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#### Abstract

We give an overview of heat kernels on ultra-metric spaces based on the results of [9] and [11]. In particular, we present estimates of the heat kernel of the Vladimirov operator in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$.
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## 1 Background

### 1.1 Heat kernels and Dirichlet forms in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

The classical Laplace operator $\Delta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is associated with the Dirichlet integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla f|^{2} d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

via the Green formula

$$
(f,-\Delta f)_{L^{2}}=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f \Delta f d x=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla f|^{2} d x
$$

More precisely, the Dirichlet form (1.1) in the domain $f \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ has the generator $\mathcal{L}=-\Delta$ that is a non-negative definite self-adjoint operator in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with the domain $W^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

The associated heat equation

$$
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u=0
$$

has a fundamental solution

$$
p_{t}(x, y)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi t)^{n / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4 t}\right)
$$

that is also the transition density function of a diffusion process - Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
For any $\beta \in(0,2)$, the operator $(-\Delta)^{\beta / 2}$ determines in a similar way the following non-local Dirichlet form

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n, \beta} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{(f(x)-f(y))^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+\beta}} d x d y \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the domain $B_{2,2}^{\beta / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. The associated heat equation

$$
\partial_{t} u+(-\Delta)^{\beta / 2} u=0
$$

has a non-negative fundamental solution $p_{t}^{(\beta)}(x, y)$, that also serves as the transition density function of a symmetric stable Levy process of index $\beta$ (a Markov process of jump type).

It is known that, in the case $\beta=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}^{(1)}(x, y)=\frac{c_{n} t}{\left(t^{2}+|x-y|^{2}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(that is the Cauchy distribution), while for any $\beta \in(0,2)$ there is an estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}^{(\beta)}(x, y) \simeq \frac{t}{\left(t^{1 / \beta}+|x-y|\right)^{n+\beta}}=\frac{1}{t^{n / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{|x-y|}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-(n+\beta)} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sign $\simeq$ means that the ratio of two sides is bounded between two positive constants.

### 1.2 Dirichlet forms of jump type in metric measure spaces

Let $(X, d)$ be a locally compact separable metric space and $\mu$ be a Radon measure on $X$ with full support. Consider in $L^{2}(X, \mu)$ the following quadratic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(f, f)=\frac{1}{2} \iint_{X \times X}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} J(x, y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J(x, y)$ is a non-negative symmetric function on $X \times X$ that is called a jump kernel. Assume that $\mathcal{E}$ extends to a regular Dirichlet form with a domain $\mathcal{F} \subset L^{2}(X, \mu)$, that is, $\mathcal{F}$ is a dense subspace of $L^{2}, \mathcal{F}$ is complete with respect to the norm $\|f\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\mathcal{E}(f, f)$, and $\mathcal{F} \cap C_{0}$ is dense both in $\mathcal{F}$ and $C_{0}$, where $C_{0}$ is endowed with the sup-norm. The generator of the Dirichlet form (1.5) is the operator

$$
\mathcal{L} f(x)=\int_{X}(f(x)-f(y)) J(x, y) d \mu(y),
$$

that is a non-positive definite self-adjoint operator in $L^{2}(X, \mu)$. It determines the heat semigroup $\left\{e^{-t \mathcal{L}}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $L^{2}(X, \mu)$ and a certain Hunt process $\left(\left\{\mathcal{X}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0},\left\{\mathbb{P}_{x}\right\}_{x \in X}\right)$ that satisfies the identity

$$
\mathbb{P}_{x}\left(\mathcal{X}_{t} \in A\right)=e^{-t \mathcal{L}_{1}}{ }_{A}(x),
$$


for any Borel set $A \subset X$.
The heat kernel $p_{t}(x, y)$ of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is the integral density of the heat semigroup $e^{-t \mathcal{L}}$, if the former exists. In this case $p_{t}(x, y)$ is also the transition density function of the Hunt process.

For the theory of Dirichlet forms we refer the reader to [15].

## 2 Ultra-metric spaces

### 2.1 Definition and main properties

Let ( $X, d$ ) be a metric space. The metric $d$ is called ultra-metric if it satisfies the ultra-metric inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(x, y) \leq \max \{d(x, z), d(z, y)\}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is obviously stronger than the usual triangle inequality. In this case $(X, d)$ is called an ultra-metric space.

A well-known example of an ultra-metric distance is given by a $p$-adic norm. Given a prime $p$, the $p$-adic norm on $\mathbb{Q}$ is defined as follows: if $x=p^{n} \frac{a}{b}$, where $a, b, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, b$ are not divisible by $p$, then

$$
\|x\|_{p}:=p^{-n}
$$

If $x=0$ then $\|x\|_{p}:=0$. The $p$-adic norm on $\mathbb{Q}$ satisfies the ultra-metric inequality. Indeed, if $y=p^{m} \frac{c}{d}$ and $m \leq n$ then

$$
x+y=p^{m}\left(\frac{p^{n-m} a}{b}+\frac{c}{d}\right) .
$$

Since the denominator $b d$ is not divisible by $p$, it follows that

$$
\|x+y\|_{p} \leq p^{-m}=\max \left\{\|x\|_{p},\|y\|_{p}\right\}
$$

Hence, $\mathbb{Q}$ with the metric $\|x-y\|_{p}$ is an ultra-metric space, and so is its completion $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ - the field of $p$-adic numbers.

The next example of an ultra-metric space is the product

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}=\overbrace{\mathbb{Q}_{p} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{n \text { times }}
$$

where the ultra-metric is given by the vector $p$-norm

$$
\left\|\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\|_{p}:=\max _{i=1, \ldots, n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{p}
$$

Various constructions of Markov processes on $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and on more general locally compact Abelian groups carrying an ultra-metric, were developed by Steven Evans [14], Albeverio and Karwowski [1], [2], Kochubei [22], Del Muto and Figà-Talamanca [25], Zúňiga-Galindo [31], Rodríges-Vega and Zúñiga-Galindo [26], and many others, mostly by means of Fourier transform on such groups.

Analysis on $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ was developed by Taibleson [27], Vladimirov [28], Vladimirov and Volovich [29], also using Fourier transform. A common achievement of the above works is that they have introduced a class of pseudo-differential operators on $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and on $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$, in particular, a $p$-adic Laplacian.

Vladimirov, Volovich and Zelenov [30] studied the corresponding $p$-adic Schrödinger equation. Among other results, they explicitly computed (as series expansions) certain heat kernels as well as the Green function of the $p$-adic Laplacian.

In this paper we present a construction from [10] and [11] of a natural class of random walks on any ultra-metric space $(X, d)$ that satisfies in addition the following conditions: it is separable, proper (that is, all balls are compact), and non-compact.

This construction is very easy, takes full advantage of ultra-metric property and uses no Fourier Analysis. In the case of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ this class of processes coincides with the one constructed by Albeverio and Karwowski, and their generators coincide with the operators of Taibleson and Vladimirov.

Let us first discuss some properties of ultra-metric balls

$$
B_{r}(x)=\{y \in X: d(x, y) \leq r\},
$$

where $x \in X$ and $r>0$. The ultra-metric property (2.1) implies that any two metric balls of the same radius are either disjoint or identical.

Indeed, let two balls $B_{r}(x)$ and $B_{r}(y)$ have a non-empty intersection:

$$
\exists z \in B_{r}(x) \cap B_{r}(y) .
$$

Then $d(x, z) \leq r$ and $d(y, z) \leq r$ whence it follows $d(x, y) \leq r$.
Consider an arbitrary point $z \in B_{r}(x)$.
We have

$$
d(x, z) \leq r \text { and } d(x, y) \leq r
$$

whence

$$
d(y, z) \leq r \text { and } z \in B_{r}(y) .
$$

Hence, $B_{r}(x) \subset B_{r}(y)$ and, similarly, $B_{r}(y) \subset B_{r}(x)$ whence $B_{r}(x)=B_{r}(y)$.


Consequently, a collection of all distinct balls of the same radius $r$ forms a partition of $X$, which is a key property for our construction.

Let us prove some other properties of ultra-metric spaces.

- Every point inside a ball is its center.

Indeed, if $y \in B_{r}(x)$ then the balls $B_{r}(y)$ and $B_{r}(x)$ have a non-empty intersection whence $B_{r}(x)=B_{r}(y)$.
Consequently, the distance from any point $y$ from $B_{r}(x)$ to the complement $B_{r}(x)^{c}$ is larger than $r$.


- Every ball is open and closed as a set.

Indeed, any ball $B_{r}(x)$ is closed by definition, but it is also open because any $y \in B_{r}(x)$ has a neighborhood $B_{r}(y) \subset B_{r}(x)$.

Consequently, the topological boundary $\partial B_{r}(x)$ is empty.

- Any ultrametric space $X$ is totally disconnected, that is, any non-empty connected subset $S$ of $X$ is an one-point set.
Indeed, if $S$ contains two distinct points, say $x$ and $y$, set $r=\frac{1}{2} d(x, y)$, and notices that set $S$ is covered by disjoint open sets $B_{r}(x)$ and $B_{r}(x)^{c}$ both having non-empty intersection with $S$.
Hence, $S$ is disconnected. Consequently, $X$ cannot carry any non-trivial diffusion
 process.
- Any two balls $B_{r_{1}}(x)$ and $B_{r_{2}}(y)$ of arbitrary radii $r_{1}, r_{2}>0$ are either disjoint or one of them contains the other.

Indeed, let $r_{1} \geq r_{2}$. If the balls $B_{r_{1}}(x)$ and $B_{r_{2}}(y)$ are not disjoint then also the balls $B_{r_{1}}(x)$ and $B_{r_{1}}(y)$ are not disjoint, whence

$$
B_{r_{1}}(x)=B_{r_{1}}(y) \supset B_{r_{2}}(y) .
$$

- Any triangle $\{x, y, z\} \subset X$ is isosceles; moreover, the largest two sides of the triangle are equal.
Indeed, if $d(y, z)$ is smallest among all three distances then we obtain
$d(x, y) \leq \max (d(x, z), d(y, z))=d(x, z)$
and similarly $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)$, whence
$d(x, y)=d(x, z)$.

- For any $x \in X$, a set $M=\{d(x, y): y \in X \backslash\{x\}\}$ has no accumulation point in $(0,+\infty)$; in particular, $M$ is countable.

Let $r \in(0, \infty)$ be an accumulation point of $M$, i.e. $\exists\left\{r_{n}\right\} \subset M \backslash\{r\}$ such that $r_{n} \rightarrow r$. Choose $y_{n} \in X$ such that $d\left(x, y_{n}\right)=r_{n}$.

By compactness of balls, we can assume that $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converges, say $y_{n} \rightarrow y$. Then $d(x, y)=r$. Since $d\left(y, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $r=r_{n}$, which contradicts to the choice of $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$.


For example, in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ we have $M=\left\{p^{-m}\right\}_{m \in Z}$.

### 2.2 Averaging operators

Let $\mu$ be a Radon measure with full support on an ultra-metric space $X$. Then $\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)$ is finite and positive for all $x \in X$ and $r>0$. Let us assume that $\mu(X)=\infty$.

Define a family $\left\{Q_{r}\right\}_{r>0}$ of averaging operators acting on functions $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{r} f(x)=\frac{1}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} \int_{B_{r}(x)} f d \mu \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $Q_{r}$ is a Markov operator.
Let $\sigma(r)$ be a cumulative probability distribution function on $(0, \infty)$ that is strictly monotone increasing, leftcontinuous, and

$$
\sigma(0+)=0, \quad \sigma(\infty-)=1
$$



The following convex combination of $Q_{r}$ is also a Markov operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P f=\int_{0}^{\infty} Q_{r} f d \sigma(r) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right hand side contains a Stieltjes integration.
Operator $P$ determines a discrete time Markov chain $\left\{\mathcal{X}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ on $X$ with the following transition rule: $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}$ is $\mu$-uniformly distributed in $B_{r}\left(\mathcal{X}_{n}\right)$ where the radius $r>0$ is chosen at random according to the distribution $\sigma$. We refer to $P$ as an isotropic Markov operator associated with $(d, \mu, \sigma)$.

Example. Consider $X=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with the $p$-adic distance $d(x, y)=\|x-y\|_{p}$.
Every $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ has the following presentation in the $p$-adic numeral system:

$$
x=\ldots a_{k} \ldots a_{2} a_{1} a_{0} \cdot a_{-1} a_{-2} \ldots a_{-N}=\sum_{k=-N}^{\infty} a_{k} p^{k}
$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $a_{k}$ is a $p$-adic digit: $a_{k} \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$. Then $\|x\|_{p}=p^{-l}$ provided $a_{l} \neq 0$ and $a_{k}=0$ for all $k<l$.

Consider a ball $B_{r}(x)$ of radius $r=p^{-m}$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any

$$
y=\ldots b_{k} \ldots b_{2} b_{1} b_{0} \cdot b_{-1} b_{-2} \ldots b_{-N} \in B_{r}(x)
$$

we have $\|x-y\|_{p} \leq p^{-m}$, that is, the first non-zero $a_{k}-b_{k}$ occurs for $k \geq m$; that is, $b_{k}=a_{k}$ for $k<m$ and $b_{k}$ are arbitrary for $k \geq m$, so that

$$
y=\ldots b_{m+2} b_{m+1} b_{m} a_{m-1} a_{m-2} a_{m-3} \ldots
$$

Since $b_{m}$ can take $p$ values, any ball $B_{r}(x)$ of radius $r=p^{-m}$ consists of $p$ disjoint balls of radii $p^{-(m+1)}$ that are determined by the value of $b_{m}$.

Let $\mu$ be the Haar measure on $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with the normalization condition

$$
\mu\left(B_{1}(x)\right)=1 .
$$

Then we obtain that

$$
\mu\left(B_{p^{-m}}(x)\right)=p^{-m} .
$$

If $p^{-m} \leq r<p^{-(m-1)}$ then $B_{r}(x)=B_{p^{-m}}(x)$ which implies

$$
\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)=p^{-m} \simeq r .
$$

The Markov chain $\left\{\mathcal{X}_{n}\right\}$ with the transition operator $P$ has the following transition rule from $\mathcal{X}_{n}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}$. One chooses at random $r>0$ and, hence, $m$ as above, then changes all the digits $a_{k}$ of $\mathcal{X}_{n}$ with $k \geq m$ to $b_{k}$, where all $b_{k}$ are uniformly and independently distributed in $\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}_{n} & =\ldots a_{m+2} a_{m+1} a_{m} a_{m-1} a_{m-2} a_{m-3} \ldots \\
\mathcal{X}_{n+1} & =\ldots b_{m+2} b_{m+1} b_{m} a_{m-1} a_{m-2} a_{m-3} \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

The averaging operator $Q_{r}$ on an ultra-metric space $X$ has some unique features arising from ultra-metric properties. We have

$$
Q_{r} f(x)=\frac{1}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} \int_{X} \mathbf{1}_{B_{r}(x)} f d \mu=\int_{X} q_{r}(x, y) f(y) d \mu(y),
$$

where the kernel

$$
q_{r}(x, y)=\frac{1}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} \mathbf{1}_{B_{r}(x)}(y)=\frac{1}{\mu\left(B_{r}(y)\right)} \mathbf{1}_{B_{r}(y)}(x)
$$

is symmetric in $x, y$ because $B_{r}(y)=B_{r}(x)$ for any $y \in B_{r}(x)$.
As a Markov operator with symmetric kernel, $Q_{r}$ extends to a bounded self-adjoint operator in $L^{2}(X, \mu)$.
Claim. $Q_{r}$ is an orthoprojector in $L^{2}(X, \mu)$ and $\operatorname{spec} Q_{r} \subset[0,1]$.
Proof. For any ball $B$ of radius $r>0$, any point $x \in B$ is a center of $B$. The value $Q_{r} f(x)$ is the average of $f$ in $B$ and, hence, is the same for all $x \in B$; that is, $Q_{r} f=$ const on $B$. A second application of $Q_{r}$ to $Q_{r} f$ does not change this constant, whence we obtain $Q_{r}^{2}=Q_{r}$. Therefore, $Q_{r}$ is an orthoprojector. It follows that $\operatorname{spec} Q_{r} \subset[0,1]$.

Note that general symmetric Markov operators have spectrum in $[-1,1]$ and the negative part of the spectrum may be non-empty. For example, the stochastic symmetric matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\
\frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

has eigenvalues 1 and $-\frac{1}{3}$.
The averaging operator $Q_{r}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is also Markov and symmetric, but it has a non-empty negative part of the $L^{2}$-spectrum (and, hence, is not an orthoprojector). For example, the averaging operator in $\mathbb{R}$

$$
Q_{1} f(x)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{x-1}^{x+1} f(t) d t
$$

has the Fourier transform

$$
\widehat{Q_{1} f}(\xi)=\frac{\sin 2 \pi \xi}{2 \pi \xi} \widehat{f}(\xi)
$$

so that its $L^{2}$-spectrum consists of all values $\frac{\sin 2 \pi \xi}{2 \pi \xi}(\xi \in \mathbb{R})$ and, hence, it has a negative part. In fact, $m$ in $\operatorname{spec} Q_{1} \approx-0.217$.

### 2.3 Isotropic heat semigroup

It follows from (2.3) that $P$ is a self-adjoint operator and spec $P \in[0,1]$. In particular, the powers $P^{t}$ are well-defined for all real $t \geq 0$ and are bounded self-adjoint operators in $L^{2}(X, \mu)$.The family $\left\{P^{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is obviously a semigroup that we refer to as the isotropic heat semigroup.

Proposition 2.1 The operator $P^{t}$ is for any $t>0$ an integral operator, that is,

$$
P^{t} f(x)=\int_{X} p_{t}(x, y) f(y) d \mu(y)
$$

for all $f \in L^{2}$, where the heat kernel $p_{t}(x, y)$ is a continuous function given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y)=\int_{d(x, y)}^{\infty} \frac{d \sigma^{t}(r)}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from (2.3) by integration-by-parts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=-\int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma(r) d Q_{r} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{Q_{r}\right\}$ are orthoprojectors, it follows that (2.5) is a spectral decomposition of $P$, up to a change of variables $\lambda=\sigma(r)$ in the integral. Hence, we obtain that, for any $t>0$,

$$
P^{t}=-\int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma^{t}(r) d Q_{r}
$$

which implies by integration-by-parts that

$$
P^{t}=\int_{0}^{\infty} Q_{r} d \sigma^{t}(r)
$$

Since $Q_{r}$ has the kernel

$$
q_{r}(x, y)=\frac{1}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} \mathbf{1}_{B_{r}(x)}(y)
$$

it follows that $P^{t}$ has the kernel

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{t}(x, y) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} q_{r}(x, y) d \sigma^{t}(r)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} \mathbf{1}_{B_{r}(x)}(y) d \sigma^{t}(r) \\
& =\int_{d(x, y)}^{\infty} \frac{d \sigma^{t}(r)}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which was to be proved.
As it is well known, any symmetric strongly continuous Markov semigroup in $L^{2}(X)$ is associated with a Dirichlet form. In particular, the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ associated with the isotropic semigroup $\left\{P^{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}(f, f) & =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}\left(f-P^{t} f, f\right)_{L^{2}} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2 t} \int_{X} \int_{X}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} p_{t}(x, y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the limit always exists in $[0,+\infty]$, and the domain $\mathcal{F}$ consists of functions $f \in L^{2}(X)$ where the limit is finite.

Proposition 2.2 The Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ associated with $\left\{P^{t}\right\}$ is a jump type Dirichlet form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(f, f)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{X} \int_{X}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} J(x, y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the jump kernel

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x, y)=\int_{d(x, y)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} d \ln \sigma(r) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides, $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is regular.
We refer to this Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ as an isotropic Dirichlet form.
Proof. Indeed, comparing (2.6) and (2.7), as well as using (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
J(x, y) & =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} p_{t}(x, y)  \tag{2.9}\\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{d(x, y)}^{\infty} \frac{t \sigma^{t-1}(r) d \sigma(r)}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} \\
& =\int_{d(x, y)}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^{-1} d \sigma(r)}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

The regularity of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ follows from the fact that, for any ball $B$, the indicator function $\mathbf{1}_{B}$ is continuous in $X$ (because $\partial B=\emptyset$ ) and $\mathbf{1}_{B} \in \mathcal{F}$. Indeed, let $B=B_{\rho}(z)$. For $f=\mathbf{1}_{B}$ we have by (2.7) and (2.8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}(f, f) & =\int_{B_{\rho}(z)} \int_{B_{\rho}^{c}(z)} J(x, y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y) \\
& =\iiint_{\left\{x \in B_{\rho}(z), y \in B_{\rho}^{c}(z), r \geq d(x, y)\right\}} \frac{1}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} d \ln \sigma(r) d \mu(x) d \mu(y) \\
& =\int_{\rho}^{\infty} \int_{y \in B_{r}(x) \backslash B_{\rho}(z)}\left(\int_{x \in B_{\rho}(z)} \frac{d \mu(x)}{\mu\left(B_{r}(z)\right)}\right) d \mu(y) d \ln \sigma(r) \\
& =\int_{\rho}^{\infty} \int_{y \in B_{r}(z) \backslash B_{\rho}(z)} \frac{\mu\left(B_{\rho}(z)\right)}{\mu\left(B_{r}(z)\right)} d \mu(y) d \ln \sigma(r) \\
& =\int_{\rho}^{\infty} \mu\left(B_{r}(z) \backslash B_{\rho}(z)\right) \frac{\mu\left(B_{\rho}(z)\right)}{\mu\left(B_{r}(z)\right)} d \ln \sigma(r) \\
& \leq \mu\left(B_{\rho}(z)\right) \ln \frac{1}{\sigma(\rho)}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.4 Laplacian and Green function

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the generator of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ that is a positive definite self-adjoint operator in $L^{2}(X)$. We refer to $\mathcal{L}$ as an isotropic Laplacian.

Since the heat semigroup of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is given by $\left\{e^{-t \mathcal{L}}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$, it follows that $e^{-t \mathcal{L}}=P^{t}$ and, hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\ln P=\int_{0}^{\infty} \ln \sigma(r) d Q_{r} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the space of functions $f \in L^{2}(X)$ satisfying the following condition: there exists $r>0$ (depending on $f$ ) such that $f \equiv$ const on any ball of radius $r$.

Theorem 2.3 The space $\mathcal{C}$ is dense in $L^{2}(X)$, is contained in the domain $\operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{L})$ of the Laplacian $\mathcal{L}$, and, for any $f \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} f(x)=\int_{X}(f(x)-f(y)) J(x, y) d \mu(y) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{spec} \mathcal{L}=\overline{\left\{\ln \frac{1}{\sigma(r)}: r \in \Lambda\right\}} \cup\{0\} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda=\{d(x, y): x, y \in X, x \neq y\}$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{L}$ has a complete system of eigenfunctions of the form

$$
f=\frac{1}{\mu\left(B^{\prime}\right)} \mathbf{1}_{B^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \mathbf{1}_{B}
$$

where $B$ is any ball in $X$ and $B^{\prime}$ is any maximal ball such that $B^{\prime} \subsetneq B$. The eigenvalue of $f$ is $\lambda=\ln \frac{1}{\sigma(r)}$ where $r$ is the largest radius of $B$.

The identity (2.11) follows from (2.10) by integration by parts, where one should watch the singularity of $\ln \sigma(r)$ near $r=0$. By (2.10), the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ is determined by the values of $\ln \sigma(r)$ at those $r$ where $d Q_{r}$ does not vanish, which occurs exactly at $r \in \Lambda$.

Observe that, for any $x \in B$, there exists the maximal ball $B^{\prime}$ containing $x$ and such that $B^{\prime} \subsetneq B$ : in fact, $B^{\prime}=B_{r^{\prime}}(x)$ where $r^{\prime}$ is the largest value in $(0, r)$ of $d(x, \cdot)$.

The Green function $g(x, y)$ on $X \times X$ is defined by

$$
g(x, y)=\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{t}(x, y) d t
$$

It is known that if $g$ finite (which means $g(x, y)<\infty$ for all $x \neq y$ ) then $g$ determines an operator that is in some sense inverse to $\mathcal{L}$ : the minimal non-negative solution to $\mathcal{L} u=f$ (where $f \geq 0$ ) is given by

$$
u(x)=\int_{M} g(x, y) f(y) d \mu(y)
$$

Also, it is known that the associated Markov process $\left\{\mathcal{X}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is transient of and only if $g$ is finite.

Proposition 2.4 We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, y)=-\int_{d(x, y)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} d \frac{1}{\ln \sigma(r)} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(x, y) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{d(x, y)}^{\infty} \frac{t \sigma^{t-1}(r)}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} d \sigma(r) d t \\
& =\int_{d(x, y)}^{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t \sigma^{t}(r) d t\right) \frac{d \ln \sigma(r)}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for any $a>0$

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} t e^{-a t} d t=\frac{1}{a^{2}}
$$

it follows that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} t \sigma^{t}(r) d t=\frac{1}{(\ln \sigma(r))^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(x, y) & =\int_{d(x, y)}^{\infty} \frac{d \ln \sigma(r)}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)(\ln \sigma(r))^{2}} \\
& =-\int_{d(x, y)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} d \frac{1}{\ln \sigma(r)},
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
Example. Assume that the ultra-space $(X, d, \mu)$ is $\alpha$-regular, that is, for all $x \in X$ and $r>0$,

$$
\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right) \simeq r^{\alpha},
$$

for some $\alpha>0$ (in fact, $\alpha$ has to be the Hausdorff dimension of $(X, d)$ ).
Choose function $\sigma$ as follows:

$$
\sigma(r)=\exp \left(-\left(\frac{c}{r}\right)^{\beta}\right)
$$

where $c, \beta>0$.


The distribution of $\sigma$ is called a Fréchet distribution. By (2.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{t}(x, y) & =\int_{d(x, y)}^{\infty} \frac{t \sigma^{t}(r) d \ln \sigma(r)}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} \\
& \simeq t \int_{d(x, y)}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{t c^{\beta}}{r^{\beta}}\right) r^{-\alpha-\beta-1} d r \\
& \simeq t^{-\alpha / \beta} \int_{d(x, y) / t^{1 / \beta}}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{c^{\beta}}{s^{\beta}}\right) s^{-\alpha-\beta-1} d s \\
& \simeq \frac{1}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-(\alpha+\beta)},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \simeq \frac{t}{\left(t^{1 / \beta}+d(x, y)\right)^{\alpha+\beta}} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (2.9), we obtain the following estimate of the jump kernel:

$$
J(x, y)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{p_{t}(x, y)}{t} \simeq d(x, y)^{-(\alpha+\beta)} .
$$

For the Green function, we have by (2.13)

$$
g(x, y)=-\int_{d(x, y)}^{\infty} \frac{d \frac{1}{\ln \sigma(r)}}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} \simeq \int_{d(x, y)}^{\infty} \frac{d r^{\beta}}{r^{\alpha}}= \begin{cases}\infty, & \alpha \leq \beta \\ d(x, y)^{-(\alpha-\beta)}, & \alpha>\beta\end{cases}
$$

Recall for comparison that the symmetric stable process in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of the index $\beta \in(0,2)$ (generated by $(-\Delta)^{\beta / 2}$ ) has the heat kernel

$$
p_{t}(x, y) \simeq \frac{t}{\left(t^{1 / \beta}+\|x-y\|\right)^{n+\beta}},
$$

while

$$
J(x, y)=c_{n, \beta}\|x-y\|^{-(n+\beta)}
$$

and (in the case $n>\beta$ )

$$
g(x, y)=c_{n, \beta}^{\prime}\|x-y\|^{-(n-\beta)} .
$$

## 3 Analysis in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$

### 3.1 Isotropic heat semigroup in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$

Set $X=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with the $p$-adic distance $d(x, y)=\|x-y\|_{p}$ and with the Haar measure $\mu$ normalized so that $\mu\left(B_{1}(x)\right)=1$. We already know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)=p^{n} \text { if } p^{n} \leq r<p^{n+1}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Fix some $\beta>0$ and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(r)=\exp \left(-\left(\frac{p}{r}\right)^{\beta}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Knowing exactly $\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)$ enables us to make a precise computation of $J(x, y)$ as follows. By (2.8) we have

$$
J(x, y)=\int_{d(x, y)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} d \ln \sigma(r)=p^{\beta} \int_{\|x-y\|_{p}}^{\infty} \frac{\beta r^{-\beta-1} d r}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} .
$$

Let $\|x-y\|_{p}=p^{k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{p^{k}}^{\infty} \frac{\beta r^{-\beta-1} d r}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} & =\sum_{n \geq k} \int_{p^{n}}^{p^{n+1}} \frac{\beta r^{-\beta-1} d r}{\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} \\
& =\sum_{n \geq k} \int_{p^{n}}^{p^{n+1}} \frac{-d r^{-\beta}}{p^{n}}=\sum_{n \geq k} \frac{1}{p^{n}}\left(\frac{1}{p^{n \beta}}-\frac{1}{p^{(n+1) \beta}}\right) \\
& =\left(1-p^{-\beta}\right) \sum_{n \geq k} \frac{1}{p^{n(1+\beta)}}=\left(1-p^{-\beta}\right) \frac{p^{-k(1+\beta)}}{1-p^{-(1+\beta)}} \\
& =\frac{1-p^{-\beta}}{1-p^{-(1+\beta)}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|_{p}^{1+\beta}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we obtain the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x, y)=\frac{p^{\beta}-1}{1-p^{-(1+\beta)}} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|_{p}^{1+\beta}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is remarkable that the jump kernel (3.3) arises also from the following completely different consideration. As a locally compact abelian group, $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ has the dual group, that is again $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, which allows to define Fourier transform. The Fourier transform $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ of a function $f$ on $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is defined by

$$
\widehat{f}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} e^{2 \pi i\{x \xi\}} f(x) d \mu(x),
$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $\{x \xi\}$ is the fractional part of the $p$-adic number $x \theta$, that is, $\{x \xi\} \in \mathbb{Q}$. It is known that $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ is a linear isomorphism of the space $\mathcal{C}_{0}$ of locally constant functions on $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with compact support.

Using the Fourier transform, Taibleson [27] defined the following class of fractional derivatives $\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}$ on functions on $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$.
Definition. For any $\beta>0$, the operator $\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}$ is defined on functions $f \in \mathcal{C}_{0}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}} f(\xi)=\|\xi\|_{p}^{\beta} \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Q}_{p} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Vladimirov and Volovich [29] showed that $\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}$ can be written as singular integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{D}^{\beta} f(x)=\frac{p^{\beta}-1}{1-p^{-(1+\beta)}} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \frac{f(x)-f(y)}{\|x-y\|_{p}^{1+\beta}} d \mu(y) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparison with (3.3) shows that $\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}$ coincides with the isotropic Laplacian $\mathcal{L}$ with the distribution function (3.2). More precisely, we have $\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}=\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{0}$ so that $\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}$ is essentially self-adjoint in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$.

Corollary 3.1 The operator $\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}$ generates a heat semigroup in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ that admits a continuous heat kernel $p_{t}(x, y)$ satisfying the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \simeq \frac{t}{\left(t^{1 / \beta}+\|x-y\|_{p}\right)^{1+\beta}} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Green function of $\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}$ is finite if and only if $\beta<1$, and in this case it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, y)=\frac{1-p^{-\beta}}{1-p^{-(1-\beta)}}\|x-y\|_{p}^{-(1-\beta)} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}=\mathcal{L}$, we can apply all the previous results. The heat kernel estimate (3.6) follows from (2.14) because $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is $\alpha$-regular with $\alpha=1$. The identity (3.7) for the Green function follows by exact integration in (2.13) similarly to the computation of $J(x, y)$.

Let us emphasize the following. Without the theory of isotropic heat semigroup, the question of estimating the heat kernel of $\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}$ was very difficult and it remained open for a number of years. In fact, the full estimate (3.6) was obtained for the first time in [11] by using the isotropic Laplacian.

In contrast to that, the identity (3.7) for the Green function was derived by Vladimirov and Volovich [29] directly from (3.4).

### 3.2 Isotropic heat semigroup in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$

Let $\left\{\left(X_{i}, d_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be a finite sequence of ultra-metric spaces. Define their ultra-metric product $(X, d)$ by $X=X_{1} \times \ldots \times X_{n}$ and

$$
d(x, y)=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} d_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in X$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots y_{n}\right) \in Y$. Then $(X, d)$ is again an ultra-metric space, and balls in $X$ are products of balls in $X_{i}$ :

$$
B_{r}(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} B_{r}^{(i)}\left(x_{i}\right) .
$$

If there is a Radon measure $\mu_{i}$ on each $\left(X_{i}, d_{i}\right)$, then we consider on $(X, d)$ the product measure $\mu=\otimes \mu_{i}$.

Given a probability distribution $\sigma$ on $(0, \infty)$ as above, we obtain an isotropic semigroup $P^{t}$ on the product space $X$.

For example, consider $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$ that is the ultra-metric product of $n$ copies of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, with the $p$-adic metric

$$
d(x, y)=\|x-y\|_{p}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\|x_{i}-y_{i}\right\|_{p} .
$$

The product of the normalized Haar measures $\mu$ on $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is the normalized Haar measure $\mu_{n}$ on $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$.

Hence, if $p^{-m} \leq r<p^{-(m-1)}$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ then, for any $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$,

$$
\mu_{n}\left(B_{r}(x)\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu\left(B_{r}^{(i)}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)=p^{-n m} \simeq r^{n} .
$$

Fix any $\beta>0$ and consider again the distribution function

$$
\sigma(r)=\exp \left(-\left(\frac{p}{r}\right)^{\beta}\right) .
$$

As in the one-dimensional case, computing $J(x, y)$ from (2.8) and using the exact values of $\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x, y)=\frac{p^{\beta}-1}{1-p^{-(n+\beta)}}\|x-y\|_{p}^{-(n+\beta)} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, (2.13) yields, in the case $n>\beta$, that

$$
g(x, y)=\frac{1-p^{-\beta}}{1-p^{-(n-\beta)}}\|x-y\|_{p}^{-(n-\beta)}
$$

and (2.4) implies

$$
p_{t}(x, y) \simeq \frac{t}{\left(t^{1 / \beta}+\|x-y\|_{p}\right)^{n+\beta}}=\frac{1}{t^{n / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{\|x-y\|_{p}}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-(n+\beta)}
$$

Hence, the jump kernel, Green function and the heat kernel for the isotropic Markov process in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$ match the same quantities for the symmetric stable process of index $\beta$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (apart from the values of constants and the range of $\beta$ because $\beta \in(0,2)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\beta \in(0, \infty)$ in $\left.\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}\right)$.

On the other hand, the Taibleson operator $\mathcal{D}^{\beta}$ can be defined also in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$ by means of the Fourier transform in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$. The latter is defined by

$$
\widehat{f}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}} e^{2 \pi i\langle x, \xi\rangle} f(x) d \mu(x),
$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$ and $\langle x, \xi\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\{x_{k} \xi_{k}\right\}$.
Definition. For any $\beta>0$ the operator $\mathcal{D}^{\beta}$ is defined on functions $f \in \mathcal{C}_{0}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}\right)$ by

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{D}^{\beta}} f(\xi)=\|\xi\|_{p}^{\beta} \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}
$$

As in the case $n=1$, one can show that $\mathcal{D}^{\beta}$ coincides on $\mathcal{C}_{0}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}\right)$ with the isotropic Laplacian $\mathcal{L}$ associated with the distribution function $\sigma(r)=\exp \left(-(p / r)^{\beta}\right)$, which implies the following result.

Corollary 3.2 The operator $\mathcal{D}^{\beta}$ is essentially self-adjoint, it generates a heat semigroup in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}\right)$ that admits a continuous heat kernel $p_{t}(x, y)$ satisfying the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \simeq \frac{1}{t^{n / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{\|x-y\|_{p}}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-(n+\beta)} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Green function of $\mathcal{D}^{\beta}$ is finite if and only if $\beta<n$, and in this case it satisfies the identity

$$
g(x, y)=c_{n, p}\|x-y\|_{p}^{-(n-\beta)} .
$$

### 3.3 Vladimirov operator

Let $\left\{\left(X_{i}, d_{i}, \mu_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be a sequence of ultra-metric measure spaces such that $X_{i}$ is $\alpha_{i}$-regular, where $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ is a prescribed sequence of positive reals. For example, we can take $X_{i}=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and

$$
d_{i}(x, y)=\|x-y\|_{p}^{1 / \alpha_{i}} .
$$

Since $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with $\|x-y\|_{p}$ is 1-regular, it follows that $\left(X_{i}, d_{i}\right)$ is $\alpha_{i}$-regular.
Fix $\beta>0$ and consider on each $X_{i}$ the isotropic Dirichlet form $\left(\mathcal{E}_{i}, \mathcal{F}_{i}\right)$ associated with $\sigma(r)=\exp \left(-(c / r)^{\beta}\right)$, so that its jump kernel $J_{i}$ satisfies

$$
J_{i}(x, y) \simeq d_{i}(x, y)^{-\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta\right)}
$$

and its heat kernel $p_{t}^{(i)}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}^{(i)}(x, y) \simeq \frac{1}{t^{\alpha_{i} / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d_{i}(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta\right)} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now the product space $X=X_{1} \times \ldots \times X_{n}$ with the ultra-metric

$$
d(x, y)=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} d_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)
$$

and the product measure $\mu=\mu_{1} \times \ldots \times \mu_{n}$. Then $X$ is $\alpha$-regular with

$$
\alpha=\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n}
$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ be the generator of $\mathcal{E}_{i}$. We apply $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ to functions $f=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ on $X$ by considering $f$ as a function of $x_{i}$ only (like partial derivatives in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ). Consider the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{1}+\ldots+\mathcal{L}_{n} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

acting on functions on $X$.
Proposition 3.3 The operator $\mathcal{L}$ is essentially self-adjoint, it generates a heat semigroup $\left\{e^{-t \mathcal{L}}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $L^{2}(X)$, and its heat kernel satisfies the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \simeq \frac{1}{t^{\alpha / \beta}} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\frac{d_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta\right)} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since the operators $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ commute, we have

$$
e^{-t \mathcal{L}}=e^{-t \mathcal{L}_{1}} e^{-t \mathcal{L}_{2}} \ldots e^{-t \mathcal{L}_{n}}
$$

This implies that $e^{-t \mathcal{L}}$ has the heat kernel

$$
p_{t}(x, y)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{t}^{(i)}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) .
$$

Substituting the estimates (3.10) for $p_{t}^{(i)}$, we obtain (3.12).
Let now all the spaces $X_{i}$ be $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with the $p$-adic metric $d_{i}(x, y)=\|x-y\|_{p}$. In particular, we have $\alpha_{i}=1$ for all $i$.

Fix some $\beta>0$ and consider the fractional derivative $\mathfrak{D}_{i}^{\beta}$ acting in $X_{i}$. On the product space $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}=X_{1} \times \ldots \times X_{n}$ we have the operator

$$
\mathcal{V}^{\beta}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathfrak{D}_{i}^{\beta}
$$

that is called the Vladimirov operator.
The operator $\mathcal{V}^{\beta}$ was introduced by Vladimirov and Volovich [29] where it was considered as a free Hamiltonian in $p$-adic Quantum Mechanics.

Since $\mathfrak{D}_{i}^{\beta}$ coincides with the isotropic Laplacian $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ on $X_{i}=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, we obtain from Proposition 3.3 the following.

Corollary 3.4 The operator $\mathcal{V}^{\beta}$ is essentially self-adjoint in $L^{2}$, and the heat semigroup $\exp \left(-t \mathcal{V}^{\beta}\right)$ has the heat kernel $p_{t}(x, y)$ that satisfies for all $t>0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$ the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \simeq \frac{1}{t^{n / \beta}} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\frac{\left\|x_{i}-y_{i}\right\|_{p}}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-(1+\beta)} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3.5 If $(n-1) / 2<\beta<n$ then the Green function of $\mathcal{V}^{\beta}$ exists and satisfies the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, y) \simeq\|x-y\|_{p}^{-(n-\beta)} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimates (3.13) and (3.14) were first obtained in [11]. The estimate (3.14) was known before only for a very special case $n=3, \beta=2$ and when all the components $x_{i}-y_{i}$ are the same.

Comparing (3.13) with (3.9), we see that the heat kernels for the Vladimirov operator $\mathcal{V}^{\beta}$ and the Taibleson operator $\mathcal{D}^{\beta}$ behave essentially differently if $n>1$.

## 4 Heat kernels on metric spaces and walk dimension

Let ( $X, d$ ) be a a separable, proper metric space (not necessarily ultra-metric) and $\mu$ be a Radon measure on $X$ with full support. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ be a regular Dirichlet form in $L^{2}(X, \mu)$ and $\left\{P_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the associated heat semigroup.

One of the most discussed problems is obtaining estimates of the corresponding heat kernel $p_{t}(x, y)$ (as well as its existence).

### 4.1 Examples of heat kernels

There are very few situations when the heat kernel can be computed exactly and explicitly. In $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with the Lebesgue measure, the classical Dirichlet form

$$
\mathcal{E}(f, f)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla f|^{2} d x
$$

has the generator $\mathcal{L}=-\Delta$ and the Gauss-Weierstrass heat kernel

$$
p_{t}(x, y)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi t)^{n / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4 t}\right),
$$

that is the normal distribution at any time $t$.
For the symmetric stable process of index 1 , generated by $\sqrt{-\Delta}$, the heat kernel is the Cauchy distribution with the parameter $t$, that is,

$$
p_{t}(x, y)=\frac{c_{n} t}{\left(t^{2}+|x-y|^{2}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}},
$$

with some $c_{n}>0$.

In $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with measure $d \mu=e^{|x|^{2}} d x$, the Dirichlet form

$$
\mathcal{E}(f, f)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla f|^{2} d \mu
$$

has the generator $\mathcal{L}=-\Delta-2 x \cdot \nabla$ and the Mehler heat kernel

$$
p_{t}(x, y)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi \sinh 2 t)^{n / 2}} \exp \left(\frac{2 x \cdot y e^{-2 t}-|x|^{2}-|y|^{2}}{1-e^{-4 t}}-n t\right)
$$

In the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$, the Laplace-Beltrami operator has the heat kernel

$$
p_{t}(x, y)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi t)^{n / 2}} \frac{r}{\sinh r} \exp \left(-\frac{r^{2}}{4 t}-t\right) \quad \text { where } r=d(x, y)
$$

For many application quantitate properties of the heat kernels are important, so it becomes essential to have at least good estimates. Let us recall some results about heat kernel bounds assuming that the space $(X, d, \mu)$ is $\alpha$-regular, that is,

$$
\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right) \simeq r^{\alpha}
$$

where necessarily $\alpha=\operatorname{dim}_{H} X$.

### 4.2 Heat kernel estimates on Riemannian manifolds

Let first $X$ be a Riemannian manifold with the geodesic distance $d$ and Riemannian measure $\mu$. For the heat kernel of the local Dirichlet form

$$
\mathcal{E}(f, f)=\int_{X}|\nabla f|^{2} d \mu
$$

the following is known: it satisfies the two-sides Gaussian estimates

$$
p_{t}(x, y) \asymp \frac{c_{1}}{t^{\alpha / 2}} \exp \left(-c_{2} \frac{d^{2}(x, y)}{t}\right)
$$

(where $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ ) if and only if the following Poincaré inequality holds: for any ball $B=B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and any $f \in C^{1}(B)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\varepsilon B}(f-\bar{f})^{2} d \mu \leq C r^{2} \int_{B}|\nabla f|^{2} d \mu \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{f}=f_{\varepsilon B} f d \mu$ and the constants $C$ and $\varepsilon \in(0,1]$ are the same for all balls and functions.
For example, (4.1) holds in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and, moreover, on all manifolds of non-negative Ricci curvature.

However, (4.1) fails on the following manifold:
It is a connected sum of two copies of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and the reason for failure of (4.1) is a "bottleneck" between two sheets.


### 4.3 Heat kernel estimates for diffusions on fractals

Development of Analysis on fractal spaces has brought into life sub-Gaussian estimates of heat kernels of local Dirichlet forms. This is the estimate of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \asymp \frac{c_{1}}{t^{\alpha / \beta^{*}}} \exp \left(-c_{2}\left(\frac{d^{\beta^{*}}(x, y)}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta^{*}-1}}\right), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta^{*}$ is a new parameter that is called the walk dimension of the corresponding diffusion process.

For example, the walk dimension of a diffusion process on a manifold, satisfying the Gaussian estimate, is clearly $\beta^{*}=2$. One can show that (4.2) implies $\beta^{*} \geq 2$ (see [17]).

It was proved in a series of works [3], [5], [6], [7], [8], [24], [19], [20], [21] [23] etc., that on a large class of fractals including unbounded Sierpinski gasket and carpet, there is a diffusion process whose heat kernel satisfies the sub-Gaussian estimate (4.2) with $\beta^{*}>2$. In fact, as M.Barlow [4] showed, any $\beta^{*} \geq 2$ can be realized in (4.2) on some fractal space.


Sierpinski gasket (SG)
$\alpha=\frac{\log 3}{\log 2}, \beta^{*}=\frac{\log 5}{\log 3} \approx 2.32$


Sierpinski carpet (SC)
$\alpha=\frac{\log 8}{\log 3}, \beta^{*} \approx 2.10$


Vicsek snowflake (VS)
$\alpha=\frac{\log 5}{\log 3}, \beta^{*}=\frac{\log 15}{\log 3} \approx 2.46$

### 4.4 Walk dimension

Let us discuss a possibility of the heat kernel estimates (4.2) on a general metric measure space $X$. If (4.2) is true for some diffusion on $X$ then $X$ has to be $\alpha$-regular and $\mu$ has to be comparable to the Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ of dimension $\alpha$ (see [17]). In particular, $\alpha=\operatorname{dim}_{H} X$ so that $\alpha$ is an invariant of the metric space $(X, d)$.

To describe the nature of $\beta^{*}$, consider for any $\beta>0$ the following quadratic form in $L^{2}(X, \mu)$ :

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\beta}(f, f)=\frac{1}{2} \iint_{X \times X} \frac{(f(x)-f(y))^{2}}{d(x, y)^{\alpha+\beta}} d \mu(x) d \mu(y) .
$$

By a result of [17], the walk dimension $\beta^{*}$ admits the following characterization:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{*}=\sup \left\{\beta>0: \exists \mathcal{F}_{\beta} \subset L^{2}(X, \mu) \text { s.t. }\left(\mathcal{E}_{\beta}, \mathcal{F}_{\beta}\right) \text { is a regular Dirichlet form in } L^{2}(X, \mu)\right\} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $\beta^{*}$ is also an invariant of the metric structure ( $X, d$ ) alone!
The identity (4.3) holds under the hypothesis that a diffusion on $X$ satisfies the sub-Gaussian estimate. However, the right hand side makes sense on an arbitrary $\alpha$-regular metric space, so we can take now (4.3) as a new definition of the walk dimension $\beta^{*}$. It is valid for any $\alpha$-regular metric space independently of the presence of Dirichlet forms or heat kernels.

It is easy to see that with increase of $\beta$ the set of functions $f$ with $\mathcal{E}_{\beta}(f, f)<\infty$ shrinks and may become non-dense in $L^{2}$. It is easy to show if $\beta<2$ then $\mathcal{E}_{\beta}(f, f)<\infty$ for all $f \in \operatorname{Lip}{ }_{0}(X)$, which implies that $\beta^{*} \geq 2$.

If $X$ is a Riemannian manifold then one can deduce from (4.3) that $\beta^{*}=2$. On fractals, as we know, typically $\beta^{*}>2$.

Let us ask what is the walk dimension $\beta^{*}$ of an ultra-metric space. As we know, on an $\alpha$ regular ultra-metric space, the isotropic Dirichlet form $\mathcal{E}$ with the distribution function $\sigma(r)=$ $\exp \left(-(c / r)^{\beta}\right)$ with arbitrary $\beta>0$ has the jump kernel

$$
J(x, y) \simeq d(x, y)^{-(\alpha+\beta)}
$$

Since this jump kernel is comparable with the jump kernel of $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha, \beta}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\alpha, \beta}(f, f) \simeq \mathcal{E}(f, f)
$$

Since $\mathcal{E}$ is a regular Dirichlet form (Proposition 2.2), it follows that $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is also a regular Dirichlet form for any $\beta>0$, which implies $\beta^{*}=\infty$ !

Hence, in the family of all $\alpha$-regular metric spaces, manifolds and ultra-metric spaces are extremal cases: for the manifolds (including $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) we have $\beta^{*}=2$, while for the ultra-metric spaces $\beta^{*}=\infty$.

On the diagram below, we represent graphically a classification of regular metric spaces according to the value of the walk dimension $\beta^{*}$. The Euclidean spaces $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $p$-adic spaces $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$ lie at the opposite boundaries of this scale, while the entire interior is filled with fractal spaces.


Parameter $\alpha$ is responsible for integration on $X$ as it determines measure $\mu=\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$, while $\beta^{*}$ is responsible for differentiation on $X$ as in many cases it determines the generator $\mathcal{L}$ of a local Dirichlet form on $X$ that is a natural Laplacian on $X$.

### 4.5 Test functions

The two extremal classes of metric spaces - manifolds and ultra-metric spaces, have something in common: they both possess a priori rich classes of test functions with controlled energy: on manifolds these are usual bump or tent functions, while on ultra-metric spaces these are indicators of balls.


A bump function in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$


Indicator of ball in ultra-metric space

The presence of such test functions is very essential for the proofs of heat kernel estimates as all known techniques for obtaining off-diagonal upper bounds make use of such test functions.

In the setting of general metric spaces, one has to make an additional assumption about existence of "good" test functions.

### 4.6 Heat kernel estimates for jump processes

To conclude the discussion about general metric spaces, let us mention the following result of [18]: if the heat kernel of a conservative Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ satisfies the estimate of the form

$$
p_{t}(x, y) \asymp \frac{c_{1}}{t^{\alpha / \beta}} \Phi\left(c_{2} \frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)
$$

for some positive $\alpha$ and $\beta$ then either $\mathcal{E}$ is strongly local or

$$
\Phi(s) \simeq(1+s)^{-(\alpha+\beta)}
$$

Since on ultra-metric spaces strongly local Dirichlet forms do not exist, we obtain that the only possible estimate of the above type is a stable-like estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \simeq \frac{1}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our next purpose is to characterize those ultra-metric space and Dirichlet forms (not necessarily isotropic) when this estimate holds.

The following necessary conditions for (4.4) are known:

- the $\alpha$-regularity: for any metric ball $B_{r}(x)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right) \simeq r^{\alpha} \tag{V}
\end{equation*}
$$

(consequently, $\alpha=\operatorname{dim}_{H} X$ and $\mu \simeq \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ ).

- the jump kernel estimate: for all $x, y \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x, y) \simeq d(x, y)^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \tag{J}
\end{equation*}
$$

Z.-Q.Chen and T.Kumagai proved in [13] that, on general metric spaces (with a certain mild restriction on the metric), if $0<\beta<2$ then

$$
(V)+(J) \Leftrightarrow(4.4)
$$

However, if the walk dimension $\beta^{*}$ of the space in question is larger than 2 , then the value of $\beta$ in $(J)$ can be $>2$. In this case, on top of $(V)$ and $(J)$ we need one more condition that ensures the existence of "good" test functions.

Such a condition was established independently by

- Z.-Q. Chen, T. Kumagai, Jian Wang [12]: condition $C S J$ (cutoff Sobolev inequality for jumps);
- AG, Jiaxin Hu, Eryan Hu [16]: condition Gcap (generalized capacity condition).

A common result of these works:

$$
(V)+(J)+(G c a p) \Leftrightarrow(4.4)
$$

We will show that, in the setting of ultra-metric spaces, the third condition is not needed.

## 5 Heat kernels on $\alpha$-regular ultra-metric spaces

Let $(X, d)$ be a separable, proper ultra-metric space and let $\mu$ be an $\alpha$-regular Radon measure on $X$. Suppose now that $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is a general (not isotropic) regular Dirichlet form of jump type on $L^{2}(X, \mu)$. We give here a characterization of the jump kernel that ensures the heat kernel stable-like estimate (4.4). Even in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$ this question is highly non-trivial. The results of this Section were proved in [9].

### 5.1 Main results

Theorem 5.1 Let $J$ be a symmetric non-negative function on $X \times X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x, y) \simeq d(x, y)^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \tag{J}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\beta>0$. Then the quadratic form

$$
\mathcal{E}(f, f)=\frac{1}{2} \iint_{X \times X}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} J(x, y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y)
$$

determines a regular Dirichlet form in $L^{2}(X, \mu)$. Its heat kernel $p_{t}(x, y)$ exists, is continuous in $(t, x, y)$, Hölder continuous in $(x, y)$ and satisfies the stable-like estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \simeq \frac{1}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$. Consequently,

$$
(V)+(J) \Leftrightarrow(5.1) .
$$

Next, let us relax pointwise upper and lower estimates of $J(x, y)$ in $(J)$. We slightly change a setup and assume that we are given a symmetric Radon measure $j$ on $X \times X$ of the form $d j=J(x, d y) d \mu(x)$. Both $j$ and $J$ are referred to as jump measures.
Definition. We say that $J$ satisfies the $\beta$-Poincaré inequality if, for any ball $B=B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and any function $f \in L^{2}(B)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\varepsilon B}|f-\bar{f}|^{2} d \mu \leq C r^{\beta} \iint_{B \times B}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} J(x, d y) d \mu(x) \tag{PI}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{f}=f_{\varepsilon B} f d \mu$ and $C$ and $\varepsilon \in(0,1]$ are constants.
Definition. We say that $J$ satisfies the $\beta$-tail condition if, for any ball $B_{r}(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{r}(x)^{c}} J(x, d y) \leq C r^{-\beta} \tag{TJ}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $d j=J(x, y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y)$ and $X$ is $\alpha$-regular then the following implications hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J(x, y) \geq c d(x, y)^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \Rightarrow(P I) \\
& J(x, y) \leq c d(x, y)^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \Rightarrow(T J)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5.2 (Main Theorem) Let $(X, d, \mu)$ be $\alpha$-regular ultra-metric space and let $J(x, d y)$ be a jump measure on $X \times X$ that satisfies (TJ). Then the quadratic form

$$
\mathcal{E}(f, f)=\frac{1}{2} \iint_{X \times X}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} J(x, d y) d \mu(x)
$$

extends to a regular Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ in $L^{2}(X, \mu)$. If in addition $J$ satisfies $(P I)$ then the heat kernel $p_{t}(x, y)$ of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ exists, is continuous in $(t, x, y)$, Hölder continuous in $(x, y)$ and satisfies for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$ the following "weak upper estimate"

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \leq \frac{C}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-\beta} \tag{WUE}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the "near-diagonal lower estimate"

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \geq \frac{c}{t^{\alpha / \beta}} \quad \text { provided } d(x, y) \leq \delta t^{1 / \beta} \tag{NLE}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, under the standing assumption $(T J)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(P I) \Leftrightarrow(W U E)+(N L E) . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalence (5.2) is analogous to the aforementioned result that, on $\alpha$-regular manifolds, the Poincaré inequality for the Dirichlet integral is equivalent to the two-sided Gaussian estimates of the heat kernel. An analogue of the condition $(T J)$ is in this case the locality of the Dirichlet form.

Note that the exponent $-\beta$ in $(W U E)$ does not match the exponent $-(\alpha+\beta)$ in the optimal heat kernel bound (5.1). There are examples showing that, under $(T J)$ and $(P I)$, one cannot guarantee any estimate of the form

$$
p_{t}(x, y) \leq \frac{C}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-\gamma}
$$

with $\gamma>\beta$.
In the same way, the lower bound $(N L E)$ cannot be improved to any estimate of the form

$$
p_{t}(x, y) \geq \frac{c}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-\gamma}
$$

with any, even very large, $\gamma$.

### 5.2 Example: jump measure on products

Here we give an example showing that the estimates $(W U E)$ and $(N L E)$ of Theorem 5.2 cannot be improved assuming only $(T J)$ and $(P I)$.

As in Section 3.3, Let $\left\{\left(X_{i}, d_{i}, \mu_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be a sequence of ultra-metric measure spaces such that $X_{i}$ is $\alpha_{i}$-regular, where $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ is a prescribed sequence of positive reals. Fix some $\beta>0$ and consider the operator $\mathcal{L}$ defined by (3.11) so that its heat kernel $p_{t}(x, y)$ satisfies (3.12).

Let us verify that $p_{t}(x, y)$ satisfies both $(W U E)$ and $(N L E)$. Indeed, for any pair $x, y$, choosing $i$ so that $d(x, y)=d\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$, we obtain from (3.12)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{t}(x, y) & \leq \frac{C}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $d(x, y) \leq t^{1 / \beta}$ then also $d_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \leq t^{1 / \beta}$ for all $i$ whence

$$
p_{t}(x, y) \geq \frac{c}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}
$$

The Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ generated by $\mathcal{L}$ has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}(f, f)=(\mathcal{L} f, f)_{L^{2}(X)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathcal{L}_{i} f, f\right)_{L^{2}(X)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\stackrel{i}{\curlyvee}$ means omission of the $i$-th term and

$$
\mathcal{E}_{i}(f, f)=\int_{X_{i}}\left[f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, y_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right]^{2} J_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) d \mu_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) d \mu_{i}\left(y_{i}\right)
$$

It follows that $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is a jump type Dirichlet form with the following jump measure (not jump kernel!)

$$
J(x, d y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{x_{1}}\left(d y_{1}\right) \ldots \delta_{x_{i-1}}\left(d y_{i-1}\right) J_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) d \mu_{i}\left(y_{i}\right) \delta_{x_{i+1}}\left(d y_{i+1}\right) \ldots \delta_{x_{n}}\left(d y_{n}\right)
$$

where $\delta_{x_{k}}\left(d y_{k}\right)$ is a unit measure on $X_{k}$ sitting at $x_{k}$.
It is easy to check that $J$ satisfies $(T J)$ :

$$
\int_{B_{r}(x)^{c}} J(x, d y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{B_{r}^{(i)}\left(x_{i}\right)^{c}} J_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) d \mu_{i}\left(y_{i}\right) \leq C r^{-\beta}
$$

Since the heat kernel on $X$ satisfies $(W U E)$ and ( $N L E$ ), we conclude by Theorem 5.2 , that the Poincaré inequality $(P I)$ is also satisfied on $X$.

Consider the range of $x, y, t$ such that

$$
d_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)>t^{1 / \beta} \text { and } d_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \leq t^{1 / \beta} \text { for } i=2, \ldots, n
$$

Then (3.12) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{t}(x, y) & \simeq \frac{1}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-\left(\alpha_{1}+\beta\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-\left(\alpha_{1}+\beta\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha_{1}$ can be chosen arbitrarily small, we see that ( $W U E$ ) is optimal.
Similarly, consider the range of $x, y$ such that

$$
d_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \simeq d_{j}\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right) \quad \text { for all } i, j
$$

Then $d(x, y) \simeq d_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{t}(x, y) & \simeq \frac{1}{t^{\alpha / \beta}} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\frac{d_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta\right)} \\
& \simeq \frac{1}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-(\alpha+n \beta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $n$ can be chosen arbitrarily large, while $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are fixed, we see that one cannot ensure any lower bound of the form

$$
p_{t}(x, y) \geq \frac{c}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-N}
$$

In this sense, $(N L E)$ is optimal.

### 5.3 Semi-bounded jump kernels

Let $(X, d, \mu)$ be $\alpha$-regular ultra-metric space and $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ be a regular Dirichlet form with a jump kernel $J(x, y)$. Consider two conditions:

$$
J(x, y) \leq C d(x, y)^{-(\alpha+\beta)}
$$

and

$$
J(x, y) \geq c d(x, y)^{-(\alpha+\beta)}
$$

Theorem 5.3 If $\left(J_{\leq}\right)$and (PI) are satisfied then the heat kernel satisfies for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$ the optimal upper bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \leq \frac{C}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \tag{UE}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the near-diagonal lower bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \geq \frac{c}{t^{\alpha / \beta}} \text { provided } d(x, y) \leq \delta t^{1 / \beta} \tag{NLE}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, we have

$$
\left(J_{\leq}\right)+(P I) \Leftrightarrow(U E)+(N L E) .
$$

Theorem 5.4 If $\left(J_{\geq}\right)$and $(T J)$ are satisfied then the heat kernel satisfies for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$ the optimal lower bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \geq \frac{c}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \tag{LE}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the weak upper bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \leq \frac{C}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-\beta} \tag{WUE}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, under the standing assumption (TJ), we have

$$
\left(J_{\geq}\right) \Leftrightarrow(W U E)+(L E) .
$$

Clearly, Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 imply that

$$
\left(J_{\leq}\right)+\left(J_{\geq}\right) \Leftrightarrow(U E)+(L E),
$$

which is equivalent to Theorem 5.1.

### 5.4 Example: degenerated jump kernel

Here we construct an example of a jump kernel $J(x, y)$ on $X=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ that satisfies $\left(J_{\leq}\right)$and $(P I)$ but not $\left(J_{\geq}\right)$. In fact, $J$ vanishes on large subsets.

Let $J$ be a symmetric kernel on $X \times X$ and let $\Phi$ be an increasing positive function on $(0, \infty)$. We say that $J$ satisfies $\Phi$-Poincaré inequality if, for any ball $B \subset X$ of radius $r$ and for any $f \in L^{2}(B)$,

$$
\int_{B \times B}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} d \mu(x) d \mu(y) \leq \Phi(r) \int_{B \times B}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} J(x, y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y) .
$$

Lemma 5.5 The above inequality is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B}(f-\bar{f})^{2} d \mu \leq \frac{\Phi(r)}{2 \mu(B)} \int_{B \times B}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} J(x, y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y), \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{f}=f_{B} f d \mu$.
Note that if $\mu(B) \simeq r^{\alpha}$ and $\Phi(r)=r^{\alpha+\beta}$ then (5.3) coincides with the $\beta$-Poincaré inequality. Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B} \int_{B}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} d \mu(x) d \mu(y) & =\int_{B} \int_{B}\left(f(x)^{2}-2 f(x) f(y)+f(y)^{2}\right) d \mu(x) d \mu(y) \\
& =2 \mu(B) \int_{B} f^{2} d \mu-2\left(\int_{B} f d \mu\right)^{2} \\
& =2 \mu(B)\left(\int_{B} f^{2} d \mu-\bar{f}^{2} \mu(B)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\int_{B}(f-\bar{f})^{2} d \mu=\int_{B} f^{2} d \mu-2 \bar{f} \int_{B} f d \mu+\bar{f}^{2} \mu(B)=\int_{B} f^{2} d \mu-\bar{f}^{2} \mu(B) .
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\int_{B \times B}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} d \mu(x) d \mu(y)=2 \mu(B) \int_{B}(f-\bar{f})^{2} d \mu,
$$

whence the claim follows.
Set $\Phi(r)=r^{\alpha+\beta}$ with $\alpha=1$. We need to construct on $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ a jump kernel that satisfies const $\Phi$-Poincaré inequality, vanishes on large subsets and such that

$$
J(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{\Phi(d(x, y))}
$$

For simplicity, we construct $J$ not on $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ but on a discrete subset of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$.
Let $M \subset \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ be the set of $p$-adic fractions. $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots$, that is, $M$ is the set of sequences $x=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, where $x_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $x_{i}=0$ for large enough $i$. The set $M$ has the additive group structure as follows:

$$
x+y=\left\{x_{i}+y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty},
$$

where the sum $x_{i}+y_{i}$ is understood in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.
Recall that $\|x\|_{p}=p^{n}$ if $x_{n} \neq 0$ and $x_{i}=0$ for all $i>n$. The distance function on $M$ is $d(x, y)=\|x-y\|_{p}$, and balls are defined by

$$
B_{r}(x)=\{y \in M: d(x, y) \leq r\} .
$$

Define a function $S$ on $M$ by

$$
S(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p},
$$

and consider the following subset $N$ of $M \times M$ :

$$
N=\{(x, y) \in M \times M: S(x)=0 \text { and } S(y)=1 \text { or } S(x)=1 \text { and } S(y)=0\} .
$$

Proposition 5.6 Let $p \geq 3$. For the jump kernel

$$
J(x, y)=\frac{\mathbf{1}_{N^{c}}(x, y)}{\Phi(d(x, y))},
$$

the following inequality holds for any ball $B$ of radius $r$ and any function $f$ on $B$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(x, y) \in B \times B}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} \leq 5 \Phi(r) \sum_{(x, y) \in B \times B}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} J(x, y) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(x, y) \in(B \times B) \cap N^{c}}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} & \leq \sum_{(x, y) \in(B \times B) \cap N^{c}}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} \frac{\Phi(r)}{\Phi(d(x, y))} \\
& =\Phi(r) \sum_{(x, y) \in B \times B}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} J(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

We will prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(x, y) \in(B \times B) \cap N}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} \leq 4 \sum_{(x, y) \in(B \times B) \cap N^{c}}(f(x)-f(y))^{2}, \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which will then imply (5.4).
For simplicity, let $p=3$. Observe first the following: any two points $x, y \in M$ form with the point

$$
z=-(x+y)
$$

an equilateral triangle. Indeed, we have $z-x=-2 x-y=x-y($ since $-2=1 \bmod 3)$, whence $\|z-x\|_{3}=\|x-y\|_{3}$ and in the same way $\|z-y\|_{3}=\|x-y\|_{3}$.

Consequently, if $x, y \in B$ then also $z \in B$ since $x$ is a center of $B$.
The second observation is that if $(x, y) \in N$ then both $(x, z)$ and $(y, z)$ belong to $N^{c}$. Indeed, by the definition of $z$ we have

$$
S(z)=-(S(x)+S(y))
$$

Since $(x, y) \in N$, we have $S(x)+S(y)=1$ whence $S(z)=-1=2$. Consequently, any pair $(\cdot, z)$ belongs to $N^{c}$.

Combining the above observations, we conclude that

$$
\text { if }(x, y) \in(B \times B) \cap N \text { then }(x, z) \in(B \times B) \cap N^{c}
$$

and the same is true for $(y, z)$.
Next, we have

$$
(f(x)-f(y))^{2} \leq 2(f(x)-f(z))^{2}+2(f(y)-f(z))^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(x, y) \in(B \times B) \cap N}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} & \leq 2 \sum_{(x, y) \in(B \times B) \cap N}(f(x)-f(z))^{2} \\
& +2 \sum_{(x, y) \in(B \times B) \cap N}(f(y)-f(z))^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that the mapping

$$
(x, y) \mapsto(x, z)=(x,-(x+y)),
$$

is injective because the pair $(x, z)$ allows to recover the pair $(x, y)$ uniquely by $y=-(x+z)$. Therefore,

$$
\sum_{(x, y) \in(B \times B) \cap N}(f(x)-f(z))^{2} \leq \sum_{(x, z) \in(B \times B) \cap N^{c}}(f(x)-f(z))^{2},
$$

The same applies to the sum of $(f(y)-f(z))^{2}$, and we obtain

$$
\sum_{(x, y) \in(B \times B) \cap N}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} \leq 4 \sum_{(x, z) \in(B \times B) \cap N^{c}}(f(x)-f(z))^{2},
$$

thus proving (5.5).

## 6 Approach to the proof

We outline most essential parts of the proofs from [9] of Theorems 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4. Let ( $X, d, \mu$ ) be an $\alpha$-regular ultra-metric space and $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ be a jump type Dirichlet form with the jump kernel $J(x, y)$. We write

$$
d j=J(x, y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y)=J(x, d y) d \mu(x) .
$$

Assuming that $J$ satisfies the $\beta$-tail condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{r}(x)^{c}} J(x, d y) \leq C r^{-\beta} \tag{TJ}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $\beta$-Poincaré inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{r}}|f-\bar{f}|^{2} d \mu \leq C r^{\beta} \int_{B_{r}} \int_{B_{r}}(f(x)-f(y))^{2} J(x, d y) d \mu(x), \tag{PI}
\end{equation*}
$$

we need to prove the weak upper estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \leq \frac{C}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-\beta} \tag{WUE}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the near-diagonal lower estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \geq \frac{c}{t^{\alpha / \beta}} \text { provided } d(x, y) \leq \delta t^{1 / \beta}, \tag{NLE}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\delta>0$. If in addition $J$ satisfies

$$
J(x, y) \leq C d(x, y)^{-(\alpha+\beta)}
$$

then heat kernel should satisfy the optimal upper estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \leq \frac{C}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-(\alpha+\beta)}, \tag{UE}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if in addition

$$
J(x, y) \geq c d(x, y)^{-(\alpha+\beta)}
$$

then heat kernel should satisfy the optimal lower estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \geq \frac{c}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \tag{LE}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are also issues with the existence of the heat kernel and its Hölder continuity, as well as the opposite implications.

### 6.1 Sequence of steps

The proof is very long and consists of many steps. We outline the structure of the proof and some most essential moments.

Overall, the proof uses the same techniques as in general metric spaces but the presence of an ultra-metric brings some simplifications.

For any open set $\Omega \subset X$, consider the function space $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ that is the closure of $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{C}_{0}(\Omega)$ in $\mathcal{F}$. Then $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}(\Omega))$ is a regular Dirichlet form in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ that corresponds to a Markov process killed outside $\Omega$.

It is important, that in ultra-metric space satisfying $(T J)$, for any ball $B=B_{r}(x)$,

$$
1_{B} \in \mathcal{F}(B)
$$

because $1_{B} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}(B)$ and $\mathcal{E}\left(1_{B}, 1_{B}\right) \leq C \mu(B) r^{-\beta}$.
Denote by $P_{t}^{\Omega}$ the heat semigroup of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}(\Omega))$ and by

$$
G^{\Omega}=\int_{0}^{\infty} P_{t}^{\Omega} d t
$$

the Green operator. It is known that $P_{t}^{\Omega}$ and $G^{\Omega}$ are increasing in $\Omega$.
We say that a function $u \in \mathcal{F}$ is superharmonic in $\Omega$ if $\mathcal{E}(u, \varphi) \geq 0$ for any non-negative $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$. A function $u$ is subharmonic if $-u$ is superharmonic. Finally, $u$ is harmonic if $u$ is super- and subharmonic.

Step 1. ( $P I$ ) implies the Nash inequality: for any $f \in \mathcal{F} \cap L^{1}(X)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{2}}^{2(1+\nu)} \leq C \mathcal{E}(f, f)\|f\|_{L^{1}}^{2 \nu} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu=\beta / \alpha$. The latter implies the existence of the heat kernel and the diagonal upper estimate, for all $t>0$ and almost all $x, y \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \leq C t^{-\alpha / \beta} \tag{DUE}
\end{equation*}
$$

One of the consequences of $(D U E)$ is the following estimate of the meat exit time from balls: for any ball $B$ of radius $r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{B} 1 \leq C r^{\beta} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $\alpha>\beta$ it is simple (while the case $\alpha \leq \beta$ requires more care):

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{B} 1 & \leq G 1_{B}=\int_{0}^{\infty} P_{t} 1_{B} d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{r^{\beta}} P_{t} 1 d t+\int_{r^{\beta}}^{\infty} \int_{B} p_{t}(x, y) d \mu(y) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq r^{\beta}+C \int_{B}\left(\int_{r^{\beta}}^{\infty} t^{-\alpha / \beta} d t\right) d \mu \\
& \leq r^{\beta}+C r^{\alpha}\left(r^{\beta}\right)^{1-\alpha / \beta}=C r^{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

One more consequence of the Nash inequality (6.1) is the Faber-Krahn inequality: for any measurable set $E \subset X$ of finite measure and any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $f=0$ a.e. outside $E$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(f, f) \geq c \mu(E)^{-\nu}\|f\|_{L^{2}}^{2} . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$
\|f\|_{L^{1}}^{2} \leq \mu(E)\|f\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
$$

so by (6.1)

$$
\mathcal{E}(f, f) \geq c\|f\|_{L^{2}}^{2(1+\nu)}\|f\|_{L^{1}}^{-2 \nu} \geq c\|f\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mu(E)^{-\nu} .
$$

Step 2. This is the largest and most technical part of the proof. One obtains a weak Harnack inequality for harmonic functions of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$, where the main ingredient of the proof is Lemma of growth. We give some details below in Sections 6.2 and 6.3 (see Lemmas 6.2 and 6.6). The weak Harnack inequality implies an oscillation inequality for harmonic functions and, consequently, the Hölder continuity of harmonic functions.

The mean exit time estimate (6.2) implies $\left\|G^{B} f\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C r^{\beta}\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}$, which allows to extends oscillation inequality to solutions $u$ of $\mathcal{L} u=f$ with bounded functions $f$.

Considering a function $u(t, \cdot)=P_{t} \varphi$ as solution to $\mathcal{L} u=-\partial_{t} u$ and estimating $\left\|\partial_{t} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}}$ by means of ( $D U E$ ), we obtain the oscillation inequality and the Hölder continuity for $P_{t} f$ and, hence, also for the heat kernel.

Step 3. Here one obtains the lower bound for mean exit time:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{B} 1 \geq c r^{\beta} \text { in } B \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, in fact, a consequence of the Lemma of growth. The function $u=G^{B} 1$ is superharmonic in $B$; hence, by a corollary of a Lemma of growth, it satisfies

$$
\inf _{B} u \geq c\left(f_{B} \frac{1}{u} d \mu\right)^{-1} .
$$

On the other hand, using $\phi=1_{B} \in \mathcal{F}(B)$, we obtain

$$
\int_{B} \frac{1}{u} d \mu=\left(\phi, \frac{\phi^{2}}{u}\right)=\mathcal{E}\left(G^{B} \phi, \frac{\phi^{2}}{u}\right)=\mathcal{E}\left(u, \frac{\phi^{2}}{u}\right) .
$$

Next one uses the following general inequality (Lemma 6.4 below):

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(u, \frac{\phi^{2}}{u}\right) \leq 3 \mathcal{E}(\phi, \phi) .
$$

Since by $(T J) \mathcal{E}(\phi, \phi) \leq C r^{\alpha-\beta}$, we obtain

$$
f_{B} \frac{1}{u} d \mu \leq C r^{-\beta}
$$

whence (6.4) follows.

The estimates (6.2) and (6.4) yield

$$
G^{B} 1 \simeq r^{\beta} \text { in } B
$$

This implies the following survival estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}^{B} 1 \geq \varepsilon \text { in } B, \text { provided } t^{1 / \beta} \leq \delta r, \tag{S}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some $\varepsilon, \delta>0$. Indeed, ( $S$ ) follows from a general inequality

$$
P_{t}^{B} 1 \geq \frac{G^{B} 1-t}{\left\|G^{B} 1\right\|_{L^{\infty}}}
$$

Step 4. Here we prove ( $N L E$ ). For any ball $B=B_{r}(x)$, assuming $t^{1 / \beta} \leq \delta r$, we have, using the semigroup identity and $(S)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{2 t}(x, x) & =\int_{X} p_{t}(x, y)^{2} d \mu(y) \\
& \geq \int_{B} p_{t}(x, y)^{2} d \mu(y) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\mu(B)}\left(\int_{B} p_{t}(x, y) d \mu(y)\right)^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{\left(P_{t}^{B} 1\right)^{2}}{\mu(B)} \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{\mu(B)} \simeq r^{-\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $r=\delta^{-1} r^{1 / \beta}$, we obtain

$$
p_{t}(x, x) \geq c t^{-\alpha / \beta} .
$$

By the oscillation inequality from the second step,

$$
\left|p_{t}(x, x)-p_{t}(x, y)\right| \leq C t^{-\alpha / \beta}\left(\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{\theta}
$$

Hence, if $d(x, y) \leq \delta t^{1 / \beta}$ with small enough $\delta$, then

$$
\left|p_{t}(x, x)-p_{t}(x, y)\right| \leq \frac{c}{2} t^{-a / \beta}
$$

whence ( $N L E$ ) follows.
Step 5. Here we prove $(W U E)$. The main difficulty is in obtaining the following estimate: for any ball $B$ of radius $r$ and any $t>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t} 1_{B^{c}} \leq C \frac{t}{r^{\beta}} . \tag{TP}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this is already known then we have, by setting $r=d(x, y) / 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{2 t}(x, y) & =\int_{X} p_{t}(x, z) p_{t}(z, y) d \mu(z) \\
& \leq\left(\int_{B_{r}(x)^{c}}+\int_{B_{r}(y)^{c}}\right) p_{t}(x, z) p_{t}(z, y) d \mu(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq\left(\sup p_{t}\right) P_{t} 1_{B_{r}(x)^{c}}+\left(\sup p_{t}\right) P_{t} 1_{B_{r}(y)^{c}} \\
& \leq C t^{-\alpha / \beta} \frac{t}{r^{\beta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since by $(D U E)$ also $p_{t}(x, y) \leq C t^{-\alpha / \beta}$, it follows

$$
p_{2 t}(x, y) \leq C t^{-\alpha / \beta} \min \left(1, \frac{t}{r^{\beta}}\right) \simeq t^{-\alpha / \beta}\left(1+\frac{r}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-\beta}
$$

However, the main difficulty here lies in proving $(T P)$ which itself a multi-step procedure that is based on reiterating of the survival estimate $(S)$. Indeed, $(S)$ implies, for $t^{1 / \beta} \leq \delta r$, that

$$
P_{t} 1_{B^{c}} \leq 1-P_{t} 1_{B} \leq 1-P_{t}^{B} 1 \leq 1-\varepsilon
$$

which gives $(T P)$ provided $t^{1 / \beta}=\delta r$. A certain bootstrapping argument allows to extend this to all $t$.

Step 6. In the case when $J$ satisfies $\left(J_{\leq}\right)$, one can extend the argument of Step 5 to prove the optimal upper estimate $(U E)$, which requires additional techniques. One uses the truncated jump kernel

$$
J^{(\rho)}=\min (J, \rho),
$$

the heat kernel $q_{t}^{(\rho)}(x, y)$ associated with $J^{(\rho)}$, and the following general estimate

$$
p_{t}(x, y) \leq q_{t}^{(\rho)}(x, y)+2 t \sup _{\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in X: d\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \geq \rho\right\}} J\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)
$$

For the truncated heat kernel one obtains the estimate

$$
q_{t}^{(\rho)}(x, y) \leq C t^{-\alpha / \beta} \exp \left(-4 \rho^{-\beta} t-c \min \left(\frac{d(x, y)}{\rho}, \frac{\rho}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)\right)
$$

which together with $\left(J_{\leq}\right)$allows to obtain $(U E)$.
Step 7. In the case when $J$ satisfies $\left(J_{\geq}\right)$, one uses the following general result: assuming that conditions $(S)$ and $(N L E)$ are satisfied, the following estimate holds for all $t>0, x, y \in X$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}(x, y) \geq \frac{c}{t^{\alpha / \beta}} \min \left(1,\left\{t \mu\left(B_{t^{1 / \beta}}(y)\right) \operatorname{essinf}_{\substack{x^{\prime} \in B_{t^{1 / \beta}}(x) \\ y^{\prime} \in B_{t^{1} \cdot \beta}(y)}} J\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if $r:=d(x, y) \geq \delta t^{1 / \beta}$ then $d\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \leq C r$ and, hence, $J\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \geq c r^{-(\alpha+\beta)}$ which implies

$$
p_{t}(x, y) \geq \frac{c}{t^{\alpha / \beta}} \min \left(1, \frac{t^{1+\alpha / \beta}}{r^{\alpha+\beta}}\right) \simeq \frac{1}{t^{\alpha / \beta}}\left(1+\frac{r}{t^{1 / \beta}}\right)^{-(\alpha+\beta)}
$$

### 6.2 Lemma of growth

For any measurable function $v$ on $X$ and for any ball $B$ on $X$, define the tail of $v$ outside $B$ by

$$
T_{B}(v):=\sup _{x \in B} \int_{B^{c}}|v(y)| J(x, d y)
$$

Lemma 6.1 Let $B$ be a ball. For any $u \in \mathcal{F} \cap L^{\infty}$ that non-negative and subharmonic in $B$, and for $\phi=1_{B}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(u \phi, u \phi) \leq 2 T_{B}(u) \int_{B} u d \mu \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(B)$, both $u \phi$ and $u \phi^{2}$ belong to $\mathcal{F}(B)$. We have:

$$
\mathcal{E}(u \phi, u \phi)=\mathcal{E}\left(u, u \phi^{2}\right)+\int_{X \times X} u(x) u(y)(\phi(x)-\phi(y))^{2} d j
$$

By subharmonicity of $u$, we have $\mathcal{E}\left(u, u \phi^{2}\right) \leq 0$.
It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}(u \phi, u \phi) & \leq\left(\int_{B \times B}+\int_{B^{c} \times B}+\int_{B \times B^{c}}+\int_{B^{c} \times B^{c}}\right) u(x) u(y)(\phi(x)-\phi(y))^{2} d j \\
& =2 \int_{B \times B^{c}} u(x) u(y)(\phi(x)-\phi(y))^{2} d j \quad \text { (by symmetrization) } \\
& \leq 2 \int_{B} u(x) d \mu(x) \cdot \sup _{x \in B} \int_{B^{c}}|u(y)| J(x, d y),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equivalent to (6.6).
Lemma 6.2 (Lemma of growth) If $u \in \mathcal{F} \cap L^{\infty}$ is superharmonic and non-negative in a ball $B$ of radius $R$ and if, for some $a>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mu(B \cap\{u<a\})}{\mu(B)} \leq \varepsilon_{0}\left(1+\frac{R^{\beta} T_{B}\left(u_{-}\right)}{a}\right)^{-\alpha / \beta} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\underset{B}{\operatorname{essinf}} u \geq \frac{a}{2}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{0}$ is a positive constant depending on the main hypotheses.


Proof. For any $s>0$, set

$$
m_{s}=\frac{\mu(B \cap\{u<s\})}{\mu(B)} \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{m}_{s}=\mu(B \cap\{u<s\})
$$

In the first part of the proof, we show that, for all $b>a>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{a} \leq C L\left(\frac{b}{b-a}\right)^{2} m_{b}^{1+\beta / \alpha} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L:=1+\frac{R^{\beta} T_{B}\left(u_{-}\right)}{b} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $v=(b-u)_{+}$and $\phi=1_{B}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{m}_{a}=\int_{B \cap\{u<a\}} \phi^{2} d \mu \leq \int_{B} \phi^{2} \underbrace{\left(\frac{(b-u)_{+}}{b-a}\right)^{2}}_{\geq 1 \text { on }\{u<a\}} d \mu=\frac{1}{(b-a)^{2}} \int_{B}(\phi v)^{2} d \mu \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\phi v=0$ outside the set $E=B \cap\{u<b\}=B \cap\{v>0\}$ because either $\phi=0$ or $v=0$.

By the Faber-Krahn inequality (6.3), we obtain

$$
\int_{B}(\phi v)^{2} d \mu=\int_{E}(\phi v)^{2} d \mu \leq C \mathcal{E}(\phi v, \phi v) \mu(E)^{\nu}=C \mathcal{E}(\phi v, \phi v) \widetilde{m}_{b}^{\nu}
$$

Combining this inequality with (6.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{m}_{a} \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)^{2}} \int_{B}(\phi v)^{2} d \mu \leq C \frac{\mathcal{E}(\phi v, \phi v)}{(b-a)^{2}} \widetilde{m}_{b}^{\nu} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u$ is superharmonic in $B$, the function $v=(b-u)_{+}$is subharmonic in $B$, and we obtain by Lemma 6.1 and $(T J)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}(\phi v, \phi v) & \leq 2 T_{B}(v) \int_{B} v d \mu \\
& \leq 2 T_{B}(v) \int_{B} b 1_{\{u<b\}} d \mu \\
& \leq 2\left(T_{B}(b)+T_{B}\left(u_{-}\right)\right) b \widetilde{m}_{b} \\
& \leq C\left(b R^{-\beta}+T_{B}\left(u_{-}\right)\right) b \widetilde{m}_{b} \\
& \leq C L b^{2} R^{-\beta} \widetilde{m}_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (6.11) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{m}_{a} & \leq C \frac{L b^{2} R^{-\beta}}{(b-a)^{2}} \widetilde{m}_{b}^{1+\nu} \\
& \leq C \frac{L b^{2}}{(b-a)^{2}} m_{b}^{1+\nu} R^{-\beta}\left(R^{\alpha}\right)^{1+\beta / \alpha} \\
& =C \frac{L b^{2}}{(b-a)^{2}} m_{b}^{1+\nu} R^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by $R^{\alpha}$ and using $\widetilde{m}_{a} / R^{\alpha} \simeq m_{a}$, we obtain (6.8).
In the second part of the proof, consider the following sequence

$$
a_{k}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+2^{-k}\right) a, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

so that $a_{k} \searrow \frac{1}{2} a$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Set also

$$
m_{k}:=m_{a_{k}}=\frac{\mu\left(B \cap\left\{u<a_{k}\right\}\right)}{\mu(B)}
$$

Applying the inequality (6.8) with $a=a_{k}$ and $b=a_{k-1}$, we obtain, for any $k \geq 1$,

$$
m_{k} \leq C\left(1+\frac{R^{\beta} T_{B}\left(u_{-}\right)}{a_{k-1}}\right)\left(\frac{a_{k-1}}{a_{k-1}-a_{k}}\right)^{2} m_{k-1}^{q}
$$

where $q=1+\beta / \alpha$. Since $a_{k-1} \geq \frac{1}{2} a$ and

$$
\frac{a_{k-1}}{a_{k-1}-a_{k}}=\frac{1+2^{-(k-1)}}{2^{-(k-1)}-2^{-k}} \leq 2^{k+1}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{k} \leq C L \cdot 4^{k} \cdot m_{k-1}^{q} \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
L=1+\frac{R^{\beta} T_{B}\left(u_{-}\right)}{a}
$$

Iterating (6.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{k} & \leq(C L)^{1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1}} \cdot 4^{k+q(k-1)+\cdots+q^{k-1}} \cdot m_{0}^{q^{k}} \\
& \leq\left((C L)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \cdot 4^{\frac{q}{(q-1)^{2}}} \cdot m_{0}\right)^{q^{k}} \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the second line we have used that

$$
k+q(k-1)+\cdots+q^{k-1}=\frac{q^{k+1}-(k+1) q+k}{(q-1)^{2}} \leq \frac{q}{(q-1)^{2}} q^{k}
$$

and $C>1$. It follows from (6.13) and $q>1$ that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(C L)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \cdot 4^{\frac{q}{(q-1)^{2}}} \cdot m_{0} \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} m_{k}=0 \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, (6.14) is equivalent to

$$
m_{0} \leq 2^{-\frac{2 q}{(q-1)^{2}}-1} \cdot(C L)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}
$$

Since $\frac{1}{q-1}=\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$, we see that this condition is equivalent to the hypothesis (6.7) with

$$
\varepsilon_{0}:=2^{-\frac{2 q}{(q-1)^{2}}-1} C^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} .
$$

Assuming that $\varepsilon_{0}$ is defined so, we see that (6.14) is satisfied and, hence, we have (6.15). It follows that

$$
\mu\left(B \cap\left\{u \leq \frac{a}{2}\right\}\right)=0
$$

which implies $\operatorname{essinf}_{B} u \geq a / 2$.
Lemma 6.3 Let a non-negative function $u \in \mathcal{F} \cap L^{\infty}$ be superharmonic in a ball $B$. Then

$$
\underset{B}{\operatorname{essinf}} u \geq \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{2}\left(f_{B} \frac{1}{u} d \mu\right)^{-1}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the same as in Lemma 6.2.

Proof. We will apply Lemma 6.2 with a suitable value of $a$. Indeed, for any $a>0$, we have

$$
\mu(B \cap\{u<a\})=\mu\left(B \cap\left\{\frac{1}{u}>\frac{1}{a}\right\}\right) \leq a \int_{B} \frac{1}{u} d \mu=a \mu(B) f_{B} \frac{1}{u} d \mu .
$$

Since $u$ is non-negative on $X$, we have that $R^{\beta} T_{B}\left(u_{-}\right)=0$. Setting

$$
a:=\varepsilon_{0}\left(f_{B} \frac{1}{u} d \mu\right)^{-1}
$$

we obtain that

$$
\mu(B \cap\{u<a\}) \leq \varepsilon_{0} \mu(B)
$$

Hence, by Lemma 6.2, we conclude that

$$
\underset{B}{\operatorname{essinf}} u \geq \frac{a}{2}=\frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{2}\left(f_{B} \frac{1}{u} d \mu\right)^{-1}
$$

which was to be proved.

### 6.3 Weak Harnack inequality

Lemma 6.4 Let $u \in \mathcal{F} \cap L^{\infty}$ and assume that $\operatorname{essinf}_{B} u>0$ for some ball $B$. Then, for $\phi=1_{B}$,

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(u, \frac{\phi^{2}}{u}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{B} \int_{B}\left|\ln \frac{u(y)}{u(x)}\right|^{2} d j(x, y) \leq 3 \mathcal{E}(\phi, \phi)-2 \int_{B} \int_{B^{c}} \frac{u(y)}{u(x)} d j(x, y)
$$

Lemma 6.5 Let $u \in \mathcal{F} \cap L^{\infty}$ be superharmonic in a ball $B$ of radius $R$ and let $u \geq \lambda>0$ in $B$. Fix positive numbers $a, b$ and consider in $B$ the function:

$$
v:=\left(\ln \frac{a}{u}\right)_{+} \wedge b
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B} f_{B}(v(x)-v(y))^{2} d \mu(x) d \mu(y) \leq C\left(1+\frac{R^{\beta} T_{B}\left(u_{-}\right)}{\lambda}\right) \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note first that

$$
|v(x)-v(y)| \leq\left|\ln \frac{u(y)}{u(x)}\right|
$$

By $(P I)$ as in Lemma 5.5 and by Lemma 6.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{B} f_{B}(v(x)-v(y))^{2} d \mu(x) d \mu(y) & \leq C R^{\beta-\alpha} \int_{B} \int_{B}(v(x)-v(y))^{2} d j(x, y) \\
& \leq C R^{\beta-\alpha} \int_{B} \int_{B}\left|\ln \frac{u(y)}{u(x)}\right|^{2} d j(x, y) \\
& \leq C R^{\beta-\alpha}\left(6 \mathcal{E}(\phi, \phi)+4 \int_{B} \int_{B^{c}} \frac{u(y)_{-}}{u(x)} d \mu(x) J(x, d y)\right) \\
& \leq C R^{\beta-\alpha}\left(R^{\alpha-\beta}+R^{\alpha} \sup _{x \in B} \int_{B^{c}} \frac{u(y)_{-}}{\lambda} J(x, d y)\right) \\
& \leq C\left(1+\frac{R^{\beta} T_{B}\left(u_{-}\right)}{\lambda}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6.6 (Weak Harnack inequality) Let $B$ be a ball of radius $R$ and let $u \in \mathcal{F} \cap L^{\infty}$ be superharmonic and non-negative in $B$. Then, for any $a>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mu(B \cap\{u \geq a\})}{\mu(B)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\beta} T_{B}\left(u_{-}\right) \leq \varepsilon a \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{B}{\operatorname{essinf}} u \geq \varepsilon a \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is a constant that depends only on the main hypotheses.
If $u \geq 0$ on $X$ then the condition (6.18) is trivially satisfied. A (strong) Harnack inequality for non-negative harmonic functions would say that

$$
\underset{B}{\operatorname{essinf}} u \geq \varepsilon \underset{B}{\operatorname{esssup}} u
$$

In particular, for any $a<\operatorname{esssup}_{B} u$, we would have (6.19). That is, the hypothesis (6.17) could be relaxed in this case to $\mu(B \cap\{u \geq a\})>0$. Hence, Lemma 6.6 is a weak version of the Harnack inequality.
Proof. Let $\lambda, b$ be two positive parameters to be determined later. Consider the functions $u_{\lambda}:=u+\lambda$ and

$$
v:=\left(\ln \frac{a+\lambda}{u_{\lambda}}\right)_{+} \wedge b
$$

Note that $0 \leq v \leq b$ and in $B$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{a+\lambda}{u_{\lambda}} \leq 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad u \geq a \\
& v=b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{a+\lambda}{u_{\lambda}} \geq e^{b} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad u_{\lambda} \leq(a+\lambda) e^{-b}=: q
\end{aligned}
$$

We will apply Lemma 6.2 to $u_{\lambda}$ instead of $u$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega:=\frac{\mu(B \cap\{u \geq a\})}{\mu(B)}=\frac{\mu(B \cap\{v=0\})}{\mu(B)} \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m:=\frac{\mu\left(B \cap\left\{u_{\lambda} \leq q\right\}\right)}{\mu(B)}=\frac{\mu(B \cap\{v=b\})}{\mu(B)} . \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 6.2, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq \varepsilon_{0}\left(1+\frac{R^{\beta} T_{B}\left(\left(u_{\lambda}\right)_{-}\right)}{q}\right)^{-\alpha / \beta} \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{B}{\operatorname{essinf}} u_{\lambda} \geq \frac{q}{2} \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u \geq 0$ in $B$, we have

$$
L:=R^{\beta} T_{B}\left(u_{-}\right) \geq R^{\beta} T_{B}\left(\left(u_{\lambda}\right)_{-}\right)
$$

Hence, in order to have (6.22), it suffices to ensure that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq \varepsilon_{0}\left(1+\frac{L}{q}\right)^{-\alpha / \beta} \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us estimate $m$ from above using the definition (6.20) and (6.21) of $\omega$ and $m$, as well as Lemma 6.5.

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{2} m \omega & =\frac{1}{\mu(B)^{2}} \int_{B \cap\{v=0\}} \int_{B \cap\{v=b\}} b^{2} d \mu(x) d \mu(y) \\
& =\frac{1}{\mu(B)^{2}} \int_{B \cap\{v=0\}} \int_{B \cap\{v=b\}}(v(x)-v(y))^{2} d \mu(x) d \mu(y) \\
& \leq f_{B} f_{B}(v(x)-v(y))^{2} d \mu(x) d \mu(y) \\
& \leq C\left(1+\frac{R^{\beta} T_{B}\left(\left(u_{\lambda}\right)_{-}\right)}{\lambda}\right) \leq C\left(1+\frac{L}{\lambda}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
m \leq \frac{C}{b^{2} \omega}\left(1+\frac{L}{\lambda}\right) \leq \frac{2 C}{b^{2}}\left(1+\frac{L}{\lambda}\right)
$$

where we have used that $\omega \geq 1 / 2$, which is true by ( 6.17 ). Hence, the condition ( 6.24 ) will be satisfied provided

$$
\frac{2 C}{b^{2}}\left(1+\frac{L}{\lambda}\right) \leq \varepsilon_{0}\left(1+\frac{L}{q}\right)^{-\alpha / \beta}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{2} \geq \frac{2 C}{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(1+\frac{L}{\lambda}\right)\left(1+\frac{L}{q}\right)^{\alpha / \beta} \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $\varepsilon>0$ to be determined later, and specify the parameters $\lambda, b$ as follows:

$$
\lambda:=\varepsilon a, \quad b:=\ln \frac{1+\varepsilon}{4 \varepsilon}
$$

Then we have

$$
q=(a+\lambda) e^{-b}=4 \varepsilon a
$$

and the inequality (6.25) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\ln \frac{1+\varepsilon}{4 \varepsilon}\right)^{2} \geq \frac{2 C}{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(1+\frac{L}{\varepsilon a}\right)\left(1+\frac{L}{4 \varepsilon a}\right)^{\alpha / \beta} \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since by (6.18) we have $L \leq \varepsilon a$, the inequality (6.26) will follow from

$$
\left(\ln \frac{1+\varepsilon}{4 \varepsilon}\right)^{2} \geq \frac{4 C}{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{\alpha / \beta}
$$

The latter can be achieved by choosing $\varepsilon$ small enough. With this choice of $\varepsilon$ we conclude that (6.23) holds, which implies

$$
\underset{B}{\operatorname{essinf}} u \geq \frac{q}{2}-\lambda=2 \varepsilon a-\varepsilon a=\varepsilon a
$$

thus finishing the proof.
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