

# Examples from combinatorics 10/08/2015

Speaker: Geoffrey Janssens.

## EXAMPLES...

1)  $A = K \langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle$  has the minimal resolution

$$0 \rightarrow A^n \xrightarrow{\phi} A \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0, \quad \phi = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

Question: For which  $I \triangleleft K \langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle$  is

$$\frac{K \langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle}{I} \text{ Koszul?}$$

Notation:  $A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A^n$ ,  $A_0 = K$ ,

$h_A(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \dim_K(A^n) z^n$  the Hilbert series.

NON-EXAMPLE: 2)  $A = K[X_1, \dots, X_4]$   
 ~~$(X_1^2, X_2^2, X_3^2, X_4^2, X_1X_2 + X_3X_4)$~~

If it were Koszul, we would have

$$h_A(z) h_{A'}(-z) = 1$$

Here  $h_A(z) = 1 + 4z + 5z^2$

Together,  $h_A(z) = \frac{1}{h_A(-z)}$

$$= 1 + 4z + 11z^2 + 24z^3 + 41z^4 + 44z^5 - 29z^6 + \dots$$

Negative coefficients don't make sense! So this is a contradiction.

Def<sup>n</sup>: Let  $\prec$  be a monomial order on

$$A := K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle.$$

Fix  $I \triangleleft K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$

- i)  $\text{in}_\prec(\psi_{\psi \in A}) :=$  monomial in  $\psi$  of highest order
- ii)  $\text{in}_\prec(I) := (\text{in}_\prec(\psi) : \psi \in I)$

Proposition: (Fröberg, Kempf)

'If there exists a monomial order such that  $\text{in}_\prec(I)$  is quadratic, then  $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / I$  is Koszul.'

Note: A monomial order  $<$  is a total order for which  $u < v \Rightarrow uw < vw$  ( $\forall u, v, w \in k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ )

### § 1: Koszulness preserving operations

Def<sup>(\*)</sup> i)  $A \sqcap B$ ;  $(A \sqcap B)_0 := K$  &  $(A \sqcap B)_i = A_i \oplus B_i$  (\*)

ii)  $A \sqcup B$ : the free product, i.e.

$$A \sqcup B = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{0, 1\} \\ i = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}} A_+^{\epsilon_1} \oplus (B \oplus A_+)^{\oplus i} \oplus B^{\epsilon_2} \right)$$

where  $A_+ = \bigoplus_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N} \\ i > 0}} A_i$  &  $B_+$  similar

(\*) Stipulate  $A_+ B_+ := 0 = B_+ A_+$

Proposition:  $h_{A \sqcap B}(z) = h_A(z) + h_B(z) - 1$ ,

$$h_{A \sqcup B}(z) = \left( h_A^{-1}(z) + h_B^{-1}(z) - 1 \right)^{-1}$$

$$h_{\underbrace{A \oplus B}_K}(z) = h_A(z) \cdot h_B(z)$$

where also we define (for \*)

$$\text{iii) } (A \oplus_K B)_i := \bigoplus_{\substack{j, e: \\ j+e=i}} A_j \oplus B_e$$

Proposition:  $\text{Ext}_{A \cap B}^{\bullet}(k, k) \cong \text{Ext}_A^{\bullet}(k, k) \cap \text{Ext}_B^{\bullet}(k, k)$

Corollary:  $A$  &  $B$  are both Koszul iff  $A \cup B$  is

— " —  $\text{Ext}_{A \cup B}^{\bullet}$  — " —  $\cup$  — " —  
 — " —  $A \& B$  — " —  $A \cup B$  is  
 — " —  $\text{Ext}_{A \otimes B}^{\bullet}$  — " —  $\oplus$  — " —  
 — " —  $A \& B$  — " —  $A \otimes_k B$  is

§ 1.2 Veronese sub-algebra & Serre products

Def<sup>n</sup>: For  $A, B$ , the Serre product is

$$A \circ B = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (A_i \otimes_k B_i)$$

Proposition: If  $A$  &  $B$  are Koszul, then so is  $A \circ B$

Def<sup>n</sup>: for  $A$ , &  $d \geq 2$ , then the  $d^{\text{th}}$  Veronese subalgebra is  $A^{(d)} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_{id}$

Proposition: If  $A$  is Koszul then so is  $A^{(d)} \forall d \geq 2$

Theorem: If  $A$  is commutative & generated in degree 1, then for large enough  $d$ ,  $A^{(d)}$  is Koszul.

Remark:  $\text{rate}(A) := \sup \left\{ \frac{j-1}{i-1} \mid \text{Tor}_{i,j}^A(k,k) \neq 0 \right\}$

gives that, if  $\text{rate}(A) \leq d$ ,  $A^{(d)}$  is Koszul

Consider also the map

$S(A) \rightarrow A$  & define

$$\text{rate}(S(A), A) := \sup_{P \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \frac{\text{hg}_{S(A), A}(P)}{P} \right)$$

with  $\text{hg}_{S(A), A}(P) = \min \{ i \mid \text{Tor}_{P,i}^{S(A)}(A, B) \neq 0 \}$

In the proof one bound  $\text{rate}(A)$  using that  $\text{rate}(S(A), A)$  can be proved to be finite.

Remark:  $\mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  with  $(x,y) \mapsto (x^2, xy, y^2)$  is called a Veronese embedding. By embedding in a high enough  $\mathbb{P}^d$  we see that "projective varieties are Koszul".

## §1 Affine semi-groupoids

Let  $\Delta$  be a finitely generated submonoid of

$(\mathbb{N}^d, +)$ , &  $k[\Delta]$  its semigroup algebra

$k[\Delta]$  is called an affine semigroup ring

Let  $A := \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  be a minimal set of generators and  $\alpha_i = (a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,d})$

Remark:  $K[\Delta] \cong k[t^{\alpha_i} \mid \alpha_i \in \Delta] \subseteq k[t_1, \dots, t_d]$

where  $t^{\alpha_i}$  denotes  $t_1^{\alpha_{i,1}} \dots t_d^{\alpha_{i,d}}$ . Now define

$$k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \xrightarrow{\psi} k[t_1, \dots, t_d]$$

$$x_i \longmapsto t^{\alpha_i}$$

∴ by this  $K[\Delta] \cong \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{\ker(\psi)}$

We call  $I_\Delta := \ker(\psi)$  the 'Toric ideal', & we want

to know when we can grade  $K[\Delta]$  by the natural

grading on  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ; & furthermore, when

is it Koszul?

Proposition: The following are equivalent

i)  $K[\Delta]$  is a graded algebra (here  $x_i$  is in degree 1)

ii)  $I_\Delta \triangleleft_{\text{gr}} k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  ————— " —————

iii)  $\forall \lambda \in \Delta$ ;  $\Delta(\lambda)$  is a pure simplicial complex.

We recall...

Def<sup>n</sup>: For  $\mu, \lambda \in \Delta$

i)  $\mu \leq \lambda$  iff  $\lambda - \mu \in \Delta$

ii)  $] \mu, \lambda [ := \{ f \in \Delta : \mu < f < \lambda \}$

iii)  $\Delta(\lambda) := \Delta(] 0, \lambda [)$ , order complex  $d_x ] 0, \lambda [$

(i.e., the poset is  $\{ \mu \in ] 0, \lambda [ \}$  &  
 faces =  $\{ \{ \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k \} \subseteq ] 0, \lambda [ \}$   
 with  $\mu_1 < \dots < \mu_k$ )

Theorem: (Peera, Reiner, Sturmfels)

$K[\Delta]$  is Koszul, iff,  $\forall \lambda \in \Delta$ ,  $\Delta(\lambda)$  is a  
 Cohen-Macaulay poset. (definition below)

Def<sup>n</sup>: For  $\Delta$  a simplicial complex,  $\Delta$  is Cohen-  
 Macaulay if,  $\hat{H}_i(\mathcal{L}_F, k) = 0 \forall F \in \Delta$  &  
 $i < \dim(\mathcal{L}_F)$ , where we let

$$\mathcal{L}_F = \{ G \in \Delta \mid G \cup F \in \Delta, G \cap F = \emptyset \}$$

Theorem:  $\Delta$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if

$$K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

$\underline{I_\Delta}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, where we

let  $I_\Delta$  denote the ideal generated by all square free monomials  $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r}$  with  $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r}\} \notin \Delta$

## § 2 Algebras with monomial relations

Proposition: If  $I$  is generated by <sup>quadratic</sup> monomials,

$$K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$$

$\underline{I}$  is Koszul

Reference: 'Confluence property'

Def<sup>n</sup>: Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex (say for example on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ), then the algebras of the

$$\text{form } K[\Delta] := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

$\underline{(x^F: F \notin \Delta)}$  are called

Stanley-Reisner rings



Proposition: As in the above, let  $I_\Delta := (x^F : F \notin \Delta)$

Then  $K[\Delta]$  is Koszul iff  $I_\Delta$  is quadratic

iff  $\Delta$  is a flag complex

$F$  is a face of  $\Delta$  iff each pair in  $F$  occurs in some face

Def<sup>n</sup> A commutative algebra containing a poset  $P$ , say  $A$ , satisfies the ASL-property if

ASL-1]  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in P$ ,  $p_i < p_{i+1}$ ,  $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{1}$  together with <sup>above</sup> monomials in the  $p_i$ 's are a  $k$ -basis for  $A$ .

ASL-2] For  $e, A \in P$  incomparable, if  $\mu$  is a standard monomial with  $b_\mu \neq 0$  in  $e^n = \sum b_\mu \mu$ , there exists a  $\sigma$  with  $\sigma \leq e$  &  $\sigma \leq n$

[ex] coordinate rings of Grassmannians and their Schubert Varieties

prop: quadratic ASL-algebras are Koszul