## NOTES ON MORLEY'S THEOREM

MARKUS ROST

#### Contents

Introduction	1
1. Affine transformations and triangles	2
2. Affine transformations and quadrangles	3
3. Proof of Morley's theorem	3
3.1. The Euclidean case	4
3.2. Trisectors	4
3.3. The geometric mean	4
3.4. Morley points	5
4. The incenter	6
4.1. The Euclidean case	6
4.2. Bisectors	6
4.3. Incenters	6
5. A group theoretic lemma	7
More sources	9
References	9

# INTRODUCTION

Morley's theorem states that

# The three points of intersection of the adjacent trisectors of the angles of any triangle form an equilateral triangle.

This theorem is very curious. A standard source seems to be [4]. Among the many existing proofs we mention here D. J. Newman's proof [10] (also in [6, Ch. 20, p. 163] and on the web) and the article [9]. For more sources see the end of the text.

These notes evolved from a study of the fairly recent proof of Connes ([2]; see also [7], [1]).

We briefly discuss the relation of Connes' point of view of affine transformations with triangles and quadrangles. Then we give a proof of Morley's theorem a la Connes [2]. Finally we consider a purely group theoretic lemma (Lemma 4) which implies Connes' lemma on affine transformations.

In the context of Morley's angle trisector theorem we found it useful to look also—as a toy model—at the fact that the angle bisectors of any triangle meet in

Date: August 26, 2003.

one point. We call this for short the incenter theorem since the point of intersection is the center of the incircle of the triangle. We have complemented many considerations with the corresponding incenter variants.

> Were we to give up, forever, understanding the Morley Miracle? — D. J. Newman

## 1. Affine transformations and triangles

Let F be a field and let Aff(1, F) denote the group of affine transformations of the affine line over F. Elements  $f \in Aff(1, F)$  will be denoted by

$$f(t) = at + b$$
 or  $f = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

If a = 1, then f is a translation. Otherwise f has the unique fixed point

$$\operatorname{Fix}(f) = \frac{b}{1-a}$$

Note that if  $a \neq 1$ , but  $a^n = 1$  for some n > 1, then  $f^n = 1$ . Moreover, if f,  $g \in Aff(1, F)$  commute, then f and g are both translations or have a common fixed point.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $f_0$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2 \in Aff(1, F)$ . Suppose that the  $f_i$  have no common fixed point and that none of them is a translation. Let  $x_i = Fix(f_i)$ .

Then  $f_0f_1f_2 = 1$  if and only if there exists  $c \in F$  such that

(1) 
$$f_i(t) = \frac{x_{i-1} - c}{x_{i+1} - c}(t - x_i) + x_i$$

(with the indices reduced mod 3). The element c is uniquely determined by  $f_0$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d_i = \det(f_i)$ . We may assume  $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_1 = 1$ . The condition  $f_0 f_1 f_2 = 1$  is equivalent to the conditions

$$d_0 d_1 d_2 = 1,$$
  $x_2 = \frac{d_0 (1 - d_1)}{(1 - d_0 d_1)} = \frac{1 - d_0 d_2}{1 - d_2}$ 

Consider the change of variables

$$c = \frac{1}{1 - d_2}, \qquad d_2 = \frac{1 - c}{-c}$$

Then our conditions give indeed

$$d_0 = \frac{1 - (1 - d_2)x_2}{d_2} = \frac{x_2 - c}{1 - c}, \qquad d_1 = \frac{1}{d_0 d_2} = \frac{-c}{x_2 - c}$$

Example 1. Consider an Euclidean triangle with vertices  $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{C}$  and let c be its circumcenter. Then the affine transformation  $f_i$  given by (1) is the rotation with fixed point  $x_i$  and angle twice the angle at  $x_i$  (with appropriate orientation) of the triangle.

This way Euclidean triangles appear as a special case of triples  $f_0, f_1, f_2 \in Aff(1, F)$  with  $f_0f_1f_2 = 1$ . This is the view point of Connes in his proof of Morley's theorem. We state Connes' generalization of Morley's theorem [2]:

**Lemma 2** (Connes). Let  $t_0$ ,  $t_1$ ,  $t_2 \in Aff(1, F)$ . Suppose none of  $t_0t_1$ ,  $t_1t_2$ ,  $t_2t_0$ ,  $t_0t_1t_2$  is a translation and that  $t_0^3t_1^3t_2^3 = 1$ . Let  $\zeta = \det(t_0t_1t_2)$ .

Then  $1 + \zeta + \zeta^2 = 0$  and

$$\operatorname{Fix}(t_0 t_1) + \zeta \operatorname{Fix}(t_1 t_2) + \zeta^2 \operatorname{Fix}(t_2 t_0) = 0$$

The incenter theorem generalizes as follows:

**Lemma 3.** Let  $t_0$ ,  $t_1$ ,  $t_2 \in Aff(1, F)$ . Suppose none of  $t_0t_1$ ,  $t_1t_2$ ,  $t_2t_0$ ,  $t_0t_1t_2$  is a translation and that  $t_0^2t_1^2t_2^2 = 1$ .

Then the transformations  $t_0t_1$ ,  $t_1t_2$ ,  $t_2t_0$  commute. In particular, their fixed points coincide.

These lemmata will proved in Section 5.

2. Affine transformations and quadrangles

This section will not be used later on. We assume char  $F \neq 2$ . For (generic) points  $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in F$  consider the affine transformations

(2) 
$$f_{ijk\ell} = \frac{(x_i + x_j) - (x_k + x_\ell)}{(x_i + x_k) - (x_j + x_\ell)} (t - x_i) + x_i$$

where  $i, j, k, \ell$  stand for any permutation of 0, 1, 2, 3.

If one takes in (1)

$$c = \frac{x_0 + x_1 + x_2 - x_3}{2}$$

one finds

$$f_i = f_{i,i+1,i-1,3}$$

This way Lemma 1 shows that triples  $f_0$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2 \in \text{Aff}(1, F)$  with  $\det(f_i) \neq 1$ and  $f_0 f_1 f_2 = 1$  (and no common fixed point) are in characteristic different from 2 essentially just quadruples of points in F. Thus the symmetric group  $S_4$  is a group of symmetries of such triples of affine transformations (this is true also in characteristic 2, and more generally over any commutative ring F).

Example 2. Let  $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbf{C}$  be an Euclidean orthocentric quadrangle. This means that all pairs  $x_i - x_j, x_k - x_\ell$  are orthogonal, or, equivalently, that (at least) one of the  $x_i$  is the orthocenter of the triangle formed by the other points  $x_j, x_k, x_\ell$ .

Let c be the circumcenter and let  $h = x_3$  be the orthocenter of the triangle  $x_0$ ,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ . Then

$$2c + h = x_0 + x_1 + x_2$$

(In fact, c, h and the center of mass  $(x_0 + x_1 + x_2)/3$  lie on the Euler line of the triangle.)

It follows that the affine transformation  $f_{ijk\ell}$  is the rotation with fixed point  $x_i$ and angle twice the angle at  $x_i$  (with appropriate orientation) of the triangle  $x_i$ ,  $x_j$ ,  $x_k$ .

## 3. Proof of Morley's Theorem

Let F be an algebraically closed field with char  $F \neq 3$  and let  $\zeta \in F$  be a primitive cube root of 1.

Let  $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in F$ . In the following the letters i, j, k stand for any permutation of 0, 1, 2. We assume that  $x_i \neq 0$  and  $x_i \neq x_j$ .

Choose  $s_{ij} \in F^*$  such that

$$s_{ij}^3 = \frac{x_j}{x_i}, \qquad s_{ij}s_{ji} = 1, \qquad s_{01}s_{12}s_{20} = \zeta$$

It is easy to see that such families  $s_{ij}$  exist and that any such family is determined by  $s_{01}$ ,  $s_{12}$ . Moreover, there are exactly 9 such families which one can get by multiplying  $s_{01}$ ,  $s_{12}$  by powers of  $\zeta$ .

We write

$$\zeta_{ijk} = s_{ij} s_{jk} s_{ki}$$

Thus  $\zeta_{ijk} = \zeta_{jki}, \ \zeta_{ijk} = \zeta_{ikj}^{-1}$  and  $\zeta_{012} = \zeta$ .

3.1. The Euclidean case. As for the proof of Morley's theorem we use the following setup.

One takes  $F = \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/3}$  and assumes that the circumcenter of the triangle  $x_0, x_1, x_2$  is the origin. In other words,  $|x_0| = |x_1| = |x_2|$  where  $|\cdot|$  is the Euclidean norm. Moreover one assumes that the triangle is positively oriented.

Then

$$\frac{x_2}{x_1} \in \mathbf{S}^1 = \{ s \in \mathbf{C} \mid |s| = 1 \}$$

is twice the angle of the triangle at  $x_0$ . We choose the unique family  $s_{ij}$  with

$$\arg s_{ij} = \frac{1}{3} \arg \frac{x_j}{x_i}$$

where  $0 \leq \arg s < 2\pi$  is defined for  $s \in \mathbf{S}^1$  by  $s = e^{i \arg s}$ .

3.2. Trisectors. Consider the 6 elements

$$y_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s_{ij} x_i = s_{ji}^2 x_j = \zeta_{ijk} s_{kj} s_{ki}^2 x_k$$

In the Euclidean case, the elements  $y_{ij}$  are points of the circumcircle. They trisect each of the arcs between the points  $x_i$ .

One has

$$y_{ij}^3 = x_i^2 x_j, \qquad y_{ij} y_{jk} y_{ki} = \zeta_{ijk} x_0 x_1 x_2$$

3.3. The geometric mean. Consider the 3 elements

$$z_i = s_{ij} s_{ik} x_i$$

One has

$$z_i^3 = z_0 z_1 z_2 = x_0 x_1 x_2$$

Moreover

$$z_{i+1} = \zeta z_i$$

which can be seen for instance from

$$\frac{z_1}{z_0} = \frac{s_{12}s_{10}x_1}{s_{01}s_{02}x_0} = s_{12}s_{20}s_{01}s_{10}^3\frac{x_1}{x_0} = \zeta$$

Hence

$$z_0 + \zeta z_1 + \zeta^{-1} z_2 = 0$$

In the Euclidean case, the elements  $z_i$  are points of the circumcenter and form an equilateral triangle.

 $\mathbf{4}$ 

3.4. Morley points. Let  $g_{jk}$  be the affine transformation with

$$g_{jk}(t) = s_{jk}(t - x_i) + x_i$$

We define the Morley points  $m_i$  by

$$g_{ij}(m_i) = g_{ik}(m_i)$$

In the Euclidean case, the transformation  $g_{jk}$  is the rotation with center  $x_i$  and angle  $s_{jk}$ . Moreover  $m_i$  is the fixed point of  $g_{ik}^{-1} \circ g_{ij}$  which can be easily seen as one of the "intersections of the adjacent trisectors" in Morley's theorem. This description is due to Connes [2].

Let us compute  $m_i$ . The defining equation is

$$s_{ij}(m_i - x_k) + x_k = s_{ik}(m_i - x_j) + x_j$$

This gives

$$(s_{ij} - s_{ik})m_i = (x_j - x_k) - (s_{ik}x_j - s_{ij}x_k)$$
  
=  $(s_{ij}^3 - s_{ik}^3)x_i - (s_{ij}^2 - s_{ik}^2)s_{ij}s_{ik}x_i$ 

Hence

$$m_{i} = (s_{ij}s_{ik} + s_{ij}^{2} + s_{ik}^{2})x_{i} - (s_{ij} + s_{ik})s_{ij}s_{ik}x_{i}$$
  
=  $z_{i} + y_{ji} + y_{ki} - \zeta_{ijk}^{-1}y_{jk} - \zeta_{ikj}^{-1}y_{kj}$   
=  $z_{i} + v_{ji} + v_{ki}$ 

where

$$v_{ij} = y_{ij} - \zeta_{ijk}^{-1} y_{ki}$$

Next note that

$$v_{ij} + \zeta_{ijk}v_{jk} + \zeta_{ijk}^{-1}v_{ki} = 0$$

Indeed, one has

$$(y_{10} - \zeta y_{21}) + \zeta (y_{21} - \zeta y_{02}) + \zeta^{-1} (y_{02} - \zeta y_{10}) = 0$$

and

$$(y_{20} - \zeta^{-1}y_{12}) + \zeta(y_{01} - \zeta^{-1}y_{20}) + \zeta^{-1}(y_{12} - \zeta^{-1}y_{01}) = 0$$

since all terms cancel out.

Hence

 $m_0 + \zeta m_1 + \zeta^{-1} m_2 = 0$ 

which is Morley's theorem.

Remark 1. The only thing which might be new in this deduction is that the Morley triangle appears as a superposition of three terms, the triple  $z_0$ ,  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$ , the triple  $v_{10}$ ,  $v_{21}$ ,  $v_{02}$ , and triple  $v_{20}$ ,  $v_{01}$ ,  $v_{12}$ , each of which is subject by itself to the equilaterality relation  $X_0 + \zeta X_1 + \zeta^{-1} X_2 = 0$ :

$$m_0 = z_0 + (y_{10} - \zeta y_{21}) + (y_{20} - \zeta^{-1} y_{12})$$
  

$$m_1 = z_1 + (y_{21} - \zeta y_{02}) + (y_{01} - \zeta^{-1} y_{20})$$
  

$$m_2 = z_2 + (y_{02} - \zeta y_{10}) + (y_{12} - \zeta^{-1} y_{01})$$

I don't know a geometric or algebraic interpretation of this observation.

## 4. The incenter

Let F be an algebraically closed field with char  $F \neq 2$ .

Let  $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in F$ . In the following the letters i, j, k stand for any permutation of 0, 1, 2. We assume that  $x_i \neq 0$  and  $x_i \neq x_j$ .

Choose  $s_{ij} \in F^*$  such that

$$s_{ij}^2 = \frac{x_j}{x_i}, \qquad s_{ij}s_{ji} = 1, \qquad s_{01}s_{12}s_{20} = -1$$

It is easy to see that such families  $s_{ij}$  exist and that any such family is determined by  $s_{01}$ ,  $s_{12}$ . Moreover, there are exactly 4 such families which one can get by multiplying  $s_{01}$ ,  $s_{12}$  by powers of -1.

4.1. The Euclidean case. As for the classical fact that the angle bisectors of an Euclidean triangle meet in one point, the incenter, we use the following setup.

One takes  $F = \mathbf{C}$ , and assumes that the circumcenter of the triangle  $x_0, x_1, x_2$  is the origin. In other words,  $|x_0| = |x_1| = |x_2|$  where  $|\cdot|$  is the Euclidean norm. Moreover one assumes that the triangle is positively oriented.

Then

$$\frac{x_2}{x_1} \in \mathbf{S}^1 = \left\{ \, s \in \mathbf{C} \mid |s| = 1 \, \right\}$$

is twice the angle of the triangle at  $x_0$ . We choose the unique family  $s_{ij}$  with

$$\arg s_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \arg \frac{x_j}{x_i}$$

where  $0 \leq \arg s < 2\pi$  is defined for  $s \in \mathbf{S}^1$  by  $s = e^{i \arg s}$ .

4.2. Bisectors. Consider the 3 elements

$$y_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s_{ij} x_i = s_{ji} x_j = -s_{kj} s_{ki} x_k$$

One has  $y_{ij} = y_{ji}$ .

We also write

In the Euclidean case, the elements  $y_{ij}$  are points of the circumcircle. They bisect each of the arcs between the points  $x_i$ .

One has

$$z_i = y_{jk} = -s_{ij}s_{ik}x_i$$

$$z_i^2 = x_j x_k, \qquad z_0 z_1 z_2 = -x_0 x_1 x_2$$

4.3. Incenters. We write

$$z = z_0 + z_1 + z_2$$

Let  $g_{jk}$  be the affine transformation with

$$g_{jk}(t) = s_{jk}(t - x_i) + x_i$$

and let  $m_i$  be the fixed point of  $g_{ik}^{-1} \circ g_{ij}$ .

In the Euclidean case, the transformation  $g_{jk}$  is the rotation with center  $x_i$  and angle  $s_{jk}$ . The fixed point  $m_i$  is therefore the intersection of the bisectors of the angles at  $x_j$  and  $x_k$ . Thus  $m_1 = m_2 = m_3$  is the incenter of the triangle  $x_0, x_1, x_2$ .

In general we have

$$(3) g_{ij}(z) = g_{ik}(z)$$

so that  $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = z$ .

*Proof of* (3). Let us compute  $m_i$ . The defining equation is

$$s_{ij}(m_i - x_k) + x_k = s_{ik}(m_i - x_j) + x_j$$

This gives

$$(s_{ij} - s_{ik})m_i = (x_j - x_k) - (s_{ik}x_j - s_{ij}x_k)$$
$$= (s_{ij}^2 - s_{ik}^2)x_i - (s_{ij} - s_{ik})s_{ij}s_{ik}x_i$$

Hence

$$m_i = (s_{ij} + s_{ik} - s_{ij}s_{ik})x_i = z_k + z_j + z_i$$

One can set up things also this way: Choose  $a, u_1, u_2, u_3$  with

$$x_i = a u_i^2$$

Then one can take

$$s_{ij} = -\frac{u_j}{u_i}, \qquad z_i = -au_i u_j$$

and for the incenter one has

 $z = -a(u_0u_1 + u_1u_2 + u_2u_0)$ 

5. A group theoretic lemma

**Lemma 4.** Let  $t_0$ ,  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$  be elements of a group G. Suppose that G is metabelian (i. e., [G,G] is abelian) and

$$(t_0 t_1 t_2)^3 = (t_0^2 t_1^2 t_2^2)^3 = t_0^3 t_1^3 t_2^3 = 1$$

Then

(4) 
$$[[t_0t_1, t_1t_2], t_2t_0] = (t_0t_1t_2)[[t_2t_0, t_0t_1], t_1t_2](t_0t_1t_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

*Proof.* We have to show

$$[[t_0t_1, t_1t_2], t_2t_0](t_0t_1t_2) \stackrel{?}{=} (t_0t_1t_2)[[t_2t_0, t_0t_1], t_1t_2]$$

One multiplies out and collects appropriate terms.

$$\begin{aligned} &(t_0t_1^2t_2t_1^{-1})[(t_0^{-1}t_2^{-1}t_1^{-1})(t_2t_0t_1)(t_2t_0t_1)(t_2^{-1}t_1^{-2}t_0^{-2}t_2^{-1})(t_0t_1t_2)] \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} (t_0t_1t_2)(t_2t_0^2t_1t_0^{-1})(t_2^{-1}t_1^{-1}t_0^{-1})[(t_1t_2t_0)(t_1t_2t_0)(t_1^{-1}t_0^{-2}t_2^{-2}t_1^{-1})] \end{aligned}$$

The terms in square brackets are commutators and therefore commute. Moreover  $(t_2t_0t_1)^3 = (t_1t_2t_0)^3 = 1$ . This yields

$$\begin{split} (t_0t_1^2t_2t_1^{-1})[(t_1t_2t_0)^{-1}(t_1^{-1}t_0^{-2}t_2^{-2}t_1^{-1})]^{-1} \\ \stackrel{?}{=} (t_0t_1t_2)(t_2t_0^2t_1t_0^{-1})(t_2^{-1}t_1^{-1}t_0^{-1})[(t_0^{-1}t_2^{-1}t_1^{-1})(t_2t_0t_1)^{-1}(t_2^{-1}t_1^{-2}t_0^{-2}t_2^{-1})(t_0t_1t_2)]^{-1} \\ \text{Then, using } (t_0t_1t_2)^3 = 1, \end{split}$$

$$t_0 t_1^2 t_2^3 t_0^2 t_1 (t_1 t_2 t_0)$$

 $\stackrel{?}{=} (t_0 t_1 t_2) (t_2 t_0^2 t_1 t_0^{-1}) (t_0 t_1 t_2) (t_2^{-1} t_1^{-2} t_0^{-2} t_2^{-1})^{-1} (t_2 t_0 t_1) (t_0^{-1} t_2^{-1} t_1^{-1})^{-1}$ Finally, using  $(t_0^2 t_1^2 t_2^2)^3 = 1$ ,

$$t_0 t_1^2 t_2^3 t_0^2 t_1 \stackrel{?}{=} (t_0 t_1 t_2) (t_2 t_0^2 t_1 t_0^{-1}) (t_0 t_1 t_2) (t_2 t_0^2 t_1^2 t_2) (t_2 t_0 t_1) =$$
  
=  $(t_0 t_1 t_2^2) (t_0^2 t_1^2 t_2^2)^2 (t_0 t_1) = (t_0 t_1 t_2^2) (t_0^2 t_1^2 t_2^2)^{-1} (t_0 t_1) = t_0 t_1^{-1} t_0^{-1} t_1$ 

This amounts to  $t_0^3 t_1^3 t_2^3 = 1$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $t_0$ ,  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$  be elements of  $\operatorname{Aff}(1, F)$  with  $t_0^3 t_1^3 t_2^3 = 1$ . Suppose  $d_0 d_1 d_2 \neq 1$  where  $d_i = \det(t_i)$ . Then (4) holds.

*Proof.* One uses the fact that any affine transformation whose determinant is a primitive *n*-th root of unity has order *n* itself (n > 1).

Let  $t = t_0 t_1 t_2$  and  $d = \det(t) = d_0 d_1 d_2$ . Then  $d^3 = d_0^3 d_1^3 d_2^3 = 1$  and  $d \neq 1$ . Therefore  $d^2 + d + 1 = 0$ . Thus  $t^3 = 1$ . Similarly one finds  $(t_0^2 t_1^2 t_2^2)^3 = 1$ . By Lemma 4 the claim is clear.

Formula (4) translates apparently the cyclic permutation  $t_i \mapsto t_{i+1}$  into multiplication with a cube root of unity.

Proof of Lemma 2. One finds that

$$[[t_0t_1, t_1t_2], t_2t_0]$$

is the translation with vector

$$\left(\prod_{0}^{2} (1 - d_i d_{i+1})\right) \left(\operatorname{Fix}(t_0 t_1) - \operatorname{Fix}(t_1 t_2)\right)$$

where  $d_i = \det(t_i)$ . By (4) one gets

$$\left(\operatorname{Fix}(t_0t_1) - \operatorname{Fix}(t_1t_2)\right) = d\left(\operatorname{Fix}(t_2t_0) - \operatorname{Fix}(t_0t_1)\right)$$

with  $d^2 + d + 1 = 0$ . The claim is now clear.

Corollary 2. Morley's theorem.

*Proof.* [Connes, [2]] For an Euclidean triangle with vertices  $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{C}$  one takes for  $t_i$  the rotation with fixed point  $x_i$  and angle 2/3 the angle at  $x_i$  (with appropriate orientation) of the triangle. Then indeed  $t_0^3 t_1^3 t_2^3 = 1$  and the fixed points  $\operatorname{Fix}(t_i t_{i+1})$  are the intersections of the trisectors in Morley's theorem.  $\Box$ 

Remark 2. Lemma 4 suggests to consider the group  $\widehat{G}$  generated by elements t and  $\sigma$  with relations

$$\sigma^3 = 1,$$
  $(t\sigma)^9 = (t^2\sigma)^9 = (t^3\sigma)^3 = 1$ 

and some commutation relations. Indeed if we put  $t_i = \sigma^i t \sigma^{-i}$ , then  $(t\sigma)^3 = t_0 t_1 t_2$  etc.

However I don't know whether this really helps. Anyway, let us note the following general formulas for elements x and  $\sigma$  in a group with relation  $\sigma^3 = 1$ :

$$[\sigma x \sigma^{-1}, \sigma^2 x \sigma^{-2}] = (\sigma x)^3 (x^{-1} \sigma)^3$$

and

$$[x, [\sigma x \sigma^{-1}, \sigma^2 x \sigma^{-2}]] = (x\sigma)^3 (\sigma x^{-1})^3 (\sigma^{-1} x)^3 (x^{-1} \sigma^{-1})^3$$

Remark 3. Let  $s_{ij} = t_i t_j$ . In the situation of Lemma 4 the elements  $t_i$  are in the subgroup generated by the  $s_{12}$ ,  $s_{20}$ ,  $s_{01}$ . Maybe one can simplify things by using the  $s_{ij}$  as generators. Similarly for Lemma 5.

We conclude with similar (and much simpler) considerations for the incenter theorem.

8

**Lemma 5.** Let G be a group and let  $t_0$ ,  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$  be elements of G with  $t_0^2 t_1^2 t_2^2 = 1$  and  $(t_0 t_1 t_2)^2 = 1$ . Then the elements  $t_0 t_1$ ,  $t_1 t_2$ ,  $t_2 t_0$  commute.

*Proof.* By symmetry, it suffices to show that  $t_0t_1$  and  $t_2t_0$  commute. Indeed,

$$(t_0t_1)(t_2t_0)(t_0t_1)^{-1}(t_2t_0)^{-1} = (t_0t_1t_2)t_0(t_1^{-1}t_0^{-1})(t_0^{-1}t_2^{-1})$$
  
=  $(t_0t_1t_2)^{-1}t_0t_1^{-1}t_0^{-2}t_2^{-1}$   
=  $t_2^{-1}t_1^{-2}t_0^{-2}t_2^{-1} = t_2(t_0^2t_1^2t_2^2)^{-1}t_2^{-1} = 1$ 

**Corollary 3.** Let  $t_0$ ,  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$  be elements of Aff(1, F) with  $t_0^2 t_1^2 t_2^2 = 1$ . Suppose  $d_0 d_1 d_2 \neq 1$  where  $d_i = \det(t_i)$ . Then  $t_0 t_1$ ,  $t_1 t_2$ ,  $t_2 t_0$  have the same fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $t = t_0t_1t_2$  and  $d = \det(t) = d_0d_1d_2$ . Then  $d^2 = d_0^2d_1^2d_2^2 = 1$  and  $d \neq 1$ . Therefore d + 1 = 0. Thus  $t^2 = 1$ . By Lemma 5 the elements  $t_0t_1$ ,  $t_1t_2$ ,  $t_2t_0$  commute. Hence their fixed points coincide.

**Corollary 4.** The bisectors of the angles of a triangle meet in one point.

*Proof.* For an Euclidean triangle with vertices  $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  one takes for  $t_i$  the rotation with fixed point  $x_i$  and angle the angle at  $x_i$  (with appropriate orientation) of the triangle. Then indeed  $t_0^2 t_1^2 t_2^2 = 1$  and the fixed points  $\operatorname{Fix}(t_i t_{i+1})$  are the intersections of the bisectors.

#### More sources

Here is a list of other possible sources for Morley's theorem: [3, 5, 8, 11] and, of course, the web:

# http://www.google.com/search?q=morley+triangle http://www-cabri.imag.fr/abracadabri/GeoPlane/Classiques/Morley/Morley1.htm http://www.cut-the-knot.org/triangle/Morley/index.shtml

#### http://mathforum.org/library/drmath/view/51789.html

Under the last address one finds a proof of Conway.

#### References

- [1] A. Connes, Symétries, Pour la Science, N° 292, 2002.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, A new proof of Morley's theorem, Les relations entre les mathématiques et la physique théorique, Inst. Hautes Études Sci., Bures, 1998, pp. 43–46, MR 99m:51027.
- [3] J. H. Conway and R. K. Guy, *The book of numbers*, Copernicus, New York, 1996, MR 98g:00004.
- H. S. M. Coxeter, Introduction to geometry, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1989, Reprint of the 1969 edition, MR 90a:51001.
- [5] H. S. M. Coxeter and S. L. Greitzer, Zeitlose Geometrie, Klett Studienbücher Mathematik. [Klett Textbooks in Mathematics], Ernst Klett Verlag, Stuttgart, 1983, A translation of Geometry revisited, Translated from the English by Rolf Müller, Herbert Rauck and Hartmut Wellstein, MR 85c:51031.
- [6] D. Gale, *Tracking the automatic ant*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998, And other mathematical explorations, A collection of Mathematical Entertainments columns from The Mathematical Intelligencer, MR 1 661 863.
- [7] H. Geiges, Beweis des Satzes von Morley nach A. Connes, Elem. Math. 56 (2001), no. 4, 137–142, MR 2003b:51024.
- [8] H. Lebesgue, Leçons sur les Constructions Géométriques, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1950, MR 11,678d.

- [9] C. Lubin, A proof of Morley's theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly 62 (1955), 110–112, MR 16,848c.
- [10] D. J. Newman, The Morley Miracle, Math. Intelligencer 18 (1996), no. 1, 31–32.
- [11] C. O. Oakley and J. C. Baker, *The Morley trisector theorem*, Amer. Math. Monthly 85 (1978), no. 9, 737–745, MR 80i:01012.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, 231 W 18TH AVENUE, COLUM-BUS, OH 43210, USA

*E-mail address*: rost@math.ohio-state.edu  $\mathit{URL}: \texttt{http://www.math.ohio-state.edu/~rost}$ 

10