## ON PROPERTY D(2) AND COMMON SPLITTING FIELD OF TWO BIQUATERNION ALGEBRAS

## A.S. SIVATSKI

Department of Mathematics St.Petersburg Electrotechnical University 197376, St.Petersburg, Russia

ABSTRACT. Let F be a field of characteristic  $\neq 2$ . We say that F has property D(2) if for any quadratic extension L/F and any two binary quadratic forms over F having a common nonzero value over L this value can be chosen in F. We show that if k is a field of characteristic  $\neq 2$  having at least two distinct quadratic extensions, then for the field k(x) property D(2) does not hold. Using this we construct two biquaternion algebras over a field K = k(x)((t))((u)) such that their sum is a quaternion algebra, but they do not have a common biquadratic (i.e. a field of the kind  $K(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ , where  $a, b \in K^*$ ) splitting field.

Let F be a field of characteristic different from 2. By definition, F has property D(2) if for any quadratic extension L/F and any two binary quadratic forms  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$  over F the existence of a common value of the forms  $q_{1L}$ ,  $q_{2L}$  implies the existence of a common value of the forms  $q_{1L}$ ,  $q_{2L}$ , which lies in F. Examples of fields of characteristic 0 not satisfying this property has been given in [5]. Later in [1] starting from such a field, it has been shown that the answers to the following questions are negative in general:

1) Let  $(a_1, b_1)$  and  $(a_2, b_2)$  be quaternion algebras over a field K. Suppose  $c \in K^*$  is such that  $(a_1, b_1) \otimes (a_2, b_2)_{K(\sqrt{c})}$  is not a division algebra. Is it true that there exists  $d \in K^*$  such that

$$(a_1,b_1)_{K(\sqrt{c},\sqrt{d})} = (a_2,b_2)_{K(\sqrt{c},\sqrt{d})} = 0$$
?

- 2) Let  $\varphi$  be an 8-dimensional form from  $I^2(K)$  whose Clifford algebra has index 4. Is it true that  $\varphi$  is a direct sum of two forms similar to 2-fold Pfister forms?
- 3) Let  $\varphi$  be a 14- dimensional form from  $I^3(K)$ . Is it true that  $\varphi$  is similar to the difference of the pure parts of two 3-fold Pfister forms?

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 13C12, 13F20.

Key words and phrases. Quadratic form, Biquaternion algebra, Second residue map.

The work under this publication was partially supported by Royal society Joint Project "Quadratic forms and central simple algebras under field extensions"

By means of quite a different and very nonelementary technique the negative answers to the same questions have been given in [3].

The above questions stipulate our interest to property D(2). As far as we know, examples of fields of positive characteristic not satisfying property D(2) are not known. In this note independently of characteristic of the field we give simple counterexamples to property D(2) such that the 2-cohomological dimension of the ground field F equals 2. Using these examples we show that the following question has the negative answer in general:

Suppose  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are two biquaternion algebras over the field K such that  $\operatorname{ind}(D_1 + D_2) = 2$ . Does there exist a common splitting field of  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  of the type  $K(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ , where  $a, b \in K^*$ ?

Our notation is standard. All the fields in the sequel are of characteristic different from 2. By form we always mean a quadratic form over a field. Slightly abusing notation we often identify a form over F with the corresponding class in the Witt group W(F). By  $\varphi_{an}$  we denote the anisotropic part of the form  $\varphi$ . If L/F is a field extension, and  $\varphi$  is a form over F, then  $D_L(\varphi)$  is the set of nonzero values of  $\varphi_L$ , and  $G_L(\varphi)$  is the group of multipliers of  $\varphi_L$ , i.e.  $G_L(\varphi) = \{a \in L^* : \varphi \simeq a\varphi\}$ . By the n-fold Pfister form  $\langle \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle \rangle$  we mean the form  $\langle 1, -a_1 \rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes \langle 1, -a_n \rangle$ . By (a,b) we denote the quaternion algebra with generators 1,i,j,k and relations  $i^2 = a, j^2 = b, ij = -ji$ . If k is a field, t is an indeterminate and  $p \in k[t]$  is a monic irreducible polynomial, then  $\partial_p : W(k(t)) \to W(k[t]/p)$  is the second residue map, i.e. the group homomorphism determined by the following rule: for a squarefree polynomial  $f \in k[t]$ 

$$\partial_p(\langle f \rangle) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \text{ if } p \text{ does not divide } f, \\ \langle \frac{f}{p} \rangle \text{ if } p \text{ divides } f \end{array} \right..$$

The tensor product of central simple K-algebras is always considered over the field K.

We turn to the construction of the examples in question. Let k be a field,  $a, d_1, d_2 \in k^*$  such that  $d_1^2 - 4a$ ,  $d_2^2 - 4a$ ,  $(d_1^2 - 4a)(d_2^2 - 4a) \notin k^{*2}$ , x an indeterminate, F = k(x),  $L = F(\sqrt{x^2 - 4a})$ . Set

$$q_1 \simeq (x - d_1) \langle \langle d_1^2 - 4a \rangle \rangle \in W(F),$$
  
$$q_2 \simeq (x - d_2) \langle \langle d_2^2 - 4a \rangle \rangle \in W(F).$$

**Proposition 1.** The field F does not satisfy property D(2), and the forms  $q_1, q_2$  and the quadratic extension L/F provide a counterexample.

*Proof.* It is trivial to check that

$$q_1((x-d_1-\sqrt{x^2-4a})(x-d_1)^{-1},(x-d_1)^{-1})$$

$$=q_2((x-d_2-\sqrt{x^2-4a})(x-d_2)^{-1},(x-d_2)^{-1})$$

$$=2(x-\sqrt{x^2-4a}) \in L.$$

This shows that  $D_L(q_1) \cap D_L(q_2) \neq \emptyset$ .

Now let us prove that  $D_L(q_1) \cap D_L(q_2) \cap F = \emptyset$ . We will follow an idea in [6]. Let  $y_1, y_2$  be indeterminates,  $\hat{k}$  a maximal odd degree extension of  $k(y_1, y_2)$ . Since  $d_1^2 - 4a$  and  $d_2^2 - 4a$  are distinct nontrivial elements in  $k^*/k^{*2}$ , it is easy to see that  $(d_1^2 - 4a, y_1) \otimes_{\hat{k}} (d_2^2 - 4a, y_2)$  is a division algebra. Put

$$\varphi = \langle \langle d_1^2 - 4a, y_1 \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle d_2^2 - 4a, y_2 \rangle \rangle.$$

In particular,  $\varphi \neq 0$  over any quadratic extension of  $\widehat{k}$ . Put  $y = \sqrt{x^2 - 4a}$ , x - y = 2t. Then  $x + y = \frac{2a}{t}$ , hence  $x = t + \frac{a}{t}$ . So we have  $L = F(\sqrt{x^2 - 4a}) = k(t)$ ,  $F = k(t + \frac{a}{t})$ . Suppose that  $u \in D_{\widehat{k}(t)}(q_1) \cap D_{\widehat{k}(t)}(q_2) \cap \widehat{k}(x)$ . Obviously, we may assume that  $u \in \widehat{k}[x]$  and u = u(x) is squarefree. Then

$$(x - d_1)u \in D_{\widehat{k}(t)}(\langle\langle d_1^2 - 4a \rangle\rangle) = G_{\widehat{k}(t)}(\langle\langle d_1^2 - 4a \rangle\rangle) = G_{\widehat{k}(t)}(q_1),$$

$$(x - d_2)u \in D_{\widehat{k}(t)}(\langle\langle d_2^2 - 4a \rangle\rangle) = G_{\widehat{k}(t)}(\langle\langle d_2^2 - 4a \rangle\rangle) = G_{\widehat{k}(t)}(q_2).$$

Therefore, we have

(1) 
$$(q_1 \langle \langle y_1 \rangle - q_2 \langle \langle y_2 \rangle \rangle)_{\widehat{k}(t)} = ((x - d_1)uq_1 \langle \langle y_1 \rangle - (x - d_2)uq_2 \langle \langle y_2 \rangle \rangle)_{\widehat{k}(t)}$$

$$= u(\langle \langle d_1^2 - 4a, y_1 \rangle - \langle \langle d_2^2 - 4a, y_2 \rangle \rangle)_{\widehat{k}(t)} = u\varphi_{\widehat{k}(t)}.$$

Similarly, since  $4t = 2(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 4a}) \in D_L(q_1) \cap D_L(q_2)$ , we have

$$(q_1\langle\langle y_1\rangle\rangle - q_2\langle\langle y_2\rangle\rangle)_{\widehat{k}(t)} = t\varphi_{\widehat{k}(t)}.$$

Combining (1) and (2) we conclude that

$$(3) u\varphi_{\widehat{k}(t)} = t\varphi_{\widehat{k}(t)}$$

Substituting x for  $t+\frac{a}{t}$  in the left part of (3) and comparing the residues at t of the both parts of this equality we see that the degree of the polynomial u in x is odd. Since the field  $\hat{k}$  has no proper extensions of odd degree, we conclude that there is  $c \in \hat{k}$  such that x-c divides u. Notice that  $x-c=\frac{p(t)}{t}$ , where  $p(t)=t^2-ct+a$ . Comparing the residues at p(t) of the both parts of the equality (3) we get a contradiction, since  $\partial_p(t\varphi)=0$ , and  $\partial_p(u\varphi)=\varphi_{\hat{k}(\sqrt{c^2-4a})}\neq 0$ . The proposition is proved.

Corollary 2. Let k be a field,  $b_1, b_2 \in k^*$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- 1) The elements  $1, b_1, b_2 \in k^*/{k^*}^2$  are pairwise distinct.
- 2) The field k(x) has not property D(2) and there exist  $a \in k^*$ ,  $s_1, s_2 \in k(x)^*$  such that the extension  $k(x, \sqrt{x^2 4a})/k(x)$  and the forms  $s_1\langle\langle b_1\rangle\rangle$  and  $s_2\langle\langle b_2\rangle\rangle$  provide a counterexample.

*Proof.* 1) ⇒ 2). By Proposition 1 it suffices to find  $a, d_1, d_2 \in k^*$  such that  $b_1 = d_1^2 - 4a$ ,  $b_2 = d_2^2 - 4a$ . One can put, for instance,  $d_1 = \frac{b_1 - b_2 + 1}{2}$ ,  $d_2 = \frac{b_2 - b_1 + 1}{2}$ ,  $a = \frac{1}{4}(d_1^2 - b_1)$ .

2)  $\Rightarrow$  1). If  $b_1$  is a square, then  $D_L(\langle\langle b_1 \rangle\rangle) = L^*$  for any extension L/k(x), hence for any  $s_1, s_2 \in k(x)^*$  the forms  $s_1\langle\langle b_1 \rangle\rangle$  and  $s_2\langle\langle b_2 \rangle\rangle$  do not provide a counterexample to property D(2). Assume that  $b_1b_2$  is a square, i.e.  $b_1 \equiv b_2 \pmod{k^{*2}}$ . Let L/k(x) be an arbitrary field extension. Assume that  $c \in D_L(s_1\langle\langle b_1 \rangle\rangle) \cap D_L(s_2\langle\langle b_2 \rangle\rangle)$ . Then  $\langle\langle b_1, cs_1 \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle b_1, cs_2 \rangle\rangle = 0$ , hence  $\langle\langle b_1, s_1s_2 \rangle\rangle = 0$ , and so

$$s_1 \in D_L(s_1\langle\langle b_1\rangle\rangle) \cap D_L(s_2\langle\langle b_2\rangle\rangle) \cap k(x).$$

**Remark.** If  $k_0$  is an algebraically closed field, and k is the rational function field over  $k_0$ , then  $cd_2(k) = 1$  and  $cd_2(k(x)) = 2$ . By Corollary 2 the field k(x) does not have property D(2). On the other hand, if  $I^2(K) = 0$ , then the field K has property D(2), since 1 is a value of any binary form over K.

It has been established in [1] that if the field F has not property D(2), then the field  $F_1 = F(t)$  has not property CS. Recall that property CS for the field  $F_1$  means that for any quaternion algebras  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  over  $F_1$  and  $c \in F_1^*$  such that  $(Q_1 \otimes Q_2)_{F_1(\sqrt{c})}$  is not a division algebra there exists  $d \in F_1^*$  such that  $Q_{1F_1(\sqrt{c},\sqrt{d})} = 0$ ,  $Q_{2F_1(\sqrt{c},\sqrt{d})} = 0$ . In fact, if the binary forms  $s_1\langle\langle b_1 \rangle\rangle$ ,  $s_2\langle\langle b_2 \rangle\rangle$  and the quadratic extension  $F(\sqrt{c})/F$  provide a counterexample to property D(2) for the field F, then the quaternion algebras  $Q_1 \simeq (b_1, s_1 t)$ ,  $Q_2 \simeq (b_2, s_2 t)$  give a counterexample to property CS for the field F(t).

Let now F be an arbitrary field, for which property CS does not hold. Assume that quaternion algebras  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  and a quadratic extension  $F(\sqrt{c})/F$  provide a counterexample. In particular,  $(Q_1 \otimes Q_2)_{F(\sqrt{c})}$  is not a division algebra, which implies that  $Q_1 \otimes Q_2 \simeq (c,d) \otimes (e,f)$  for some  $d,e,f \in F^*$ . Notice that  $(e,f) \neq 0$ , for otherwise  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  would have a common quadratic subfield. Consider the biquaternion algebras  $D_1 \simeq Q_1 \otimes (c,u)$  and  $D_2 \simeq Q_2 \otimes (c,du)$  over the field F((u)).

## **Proposition 3.** 1) $\operatorname{ind}(D_1 \otimes D_2) = 2$ .

2) The algebras  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  do not have a common biquadratic splitting extension. In other words, for any  $p, q \in F((u))^*$  either  $D_{1F((u))(\sqrt{p},\sqrt{q})} \neq 0$ , or  $D_{2F((u))(\sqrt{p},\sqrt{q})} \neq 0$ .

Proof.

- 1)  $D_1 + D_2 = Q_1 + Q_2 + (c, u) + (c, du) = Q_1 + Q_2 + (c, d) = (e, f)$ , which proves the first part of the proposition.
- 2) Assume the contrary, i.e. that  $D_{1F((u))(\sqrt{p},\sqrt{q})}=0$ ,  $D_{2F((u))(\sqrt{p},\sqrt{q})}=0$ . Since  $F((u))^*/F((u))^{*2}=F^*/F^{*2}\oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , we may assume that  $p\in F^*$ . If  $q\in F^*$ , it is easy to see that  $F(\sqrt{c})\subset F(\sqrt{p},\sqrt{q})$ , i.e.  $F(\sqrt{p},\sqrt{q})=F(\sqrt{c},\sqrt{c'})$  for some  $c'\in F^*$ . Hence

$$Q_{1_{F(\sqrt{c},\sqrt{c'})}} = Q_{2_{F(\sqrt{c},\sqrt{c'})}} = 0,$$

which is impossible, since the algebras  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  and the extension  $F(\sqrt{c})/F$  provide a counterexample to property CS. Therefore, we may suppose that q = au, where  $a \in F^*$ . Then, obviously,

$$(Q_1 + (c, a))_{F((u))(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{au})} = (Q_1 + (c, u))_{F((u))(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})} = 0,$$
  
$$(Q_2 + (c, ad))_{F((u))(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{au})} = (Q_2 + (c, du))_{F((u))(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})} = 0.$$

Hence,

$$(Q_1 + (c, a))_{F(\sqrt{p})} = 0, \ (Q_2 + (c, ad))_{F(\sqrt{p})} = 0,$$

which implies that

$$Q_{1F(\sqrt{c},\sqrt{p})} = Q_{2F(\sqrt{c},\sqrt{p})} = 0,$$

a contradiction to the fact that the algebras  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  and the extension  $F(\sqrt{c})/F$  provide a counterexample to property D(2). The proposition is proved.

**Remark.** To give a concrete example of biquaternion algebras  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  satisfying the conditions of Proposition 3 we start from the binary forms  $q_1 \simeq (x-d_1)\langle\langle d_1^2-4a\rangle\rangle$  and  $q_2 \simeq (x-d_2)\langle\langle d_2^2-4a\rangle\rangle$  over the field k(x) from Proposition 1. Then  $Q_1 \simeq (d_1^2-4a,(x-d_1)t), Q_2 \simeq (d_2^2-4a,(x-d_2)t)$ . It is easy to check the following equality comparing the residues on its both sides:

$$Q_1 + Q_2 = (x^2 - 4a, -(x - d_1)(x - d_2)((d_1 + d_2)x - 4a - d_1d_2)) + ((d_1^2 - 4a)(d_2^2 - 4a), ((d_1 + d_2)x - 4a - d_1d_2)(d_1 + d_2)^{-1}t).$$

Tracing back the construction of the algebras  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  from the algebras  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ , we get over the field k(x)((t))((u))

$$D_1 \simeq (d_1^2 - 4a, (x - d_1)t) \otimes (x^2 - 4a, u),$$

$$D_2 \simeq (d_2^2 - 4a, (x - d_2)t) \otimes (x^2 - 4a, -(x - d_1)(x - d_2)((d_1 + d_2)x - 4a - d_1d_2)u).$$

Notice that if  $cd_2k = 1$ , K = k(x)((t))((u)), then  $cd_2K = 4$ , so one can construct a counterexample with a field K of 2-cohomological dimension 4. On the other hand, there is no such a counterexample for a field of cohomological dimension 2. More precisely we have the following

**Proposition 4.** Let K be a field such that  $I^3(K) = 0$ . Let further  $D_1, D_2$  be biquaternion algebras such that  $\operatorname{ind}(D_1 + D_2) = 2$ . Then there exist  $p, q \in K^*$  such that

$$D_{1K(\sqrt{p},\sqrt{q})} = D_{2K(\sqrt{p},\sqrt{q})} = 0.$$

*Proof.* By ([2], Lemma 14.2) we have  $I^3(K(\sqrt{a})) = 0$  for any  $a \in K^*$ . Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  be Albert forms corresponding to  $D_1, D_2$ . Then by ([4], Ch.2, Th.14.4) we get

$$(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)_{K(\sqrt{b})} \in I^3(K(\sqrt{b})) = 0$$

for any  $b \in K^*$  such that  $(D_1 + D_2)_{K(\sqrt{b})} = 0$ . Hence  $\varphi_1 \perp -\varphi_2 \simeq \langle \langle b \rangle \rangle \otimes \psi$ , where  $\dim \psi = 6$ . Let  $\psi_1 \in I^2(K)$  be such a form that  $\psi = \psi_1 + \tau$ , where  $\dim \tau = 2$ . Then we have

$$\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 = \langle \langle b \rangle \rangle \otimes \psi_1 + \langle \langle b \rangle \rangle \otimes \tau = \langle \langle b \rangle \rangle \tau,$$

since  $\langle \langle b \rangle \rangle \otimes \psi_1 \in I^3(K) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\dim(\varphi_1 \perp -\varphi_2)_{an} \leq 4$ . This means that  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  have a common 4-dimensional subform, say

$$\varphi_1 \simeq \langle a, b, c, d, e_1, -abcde_1 \rangle,$$

$$\varphi_2 \simeq \langle a, b, c, d, e_2, -abcde_2 \rangle.$$

Then, obviously,

$$\varphi_{1K(\sqrt{-ab},\sqrt{-cd})} = \varphi_{2K(\sqrt{-ab},\sqrt{-cd})} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$D_{1K(\sqrt{-ab},\sqrt{-cd})} = D_{2K(\sqrt{-ab},\sqrt{-cd})} = 0,$$

so we can put p = -ab, q = -cd. The proposition is proved.

The following theorem [7] is the main motivation of the present note, and for the sake of completeness we give here its proof.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be biquaternion algebras over a field k such that  $\operatorname{ind}(D_1 + D_2) = 2$ . Then there exists a field extension l/k of degree 4 such that  $D_{1l} = D_{2l} = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D_1 + D_2 = Q = (a, b)$ . Then by the dimension count  $D_1 \otimes Q \simeq M_2(D_2)$ . In particular,  $Q \hookrightarrow M_2(D_2)$ . It follows that there exist  $I, J \in M_2(D_2)$  such that  $I^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, J^2 = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ , and IJ = -JI.

Let  $I = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ . We may assume that  $\alpha^2 \neq a$ , and  $D_2$  is a division algebra (in the opposite case the theorem is simple and left to the reader).

**Lemma 6.** There is a matrix  $S \in GL_2(D_2)$  such that  $SIS^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha^2 \neq a$  and  $I^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ , we have  $\beta \neq 0$ . Then for any  $x \in D_2$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} I \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -x & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha - \beta x & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence setting  $x = \beta^{-1}\alpha$  we may assume that  $\alpha = 0$ . Since  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ , we get  $\beta \gamma = a$ ,  $\beta \delta = 0$ . Since  $D_2$  is a division algebra, we conclude that  $\delta = 0$ , i.e.  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a\gamma^{-1} \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Put  $C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a\gamma^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$C\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} C^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The lemma is proved.

In view of the above lemma, conjugating by a suitable matrix we may assume that  $I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $J = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix}$ . Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we get that z = -ay, t = -x. Therefore,  $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ -ay & -x \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ , which means that  $x^2 - ay^2 = b$  and xy = yx. Hence the subalgebra  $l_1 = k[x, y]$  is a subfield of  $D_2$ . Moreover,  $Q_{l_1} = 0$ . If l is a maximal subfield of  $D_2$  containing  $l_1$ , then  $Q_l = (D_2)_l = 0$ , which implies  $(D_1)_l = 0$ , and proves Theorem 5.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Hoffmann D.W., Tignol J.-P., On 14-dimensional quadratic forms in  $I^3$ , 8-dimensional forms in  $I^2$ , and the common value property, Doc. Math. (1998), 189-214.
- [2] Izhboldin O.T., Fields of u-invariant 9, Ann. Math. **154** (2001), 529-587.
- [3] Izhboldin O.T., Karpenko N.A., Some new examples in the theory of quadratic forms, Math. Z. **234** (2000), 647-695.
- [4] Scharlau W., Quadratic and Hermitian forms, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1985).
- [5] Shapiro D.B., Tignol J.-P., Wardsworth A.R., Witt rings and Brauer groups under multiquadratic extensions, II, J. Algebra 78 (1982), 58-90.
- [6] Sivatski A.S., Nonexcellence of the function field of the product of two conics, K-theory **34** (2005), 209-218.
- [7] Risman L.J., Zero divisors in tensor products of division algebras, Proceedings of the AMS 51 (1975), 35-36.

E-MAIL: SIVATSKY@AS3476.SPB.EDU