi_d) \cong E_i$  for some  $i$ . On the other hand, for every  $E_j$  clearly there exists a surjection  $F[t]/\langle t^m - 1 \rangle \twoheadrightarrow E_j$ . Let  $\xi$  be the image of  $t$  under this surjection. Then  $E_j = F[\xi] = F(\xi)$ , and  $\xi^m = 1$ . Hence  $E_j \cong F(\xi_d)$  for some divisor  $d$  of  $m$ . Therefore  $F[G]$  is isomorphic to a product of  $F(\xi_d)$ ,  $d|m$ . Note that there can be more than one copy of a particular  $F(\xi_d)$  in the product.

For every divisor  $d$  of  $m$ , the kernel of the natural representation  $G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(F(\xi_d))$ ,  $1 \mapsto \xi_d$ , is the subgroup  $\langle d \rangle$ . Then the kernel of the natural representation  $G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(\prod F(\xi_{d_j}))$  is  $\bigcap \langle d_j \rangle = \langle \text{lcm}\{d_j\} \rangle$ , where  $d_j$  divides  $m$  for every  $j$ . By choosing  $d_j$  to be  $p_1^{n_1}, \dots, p_{a-1}^{n_{a-1}}, p_a^{n_a} \cdots p_r^{n_r}$ , we can see that the natural representation of  $G$  in the  $F$ -space  $V = F(\xi_{p_1^{n_1}}) \oplus \cdots \oplus F(\xi_{p_a^{n_a}})$  is a faithful representation of the least dimension, as  $F(\xi_{p_a^{n_a}}) = F(\xi_{p_a^{n_a} \cdots p_r^{n_r}})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim V &= [F(\xi_{p_1^{n_1}}) : F] + \cdots + [F(\xi_{p_a^{n_a}}) : F] \\
&\geq [F(\xi_{p_1^{n_1}}) : F] + \cdots + [F(\xi_{p_r^{n_r}}) : F] - r + 1
\end{aligned}$$

where equality holds if and only if  $a = 1$  (see Example 3.2). In particular, if  $a \geq 2$ , then  $\text{ed}(G) < \dim(V)$ . This is different from the case for  $p$ -groups, where the

essential dimension of a  $p$ -group  $G'$  is equal to the least dimension of a faithful representation of  $G'$  over  $F$  (see [5] Thm. 4.1).

#### 4. A CONJECTURE FOR $\text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r} \mathbb{Z})$

**4.1. Canonical dimension.** Let  $F$  be a field and  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a class of field extensions of  $F$ . A field  $E \in \mathfrak{C}$  is called generic if for any  $K \in \mathfrak{C}$  there exists an  $F$ -place of  $E$  with values in  $K$ . The canonical dimension of the class  $\mathfrak{C}$  is

$$\text{cdim}(\mathfrak{C}) = \min\{\text{tr.deg}_F E\}$$

where the minimum is taken over all generic fields  $E \in \mathfrak{C}$ .

**Example 4.1.** If  $X$  is a separated scheme of finite type over  $F$ , let  $\mathfrak{C}_X$  be the class of field extensions  $K$  of  $F$  such that  $X(K) \neq \emptyset$ . The canonical dimension of  $X$  is defined as  $\text{cdim}(X) = \text{cdim}(\mathfrak{C}_X)$ . It can be shown that  $\text{cdim}(X) \leq \dim(X)$  (see [3] and [8]).

**Example 4.2.** If  $\theta$  is an element of  $Br(F)$  the Brauer group of  $F$ , let  $\mathfrak{C}_\theta$  be the class of splitting fields of  $\theta$ . The canonical dimension of  $\theta$  is defined as  $\text{cdim}(\theta) = \text{cdim}(\mathfrak{C}_\theta)$ .

Similarly, if  $D$  is a finite subgroup of  $Br(F)$ , let  $\mathfrak{C}_D$  be the class of common splitting fields of all elements in  $D$ . The canonical dimension of  $D$  is defined as  $\text{cdim}(D) = \text{cdim}(\mathfrak{C}_D)$ .

Let  $\theta \in Br(F)$  be represented by a central simple  $F$ -algebra  $A$ . Let  $SB(A)$  be the Severi-Brauer variety of  $A$ .  $K \in Fields/F$  splits  $A$  if and only if  $SB(A)(K) \neq \emptyset$  ([6] Prop. 1.17). Therefore  $\text{cdim}(\theta) = \text{cdim}(SB(A))$ .

**Conjecture 4.3.** Let  $A$  be a central division  $F$ -algebra of degree  $q_1^{a_1} \cdots q_k^{a_k}$ , where  $q_i$  are distinct prime numbers,  $a_i$  are non-negative integers. Write  $A$  as a tensor product  $A_i \otimes \cdots \otimes A_k$  where  $A_i$  is a central division  $F$ -algebra of degree  $q_i^{a_i}$ . Let  $X = SB(A)$  be the Severi-Brauer variety of  $A$ , and let  $Y = SB(A_1) \times \cdots \times SB(A_k)$ .  $K \in Fields/F$  splits  $A$  if and only if it splits  $A_i$  for every  $i$ , therefore  $X(K) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $Y(K) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence

$$(7) \quad \text{cdim}(SB(A)) = \text{cdim}(Y) \leq \dim(Y) = q_1^{a_1} + \cdots + q_k^{a_k} - k.$$

It is conjectured in [3] that the inequality in (7) is actually an equality.

**4.2. Algebras and Representations.** Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $C$  be a central subgroup of  $G$  and set  $H = G/C$ . Then we have an exact sequence

$$(8) \quad 1 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1.$$

Let  $E \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L)$  be a generic  $H$ -torsor,  $L \in Fields/F$  (see [1] section 6, [5] section 4). Let  $C^*$  denote the character group  $\text{Hom}(C, \mathbb{G}_m)$  of  $C$ . Define a homomorphism  $\beta^E : C^* \rightarrow Br(L)$  by taking  $\chi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  to the image of the class of  $E$  under the composition

$$H^1(L, H) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^2(L, C) \xrightarrow{\chi^*} H^2(L, \mathbb{G}_m) = Br(L),$$

where  $\partial$  is the connecting homomorphism for the exact sequence (8).

Let  $\chi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  be a character,  $\text{Rep}^{(\chi)}(G)$  be the category of all finite dimensional representations  $\rho$  of  $G$  such that  $\rho(c)$  is multiplication of  $\chi(c)$  for any  $c \in C$ . It is proved in [5] Thm. 4.4 that

$$\text{ind}(\beta^E(\chi)) = \text{gcd dim}(V)$$

over all representations  $V \in \text{Rep}^{(\chi)}(G)$ .

**4.3. Gerbes.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a gerbe over  $F$  (see [8] section 3, [9] p. 144). Define a functor  $\overline{\mathcal{X}} : \text{Fields}/F \rightarrow \text{Sets}$  by mapping  $K$  to the set of isomorphism classes of objects in the category  $\mathcal{X}(K)$ . The essential dimension of  $\mathcal{X}$  is defined as  $\text{ed}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{ed}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$  be the class of field extensions  $K$  of  $F$  such that  $\overline{\mathcal{X}}(K) \neq \emptyset$ . Then the canonical dimension of  $\mathcal{X}$  is defined as  $\text{cdim}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{cdim}(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{X}})$ .

**4.4. A conjecture for  $\text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}\mathbb{Z})$ .**

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  be distinct prime numbers,  $n_1, \dots, n_r$  be positive integers. Let  $F$  be a field such that  $\text{char}(F) \neq p_i$  and  $\xi_{p_i} \in F$  for every  $i$ . If Conjecture 4.3 is valid, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}\mathbb{Z}) &= \text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1}\mathbb{Z}) + \cdots + \text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_r^{n_r}\mathbb{Z}) - r + 1 \\ &= [F(\xi_{p_1^{n_1}}) : F] + \cdots + [F(\xi_{p_r^{n_r}}) : F] - r + 1. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Thm. 3.1 we only need to prove

$$\text{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}\mathbb{Z}) \geq [F(\xi_{p_1^{n_1}}) : F] + \cdots + [F(\xi_{p_r^{n_r}}) : F] - r + 1$$

when Conjecture 4.3 is valid.

Let  $m = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}$ ,  $G = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $C = \mathbb{Z}/p_1 \cdots p_r\mathbb{Z}$  be a subgroup of  $G$ , and set  $H = G/C$ . Let  $E \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L)$  be a generic  $H$ -torsor,  $L \in \text{Fields}/F$ . Recall that we have a homomorphism  $\beta^E : C^* \rightarrow \text{Br}(L)$ .

Consider the gerbe  $E/G$  banded by  $C$ . Since  $\xi_{p_i} \in F$  for every  $i$  and  $L \in \text{Fields}/F$ ,  $C \cong \mu_{p_1 \cdots p_r}$  and  $H^2(L, C) \cong \text{Br}_{p_1 \cdots p_r}(L)$ . Then the element in  $H^2(L, C)$  corresponding to  $E/G$  can be represented by a central division  $L$ -algebra  $A$  with  $[A] \in \text{Br}_{p_1 \cdots p_r}(L)$ . Note that  $\text{Im}(\beta^E)$  is generated by the class of  $A$ . It follows that

$$\text{cdim}_L(E/G) = \text{cdim}_L(\text{Im}(\beta^E)).$$

By [5] Thm. 4.2 and [2] Thm. 7.1, we have

$$(9) \quad \text{ed}(G) \geq \text{ed}_L(G) \geq \text{ed}_L(E/G) = \text{cdim}_L(E/G) + 1 = \text{cdim}_L(\text{Im}(\beta^E)) + 1.$$

Let  $\chi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  be the character such that  $\beta^E(\chi) = [A]$ , and

$$a = \text{ind}(\beta^E(\chi)) = \text{gcd dim}(V)$$

over all  $V \in \text{Rep}^{(\chi)}(G)$ . For every  $V \in \text{Rep}^{(\chi)}(G)$ , by the calculation in Remark 3.3  $V = \prod F(\xi_{d_j})$ ,  $d_j$  divides  $m$  for every  $j$ . For every  $c \in C$ ,  $c$  acts on  $V$  by multiplication of  $\chi(c)$ . Therefore  $\xi_{d_j}^{p_1^{n_1-1} \cdots p_r^{n_r-1}}$  is a primitive  $p_1 \cdots p_r$ -root of unity. Combining with the fact that  $d_j$  divides  $m$ , we have  $d_j = m$  for every  $j$ , which implies

$$a = [F(\xi_m) : F] = \prod [F(\xi_{p_i^{n_i}}) : F],$$

where the second equality follows from the fact that  $\xi_{p_i} \in F$ ,  $[F(\xi_{p_i^{n_i}}) : F]$  is a power of  $p_i$  for every  $i$ .

If Conjecture 4.3 is valid,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cdim}_L(\text{Im}(\beta^E)) &= \text{cdim}_L(\beta^E(\chi)) = \text{cdim}(SB(A)) \\ &= [F(\xi_{p_1^{n_1}}) : F] + \cdots + [F(\xi_{p_r^{n_r}}) : F] - r. \end{aligned}$$



By combining the above inequality with (9), we have

$$\mathrm{ed}(G) \geq \mathrm{cdim}_L(\mathrm{Im}(\beta^E)) + 1 \geq [F(\xi_{p_1^{n_1}}) : F] + \cdots + [F(\xi_{p_r^{n_r}}) : F] - r + 1. \quad \square$$

**Example 4.5.** Let  $\xi_2, \xi_3 \in F$  but  $\xi_4, \xi_9 \notin F$ . Consider  $\mathrm{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/2^23^2\mathbb{Z})$ . In this case  $\mathrm{ind}(\beta^E(\chi)) = 6$ , and Conjecture 4.3 is proven when  $A$  is of degree 6 by [3] Thm. 1.3. Therefore  $\mathrm{ed}(\mathbb{Z}/36\mathbb{Z}) = 4$  (see [2] Remark 14.2).

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Berhuy and G. Favi. Essential dimension: a functorial point of view (after A. Merkurjev). *Documenta Math.*, 8:279–330, 2003.
- [2] P. Brosnan, Z. Reichstein, and A. Vistoli. Essential dimension and algebraic stacks. arXiv:math/0701903v1 [math.AG], 2007.
- [3] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, N. A. Karpenko, and A. S. Merkurjev. Rational surfaces and canonical dimension of  $\mathrm{pgl}_6$ . *Algebra i Analiz*, 19(5):159–178, 2007. Translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 19 (2008), no. 5, 793–804.
- [4] M. Florence. On the essential dimension of cyclic  $p$ -groups. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 171:175–189, 2008.
- [5] N. A. Karpenko and A. S. Merkurjev. Essential dimension of finite  $p$ -groups. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 172(3):491–508, 2008.
- [6] M.-A. Knus, A. S. Merkurjev, M. Rost, and J.-P. Tignol. *The Book of Involutions*, volume 44 of *Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [7] S. Lang. *Algebra*, volume 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, revised 3rd edition, 2002.
- [8] A. S. Merkurjev. Essential dimension. In *Quadratic Forms - Algebra, Arithmetic, and Geometry*, volume 493 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 299–325. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.
- [9] J. S. Milne. *Etale Cohomology*, volume 33 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1980.
- [10] W. C. Waterhouse. *Introduction to Affine Group Schemes*, volume 66 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555, USA

*E-mail address:* wanshunwong@math.ucla.edu