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#### Abstract

It is shown that two totally decomposable algebras with involution of orthogonal type over a field of characteristic two are isomorphic if and only if they are chain equivalent.


## 1 Introduction

The chain equivalence theorem for bilinear Pfister forms describes the isometry class of $n$-fold Pfister forms in terms of the isometry class of 2 -fold Pfister forms (see [6, (3.2)] and $[1,(\mathrm{~A} .1)]$ ). There exist some related results in the literature for certain classes of central simple algebras over a field. In [11], the chain equivalence theorem for biquaternion algebras over a field of characteristic not two was proved (see [2] for the corresponding result in characteristic two). Also, the chain equivalence theorem for tensor products of quaternion algebras over a field of arbitrary characteristic was recently obtained in [3].

Let $F$ be a field of characteristic 2 . An algebra with involution $(A, \sigma)$ over $F$ is called totally decomposable if it decomposes as tensor products of quaternion $F$-algebras with involution. In [4], a bilinear Pfister form $\mathfrak{P f}(A, \sigma)$, called the Pfister invariant, was associated to every totally decomposable algebra with orthogonal involution $(A, \sigma)$ over $F$. In [9, (6.5)], it was shown that the Pfister invariant can be used to classify totally decomposable algebras with orthogonal involution over $F$. Regarding this result, an analogue chain equivalence for these algebras was defined in $[9,(6.7)]$. A relevant problem then is whether the isomorphism of such algebras with involution implies that they are chain equivalent (see $[9,(6.8)]$ ). In this work we present a solution to this problem.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this paper, $F$ is a field of characteristic 2.
Let $V$ be a finite dimensional vector space over $F$. A bilinear form $\mathfrak{b}: V \times V \rightarrow F$ is called anisotropic if $\mathfrak{b}(v, v) \neq 0$ for every nonzero vector $v \in V$. The form $\mathfrak{b}$ is called metabolic if $V$ has a subspace $W$ with $\operatorname{dim} W=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V$ and $\left.\mathfrak{b}\right|_{W \times W}=0$. For $\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n} \in F^{\times}$, the form $\left\langle\left\langle\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle:=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle 1, \lambda_{i}\right\rangle$ is called a bilinear Pfister form, where $\left\langle 1, \lambda_{i}\right\rangle$ is the diagonal form $\mathfrak{b}\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right)=x_{1} y_{1}+$ $\lambda_{i} x_{2} y_{2}$. By [5, (6.3)], a bilinear Pfister form is either metabolic or anisotropic. We say that $\mathfrak{b}=\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ and $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}=\left\langle\left\langle\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ are simply $P$-equivalent,
if either $n=1$ and $\alpha_{1} F^{\times 2}=\beta_{1} F^{\times 2}$ or $n \geqslant 2$ and there exist $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ such that $\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle\right\rangle \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\beta_{i}, \beta_{j}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ and $\alpha_{k}=\beta_{k}$ for all other $k$. We say that $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$ are chain $P$-equivalent, if there exist bilinear Pfister forms $\mathfrak{b}_{0}, \cdots, \mathfrak{b}_{m}$ such that $\mathfrak{b}_{0}=\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}_{m}=\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$ and every $\mathfrak{b}_{i}$ is simply P-equivalent to $\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}$.

A quaternion algebra over $F$ is a central simple $F$-algebra of degree 2. Every quaternion algebra $Q$ has a quaternion basis, i.e., a basis $\{1, u, v, w\}$ satisfying $u^{2}+u \in F, v^{2} \in F^{\times}$and $u v=w=v u+v$. It is easily seen that every element $v \in Q \backslash F$ with $v^{2} \in F^{\times}$extends to a quaternion basis $\{1, u, v, u v\}$ of $Q$. A tensor product of two quaternion algebras is called a biquaternion algebra.

An involution on a central simple $F$-algebra $A$ is an antiautomorphism of $A$ of period 2. Involutions which restrict to the identity on $F$ are said to be of the first kind. An involution of the first kind is either symplectic or orthogonal (see $[7,(2.5)])$. The discriminant of an orthogonal involution $\sigma$ is denoted by $\operatorname{disc} \sigma$ (see $[7,(7.2)]$ ). If $K / F$ is a field extension, the scalar extension of $(A, \sigma)$ to $K$ is denoted by $(A, \sigma)_{K}$. We also use the notation $\operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma)=\{a-\sigma(a) \mid a \in A\}$.

Let $(A, \sigma)$ be a totally decomposable algebra of degree $2^{n}$ with orthogonal involution over $F$. In [9], it was shown that there exists a unique, up to isomorphism, subalgebra $S \subseteq F+\operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma)$ such that (i) $x^{2} \in F$ for $x \in S$; (ii) $\operatorname{dim}_{F} S=\operatorname{deg}_{F} A=2^{n}$; (iii) $S$ is self-centralizing; (iv) $S$ is generated as an $F$-algebra by $n$ elements. Also, $S$ has a set of alternating generators, i.e., a set $\left\{u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right\}$ consisting of units such that $S \simeq F\left[u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right]$ and $u_{i_{1}} \cdots u_{i_{l}} \in$ $\operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma)$ for every $1 \leq l \leq n$ and $1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{l} \leq n$. We denote the isomorphism class of the subalgebra $S$ by $\Phi(A, \sigma)$. Note that $\Phi(A, \sigma)$ is commutative by $[9,(3.2(i))]$. Also, if $\operatorname{deg}_{F} A \leqslant 4$, then $\Phi(A, \sigma)$ is unique as a set. In fact if $A$ is a quaternion algebra, then $\Phi(A, \sigma)=F+\operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma)$ by dimension count. If $A$ is a biquaternion algebra, then $\Phi(A, \sigma)=F+\operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma)^{+}$, where $\operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma)^{+}$ is the set of square-central elements in $\operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma)$ (see [10, (4.4)] and [10, (3.9)]).

Let $(A, \sigma)=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n}\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)$ be a decomposition of $(A, \sigma)$ and choose $\alpha_{i} \in F^{\times}$ such that disc $\sigma_{i}=\alpha_{i} F^{\times 2}, i=1, \cdots, n$. As in [4], we call the form $\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ the Pfister invariant of $(A, \sigma)$ and we denote it by $\mathfrak{P f}(A, \sigma)$. Note that by [4, (7.2)], the Pfister invariant is independent of the decomposition of $(A, \sigma)$.

With the above notations, we have the following results.
Theorem 2.1. ([9, (5.7)]) For a totally decomposable algebra of degree $2^{n}$ with orthogonal involution $(A, \sigma)$ over $F$, the following conditions are equivalent: (i) $(A, \sigma) \simeq\left(M_{2^{n}}(F), t\right)$, where $t$ is the transpose involution. (ii) $\mathfrak{P f}(A, \sigma) \simeq$ $\langle\langle 1, \cdots, 1\rangle\rangle$. (iii) $x^{2} \in F^{2}$ for every $x \in \Phi(A, \sigma)$.
Theorem 2.2. $([9,(6.5)])$ Let $(A, \sigma)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ be two totally decomposable algebras with orthogonal involution over $F$. If $A \simeq A^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{P f}(A, \sigma) \simeq \mathfrak{P f}\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, then $(A, \sigma) \simeq\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$.

## 3 The chain lemma

Our first result, which strengthens [9, (5.6)], gives a natural description of the Pfister invariant.

Lemma 3.1. Let $(A, \sigma)$ be a totally decomposable algebra of degree $2^{n}$ with orthogonal involution over $F$. If $\mathfrak{P f}(A, \sigma) \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ for some $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n} \in$ $F^{\times}$, then there exists a decomposition $(A, \sigma) \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n}\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)$ into quaternion $F$ algebras with involution such that $\operatorname{disc} \sigma_{i}=\alpha_{i} F^{\times 2}, i=1, \cdots, n$.

Proof. By $[9,(5.5)]$ and $[9,(5.6)]$ there exists a set of alternating generators $\left\{u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right\}$ of $\Phi(A, \sigma)$ such that $u_{i}^{2}=\alpha_{i}, i=1, \cdots, n$. If $\alpha_{i} \in F^{2}$ for every $i$, the result follows from (2.1). Thus (by re-indexing if necessary) we may assume that $\alpha_{n} \notin F^{2}$. It is enough to prove that there exists a decomposition $(A, \sigma) \simeq$ $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n}\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)$ such that $u_{i} \in \operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right), i=1, \cdots, n$. We use induction on $n$. The case $n=1$ is evident, so suppose that $n>1$. Let $B=C_{A}\left(u_{n}\right)$ be the centralizer of $u_{n}$ in $A$ and set $K=F\left[u_{n}\right]=F\left(\sqrt{\alpha}_{n}\right)$. By [9, (6.3)] and [9, (6.4)], $\left(B,\left.\sigma\right|_{B}\right)$ is a totally decomposable algebra with orthogonal involution over $K$ and $\left\{u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n-1}\right\}$ is a set of alternating generators of $\Phi\left(B,\left.\sigma\right|_{B}\right)$. By induction hypothesis there exists a decomposition

$$
\left(B,\left.\sigma\right|_{B}\right) \simeq_{K}\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{K} \cdots \otimes_{K}\left(Q_{n-1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

into quaternion $K$-algebras with involution such that $u_{i} \in \operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ for $i=$ $1, \cdots, n-1$. By dimension count we have $\Phi\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)=K+K u_{i}$. Since $K^{2} \subseteq F$ and $u_{i}^{2} \in F$, we get $x^{2} \in F$ for every $x \in \Phi\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. By $[9,(6.1)]$ there exists a quaternion $F$-algebra $Q_{i} \subseteq Q_{i}^{\prime}$ such that $\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right) \simeq_{K}\left(Q_{i},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{i}}\right) \otimes(K, \mathrm{id})$ and $u_{i} \in \operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{i},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{i}}\right), i=1, \cdots, n-1$. Set $Q_{n}=C_{A}\left(Q_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes Q_{n-1}\right)$. Then $Q_{n}$ is a quaternion $F$-algebra and $(A, \sigma) \simeq\left(Q_{1},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{1}}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(Q_{n},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{n}}\right)$. Since $u_{n} \in K=Z(B) \subseteq C_{A}\left(Q_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes Q_{n-1}\right)=Q_{n}$, we obtain $u_{n} \in Q_{n}$. Finally [8, (3.5)] implies that $u_{n} \in \operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{n},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{n}}\right)$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.2. Let $K / F$ be a field extension satisfying $K^{2} \subseteq F$. Let $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$ be quaternion algebras over $F$ and let $v^{\prime} \in Q^{\prime} \backslash F$ with $v^{\prime 2} \in F^{\times}$. If there exists an isomorphism of $K$-algebras $f: Q_{K}^{\prime} \simeq Q_{K}$ such that $f\left(v^{\prime} \otimes 1\right) \in Q \otimes F$, then there exists $\eta \in K$ such that $f\left(Q^{\prime} \otimes F\right) \subseteq Q \otimes F[\eta]$. In addition, if $\{1, u, v, u v\}$ and $\left\{1, u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right\}$ are respective quaternion bases of $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$ and $f\left(v^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)=v \otimes 1$, then $f\left(u^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)=1 \otimes \lambda+u \otimes 1+v \otimes \eta$ for some $\lambda \in F$.

Proof. The first statement follows from the second, since $f\left(u^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)$ and $f\left(v^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)$ generate $f\left(Q^{\prime} \otimes F\right)$ as an $F$-algebra. To prove the second statement write $f\left(u^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)=1 \otimes \eta_{1}+u \otimes \eta_{2}+v \otimes \eta_{3}+u v \otimes \eta_{4}$ for some $\eta_{1}, \cdots, \eta_{4} \in K$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
v \otimes 1 & =f\left(v^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)=f\left(\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+v^{\prime} u^{\prime}\right) \otimes 1\right) \\
& =f\left(u^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)(v \otimes 1)+(v \otimes 1) f\left(u^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)=v \otimes \eta_{2}+v^{2} \otimes \eta_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

we get $\eta_{4}=0$ and $\eta_{2}=1$, i.e., $f\left(u^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)=1 \otimes \eta_{1}+u \otimes 1+v \otimes \eta_{3}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\left(u^{\prime 2}+u^{\prime}\right) \otimes 1\right)= & f\left(u^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)^{2}+f\left(u^{\prime} \otimes 1\right) \\
= & 1 \otimes \eta_{1}^{2}+u^{2} \otimes 1+v^{2} \otimes \eta_{3}^{2}+(u v+v u) \otimes \eta_{3} \\
& +1 \otimes \eta_{1}+u \otimes 1+v \otimes \eta_{3} \\
= & 1 \otimes \eta_{1}^{2}+\left(u^{2}+u\right) \otimes 1+v^{2} \otimes \eta_{3}^{2}+1 \otimes \eta_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $f\left(\left(u^{\prime 2}+u^{\prime}\right) \otimes 1\right) \in F$ and $K^{2} \subseteq F$, the above relations imply that $\eta_{1} \in F$, proving the result.

The following definition was given in [9, (6.7)].
Definition 3.3. Let $(A, \sigma)=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n}\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ be two totally decomposable algebras with orthogonal involution over $F$. We say that $(A, \sigma)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are simply equivalent if either $n=1$ and $\left(Q_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \simeq\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right)$
or $n \geqslant 2$ and there exist $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ such that $\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{j}, \sigma_{j}\right) \simeq\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right) \otimes$ $\left(Q_{j}^{\prime}, \sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{k}, \sigma_{k}\right) \simeq\left(Q_{k}^{\prime}, \sigma_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ for $k \neq i, j$. We say that $(A, \sigma)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are chain equivalent if there exist totally decomposable algebras with involution $\left(A_{0}, \tau_{0}\right), \cdots,\left(A_{m}, \tau_{m}\right)$ such that $(A, \sigma)=\left(A_{0}, \tau_{0}\right),\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(A_{m}, \tau_{m}\right)$ and for every $i=0, \cdots, m-1,\left(A_{i}, \tau_{i}\right)$ and $\left(A_{i+1}, \tau_{i+1}\right)$ are simply equivalent. We write $(A, \sigma) \approx\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ if $(A, \sigma)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are chain equivalent.

Since the symmetric group is generated by transpositions, for every isometry $\rho$ of $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ we have

$$
\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n}\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right) \approx \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n}\left(Q_{\rho(i)}, \sigma_{\rho(i)}\right)
$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $(A, \sigma)=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{3}\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{3}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ be totally decomposable algebras with orthogonal involution over $F$. Let $\alpha_{i} \in F^{\times}$(resp. $\left.\alpha_{i}^{\prime} \in F^{\times}\right)$be a representative of the class $\operatorname{disc} \sigma_{i}\left(\right.$ resp. disc $\left.\sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right), i=1,2,3$. Suppose that $A \simeq A^{\prime},\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ and $\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{3}^{\prime}$. If $\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ is metabolic, then $(A, \sigma)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are chain equivalent.

Proof. By [1, (A.5)] there exists $\beta \in F$ such that $\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle \simeq\langle\langle 1, \beta\rangle\rangle$. Thus, according to (3.1) and (2.1), one can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(Q_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right) \simeq\left(M_{2}(F), t\right) \otimes\left(Q_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right), \\
& \left(Q_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right) \simeq\left(M_{2}(F), t\right) \otimes\left(Q_{0}^{\prime}, \sigma_{0}^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(Q_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{0}^{\prime}, \sigma_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ are quaternion algebras with orthogonal involution over $F$ and $\operatorname{disc} \sigma_{0}=\operatorname{disc} \sigma_{0}^{\prime}=\beta F^{\times 2}$. It follows that $A \simeq M_{2}(F) \otimes Q_{0} \otimes Q_{3}$ and $A^{\prime} \simeq M_{2}(F) \otimes Q_{0}^{\prime} \otimes Q_{3}^{\prime}$. Since $A \simeq A^{\prime}$, we get $Q_{0} \otimes Q_{3} \simeq Q_{0}^{\prime} \otimes Q_{3}^{\prime}$. We also have

$$
\mathfrak{P f}\left(Q_{0} \otimes Q_{3}, \sigma_{0} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right) \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\beta, \alpha_{3}\right\rangle\right\rangle \simeq \mathfrak{P f}\left(Q_{0}^{\prime} \otimes Q_{3}^{\prime}, \sigma_{0}^{\prime} \otimes \sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right)
$$

which implies that $\left(Q_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{3}, \sigma_{3}\right) \simeq\left(Q_{0}^{\prime}, \sigma_{0}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{3}^{\prime}, \sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ by (2.2). Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A, \sigma) & =\bigotimes_{i=1}^{3}\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right) \approx\left(M_{2}(F), t\right) \otimes\left(Q_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{3}, \sigma_{3}\right) \\
& \approx\left(M_{2}(F), t\right) \otimes\left(Q_{0}^{\prime}, \sigma_{0}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{3}^{\prime}, \sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right) \approx \bigotimes_{i=1}^{3}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.5. $([9,(6.3)])$ Let $(A, \sigma)$ be a totally decomposable algebra with orthogonal involution over $F$. For every $v \in \Phi(A, \sigma)$ with $v^{2} \in F^{\times} \backslash F^{\times 2}$, there exists a $\sigma$-invariant quaternion $F$-algebra $Q \subseteq A$ such that $v \in \Phi\left(Q,\left.\sigma\right|_{Q}\right)$.
Proof. By [9, (6.3)], there exists a $\sigma$-invariant quaternion $F$-algebra $Q \subseteq A$ containing $v$. Write $v=\lambda+w$ for some $\lambda \in F$ and $w \in \operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma)$. Then $w \in$ $\operatorname{Alt}\left(Q,\left.\sigma\right|_{Q}\right)$ by $[8,(3.5)]$, hence $v=\lambda+w \in F+\operatorname{Alt}\left(Q,\left.\sigma\right|_{Q}\right)=\Phi\left(Q,\left.\sigma\right|_{Q}\right)$.

Lemma 3.6. Let $(A, \sigma)=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{3}\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{3}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ be two totally decomposable algebras with orthogonal involution over $F$. If $\mathfrak{P f}(A, \sigma)$ and $\mathfrak{P f}\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are simply $P$-equivalent and $A \simeq A^{\prime}$, then $(A, \sigma)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are chain equivalent.
Proof. Choose invertible elements $v_{i} \in \operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)$ and $v_{i}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right), i=$ $1,2,3$. Set $\alpha_{i}=v_{i}^{2} \in F^{\times}$and $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}=v_{i}^{\prime 2} \in F^{\times}$. Then $\mathfrak{P f}(A, \sigma) \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ and $\mathfrak{P f}\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}, \alpha_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\rangle$. By re-indexing if necessary, we may assume
that $\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{3}^{\prime}$ and $\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\rangle$. In view of (3.4), it suffices to consider the case where $\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ is anisotropic. Set $K=F\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]$ and $K^{\prime}=F\left[v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right]$. Then $K \simeq K^{\prime} \simeq F\left(\sqrt{\alpha}_{1}, \sqrt{\alpha}_{2}\right)$, because $\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ is anisotropic. Consider $C_{A}(K) \simeq_{K} Q_{3} \otimes_{F} K$ and $C_{A^{\prime}}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \simeq_{K^{\prime}} Q_{3}^{\prime} \otimes_{F} K^{\prime}$. As $K \simeq K^{\prime}$, one may consider $Q_{3}^{\prime} \otimes_{F} K^{\prime}$ as a quaternion algebra over $K$, which is isomorphic to $Q_{3} \otimes_{F} K$. Since $\operatorname{disc}\left(\sigma_{3} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)=\operatorname{disc}\left(\sigma_{3}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)=\alpha_{3} K^{\times 2}$, by $[7,(7.4)]$ there exists an isomorphism of $K$-algebras with involution

$$
\begin{equation*}
f:\left(Q_{3}^{\prime} \otimes K^{\prime}, \sigma_{3}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \rightarrow\left(Q_{3} \otimes K, \sigma_{3} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dimension count shows that $\operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{3} \otimes K, \sigma_{3} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)=v_{3} \otimes K$ and $\operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{3}^{\prime} \otimes\right.$ $\left.K^{\prime}, \sigma_{3}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)=v_{3}^{\prime} \otimes K^{\prime}$, hence $f\left(v_{3}^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)=v_{3} \otimes \beta$ for some $\beta \in K$. The relations $v_{3}^{2}=v_{3}^{\prime 2}=\alpha_{3}$ then imply that $\beta=1$, i.e., $f\left(v_{3}^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)=v_{3} \otimes 1 \in Q_{3} \otimes F$. By (3.2) there exists $\eta \in K$ such that $f\left(Q_{3}^{\prime} \otimes F\right) \subseteq Q_{3} \otimes F[\eta]$.

If $\eta \in F$, then $Q_{3}^{\prime} \simeq Q_{3}$. Hence $\left(Q_{3}^{\prime}, \sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right) \simeq\left(Q_{3}, \sigma_{3}\right)$ by [7, (7.4)]. The isomorphism $A \simeq A^{\prime}$ then implies that $Q_{1} \otimes Q_{2} \simeq Q_{1}^{\prime} \otimes Q_{2}^{\prime}$. Thus, $\left(Q_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right) \simeq$ $\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ by $(2.2)$, i.e., $(A, \sigma)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are simply equivalent. Suppose that $\eta \notin F$, hence $\eta^{2} \in F^{\times} \backslash F^{\times 2}$. As $\eta \in K \simeq \Phi\left(Q_{1} \otimes Q_{2}, \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2}\right)$, by (3.5) there exists a $\sigma$-invariant quaternion algebra $Q_{4} \subseteq Q_{1} \otimes Q_{2}$ such that $\eta \in \Phi\left(Q_{4},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{4}}\right)$. Let $Q_{5}$ be the centralizer of $Q_{4}$ in $Q_{1} \otimes Q_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right) \simeq\left(Q_{4},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{4}}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{5},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{5}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{P f}\left(Q_{4} \otimes Q_{5},\left.\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{4}} \otimes \sigma\right|_{Q_{5}}\right) \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by $[4,(7.2)]$. Since $f\left(Q_{3}^{\prime} \otimes F\right) \subseteq Q_{3} \otimes F[\eta]$ and $\eta \in Q_{4}$, we get $f\left(Q_{3}^{\prime} \otimes F\right) \subseteq$ $Q_{3} \otimes Q_{4}$. Let $Q_{6}$ be the centralizer of $f\left(Q_{3}^{\prime} \otimes F\right)$ in $Q_{3} \otimes Q_{4}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{3}, \sigma_{3}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{4},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{4}}\right) \simeq\left(Q_{6},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{6}}\right) \otimes f\left(Q_{3}^{\prime} \otimes F, \sigma_{3}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2) and (4) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(A, \sigma) & =\left(Q_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{3}, \sigma_{3}\right) \\
& \approx\left(Q_{4},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{4}}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{5},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{5}}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{3}, \sigma_{3}\right) \\
& \approx\left(Q_{5},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{5}}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{3}, \sigma_{3}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{4},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{4}}\right) \\
& \approx\left(Q_{5},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{5}}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{6},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{6}}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{3}^{\prime}, \sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

We claim that $\left.\operatorname{disc} \sigma\right|_{Q_{6}}=\left.\operatorname{disc} \sigma\right|_{Q_{4}}$. If this is true, then

$$
\mathfrak{P f}\left(Q_{5} \otimes Q_{6},\left.\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{5}} \otimes \sigma\right|_{Q_{6}}\right) \simeq \mathfrak{P f}\left(Q_{5} \otimes Q_{4},\left.\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{5}} \otimes \sigma\right|_{Q_{4}}\right)
$$

Thus, using (3) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{P f}\left(Q_{5} \otimes Q_{6},\left.\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{5}} \otimes \sigma\right|_{Q_{6}}\right) \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle \simeq \mathfrak{P f}\left(Q_{1}^{\prime} \otimes Q_{2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The chain equivalence (5) together with $A \simeq A^{\prime}$ yields $A^{\prime} \simeq Q_{5} \otimes Q_{6} \otimes Q_{3}^{\prime}$, hence $Q_{5} \otimes Q_{6} \simeq Q_{1}^{\prime} \otimes Q_{2}^{\prime}$. By (6) and (2.2) we have $\left(Q_{5},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{5}}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{6},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{6}}\right) \simeq$ $\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. This, together with (5) yields the desired chain equivalence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A, \sigma) & \approx\left(Q_{5},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{5}}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{6},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{6}}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{3}^{\prime}, \sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \approx\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{3}^{\prime}, \sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now proceed to prove the claim. Let $v_{6} \in \operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{6},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{6}}\right) \subseteq Q_{3} \otimes Q_{4}$ and $v_{4} \in \operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{4},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{4}}\right)$ be two units. It is enough to show that $v_{6}=\mu\left(1 \otimes v_{4}\right)$ for some $\mu \in F$. The element

$$
v_{6} \in \operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{6},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{6}}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{3} \otimes Q_{4},\left.\sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma\right|_{Q_{4}}\right)
$$

is square-central. Hence $v_{6} \in \Phi\left(Q_{3} \otimes Q_{4},\left.\sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma\right|_{Q_{4}}\right)=F\left[v_{3} \otimes 1,1 \otimes v_{4}\right]$ by [10, (4.4)], i.e., there exist $a, b, c, d \in F$ such that

$$
v_{6}=a\left(v_{3} \otimes 1\right)+b\left(1 \otimes v_{4}\right)+c\left(v_{3} \otimes v_{4}\right)+d
$$

Since $\left.\sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma\right|_{Q_{4}}$ is orthogonal, by $[7,(2.6)]$ we have $1 \notin \operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{3} \otimes Q_{4},\left.\sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma\right|_{Q_{4}}\right)$, hence $d=0$. On the other hand by extending $\left\{v_{3}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$ to quaternion bases $\left\{u_{3}, v_{3}, u_{3} v_{3}\right\}$ of $Q_{3}$ and $\left\{u_{3}^{\prime}, v_{3}^{\prime}, u_{3}^{\prime} v_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $Q_{3}^{\prime}$ and using (3.2) for the map $f$ in (1), we get

$$
f\left(u_{3}^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)=1 \otimes \lambda+u_{3} \otimes 1+v_{3} \otimes \eta \in Q_{3} \otimes F[\eta] \subseteq Q_{3} \otimes Q_{4},
$$

for some $\lambda \in F$. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{6} f\left(u_{3}^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)+f\left(u_{3}^{\prime} \otimes 1\right) v_{6}= & a\left(v_{3} u_{3}+u_{3} v_{3}\right) \otimes 1+b v_{3} \otimes\left(v_{4} \eta+\eta v_{4}\right) \\
& +c\left(v_{3} u_{3}+u_{3} v_{3}\right) \otimes v_{4}+c \alpha_{3} \otimes\left(v_{4} \eta+\eta v_{4}\right) \\
= & a v_{3} \otimes 1+\left(b v_{3}+c \alpha_{3}\right) \otimes\left(v_{4} \eta+\eta v_{4}\right)+c v_{3} \otimes v_{4} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

As $v_{4}, \eta \in \Phi\left(Q_{4},\left.\sigma\right|_{Q_{4}}\right)$, we have $\eta v_{4}=v_{4} \eta$. Also, $v_{6} \in Q_{6}$ commutes with $f\left(u_{3}^{\prime} \otimes 1\right) \in f\left(Q_{3}^{\prime} \otimes F\right)$, i.e., $v_{6} f\left(u_{3}^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)+f\left(u_{3}^{\prime} \otimes 1\right) v_{6}=0$. Therefore, (7) leads to $a=c=0$, hence $v_{6}=b\left(1 \otimes v_{4}\right)$, proving the claim.

Remark 3.7. Let $K=F(\sqrt{\alpha})$ be a quadratic field extension. Consider a bilinear Pfister form $\mathfrak{b}$ over $F$ and let $\mathfrak{b}_{K}$ be the scalar extension of $\mathfrak{b}$ to $K$. If $\mathfrak{b}_{K}$ is metabolic then $\mathfrak{b} \otimes\langle\langle\alpha\rangle\rangle$ is also metabolic. In fact if $\mathfrak{b}$ is itself metabolic, the conclusion is evident. Otherwise, $\mathfrak{b}$ is anisotropic and the result follows form [5, (34.29 (2))] and [5, (34.7)]. Note that this implies that if $\mathfrak{b}_{K} \simeq \mathfrak{b}_{K}^{\prime}$ for some bilinear Pfister form $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$ over $F$, then $\mathfrak{b} \otimes\langle\langle\alpha\rangle\rangle \simeq \mathfrak{b}^{\prime} \otimes\langle\langle\alpha\rangle\rangle$.

The following result gives a solution to $[9,(6.8)]$.
Theorem 3.8. Let $(A, \sigma) \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n}\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ be two totally decomposable algebras with orthogonal involution over $F$. Then $(A, \sigma) \simeq$ ( $\left.A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $(A, \sigma)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are chain equivalent.

Proof. The "if" part is evident. To prove the converse, let $\operatorname{deg}_{F} A=2^{n}$. The case $n \leqslant 2$ is trivial, so suppose that $n \geqslant 3$. By [4, (7.2)] we have $\mathfrak{P f}(A, \sigma) \simeq$ $\mathfrak{P f}\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$. Hence by $\left[1\right.$, (A. 1)], there exist bilinear Pfister forms $\mathfrak{b}_{0}, \cdots, \mathfrak{b}_{m}$ such that $\mathfrak{b}_{0}=\mathfrak{P f}(A, \sigma), \mathfrak{b}_{m}=\mathfrak{P f}\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ and for $i=0, \cdots, m-1, \mathfrak{b}_{i}$ is simply P-equivalent to $\mathfrak{b}_{i+1}$. Set $\left(A_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)=(A, \sigma)$ and $\left(A_{m}, \tau_{m}\right)=\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$. By (3.1) every $\mathfrak{b}_{i}, i=1, \cdots, m-1$, can be realised as the Pfister invariant of a totally decomposable algebra with orthogonal involution $\left(A_{i}, \tau_{i}\right)$ over $F$ with $A_{i} \simeq A$. We show that for $i=0, \cdots, m-1,\left(A_{i}, \tau_{i}\right)$ and $\left(A_{i+1}, \tau_{i+1}\right)$ are chain equivalent. By induction, it suffices to consider the case where $m=1$ (i.e., we may assume that $\mathfrak{P f}(A, \sigma)$ and $\mathfrak{P f}\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are simply P-equivalent). If $n=3$ the result follows from (3.6). So suppose that $n \geqslant 4$.

For $i=1, \cdots, n$, choose invertible elements $v_{i} \in \operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)$ and $v_{i}^{\prime} \in$ $\operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ and set $\alpha_{i}=v_{i}^{2} \in F^{\times}$and $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}=v_{i}^{\prime 2} \in F^{\times}$. Then $\mathfrak{P f}(A, \sigma) \simeq$ $\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ and $\mathfrak{P f}\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\rangle$. By re-indexing if necessary, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\rangle \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha_{i}=\alpha_{i}^{\prime} \quad \text { for } \quad i=3, \cdots, n . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose first that $\alpha_{n} \in F^{\times 2}$. Then $\left(Q_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right) \simeq\left(Q_{n}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n}^{\prime}\right) \simeq\left(M_{2}(F), t\right)$ by (2.1). Set $C=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n-1} Q_{i}$ and $C^{\prime}=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n-1} Q_{i}^{\prime}$, so that $C \simeq C_{A}\left(Q_{n}\right) \simeq C_{A^{\prime}}\left(Q_{n}^{\prime}\right) \simeq C^{\prime}$. Using (8) we have

$$
\mathfrak{P f}\left(C,\left.\sigma\right|_{C}\right) \simeq \mathfrak{P f}\left(C^{\prime},\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{C^{\prime}}\right) \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\rangle
$$

hence $\left(C,\left.\sigma\right|_{C}\right) \simeq\left(C^{\prime},\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ by (2.2). By induction hypothesis, $\left(C,\left.\sigma\right|_{C}\right)$ and $\left(C^{\prime},\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ are chain equivalent. Thus, $(A, \sigma) \approx\left(C,\left.\sigma\right|_{C}\right) \otimes\left(M_{2}(F), t\right)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ $\approx\left(C^{\prime},\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{C^{\prime}}\right) \otimes\left(M_{2}(F), t\right)$ are also chain equivalent.

Suppose now that $\alpha_{n} \notin F^{\times 2}$. Set $B=C_{A}\left(v_{n}\right), B^{\prime}=C_{A^{\prime}}\left(v_{n}^{\prime}\right), K=F\left[v_{n}\right]$ and $K^{\prime}=F\left[v_{n}^{\prime}\right] \simeq F\left(\sqrt{\alpha}_{n}\right) \simeq K$. Then

$$
\left(B,\left.\sigma\right|_{B}\right) \simeq_{K} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)_{K} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(B^{\prime},\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{B^{\prime}}\right) \simeq_{K} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{K^{\prime}}
$$

are totally decomposable algebras with orthogonal involution over $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ respectively. Since $A \simeq_{F} A^{\prime}$, we have $B \simeq_{K} B^{\prime}$. Also, using (8) we get

$$
\mathfrak{P f}\left(B,\left.\sigma\right|_{B}\right) \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{K} \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{K} \simeq \mathfrak{P f}\left(B^{\prime},\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{B^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Thus, $\left(B,\left.\sigma\right|_{B}\right) \simeq_{K}\left(B^{\prime},\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{B^{\prime}}\right)$ by (2.2). By induction hypothesis we get $\left(B,\left.\sigma\right|_{B}\right)$ $\approx\left(B^{\prime},\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{B^{\prime}}\right)$. Again, using induction, it suffices to consider the case where $\left(B,\left.\sigma\right|_{B}\right)$ and $\left(B^{\prime},\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{B^{\prime}}\right)$ are simply equivalent (note that every totally decomposable algebra with involution $\left(B^{\prime \prime}, \sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)$ over $K$ with $\left(B^{\prime \prime}, \sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \simeq\left(B,\left.\sigma\right|_{B}\right)$ has a decomposition of the form $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{K}$, where every $\left(Q_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a quaternion algebra with orthogonal involution over $F$ ). By re-indexing, we may assume that $\left(Q_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-2}\right)_{K} \otimes\left(Q_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}\right)_{K} \simeq_{K}\left(Q_{n-2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n-2}^{\prime}\right)_{K} \otimes\left(Q_{n-1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)_{K} \simeq_{K}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{K^{\prime}}, \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \cdots, n-3 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\bigotimes_{i=2}^{n-1}\left(Q_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)_{K} \approx \bigotimes_{i=2}^{n-1}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{K^{\prime}}$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{K} \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{K} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n-3 \geqslant 1$, (9) gives an isomorphism of $K$-algebras with involution

$$
f:\left(Q_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \otimes(K, \mathrm{id}) \simeq\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(K^{\prime}, \mathrm{id}\right)
$$

The element $1 \otimes v_{n}$ lies in the center of $Q_{1} \otimes K$. Thus, there exist $a, b \in F$ such that $f\left(1 \otimes v_{n}\right)=1 \otimes\left(a+b v_{n}^{\prime}\right)$. Squaring both sides implies that $\alpha_{n}=a^{2}+b^{2} \alpha_{n}$. The assumption $\alpha_{n} \notin F^{2}$ then yields $a=0$ and $b=1$, i.e., $f\left(1 \otimes v_{n}\right)=1 \otimes v_{n}^{\prime}$. As $\left(K^{\prime}, \mathrm{id}\right) \subseteq\left(Q_{n}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n}^{\prime}\right)$, the isomorphism $f$ induces a monomorphism of $F$-algebras with involution

$$
g:\left(Q_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \otimes(K, \mathrm{id}) \hookrightarrow\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{n}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

with $g\left(1 \otimes v_{n}\right)=1 \otimes v_{n}^{\prime}$. Let $Q_{0}^{\prime}$ be the centralizer of $g\left(Q_{1} \otimes F\right)$ in $Q_{1}^{\prime} \otimes Q_{n}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{n}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n}^{\prime}\right) \simeq_{F}\left(Q_{0}^{\prime},\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{Q_{0}^{\prime}}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the element $1 \otimes v_{n} \in Q_{1} \otimes K$ commutes with $Q_{1} \otimes F$, we get $1 \otimes v_{n}^{\prime}=$ $g\left(1 \otimes v_{n}\right) \in Q_{0}^{\prime}$, which implies that $1 \otimes v_{n}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Alt}\left(Q_{0}^{\prime},\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{Q_{0}^{\prime}}\right)$ by $[8,(3.5)]$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{disc} \sigma^{\prime}\right|_{Q_{0}^{\prime}}=\alpha_{n} F^{\times 2} \in F^{\times} / F^{\times 2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (11) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \approx\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(Q_{n-1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n-1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{0}^{\prime},\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{Q_{0}^{\prime}}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, together with $A \simeq A^{\prime}$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes Q_{n} \simeq Q_{2}^{\prime} \otimes \cdots \otimes Q_{n-1}^{\prime} \otimes Q_{0}^{\prime} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The isometry (10) and (3.7) show that

$$
\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle \simeq\left\langle\left\langle\alpha_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle,
$$

hence, thanks to (12), the Pfister invariants of $(D, \tau):=\left(Q_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(Q_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right)$ and $\left(D^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right):=\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(Q_{n-1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n-1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{0}^{\prime},\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{Q_{0}^{\prime}}\right)$ are isometric. Using (14) and (2.2) we obtain $(D, \tau) \simeq\left(D^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right)$. By induction hypothesis, $(D, \tau)$ and $\left(D^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right)$ are chain equivalent. Thus, by (13) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right) & \approx\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(Q_{n-1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n-1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{0}^{\prime},\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{Q_{0}^{\prime}}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(D^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \approx(D, \tau) \otimes\left(Q_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(Q_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(Q_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right) \otimes\left(Q_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \approx(A, \sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$
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