

# Hilbert 90 for $K_3$ for degree-two extensions

by Markus Rost

Regensburg, Mai 1986

In this paper\* we consider Milnor  $K$ -theory of fields [Mi]. Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic different from 2 and let  $L$  be an extension of degree two with generator  $\sigma$  of  $\text{Gal}(L|F)$ . The purpose of this paper is to prove

## Theorem A

The sequence

$$K_3L \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} K_3L \xrightarrow{N_{L|F}} K_3F$$

is exact.

It is a consequence of this theorem that the Galois symbol  $K_3F/2 \rightarrow H^3(F, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is an isomorphism. This will be considered elsewhere.

As in the proof of Hilbert 90 for  $K_2$  ([Me], [MS]), Theorem A follows from the exactness of a certain part of the localization sequence of a Severi-Brauer variety with respect to Milnor  $K$ -Theory. Let  $D$  be a quaternion algebra over  $F$  and let  $X$  be the (one-dimensional) Severi-Brauer variety associated to  $D$ . The basic results needed in the proof of Theorem A are the injectivity of the reduced norm  $\text{Nrd} : K_2D \rightarrow K_2F$  ([R1]) and

## Theorem B

The sequence

$$K_3F(X) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in X^{(1)}} K_2\kappa(v) \xrightarrow{\theta} K_2D \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(Here  $v$  runs through the closed points of  $X$ . The homomorphism  $\theta$  is induced by the natural map  $\theta_K : K_2K \rightarrow K_2D$  for a splitting field  $K$  of  $D$ , finite over  $F$ ; see [MS; § 1]).

Note that the corresponding statement for the  $K$ -Theory of Quillen follows from the computations  $K_2(X) = K_2D \oplus K_2F$  and  $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_2) = K_2F$  ([MS]).

The philosophy of our proof is that Theorem A together with the injectivity of the Galois symbol is equivalent to Theorem B together with the injectivity of  $\text{Nrd} : K_2D \rightarrow K_2F$ . Using Hilbert 90 for  $K_2$  it is not difficult to see that Theorem A holds for the universal Kummer extension of degree two of a pure transcendental extension  $F$  of a prime field (§ 1). We use this to show that Theorem B holds for a generic quaternion algebra  $D$  over  $F$  (§ 3). To prove Theorem B in general we make use of Rehmann's description of  $K_2D$  in

---

\* This is a  $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ ed version (Sept. 1996) of the original preprint.

terms of generators and relations and do some specialization arguments using the results of § 3 (Since I hope that it is possible to shorten some arguments, the proof of Theorem B given here is rather sketchy). Finally, in § 5 we show that Theorem B and the injectivity of  $K_2D \rightarrow K_2F$  imply Theorem A.

### § 1 Hilbert 90 in a special case

The reader is assumed to be familiar with Milnor  $K$ -Theory of fields as defined in [Mi]. For the product  $K_1K \otimes K_nK \rightarrow K_{n+1}K$  we use the notation  $(x, u) \mapsto l(x)u = \{x, u\}$ . For the rational function field  $K(t)$  in one variable one has the exact sequence

$$(1.0.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow K_nK \rightarrow K_nK(t) \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}_K} K_{n-1}K_P \rightarrow 0$$

Here  $P$  runs over the set  $\mathcal{P}_K$  of normed irreducible polynomials in  $t$  and  $K_P = K[t]/(P)$  (see [Mi; Theorem 2.3]).

If  $H|K$  is finite extension ( $H$  may be a field or a direct sum of field extensions  $H_i|K$ ; in the latter case  $K_nH = \bigoplus_i K_nH_i$  by definition), there is a restriction  $\text{res}_{H|K} : K_nK \rightarrow K_nH$  and a corestriction or norm homomorphism  $\text{cor}_{H|K} = N_{H|K} : K_nH \rightarrow K_nK$  (See [BT] for definition and [K] for uniqueness of the norm). One has the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cor}_{H|K} \circ \text{res}_{H|K} &= [H : K] \\ \text{cor}_{H|K}(\{u, v\}) &= \{\text{cor}_{H|K}(u), v\} \quad \text{for } u \in K_nH, v \in K_mK \\ \text{res}_{H|K} \circ \text{cor}_{H|K} &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(H|K)} \sigma \quad \text{if } H|K \text{ is normal.} \end{aligned}$$

If  $P$  is irreducible over  $K$  and if  $Q_1, \dots, Q_1$  are the irreducible factors of  $P$  over  $H$ , one also has homomorphisms

$$\bigoplus_i K_nH_{Q_i} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{cor}_{H|K}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{res}_{H|K}} \end{array} K_nK_P$$

satisfying the above formulas. They fit into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_nH(t) & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigoplus_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_H} K_{n-1}H_Q \\ \uparrow \text{res}_{H(t)|K(t)} \quad \downarrow N_{H(t)|K(t)} & & \uparrow \text{res}_{H|K} \quad \downarrow \text{cor}_{H|K} \\ K_nK(t) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}_K} K_{n-1}K_P \end{array}$$

(see [K]). Using this we construct an explicit section to  $d$ .

**Lemma 1.1.**

Let  $u_P \in K_{n-1}K_P$  and let  $t_P$  be the residue class of  $t$  in  $K_P$ . Then

$$u_P = dN_{K_P(t)|K(t)}(t - t_P, u_P)$$

**Proof**

In order not to confuse the roles of  $K_P$  as residue class field and as base extension, let  $\varphi : K_P \rightarrow H$  be an isomorphism over  $K$ . Then the statement reads as

$$u_P = dN_{H(t)|K(t)}(\{t - \varphi(t_P), \varphi(u_P)\})$$

Note that the composition  $K_{n-1}H \rightarrow K_{n-1}H_{(t-\varphi(t_P))} \xrightarrow{\text{cor}_{H|K}} K_{n-1}K_P$  is the isomorphism induced by the inverse of  $\varphi$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} dN_{H(t)|K(t)}(\{t - \varphi(t_P), \varphi(u_P)\}) &= \text{cor}_{H|K} \circ d(\{t - \varphi(t_P), \varphi(u_P)\}) \\ &= \text{cor}_{H|K}(\varphi(u_P) \bmod (t - \varphi(t_P))) = u_P. \end{aligned}$$

qed.

Now let  $F_0 \neq \mathbb{Z}/2$  be a prime field, let  $F = F_0(a_1, \dots, a_n, a)$  be pure transcendental over  $F_0$  and let  $L = F(\sqrt{a})$ . The generator of  $\text{Gal}(L|F)$  is denoted by  $\sigma$ .

**Proposition 1.2.**

The following sequences are exact

$$(1.2.1) \quad K_3L \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} K_3L \xrightarrow{N_{L|F}} K_3F$$

$$(1.2.2) \quad K_2F/2 \xrightarrow{l(a)} K_3F/2 \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{L|F}} K_3L/2$$

$$(1.2.3) \quad K_2F \xrightarrow{l(-1)} K_3F \xrightarrow{2} K_3F$$

$$(1.2.4) \quad K_3F \oplus U_F \xrightarrow{(\text{res}_{L|F}, l(\sqrt{a}))} K_3L \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} K_3L$$

$$\text{where } U_F = \text{Ker}(K_2F \xrightarrow{l(-1)} K_3F)$$

**Proof** (Sketch)

**(1.2.1):** One uses Hilbert 90 for  $K_2$  and (1.0.1) with respect to the variables  $a_i$  to reduce to the case  $n = 0$ . Then, if  $\alpha \in \text{Ker}N_{F_0(\sqrt{a})|F_0(a)}$ , one uses again (1.0.1) for  $F_0(\sqrt{a})|F_0$  and  $F_0(a)|F_0$  to show that there exist  $\beta \in K_3F_0(\sqrt{a})$  such that  $\alpha - (1 - \sigma)(\beta) \in K_3F_0$ . However  $K_3F_0 = 0$  if  $F_0$  is finite and  $K_3\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}/2$  generated by  $\{-1, -1, -1\}$ , see [Mi]. In the latter case one has  $\{-1, -1, -1\} = (1 - \sigma)(\{\sqrt{a}, -1, -1\})$ .

**(1.2.2)** follows from the fact that the Galois symbol  $K_3K/2 \rightarrow H^3(K, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is an isomorphism for  $K = F, L$  ([Mi; Lemma 6.2; Theorem 6.3]) and the corresponding exact sequence for Galois cohomology.

(1.2.3) can be derived from (1.2.1) in the same way as the corresponding result for  $K_2$  (see [MS, Lemma 10.4] or [S; Lemma 3]); one also uses Lemma 1.1.

(1.2.4) will be proved in detail.

Let  $\alpha \in K_3L$  such that  $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$ . Since  $\text{res}_{L|F} \circ N_{L|F}(\alpha) = (1 + \sigma)(\alpha) \in 2K_3L$ , (1.2.2) implies that

$$N_{L|F}(\alpha) = \{a, \beta\} + 2\gamma$$

for some  $\beta \in K_2F$ ,  $\gamma \in K_3F$ . Replacing  $\alpha$  by  $\alpha - \text{res}_{L|F}(\gamma)$  we may assume  $\gamma = 0$ . Put  $\alpha' = \alpha - \{\sqrt{a}, \beta\}$ . Then

$$(1 - \sigma)(\alpha') = -\{\sqrt{a}, \beta\} + \{-\sqrt{a}, \beta\} = \{-1, \beta\}$$

On the other hand

$$(1 + \sigma)(\alpha') = \text{res}_{L|F} \circ N_{L|F}(\alpha') = \text{res}_{L|F}(\{a, \beta\} - \{-a, \beta\}) = \{-1, \beta\}$$

Hence  $2\alpha' = 0$  and (1.2.3) implies  $\alpha' = \{-1, \delta\}$  for some  $\delta \in K_2L$ . Since

$$\{a, \beta\} = N_{L|F}(\alpha) = N_{L|F}(\alpha' + \{\sqrt{a}, \beta\}) = \{-1, N_{L|F}(\delta)\} + \{-a, \beta\}$$

we have  $\beta' = \beta + N_{L|F}(\delta) \in U_F$ . These facts yield

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \{\sqrt{a}, \beta\} + \{-1, \delta\} = \{\sqrt{a}, \beta'\} - \{-\sqrt{a}, \delta\} - \{\sqrt{a}, \sigma(\delta)\} \\ &= \{\sqrt{a}, \beta'\} - \text{res}_{L|F} \circ N_{L|F}(\{-\sqrt{a}, \delta\}). \end{aligned}$$

qed.

## § 2 Severi-Brauer Varieties

Let  $F$  be a field,  $\text{Char}F \neq 2$ . For  $a, b \in F^*$  let

$$D = D(a, b) = \langle A, B \mid A^2 = a, B^2 = b, AB = -AB \rangle.$$

The Severi-Brauer variety to the quaternion algebra  $D$  is isomorphic to the quadric hypersurface  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  defined by  $X_1^2 - aX_2^2 - bX_3^2 = 0$ . It is well known that

$$D \simeq M_2(F) \iff X \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \iff b \in N_{F(\sqrt{a})|F}(F(\sqrt{a})^*) \iff \{a, b\} \in 2K_2F.$$

Now suppose  $a \notin (F^*)^2$  and let  $L = F(\sqrt{a})$ . An explicit isomorphism  $X_L \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_L^1$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [X_1 : X_2 : X_3] &\longrightarrow [(X_1 + \sqrt{a}X_2) : X_3] = [bX_3 : (X_1 - \sqrt{a}X_2)] \text{ with inverse} \\ [S_1 : S_2] &\longrightarrow [\sqrt{a}(S_1^2 + bS_2^2) : (S_1^2 - bS_2^2) : 2\sqrt{a}S_1S_2] \end{aligned}$$

The function  $t = S_1/S_2$  is a generator of the function field of  $\mathbb{P}_L^1$ . In this paper we identify the function field  $L(X)$  of  $X_L$  with  $L(t)$  by means of the above isomorphism. Note that the action of  $\text{Gal}(L|F)$  is given by  $t \rightarrow b/t$ ; in particular  $N_{L(X)|F(X)}(t) = b$ .

We have to use the following result of Merkur'ev and Suslin.

**Proposition 2.1.**

i) The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_2F \xrightarrow{d} K_2F(X) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in X^{(1)}} K_1\kappa(v) \xrightarrow{\theta} K_1D \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

ii) For every  $\alpha \in \bigoplus_{v \in X^{(1)}} K_1\kappa(v)$  there exist  $v_0 \in X^{(1)}$  of degree two and  $\alpha_0 \in K_1\kappa(v_0)$  such that  $\alpha - \alpha_0 \in \text{Im } d$ .

For i) see [MS] or [S; Proposition 3]. To prove ii) represent  $\theta(\alpha)$  by  $x \in D^*$ . Let  $F_x$  be a maximal commutative subfield of  $D$  containing  $x$ . Now choose  $v_0$  such that  $\kappa(v_0) \simeq F_x$  and take for  $\alpha_0$  the element corresponding to  $x$ . qed.

§ 3 **Theorem B in a special case**

Let  $F_0 \neq \mathbb{Z}/2$  be a prime field and let  $F/F_0(a_1, \dots, a_n, a, b)$  be pure transcendental over  $F_0$ . Put  $D = D(a, b)$  and let  $X$  be the Severi-Brauer variety corresponding to  $D$ .  $L = F(\sqrt{a})$  is a splitting field of  $D$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** \* The sequence

$$K_3F(X) \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{v \in X^{(1)}} K_2\kappa(v) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}} K_2F$$

is exact.

Here  $\mathcal{N}$  is induced by the norm for finite extensions. Since  $\mathcal{N} = \text{Nrd} \circ \theta$ , Theorem 3.1 implies Theorem B in this case.

Note that, over  $L$ , the sequence of Theorem 3.1 reads as

$$(3.1.1) \quad K_3L(t) \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}_L} K_2L_p \oplus K_2\kappa(w_\infty) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}} K_2L$$

under the identification  $L(X) = L(t)$  of § 2 ( $w_\infty$  denotes the point of  $X_L$  defined by  $t = \infty$ ). Since  $\kappa(w_\infty) = L$ , the exactness of (3.1.1) is clear by the exactness of (1.0.1).

---

\* There is a simpler proof of Theorem 3.1 than the one given here: One has to compare the sequence of Theorem 3.1 via Galois symbol (which is an isomorphism for  $F(X)$ , see the proof of 3.1) with the spectral sequence for Galois cohomology associated to the field extension  $F(X)|F$ .

We have to consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & K_3F & \longrightarrow & K_3F(X) & & \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
& & N_{L|F} & & & & \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
K_3L & \longrightarrow & K_3L(X) & \xrightarrow{d_L} & \bigoplus_v K_2L_v & & \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
& & 1-\sigma & & 1-\sigma & & \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
K_3L & \longrightarrow & K_3L(X) & \xrightarrow{d_L} & \bigoplus_v K_2L_v & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}} & K_2L \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
& & & & K_3F(X) & \xrightarrow{d_F} & \bigoplus_v K_2F_v & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}} & K_2F
\end{array}$$

Here I have changed notation a little bit.  $v$  runs everywhere (!) over the closed points of  $X$ ,  $F_v = \kappa(v)$  is the residue field of  $v$  and  $L_v = F_v \otimes_F L$ .

**Lemma 3.2**

Let  $\alpha \in \bigoplus_v K_2F_v$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha) = 0$ . Then there exist  $\beta \in K_3L(X)$  and  $\gamma \in K_3L$  such that

- i)  $d_L(\beta) = \text{res}_{L|F}(\alpha)$ .
- ii)  $\text{res}_{L(X)|L}(\gamma) = (1 - \sigma)(\beta)$ .
- iii)  $N_{L|F}(\gamma) = 0$ .

**Proof**

The exactness of (3.1.1) implies the existence of  $\beta$  such that i) holds. Since  $d_L \circ (1 - \sigma)(\beta) = (1 - \sigma) \circ d_L(\beta) = (1 - \sigma) \circ \text{res}_{L|F}(\sigma) = 0$ , there exist a (unique)  $\gamma \in K_3L$  such that ii) holds. Note that  $N_{L|F}(\gamma)$  depends only on  $\alpha$ . However, to prove that indeed  $N_{L|F}(\gamma) = 0$  one constructs  $\beta$  more explicitly (I don't know a direct argument, because  $K_3F \rightarrow K_3F(X)$  is not injective; e.g.  $\{-1, a, b\} = 0$  in  $K_3F(X)$ ). I use the identification  $X_L \simeq \mathbb{P}_L^1$  of § 2. Let  $v_\infty$  be the closed point of  $X$  which splits over  $L$  into the points  $w_0, w_\infty$  given by  $t = 0, t = \infty$  respectively. Let us first assume that  $\alpha = (\alpha_v)_v \in \bigoplus_{v \neq v_\infty} K_2F_v$ . Denote by  $t_v$  the residue class of  $t$  in  $L_v, v \neq v_\infty$ . Put

$$\beta = \sum_{v \neq v_\infty} N_{L_v(t)|L(t)}(\{t - t_v, \text{res}_{L_v|F_v}(\alpha_v)\})$$

It is clear from Lemma 1.1 that i) holds. Since  $\sigma(t) = b/t$  we also have  $\sigma(t_v) = b/t_v$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 - \sigma)(\beta) &= \sum_{v \neq v_\infty} N_{L_v(t)|L(t)} \left( \left\{ \frac{t-t_v}{\sigma(t)-\sigma(t_v)}, \text{res}_{L_v|F_v}(\alpha_v) \right\} \right) \\
&= \sum_{v \neq v_\infty} N_{L_v(t)|L(t)} \left( \left\{ \frac{tt_v}{-b}, \text{res}_{L_v|F_v}(\alpha_v) \right\} \right) \\
&= \sum_{v \neq v_\infty} \left\{ \frac{t}{b}, N_{L_v|F_v}(\alpha_v) \right\} + \text{res}_{L(t)|L}(\gamma) \\
&= \left\{ \frac{t}{b}, \text{res}_{L|F} \circ \mathcal{N}(\alpha) \right\} + \text{res}_{L(t)|L}(\gamma)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma = \sum_{v \neq v_\infty} N_{L_v|L}(\{t_v, \text{res}_{L_v|F_v}(\alpha_v)\})$ . With this choice of  $\gamma$  ii) and iii) hold, since  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha) = 0$  and  $N_{L|F}(\gamma) = \sum_v N_{F_v|F}\{b, \alpha_v\} = \{b, \mathcal{N}(\alpha)\}$ .

For the general case it suffices to show  $K_2F_{v_\infty} \subset \text{Im } d_F \oplus \bigoplus_{v \neq v_\infty} K_2F_v$ . Note that  $\text{cor}_{L|F} : K_2L_{v_\infty} \rightarrow K_2F_{v_\infty}$  induces an isomorphism  $K_2\kappa(w_\infty) \rightarrow K_2F_{v_\infty}$ . For  $\alpha \in K_2F_{v_\infty}$  let  $\alpha' \in K_2\kappa(w_\infty) = K_2L$  such that  $\text{cor}_{L|F}(\alpha') = \alpha$ . Now, if  $f \in L(X)$  is any function having a zero at  $w_\infty$  and no zero or pole at  $w_0$ , then

$$\alpha - d_F \text{cor}_{L(X)|F(X)}\{f, \alpha\} \in \bigoplus_{v \neq v_\infty} K_2F_v. \quad \text{qed.}$$

### Proof of Theorem 3.1.

For  $\alpha \in \text{Ker } \mathcal{N}$  we have to show  $\alpha \in \text{Im } d_F$ . Let  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  be as in Lemma 3.2. By (1.2.1) there exist  $\beta' \in K_3L$  such that  $(1 - \sigma)(\beta') = \gamma$ . Replacing  $\beta$  by  $\beta - \beta'$  we may assume  $(1 - \sigma)(\beta) = 0$ . Hence, by (1.2.4),  $\beta = \text{res}_{L(X)|F(X)}(\beta'') + \{\sqrt{a}, \delta\}$  for some  $\beta'' \in K_3F(X)$ ,  $\delta \in U_{F(X)}$ . (We can apply (1.2.4), since

$F(X) = \text{qf } F_0[a_1, \dots, a_n, a, b, X_1, X_2]/(X_1^2 - aX_2^2 - b) = F_0(a_1, \dots, a_n, a, X_1, X_2)$ ). After replacing  $\alpha$  by  $\alpha - d_F(\beta'')$  and  $\beta$  by  $\beta - \text{res}_{L(X)|F(X)}(\beta'')$ , we have the following situation

- i)  $d_L(\beta) = \text{res}_{L|F}(\alpha)$ .
- ii)  $\beta = \{\sqrt{a}, \delta\}$ ,  $\delta \in K_2F(Y)$ .
- iii)  $\{-1, \delta\} = 0$  in  $K_3F(Y)$ .

### Claim

There exist  $\rho \in K_2L(X)$  such that  $\text{cor}_{L|F} \circ d_L(\rho) = d_F(\delta)$ .

### Proof

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\{a, d_F(\delta)\} &= d_F(\{a, \delta\}) = d_F(\{-a, \delta\}) = d_F \circ N_{L(X)|F(X)}(\beta) \\
&= \text{cor}_{L|F} \circ d_L(\beta) = \text{cor}_{L|F} \circ \text{res}_{L|F}(\alpha) = 2\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

there exist  $\mu \in \bigoplus_v K_1L_v$  such that  $\text{cor}_{L|F}(\mu) = d_F(\delta)$ .

(use the general fact:  $\{a, b\} \in 2K_2K \iff b \in N_{K(\sqrt{a})|K}(K(\sqrt{a})^*)$ ).

We now alter  $\mu$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(\mu) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\mu \in \text{Im } d_L$ . Since  $N_{L|F} \circ \mathcal{N}(\mu) = \mathcal{N} \circ d_F(\delta) = 0$ , there exist by Hilbert 90  $\lambda \in K_1L$  such that  $(1 - \sigma)(\lambda) = \mathcal{N}(\mu)$ . Let  $w$  be a rational point of  $X_L$ ; the residue class field  $\kappa(w)$  is a direct factor of  $L_v$  for some closed point  $v$  of  $X$ . Let  $\varphi : L \rightarrow \kappa(w)$  be the natural isomorphism and put  $\mu' = \mu - (1 - \sigma)(\varphi(\lambda)) \in \bigoplus_v K_1L_v$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}(\mu') = \mathcal{N}(\mu) - (1 - \sigma)(\lambda) = 0$ , so there exist  $\rho \in K_2L(X)$  such that  $d_L(\rho) = \mu'$ . The claim follows by

$$\text{cor}_{L|F} \circ d_L(\rho) = \text{cor}_{L|F}(\mu - (1 - \sigma)(\varphi(\lambda))) = \text{cor}_{L|F}(\mu) = d_F(\delta).$$

We continue the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Let  $\alpha' = \alpha - d_F \circ N_{L(X)|F(X)}(\sqrt{a}, \rho)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha &= \{a, d_F(\delta)\} = \{a, \text{cor}_{L|F} \circ d_L(\rho)\} = d_F \circ N_{L(X)|F(X)}\{a, \rho\} \\ &= 2d_F \circ N_{L(X)|F(X)}(\{\sqrt{a}, \rho\}) \end{aligned}$$

we have  $2\alpha' = 0$ . The analogue to (1.2.3) for  $K_2$  ([MS, Lemma 10.4]) implies  $\alpha' = \{-1, \xi\}$  for some  $\xi \in K_1 F_v$ . By Proposition 2.1 ii) there exist a closed point  $v \in X$  of degree two,  $\xi_0 \in K_1 F_v$  and  $\eta \in K_2 F(X)$  such that  $d_F \eta = \xi - \xi_0$ . Then

$$\alpha = \{-1, \xi_0\} + d_F(N_{L(X)|F(X)}(\{\sqrt{a}, \rho\}) + \{-1, \eta\}).$$

Hence we may assume that  $\alpha$  is concentrated in some point  $v$  of  $X$  of degree two, i.e.,  $\alpha \in K_2 F_v$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be the generator of  $\text{Gal}(F_v|F)$ . Since  $N_{F_v|F}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}(\alpha) = 0$ , Hilbert 90 for  $K_2$  implies  $\alpha = (1 - \varepsilon)(\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda \in K_2 F_v$ . We consider the base extension  $F \rightarrow F'$ , where  $F'|F$  is isomorphic to  $F_v|F$ . Let  $\varepsilon'$  be the generator of  $\text{Gal}(F'|F)$  and let  $v_0$  and  $v_1 = \varepsilon'(v_0)$  be the points over  $v$ . Moreover let  $\varphi_i : F_v = F' \rightarrow \kappa(v_i)$  be the natural identification. If we put  $x = \varphi_0(\lambda) - \varphi_1(\lambda) \in \bigoplus_v K_2 F'_v$ , then  $\text{cor}_{F'|F}(x) = (1 - \varepsilon)(\lambda) = \alpha$ . Now take  $y \in K_3 F'(X)$  such that  $d_{F'}(y) = x$ ; this is possible since  $D$  is split over  $F'$  and  $\mathcal{N}(x) = 0$ . Then  $\alpha = \text{cor}_{F'|F}(x) = d_F \circ N_{F'(X)|F(X)}(y) \in \text{Im } d_F$  qed.

#### § 4 Proof of Theorem B (Sketch)

The hard point in the proof of Theorem B is

##### **Theorem 4.1.**

If  $\alpha \in \bigoplus_{\substack{v \in X^{(1)} \\ \deg v=2}} K_2 \kappa(v)$  and  $\theta(\alpha) = 0$ , then  $\alpha \in \text{Im } d$ .

The general case is covered by the following two lemmas

##### **Lemma 4.2**

Every element of  $\text{Ker } \theta / \text{Im } d$  is of order 2.

This follows by adjoining a splitting field of  $D$  of degree two and the usual transfer arguments. So we may assume that  $F$  has no extension of odd degree.

##### **Lemma 4.3**

If  $F$  has no extension of odd degree, then

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{v \in X^{(1)} \\ \deg v > 2}} K_2 \kappa(v) \subset \text{Im } d + \bigoplus_{\substack{v \in X^{(1)} \\ \deg v=2}} K_2 \kappa(v).$$

The proof is similar to that of the  $K_1$ -case in [R2].

In the following we use the notation of [Re]. One has an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow K_2D \rightarrow U_D \xrightarrow{\pi} [D^*, D^*] \rightarrow 1$$

where  $U_D$  is generated by elements  $c(x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in D^*$  and  $\pi(c(x, y)) = [x, y]$ .

Now choose maps  $\psi_0, \psi_1 : [D^*, D^*] \rightarrow D^*$  such that  $[\psi_0(x), \psi_1(x)] = x$  and  $\psi_i(1) = 1$ . The defining relations for the  $c(u, v)$  in [Re] and the Reidemeister-Schreier method [MKS] yield the following representation of  $K_2D$ .

**Lemma 4.4**

$K_2D$  is generated by the elements

$$d(u; x, y) = c(\psi_0(u), \psi_1(u)) \cdot c(x, y) \cdot c(\psi_0(u[x, y]), \psi_1(u[x, y]))^{-1}$$

$$u \in [D^*, D^*], x, y \in D^*$$

with the following set of defining relations:

$$\begin{array}{ll} R_0(u, x) & d(u; x, 1-x) = 1 \\ R_1(u, x, y, z) & d(u; xy, z) = d(u; xyx^{-1}, xzx^{-1}) \cdot d(ux[y, z]x^{-1}; x, z) \\ R_2(u, x, y, z) & d(u; x, yz) \cdot d(u[x, yz]; y, zx) \cdot d(u[xy, z]; z, xy) = 1 \\ R_3(u) & d(1; \psi_0(u), \psi_1(u)) = 1 \end{array}$$

Let

$$H_D = \langle h(x, y); x, y \in D^*, [x, y] = 1 \mid h(x, 1-x) = 1; h(x, y)h(x, z) = h(x, yz); [h(x, y), h(x', y')] = 1 \rangle$$

There is a natural map  $\mu : H_D \rightarrow K_2D$ , sending  $h(x, y)$  to  $c(x, y) = d(1; x, y)$ . By [RS; § 4]  $\mu$  is surjective. Note that  $[x, y] = 1$  implies that  $x$  and  $y$  are contained in a maximal commutative subfield of  $D$  which is unique if  $x \notin F^*$  or  $y \notin F^*$ .

There is a bijection

$$v : \{\text{maximal commutative subfields of } D\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \{\text{closed points of } X \text{ of degree } 2\},$$

such that  $\kappa(v(L)) \simeq L$ .

Let  $\Omega_D = \bigoplus_{\deg v=2} K_2\kappa(v)/\text{Im } d$ . One defines an homomorphism  $\phi : H_D \rightarrow \Omega_D$  by  $\phi(h(x, y)) = \{x, y\} \in K_2\kappa(v(L)) \text{ mod Im } d$ , where  $L \subset D$  is a maximal commutative subfield containing  $x$  and  $y$ . It turns out that  $\phi$  is well defined, surjective and that  $\theta \circ \phi = \mu$ . (I can show that  $\phi$  is also injective, at least if  $F$  has no extension of odd degree. Theorem B then implies  $H_D \cong K_2D$ ). So we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_D & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \Omega_D \\ & \searrow \mu & \swarrow \theta \\ & & K_2D \end{array}$$

To prove Theorem 4.1 we construct a surjective section  $s$  as follows. For every generator  $d(u; x, y)$  choose a preimage  $g(u; x, y) \in H_D$ . Now put  $s(d(u; x, y)) = \phi(g(u; x, y))$ . The problem is of course to show that  $s$  is well defined. (To guarantee surjectivity of  $s$  one takes  $g(1; x, y) = h(x, y)$  if  $[x, y] = 1$ ). In any case one gets an homomorphism  $s' : G_D \rightarrow \Omega_D$ , where  $G_D$  is the free group generated by the  $d(u; x, y)$ . To show that  $s'$  vanishes on the relations of Lemma 4.4. one has to be very careful in the choice of  $\psi_0, \psi_1$  and the  $g(u, x, y)$ . For a certain specific choice of  $s'$  (I don't see another way than to give explicit formulas using the method of proof of [RS; Proposition 4.1]) one shows:

**Lemma**

For every  $u \in [D^*, D^*]; x, y, z \in D^*$  there exist

- i) a rational function field  $F_0(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n, \bar{a}, \bar{b})$  over the prime field  $F_0$  of  $F$ .
- ii) elements  $\bar{u} \in [\bar{D}^*, \bar{D}^*]; \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in \bar{D}^*$ , where  $\bar{D} = D(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$
- iii) maps  $\bar{\psi}_0, \bar{\psi}_1 : [\bar{D}^*, \bar{D}^*] \rightarrow \bar{D}^*$  and an homomorphism  $\bar{s} : G_{\bar{D}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{D}}$  with the corresponding properties as  $\psi_0, \psi_1$  and  $s'$ .
- iv) a specialization  $\rho : F[\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n, \bar{a}, \bar{b}] \rightarrow F$  such that  $\rho(\bar{a}) = a, \rho(\bar{b}) = b, \rho(\bar{u}) = u, \rho(\bar{x}) = x, \rho(\bar{y}) = y, \rho(\bar{z}) = z$ .
- v) a diagram of homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G_{\bar{D}} & \xrightarrow{\bar{s}'} & \Omega_{\bar{D}} \\
 \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \rho \\
 G_D & \xrightarrow{s'} & \Omega_D
 \end{array}$$

which is commutative at least on the elements  $d(., ., .)$  which occur in the relations  $R_0(\bar{u}, \bar{x}), R_1(\bar{u}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$  etc., that is  $d(\bar{u}, \bar{x}, 1 - \bar{x}), d(\bar{u}, \bar{x}\bar{y}, \bar{z})$  etc.

Using this lemma one argues as follows:

By Theorem 3.1  $\bar{s}'$  vanishes on the relations for  $K_2\bar{D}$ ; in particular  $\bar{s}'(R_0(\bar{u}; \bar{x})) = 0, \bar{s}'(R_1(\bar{u}; \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})) = 0$ , etc. Then v) shows  $s'(R_0(u, x)) = 0, s'(R_1(u, x, y, z)) = 0$  etc., which is the desired conclusion.

§ 5 Proof of Hilbert 90 (Theorem A)

Theorem B and the injectivity of the reduced norm  $\text{Nrd} : K_2 D \rightarrow K_2 F$  (see [R2]) yield:

**Theorem 5.1**

The sequence

$$K_3 F(X) \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{v \in X^{(1)}} K_2 \kappa(v) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}} K_2 F$$

is exact.

We have to generalize this theorem to a product of Severi-Brauer varieties. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a family of Severi-Brauer varieties over  $F$  of dimension 1. Put  $X = X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$  and  $\hat{X}_i = X_1 \times \dots \times X_{i-1} \times X_{i+1} \times \dots \times X_n$ . Let  $\bar{X}_i$  be the fiber over the generic point of  $\hat{X}_i$  with respect to the natural projection.  $\bar{X}_i$  is a Severi-Brauer variety over the function field  $F(\hat{X}_i)$ ; thus we have an exact sequence as in Theorem 5.1:

$$K_3 F(\bar{X}_i) \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{v \in \bar{X}_i^{(1)}} K_2 \kappa(v) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_i} K_2 F(\hat{X}_i).$$

We have  $\bar{X}_i^{(1)} \subset X^{(1)}$  and a bijection  $X^{(1)} \setminus \bar{X}_i^{(1)} \rightarrow \hat{X}_i^{(1)}$  induced by projection. Therefore

$$\bigoplus_{v \in X^{(1)}} K_2 \kappa(v) = \bigoplus_{v \in \bar{X}_i^{(1)}} K_2 \kappa(v) \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in \hat{X}_i^{(1)}} K_2(\kappa(X_i \times_F \kappa(v))).$$

Let  $\pi_i : \bigoplus_{v \in X^{(1)}} K_2 \kappa(v) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in \bar{X}_i^{(1)}} K_2 \kappa(v)$  be the corresponding projection. Put  $N_i = \mathcal{N}_i \circ \pi_i$ .

**Corollary 5.2.**

The sequence

$$K_3 F(X) \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{v \in X^{(1)}} K_2 \kappa(v) \xrightarrow{(d', \oplus N_i)} \bigoplus_{v \in X^{(2)}} K_1 \kappa(v) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n K_2 F(\hat{X}_i)$$

is exact.

**Proof**

If  $n = 1$  this is Theorem 5.1. For an induction proof let us denote  $X = X^n$ ,  $\bar{X}_i = \bar{X}_i^n$  and  $N_i = N_i^n$  to make clear the dependency on  $n$  ( $i \leq n$ ). Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
K_3F(X^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigoplus_{v \in (X^{n-1})^{(1)}} K_2\kappa(v) & \xrightarrow{(d', \oplus N_i^{n-1})} & \bigoplus_{v \in (X^{n-1})^{(2)}} K_1\kappa(v) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} K_2F(\hat{X}_i^{n-1}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\
K_3F(X^n) & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigoplus_{v \in (X^n)^{(1)}} K_2\kappa(v) & \xrightarrow{(d', \oplus N_i^n)} & \bigoplus_{v \in (X^n)^{(2)}} K_1\kappa(v) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n K_2F(\hat{X}_i^n) \\
\downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \pi_n & & \uparrow \\
K_3F(\bar{X}_n^n) & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigoplus_{v \in (\bar{X}_n^n)^{(1)}} K_2\kappa(v) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_n} & K_2F(\hat{X}_n^n)
\end{array}$$

Note that  $X^{n-1} = \hat{X}_n$ . The homomorphisms denoted by  $f$  and  $g$  are injective by Proposition 2.1. i).

Now let  $\alpha \in \bigoplus_{v \in (X^n)^{(1)}} K_2\kappa(v)$  such that  $d'(\alpha) = 0$  and  $N_i^n(\alpha) = 0$ ; we have to show  $\alpha \in \text{Im } d + \text{Im } f$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}_n \circ \pi_n(\alpha) = 0$  and the lower sequence is exact, there is a  $\beta \in K_3F(X^n)$  such that  $\pi_n(\alpha - d(\beta)) = 0$ . So we may assume  $\pi_n(\alpha) = 0$ , that is

$$\alpha \in \bigoplus_{v \in (X^{n-1})^{(1)}} K_2\kappa(X_n \times_F \kappa(v)).$$

The homomorphism  $d'$  in the middle row can be written as

$$? \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in (X^{n-1})^{(1)}} K_2\kappa(X_n \times_F \kappa(v)) \xrightarrow{d'} \bigoplus_{v' \in X_n^{(1)}, v \in (X^{n-1})^{(1)}} K_1\kappa(v' \times v) \oplus ?$$

Hence  $d'(\alpha) = 0$  and Proposition 2.1. i) imply

$$\alpha \in \bigoplus_{v \in (X^{n-1})^{(1)}} K_2\kappa(v) = \text{Im } f.$$

qed.

Now we are ready to start the proof of Hilbert 90.

**Lemma 5.3**

Let  $\alpha \in K_3L$  such that  $N_{L|F}(\alpha) = 0$ . Then there exist  $r, n, m, p_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $b_i \in F^*$ ,  $\alpha_i \in K_2L$ ,  $c_j \in F^*$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ ) and  $\rho \in K_2F$  such that

- i)  $\alpha = \sum_i \{b_i, \alpha_i\}$
- ii)  $b_0^r = 1$
- iii)  $N_{L|F}(\alpha_0) = \sum_j p_{0j} \{1 - d_j, c_j\} + r\rho$ ,  
 $N_{L|F}(\alpha_i) = \sum_j p_{ij} \{1 - d_j, c_j\}, i \geq 1$  where  $d_j = \pi_i b_i^{p_{ij}}$ .

The proof is completely analogous to that of [MS; Lemma 13.3].  $\square$

Let  $X_i$  be the Severi-Brauer variety associated to  $D(a, b_i)$  and let  $X = X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ .  $L(X)$  denotes the function field of  $X_L$ .

**Lemma 5.4**

There exist  $\beta \in K_3L(X)$  and  $\gamma \in \bigoplus_{v \in X^{(1)}} K_2\kappa(v)$  such that

- i)  $\text{res}_{L(X)|L}(\alpha) = (1 - \sigma)(\beta)$ .
- ii)  $d\beta = \text{res}_{L|F}(\gamma)$
- iii)  $(d', \bigoplus N_i)(\gamma) = 0$ .

Suppose the lemma holds. Then, by iii) and Corollary 5.2, we have  $\gamma = d(\delta)$  for some  $\delta \in K_3F(X)$ . Put  $\beta' = \beta - \text{res}_{L(X)|F(X)}(\delta)$ . Then ii) implies  $d\beta' = 0$ , i.e.,  $\beta' \in K_3L$  and i) yields  $\alpha = (1 - \sigma)(\beta') \in (1 - \sigma)(K_3L)$ , which was to be shown.

**Proof of Lemma 5.4**

We identify  $L(X_i)$  with  $L(t_i)$  as in § 2; then  $L(X) = L(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ . Moreover  $\sigma(t_i) = b_i/t_i$ , hence  $N_{L(X)|F(X)}(t_i) = b_i$ . Put  $s_j = \prod_i t_i^{p_{ij}}$ ; then  $N_{L(X)|F(X)}(s_j) = d_j$ . Let  $F_j = F[x_j]/(x_j^2 - d_j)$  and  $L_j = F_j \otimes_F L$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \sum_i \{b_i, \alpha_i\} = \sum_i \{t_i, N_{L|F}(\alpha_i)\} - (1 - \sigma) \sum_i \{t_i, \sigma(\alpha_i)\} \\ &= \sum_j \{s_j, 1 - d_j, c_j\} + \{t_0^r, \rho\} - (1 - \sigma) \sum_i \{t_i, \sigma(\alpha_i)\} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.3. Put

$$\beta = \sum_j N_{L_j(X)|L(X)}\{x_j + s_j, 1 - x_j, c_j\} + \{1 + t_0^r, \rho\} - \sum_i \{t_i, \sigma(\alpha_i)\}.$$

Then  $\alpha = (1 - \sigma)(\beta)$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} N_{L_j(X)|L(X)} \circ (1 - \sigma)(\{x_j + s_j, 1 - x_j\}) &= N_{L_j(X)|L(X)}(\{\frac{s_j}{x_j}, 1 - x_j\}) = \\ &= \{s_j, N_{F_j|F}(1 - x_j)\} = \{s_j, 1 - d_j\} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{L(X)|F(X)}(t_0^r) = b_0^r = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $P_i, P'_i \in \bigoplus_{w \in X_L^{(1)}} K_0\kappa(w)$  the canonical generators of  $K_0\kappa(\{t_i = 0\})$ ,  $K_0\kappa(\{t_i = \infty\})$ , respectively; in particular  $d(t_i) = P_i - P'_i$ . Define  $R_0 \in \bigoplus_{w \in X_L^{(1)}} K_0\kappa(w)$  and  $Q_j \in \bigoplus_{w \in X_{L_j}^{(1)}} K_0\kappa(w)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} d(1 + t_0^r) &= R_0 - rP'_0 \\ d(x_j + s_j) &= Q_j - \sum_i p_{ij} \text{res}_{L_j|L}(P'_j) \end{aligned}$$

A little calculation shows

$$d\beta = \sum_j \text{cor}_{L_j|L}(\{1 - x_j, c_j\} \cdot Q_j) + \rho R_0 - \sum_i (\sigma(\alpha_i)P_i + \alpha_i P'_i)$$

Note that  $\sigma(P_i) = P'_i$ ,  $\sigma(R_0) = R_0$  and  $\sigma(Q_j) = Q_j$ . In particular  $R_0 \in \bigoplus_{v \in X^{(1)}} K_0\kappa(w)$

and  $Q_j \in \bigoplus_{v \in X_{F_j}^{(1)}} K_0 \kappa(w)$ . Therefore  $d\beta = \text{res}_{L|F}(\gamma)$ , where

$$\gamma = \sum_j \text{cor}_{F_j|F}(\{1 - x_j, c_j\} \cdot Q_j) + \rho R_0 - \text{cor}_{L|F}(\sum_i \alpha_i P'_i) \in \bigoplus_{v \in X^{(1)}} K_2 \kappa(v).$$

It is straight forward to verify iii) for this choice of  $\gamma$ .

qed.

## Bibliography

- [BT] Bass, H.; Tate, J.: The Milnor ring of a global field, Algebraic  $K$ -theory II, Springer, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 342 (1973), 349-446.
- [K] Kato, K.: The norm homomorphism of Milnor's  $K$ -group.
- [Mi] Milnor, J.: Algebraic  $K$ -Theory and Quadratic Forms, Invent. math. 9, 318-344, 1970.
- [Me] Merkur'ev, A.S.:  $K_2$  of fields and the Brauer group. Proceedings of the Boulder Conference on  $K$ -Theory, 1983.
- [MKS] Magnus, W.; Karras, A.; Solitar, D.: Combinatorial group theory, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1976.
- [MS] Merkur'ev, A.S.; Suslin, A.A.:  $K$ -Cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and the norm residue homomorphism. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 46, 1982, 1011-1046.
- [R1] Rost, M.: Injectivity of  $K_2 D \rightarrow K_2 F$  for quaternion algebras; in preparation.
- [R2] Rost, M.:  $SK_1$  of some nonsingular quadrics; in preparation.
- [S] Soulé, C.:  $K_2$  et le Groupe de Brauer, Sem. Bourbaki, 1982/83, no. 601, 79-93.
- [Re] Rehmann, U.: Zentrale Erweiterungen der speziellen linearen Gruppe eines Schiefkörpers, J. Reine Angew. Mathematik, **301**, 77-104 (1978).
- [RS] Rehmann, U.; Stuhler, U: On  $K_2$  of finite dimensional division algebras over arithmetical fields, Inv. Math. **50**, 75-90, (1978).

Fakultät für Mathematik  
 Universität Regensburg  
 Universitätsstr. 31  
 D-93040 Regensburg