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## Substitution tilings:



Usually, tiles occur in finitely many different orientations only.

Not always. Cesi's example (1990):


A substitution $\sigma$ is primitive, if for any tile $T$ there is $k \geq 1$ such that $\sigma^{k}(T)$ contains all tile types.

Conway's Pinwheel substitution (1991):



The angle $\alpha$ is irrational; that is, $\alpha \notin \pi \mathbb{Q}$.
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Obvious generalizations: Pinwheel $(n, k)$

etc.

Unknown ( $<$ 1996, communicated to me by Danzer):

(+ obvious generalizations)

## C. Goodman-Strauss, L. Danzer (ca. 1996):
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Pythia $(m, j)$, here: $m=3, j=1$.


For all examples: the orientations are dense in $[0,2 \pi[$.
Even more: The orientations are equidistributed in $[0,2 \pi[$.
Theorem (F. '08)
In each primitive substitution tiling with tiles in infinitely many orientations, the orientations are equidistributed in $[0,2 \pi[$.

So far: tiles are always triangles. One exception:
Kite Domino (equivalent with Pinwheel):
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Can we find examples with rhombic tiles for instance?
Answer: No.

## Theorem (F.-Harriss '12+)

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tiling with finitely many prototiles (i.e., finitely many different tile shapes). Let all prototiles be centrally symmetric convex polygons. Then each prototile occurs in a finite number of orientations in $\mathcal{T}$.

Can we find examples with rhombic tiles for instance?
Answer: No.

## Theorem (F.-Harriss '12+)

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tiling with finitely many prototiles (i.e., finitely many different tile shapes). Let all prototiles be centrally symmetric convex polygons. Then each prototile occurs in a finite number of orientations in $\mathcal{T}$.

## Theorem (F.-Harriss '12+)

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tiling with finitely many parallelograms as prototiles. Then each prototile occurs in a finite number of orientations in $\mathcal{T}$.

Assume all tiles are vertex-to-vertex.


A worm is a sequence of tiles $\ldots, T_{-1}, T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots$ where $T_{k}$ and $T_{k+1}$ share a common edge, and all shared edges are parallel.

Cone Lemma: A worm defined by edge e cannot enter $C_{1}$ or $C_{2}$. ( $\alpha$ the minimal interior angle in the prototiles)


Loop Lemma: A worm has no loop.

Travel Lemma: Any two tiles can be connected by a finite sequence of finite worm pieces. (At most $\left\lceil\frac{2 \pi}{\alpha}\right\rceil$ many.)



Proof of theorem (parallelogram version): Fix some tile S. Every tile $T$ can be connected to $S$ by at most $\left\lceil\frac{2 \pi}{\alpha}\right\rceil$ worm pieces. That is, with $\left\lceil\frac{2 \pi}{\alpha}\right\rceil$ turns.
(Non-vertex-to-vertex case can be handled.)

Proof of theorem (parallelogram version): Fix some tile S. Every tile $T$ can be connected to $S$ by at most $\left\lceil\frac{2 \pi}{\alpha}\right\rceil$ worm pieces. That is, with $\left\lceil\frac{2 \pi}{\alpha}\right\rceil$ turns.
(Non-vertex-to-vertex case can be handled.)
Proof of theorem (general) Kannan-Soroker 1992: Any centrally symmetric polygon can be dissected into parallelograms.
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## Theorem

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tiling with finitely many prototiles. Let all prototiles be centrally symmetric convex polygons. Then each prototile occurs in a finite number of orientations in $\mathcal{T}$.

## Can we drop "finitely many"?

No. Even if we assume: infimum of interior angles $>0$. (Exercise)
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Can we drop "convex"?
Hmm...



