

# ON A CONJECTURE OF LE BRUYN

ANNE CORTELLA AND BORIS KUNYAVSKII

**ABSTRACT.** Given a generic field extension  $F/k$  of degree  $n > 3$  (i.e. the Galois group of the normal closure of  $F$  is isomorphic to the symmetric group  $S_n$ ), we prove that the norm torus, defined as the kernel of the norm map  $N: R_{F/k}(\mathbb{G}_{\text{textm}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ , is not rational over  $k$ .

Given an arbitrary field  $k$ , we call a separable extension  $F/k$  of degree  $n$  *generic* if the Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(L/k)$  of the normal closure  $L$  of  $F$  over  $k$  is isomorphic to the symmetric group  $S_n$ . We consider the norm map  $N: F^* \rightarrow k^*$ . The kernel of  $N$  can be regarded as the set of  $k$ -points of an affine algebraic  $k$ -variety  $T$  called *norm torus*. Using the Weil symbol of restriction of scalars, we write  $T$  as the kernel of  $R_{F/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$  where  $\mathbb{G}_m$  stands for the multiplicative group. If the extension  $F/k$  is generic, the norm torus is also called generic and is denoted by  $T_{F/k}$ , or just  $T_n$  if it does not lead to any confusion.

In [LB], assuming  $n > 3$ , Le Bruyn proves that the generic norm torus  $T_n$  is non-rational over  $k$  whenever  $n$  is prime, and states a conjecture that  $T_n$  is never  $k$ -rational except, possibly, for  $n = 6$ . Our goal is to prove the above conjecture (including the case  $n = 6$ ). Recall that  $T$  is called *stably rational* if there is a rational variety  $T'$  such that  $T \times T'$  is rational.

**Theorem.** *With the above notation,  $T_n(n > 3)$  is never stably rational over  $k$ .*

*Remark.* The result might look a little bit surprising in view of good arithmetic properties of generic norm tori: in particular, if  $k$  is a number field, they are known to satisfy weak approximation property and their principal homogeneous spaces satisfy the Hasse principle. Moreover, for the case when  $n$  is prime,  $T_n$  is known to be a direct factor of a rational variety [CT/S2]. Note that the result cannot be ameliorated in the sense that for  $n = 2$  or  $3$  the torus  $T_n$  is of dimension 1 or 2 and hence rational [V], 4.73, 4.74.

The proof follows from the lemmas below. Throughout we denote by  $M_n = \text{Hom}(T_n, \mathbb{G}_m)$  the group of rational characters of  $T_n$  viewed as a  $G$ -module. By definition, there is an exact sequence of  $G$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow M_n \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G05.

Research of the second named author was partially supported by the Ministry of Absorption (Israel) and The Israel Science Foundation founded by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.

where  $P_n = \mathbb{Z}[G/H]$  is a permutation module,  $G = S_n$ ,  $H = \text{Gal}(L/F)$  is isomorphic to  $S_{n-1}$ . The following lemma is the key one.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $n = rs$  with arbitrary  $r, s > 1$ , and let  $F/k$  be a generic extension of degree  $n$ . If  $T_{F/k} = T_n$  is stably rational over  $k$ , there is a generic extension  $K/E$  of degree  $r$  such that  $T_{K/E} = T_r$  is stably rational over  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Take a subgroup  $U = S_r \subset S_n$  embedded in such a way that  $P_n$  restricted to  $U$  is a direct sum  $\underbrace{P_r \oplus \cdots \oplus P_r}_{s \text{ times}}$ . (This simply means that we partition  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  into  $s$  disjoint subsets of cardinality  $r$  and let  $U$  act in a standard way on each of these subsets.) We then regard (1) as a sequence of  $U$ -modules and notice that  $M_n$  restricted to  $U$  splits into a direct sum:

$$(M_n)|_U = M_r \oplus \underbrace{P_r \oplus \cdots \oplus P_r}_{(s-1) \text{ times}}. \quad (2)$$

In the language of tori, (2) reads as follows: let  $E = L^U$  be the fixed subfield of  $U$ , then the  $E$ -torus  $T_E = T \times_k E$  is isomorphic to a direct product of  $T_r = \ker[R_{K/E}(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,E}]$  and a quasi-split torus  $S = \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} R_{K/E}(\mathbb{G}_m)$  where  $K/E$  is a generic extension of degree  $r$ ,  $K = L^V$ ,  $V \subset U$ ,  $V \cong S_{r-1}$ . By assumption,  $T$  is stably rational over  $k$ , hence  $T_E$  is stably rational over  $E$ . Since any quasi-split torus is rational, we are done.  $\square$

**Lemma 2 (Le Bruyn).** *If  $n > 3$  is a prime number,  $T_n$  is not stably rational.*

*Proof.* See [LB].  $\square$

Before stating the next lemma, we recall that the group

$$\text{III}_{\omega}^2(G, M) = \ker[H^2(G, M) \rightarrow \prod_{g \in G} H^2(\langle g \rangle, M)]$$

(where  $M$  stands for the character module of an algebraic torus  $T$  defined over  $k$  and split over  $L$ ,  $G = \text{Gal}(L/k)$ ), is a birational invariant of  $T$ . To be more precise, this group is zero whenever  $T$  is stably rational over  $k$ . Here is another useful description of the above invariant: consider a flasque resolution of  $M$ , i.e. an exact sequence of  $G$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow S \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

where  $S$  is a permutation module and  $N$  is a flasque module (the latter means that  $H^{-1}(G', N) = 0$  for all subgroups  $G' \subseteq G$ ), then  $\text{III}_{\omega}^2(G, M) \cong H^1(G, N)$ . See [V], 4.61, [CT/S1] for more details.

**Lemma 3.** *If  $n$  is a square,  $T_n$  is not stably rational.*

*Proof.* Let  $n = m^2$ . Take a subgroup  $U = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \subset S_n$  embedded in such a way that the module  $P$  from sequence (1) viewed as a  $U$ -module is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[U]$ . In other words, we choose  $U$  generated by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= (1 \ 2 \ \dots \ m)(m+1 \ m+2 \ \dots \ 2m) \dots (n-m+1 \ n-m+2 \ \dots \ n), \\ \tau &= (1 \ m+1 \ \dots \ n-m+1)(2 \ m+2 \ \dots \ n-m+2) \dots (m \ 2m \ \dots \ m^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $M_n$ , regarded as a  $U$ -module, is none other than  $\hat{J} = \mathbb{Z}[U]/\mathbb{Z}$ , the character module of the norm torus  $J = \ker[R_{L/E}(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,E}]$  where  $E = L^U$ . It is well known that  $J$  is not rational over  $E$  because  $\text{III}_\omega^2(U, \hat{J}) = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $T_n \times_k E = J$ , we conclude that  $T_n$  cannot be stably rational over  $k$ .  $\square$

**Corollary (Saltman, Snider).** *If  $n$  is divisible by a square,  $T_n$  is not stably rational.*

*Proof.* Combine Lemma 1 and Lemma 3.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *The torus  $T_6$  is not stably rational.*

*Proof.* Take  $U = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \subset S_6$  generated by (12)(34) and (34)(56). We observe that  $U$  coincides with the Sylow 2-subgroup of the alternating group  $A_4$  embedded into  $S_6$  via its action on the edges of tetrahedron. Let  $M = M_6$  be the module of characters of  $T_6$  defined by sequence (1) with  $G = S_6$ ,  $H = S_5$ . It is known that  $\text{III}_\omega^2(A_4, M) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  ([D/P], Lemma 13). This implies  $\text{III}_\omega^2(U, M) \neq 0$ . Indeed, assume the contrary. Then, since any Sylow 3-subgroup  $V$  of  $A_4$  is cyclic, one has  $\text{III}_\omega^2(V, M) = 0$ , and vanishing of  $\text{III}_\omega^2(U, M)$  would imply vanishing of  $\text{III}_\omega^2(A_4, M)$  (one may apply the above interpretation of  $\text{III}_\omega^2(G, M)$  as  $H^1(G, N)$  to the case  $G = A_6$  and use the fact that the restriction to a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup is injective on the  $p$ -component of  $H^1$ ).  $\square$

*Remark.* Of course, one may give a more direct proof of Lemma 4 without referring to [D/P], either by a straightforward computation of  $\text{III}_\omega^2(U, M)$  (which goes much simpler than for  $A_4$ ), or by constructing an exact sequence of  $U$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow M_a \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

with  $M_a$  the character module of an anisotropic torus  $T_a$  which, in our case, turns out to be  $\mathbb{Z}[U]/\mathbb{Z}$ ; by [V], 4.22, the latter exact sequence induces a birational equivalence of tori  $T_n \sim T_a \times \mathbb{G}_m^2$ , whence the result.

*Proof of the Theorem.* We are now ready to prove the Theorem. Indeed, the above Corollary reduces the problem to the case when  $n$  is square-free, and Lemmas 1 and 2 englobe all  $n$  having a prime divisor greater than 3. We thus have to apply Lemma 4 for the only remaining case  $n = 6$ .  $\square$

*Concluding remark.* Our theorem can (and should) be viewed in a broader context. Namely, one can extend it to generic tori in (almost absolutely) simple groups. Indeed, the above result corresponds to the case of an inner form of a simply connected group of type  $A_{n-1}$ . Such a generalization to the other types of inner and outer forms of simply connected and adjoint groups is the subject of our forthcoming paper.

*Acknowledgement.* This work was done while the second named author was visiting Université de Franche-Comté at Besançon. B. Kunyavskii thanks this institution for its hospitality.

## REFERENCES

- [CT/S1] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, *La  $R$ -équivalence sur les tores*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **10** (1977), 175–229.

- [CT/S2] ———, *Principal homogeneous spaces under flasque tori: applications*, J. Algebra **106** (1987), 148–205.
- [D/P] Yu. I. Drakohrust and V. P. Platonov, *The Hasse norm principle for algebraic number fields*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **50** (1986), 946–968; English transl. in Math. USSR Izv. **29** (1987), 299–322.
- [LB] L. Le Bruyn, *Generic norm one tori*, Nieuw Arch. Wiskd. IV Ser. **13** (1995), 401–407.
- [V] V. E. Voskresenskii, *Algebraic Tori*, Nauka, Moscow, 1977. (Russian)

A.C.: UNIVERSITÉ DE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES ET DES TECHNIQUES, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, 16 ROUTE DE GRAY, 25030 BESANÇON CEDEX, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* cortella@math.univ-fcomte.fr

B.K.: BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, 52900 RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL  
*E-mail address:* kunyav@macs.biu.ac.il