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#### Abstract

A famous result of Zimmermann-Huisgen, Hille and Reineke asserts that any projective variety occurs as a quiver Grassmannian for a suitable representation of some wild acyclic quiver. We show that this happens for any wild acyclic quiver.


Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field, and $Q$ a finite acyclic quiver. The modules which we consider are the (finite-dimensional) $k Q$-modules, where $k Q$ is the path algebra of $Q$, thus the (finite-dimensional) representations of $Q$ (with coefficients in $k$ ). We denote by $\bmod k Q$ the corresponding module category.

Let $M$ be a representation of $Q$ and $\mathbf{d}$ a dimension vector for $Q$. The quiver Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{d}}(M)$ is the set of submodules of $M$ with dimension vector $\operatorname{dim} M=\mathbf{d}$; this is a projective variety. A famous result of Zimmermann-Huisgen, Hille and Reineke asserts that any projective variety occurs as the quiver Grassmannian for a representation of some wild acyclic quiver $Q$, see for example [R2]. We are going to show:

Theorem. Let $Q$ be any wild acyclic quiver. Any projective variety occurs as a quiver Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{d}}(M)$ for some representation $M$ of $Q$ and some dimension vector $\mathbf{d}$.

Typical wild acyclic quivers are the Kronecker quivers $Q=K(n)$ with $n \geq 3$ (the Kronecker quiver $K(n)$ has two vertices 1 and 2 and $n$ arrows pointing from 2 to 1 ). A representation of $K(n)$ will be said to be reduced provided $N$ has no simple injective direct summand. In [R3] we have shown that for any projective variety $\mathcal{V}$ there is a natural number $n$ (depending on $\mathcal{V}$ ) such that $\mathcal{V}$ can be realized as the quiver Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}_{(1,1)}(N)$ of a reduced representation $N$ of $K(n)$ (see also $[\mathrm{H}]$ ). Our present investigation relies on this special case.

Note that the elements of $\mathbb{G}_{(1,1)}(N)$ are certain submodules of $N$ of length 2, and all the indecomposable submodules of length 2 belong to $\mathbb{G}_{(1,1)}(N)$. We call indecomposable modules of length 2 bristles. For any representation $N$ of $K(n)$, the set $\beta(N)$ of bristle submodules of $N$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{G}_{(1,1)}(N)$ which we call the bristle variety of $N$. In general, $\beta(N)$ is a proper subset of $\mathbb{G}_{(1,1)}(N)$, but for a reduced representation $N$, we have $\beta(N)=\mathbb{G}_{(1,1)}(N)$.

The procedure of the present paper is as follows: Given any wild acyclic quiver $Q$, and a natural number $m$, we will construct for some $n \geq m$ an orthogonal pair $X, Y$ of bricks with $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(Y, X)=n$ (a brick is a module with endomorphism ring $k$ and $X, Y$ are said to be orthogonal provided $\operatorname{Hom}(X, Y)=0=\operatorname{Hom}(Y, X))$. Always, $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ will denote the dimension vectors of $X$ and $Y$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}(Y, X)$ be the full subcategory of all $k Q$-modules $M$ with an exact sequence of the form

$$
0 \rightarrow X^{a} \rightarrow M \rightarrow Y^{b} \rightarrow 0,
$$

where $a, b$ are natural numbers. Note that $\mathcal{E}$ is equivalent to $\bmod k K(n)$ with an equivalence being given by an exact fully faithful functor

$$
\eta: \bmod k K(n) \rightarrow \bmod k Q
$$

with image $\mathcal{E}$. We say that a module $M$ in $\mathcal{E}$ is $\mathcal{E}$-reduced provided it has no direct summand isomorphic to $Y$, thus provided it is the image of a reduced $k K(n)$-module under $\eta$.

An indecomposable $k Q$-module $U$ will be called an $\mathcal{E}$-bristle provided there is an exact sequence of the form $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow U \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$, thus provided $U$ is the image of a bristle in $\bmod k K(n)$ under $\eta$. For any $k K(n)$-module $N$ with $M=\eta N$, the functor $\eta$ identifies the bristle variety $\beta(N)$ of $N$ with the set $\beta_{\mathcal{E}}(M)$ of submodules of $M$ which are $\mathcal{E}$-bristles. Since $\mathcal{E}$-bristles have dimension vector $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}$, we have $\beta_{\mathcal{E}}(M) \subseteq \mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}(M)$. It remains to find conditions such that any submodule $U$ of $M$ with dimension vector $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}$ is indeed an $\mathcal{E}$-bristle.

To be precise, we are looking for $k Q$-modules $X, Y$ so that the following closure condition (C) is satisfied:
(C) If $M$ is an $\mathcal{E}$-reduced module in $\mathcal{E}(Y, X)$ and $U$ is a submodule of $M$ with $\operatorname{dim} U=$ $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}$, then $U$ is an $\mathcal{E}$-bristle.

If the condition (C) is satisfied, then for any reduced representation $N$ of $K(n)$, there is a canonical bijection between $\mathbb{G}_{(1,1)}(N)$ and $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}(M)$, where $M=\eta N$. Namely, if $B$ is a submodule of the $k K(n)$-module $N$ with $\operatorname{dim} B=(1,1)$, then $\eta B$ is a submodule of $M$ with dimension vector $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}$. Conversely, if $U$ is a submodule of $M$ with $\operatorname{dim} U=\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}$, then, by condition (C), $U$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}(Y, X)$, say $U=\eta B$ for some $K(n)$-submodule $B$ and the dimension vector of $B$ is $(1,1)$.

## The minimal wild acyclic quivers.

As we have mentioned, our aim is to exhibit for any wild acyclic quiver $Q$ and any natural number $m$ an orthogonal pair $X, Y$ of $k Q$-modules which are bricks such that $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(Y, X)=n \geq m$ and such that the condition (C) is satisfied. Of course, it is sufficient to deal with minimal wild acyclic quivers. (We recall that a quiver $Q$ is wild provided it is not the disjoint union of Dynkin and Euclidean quivers, and $Q$ is said to be minimal wild provided it is wild, and no quiver obtained from $Q$ by deleting a vertex or an arrow is wild.)

The following well-known proposition suggests to deal with two different cases.
Proposition. A minimal wild acyclic quiver $Q$ different from $K(3)$ is obtained from a Euclidean quiver $Q^{\prime}$ by adding a vertex $\omega$ and a single arrow which connects $\omega$ with some vertex of $Q^{\prime}$ (in particular, $\omega$ is a sink or a source).

Sketch of proof. If $Q$ has cycles, then there is a subquiver $Q^{\prime}$ of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n}$ for some $n$ such that $Q^{\prime}$ is obtained from $Q$ by deleting one vertex and one arrow.

Now assume that $Q$ is a tree. If there is a vertex with at least four neighbors, then $Q^{\prime}$ is obtained from a quiver of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{4}$ by deleting one vertex and one arrow. If $Q$ has two vertices which have three neighbors each, then $Q^{\prime}$ is obtained from a quiver of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{n}$ with $n \geq 5$ by deleting one vertex and one arrow. If $Q$ has is a star with three arms,
then $Q^{\prime}$ is obtained from a quiver of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{m}$ with $m=6,7,8$ by deleting one vertex and one arrow.

## Case 1. One-point extensions of representation-infinite quivers.

We assume now that $Q$ is a connected quiver with a vertex $\omega$ which is a sink or a source such that the quiver $Q^{\prime}$ obtained from $Q$ by deleting $\omega$ and the arrows which start or end in $\omega$ is connected and representation-infinite. Up to duality, we can assume that $\omega$ is a source, thus there is an arrow $\omega \rightarrow p$ with $p \in Q_{0}^{\prime}$.

Let $Y=S(\omega)$, the simple $k Q$-module corresponding to the vertex $\omega$. Since $Q^{\prime}$ is connected and representation-infinite, there is an exceptional $k Q^{\prime}$-module $X$ with $\operatorname{dim}_{k} X_{p} \geq$ $m$. The arrow $\omega \rightarrow p$ shows that $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(Y, X) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{k} X_{p}$. This pair $X, Y$ is the orthogonal pair of bricks which we use in order to look at $\mathcal{E}(Y, X)$.

Lemma 1. Let a be a natural number. Any submodule $W$ of $X^{a}$ with $\operatorname{dim} W=\mathbf{x}$ is isomorphic to $X$.

Proof. We denote by $\langle-,-\rangle$ the bilinear form on the Grothendieck group $K_{0}(k Q)$ with $\left\langle\operatorname{dim} M, \operatorname{dim} M^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Hom}\left(M, M^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(M, M^{\prime}\right)$. Since $X$ is exceptional, we have $\langle X, W\rangle=\langle X, X\rangle>0$, Therefore, there is a non-zero homomorphism $f: X \rightarrow W$. Let $\iota: W \rightarrow X^{a}$ be the inclusion map. The composition $\iota f: X \rightarrow X^{a}$ is nonzero. Since $X$ is a brick, we see that $f: X \rightarrow W$ is a split monomorphism, in particular injective. Now $\operatorname{dim} X=\operatorname{dim} W$ implies that $f$ is an isomorphism.

Proof of condition (C). Let $M$ be an $\mathcal{E}$-reduced $k Q$-module in $\mathcal{E}(Y, X)$, say with an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow X^{a} \xrightarrow{\mu} M \xrightarrow{\pi} Y^{b} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Let $U$ be a submodule of $M$ with dimension vector $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}$ and inclusion map $\iota: U \rightarrow M$. The composition $\pi \iota$ is non-zero, since otherwise $U$ would be a submodule of $X^{a}$, but $\operatorname{dim}_{k} U_{\omega}=1$ whereas $X_{\omega}=0$. If follows that the image of $\pi \iota$ is isomorphic to $Y$. If we denote the kernel of $\pi \iota$ by $W$, we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows and vertical monomorphisms:


Of course, $\operatorname{dim} W=\mathbf{x}$, thus Lemma 1 shows that $W$ is isomorphic to $X$. In particular, $U$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}$.

It remains to show that $U$ is indecomposable. Otherwise, $U$ would be isomorphic to $W \oplus Y$. Thus $M$ would have a submodule isomorphic to $Y$. But $Y$ is relative injective inside $\mathcal{E}$, thus $M$ would have a direct summand isomorphic to $Y$, in contrast to our assumption that $M$ is $\mathcal{E}$-reduced. This shows that $U$ is indecomposable, thus an $\mathcal{E}$-bristle.

Case 2. The Kronecker quiver $K(3)$.
Here we consider the Kronecker quiver $Q=K(3)$, with the three arrows $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ : $2 \rightarrow 1$. Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ be pairwise different non-zero elements of $k$ with $n \geq 2$. Let $X=X\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)=\left(k^{n}, k^{n} ; \alpha, \beta, \gamma\right)$ be defined by

$$
\alpha(e(i))=e(i), \quad \beta(e(i))=\lambda_{i} e(i), \quad \gamma(e(i))=e(i+1)
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $e(1), \ldots, e(n)$ is the canonical basis of $k^{n}$ and $e(n+1)=e(1)$. Let $Y=(k, k ; 1,0,0)$. We denote by $Q^{\prime}$ the subquiver of $Q$ with arrows $\alpha, \beta$, this is the 2Kronecker quiver $K(2)$. For the structure of the category $\bmod K(2)$, see for example [R1]. The restriction of $X, Y$ to $Q^{\prime}$ shows that $\operatorname{Hom}(X, Y)=\operatorname{Hom}(Y, X)=0$. The endomorphism ring of $X \mid Q^{\prime}$ is $k \times \cdots \times k$; and the only endomorphisms of $X \mid Q^{\prime}$ which commute with $\gamma$ are the scalar multiplications. This shows that $X$ is a brick. Also, it is easy to see that $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(Y, X)=n$.

Lemma 2. Let a be a natural number. Any submodule $W$ of $X^{a}$ with $\operatorname{dim} W$ of the form $(w, w)$ is isomorphic to $X^{s}$ for some $s$.

Proof: Let $M=X^{a}$ and decompose $M \mid Q^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M(i)$, where $\beta(x)=\lambda_{i} x$ for $x \in M(i)_{1}$. Here, we use $\alpha$ in order to identify $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. Now we consider the submodule $W$ of $M$. Note that $W \mid Q^{\prime}$ has to be regular, since it cannot have any non-zero preinjective direct summand. As a regular submodule of a semisimple regular Kronecker module it has to be a direct summand of $M \mid Q^{\prime}$, thus we have a similar direct decomposition $W=\bigoplus W(i)$, where $W(i)=W \cap M(i)$.

The linear map $\gamma$ restricted to $W(i)_{1}$ is a monomorphism $W(i)_{1} \rightarrow W(i+1)_{2}=$ $W(i+1)_{1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$; we obtain in this way a monomorphism $W(1)_{1} \rightarrow W(1)_{2}=W(1)_{1}$. This shows that all the monomorphisms $W(i)_{1} \rightarrow W(i+1)_{2}=W(i+1)_{1}$ are actually bijections. Let $\operatorname{dim}_{k} W(1)_{1}=s$. It follows that $W$ is isomorphic to $X^{s}$.

Proof of condition (C). Let $M$ be an $\mathcal{E}$-reduced $k Q$-module in $\mathcal{E}$ and let $U$ be a submodule of $M$ with dimension vector $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}=(n+1, n+1)$ and with inclusion map $\iota: U \rightarrow M$.

Starting with the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X^{a} \xrightarrow{\mu} M \xrightarrow{\pi} Y^{b} \rightarrow 0$ and the inclusion map $\iota: U \rightarrow M$, let $W$ be the kernel and $\bar{U}$ the image of $\pi \iota: U \rightarrow Y^{b}$. We obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows and injective vertical maps:


Let us consider the restriction of these modules to $Q^{\prime}$. Since $M \mid Q^{\prime}$ is regular, it has no non-zero preinjective direct summand. Thus any submodule of $M \mid Q^{\prime}$ with dimension vector $(n+1, n+1)$ has to be regular. This shows that $U \mid Q^{\prime}$ is regular. Actually, $M \mid Q^{\prime}$ is semisimple regular, thus also its regular submodule $U \mid Q^{\prime}$ is semisimple regular (and a direct summand of $\left.M \mid Q^{\prime}\right)$. Next, $\pi \iota$ is a map between regular $k Q^{\prime}$-modules, it follows that the kernel $W \mid Q^{\prime}$ and the image $\bar{U} \mid Q^{\prime}$ are regular $k Q^{\prime}$-modules. In particular, the dimension vector of $W$ is of the form $\operatorname{dim} W=(w, w)$ for some $0 \leq w \leq n+1$.

Now $\bar{U} \mid Q^{\prime}$ is a regular submodule of the semisimple regular $k Q^{\prime}$-module $Y^{b} \mid Q^{\prime}$, thus $\bar{U} \mid Q^{\prime}$ is a direct sum of copies of $Y \mid Q^{\prime}$. By construction, $Y$ is annihilated by $\gamma$. Since $\bar{U}$ is a submodule of $Y^{b}$, it follows that $\bar{U}$ is annihilated by $\gamma$. Altogether, we see that $\bar{U}$ is the direct sum of copies of $Y$.

We claim that $W \neq 0$. Otherwise $U=\bar{U}=Y^{n+1}$, thus $Y$ is a submodule of $M$. But $Y$ is relative injective in $\mathcal{E}$, thus $Y$ would be a direct summand of $M$. However, by assumption, $M$ is $\mathcal{E}$-reduced. This contradiction shows that $W \neq 0$.

Now $W$ is a submodule of $X^{a}$ with dimension vector $(w, w)$, thus, according to Lemma $2, W$ is a direct summand of say $s$ copies of $X$ and $s \geq 1$. The equality $(w, w)=(s n, s n)$ implies that that $s=1$, since $w \leq n+1$ and $n \geq 2$. In this way, we see that $W$ is isomorphic to $X$. It follows that $\operatorname{dim} \bar{U}=(1,1)$ and therefore $\bar{U}=Y$.

Finally, as in Case 1, we see that $U$ is indecomposable, using again the assumption that $M$ is $\mathcal{E}$-reduced. This shows that $U$ is an $\mathcal{E}$-bristle.

Remark. We should stress that given orthogonal bricks $X, Y$ in $\bmod k Q$, the condition (C) is usually not satisfied. Here is a typical example for $Q=K(3)$. As above, let $Y=(k, k ; 1,0,0)$, but for $X$ we now take $X=X^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=\left(k^{2}, k^{2} ; \alpha, \beta, \gamma\right)$, defined by

$$
\alpha(e(i))=e(i), \quad \beta(e(i))=\lambda_{i} e(i), \quad \gamma(e(1))=e(2), \quad \gamma(e(2))=0
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq 2$. Again, $e(1), e(2)$ is the canonical basis of $k^{2}$ and $\lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}$ are assumed to be non-zero elements of $k$. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(Y, X)=2$, there is an equivalence $\eta: \bmod k K(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(Y, X)$. Let $N$ be an indecomposable $k K(2)$-module with dimension vector $(2, b)$ (note that $b$ has to be equal to 1,2 or 3 ) and $M=\eta N$. Thus there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow X^{2} \rightarrow M \rightarrow Y^{b} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since we assume that $N$ is indecomposable, it is reduced, thus $M$ is $\mathcal{E}$-reduced. Note that $X$ has a (unique) $k Q$-submodule $V$ with dimension vector (1,1): the vector spaces $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ both are generated by $e(2)$. The submodule $U=X \oplus V$ of $X^{2}$ is a submodule of $M$ with dimension vector $(3,3)=\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}$, and it is not an $\mathcal{E}$-bristle. Thus, condition (C) is not satisfied. Here, $\eta$ defines a proper embedding of $\beta(N)=\mathbb{G}_{(1,1)}(N)$ into $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}(M)$.
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