# HYPERBOLICITY OF ORTHOGONAL INVOLUTIONS

NIKITA A. KARPENKO

Abstract. We show that a non-hyperbolic orthogonal involution on a central simple algebra over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ remains non-hyperbolic over some splitting field of the algebra.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this note (besides of §3 and §4) $F$ is a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. The basic reference for the material related to involutions on central simple algebras is [12]. The *degree* $\deg A$ of a (finite-dimensional) central simple $F$-algebra $A$ is the integer $\sqrt{\dim_F A}$; the *index* $\operatorname{ind} A$ of $A$ is the degree of a central division algebra Brauer-equivalent to $A$.

The main result of this paper is as follows (the proof is given in §7):

**Theorem 1.1** (Main theorem)**.** *A non-hyperbolic orthogonal involution $\sigma$ on a central simple $F$-algebra $A$ remains non-hyperbolic over the function field of the Severi-Brauer variety of $A$.*

To explain the statement of Abstract, let us note that the function field $L$ of the Severi-Brauer variety of a central simple algebra $A$ is a *splitting field* of $A$, that is, the $L$-algebra $A_L$ is Brauer-trivial.

A stronger version of Theorem 1.1, where the word "non-hyperbolic" (in each of two appearances) is replaced by "anisotropic", is, in general, an open conjecture, cf. [11, Conjecture 5.2].

Let us recall that the index of a central simple algebra possessing an orthogonal involution is a power of 2. Here is the complete list of indices $\operatorname{ind} A$ and coindices $\operatorname{coind} A = \deg A/\operatorname{ind} A$ of $A$ for which Theorem 1.1 is known (over arbitrary fields of characteristic $\neq 2$), given in the chronological order:

- $\operatorname{ind} A = 1$ — trivial;
- $\operatorname{coind} A = 1$ (the stronger version) — [11, Theorem 5.3];
- $\operatorname{ind} A = 2$ (the stronger version) — [15, Corollary 3.4];
- $\operatorname{coind} A$ odd — [6, appendix by Zainoulline] and independently [8, Theorem 3.3];
- $\operatorname{ind} A = 4$ and $\operatorname{coind} A = 2$ — [18, Proposition 3];
- $\operatorname{ind} A = 4$ — [7, Theorem 1.2].

Let us note that Theorem 1.1 for any given $(A, \sigma)$ with $\operatorname{coind} A = 2$ implies the stronger version version of Theorem 1.1 for this $(A, \sigma)$: indeed, by [8, Theorem 3.3], if $\operatorname{coind} A = 2$ and

*Date*: 18 April 2009.

*Key words and phrases.* Algebraic groups, projective homogeneous varieties, Chow groups.

*2000 Mathematical Subject Classifications:* 14L17; 14C25.

Supported by the Collaborative Research Centre 701 of the Bielefeld University.

$\sigma$ becomes isotropic over the function field of the Severi-Brauer variety, then $\sigma$ becomes hyperbolic over this function field and the weaker version applies. Therefore we get

**Theorem 1.2.** *An anisotropic orthogonal involution on a central simple $F$-algebra of coindex 2 remains anisotropic over the function field of the Severi-Brauer variety of the algebra.* □

Sivatski's proof of the case with $\deg A = 8$ and $\operatorname{ind} A = 4$, mentioned above, is based on the following theorem, due to Laghribi:

**Theorem 1.3** ([13, Théorème 4])**.** *Let $\varphi$ be an anisotropic quadratic form of dimension 8 and of trivial discriminant. Assume that the index of the Clifford algebra $C$ of $\varphi$ is 4. Then $\varphi$ remains anisotropic over the function field $F(X_1)$ of the Severi-Brauer variety $X_1$ of $C$.*

The following alternate proof of Theorem 1.3, given by Vishik, is a prototype of our proof of Main theorem (Theorem 1.1). Let $Y$ be the projective quadric of $\varphi$ and let $X_2$ be the Albert quadric of a biquaternion division algebra Brauer-equivalent to $C$. Assume that $\varphi_{F(X_1)}$ is isotropic. Then for any field extension $E/F$, the Witt index of $\varphi_E$ is at least 2 if and only if $X_2(E) \neq \emptyset$. By [20, Theorem 4.15] and since the Chow motive $M(X_2)$ of $X_2$ is indecomposable, it follows that the motive $M(X_2)(1)$ is a summand of the motive of $Y$. The complement summand of $M(Y)$ is then given by a *Rost projector* on $Y$ in the sense of Definition 5.1. Since $\dim Y + 1$ is not a power of 2, it follows that $Y$ is isotropic (cf. [5, Corollary 80.11]).

After introducing some notation in §2 and discussing some important general principles concerning Chow motives in §3, we produce in §4 a replacement of [20, Theorem 4.15] (used right above to split off the summand $M(X_2)(1)$ from the motive of $Y$) valid for more general (as projective quadrics) algebraic varieties. In §5 we reproduce some recent results due to Rost concerning the modulo 2 Rost correspondences and Rost projectors on more general (as projective quadrics) varieties. In §6 we apply some standard motivic decompositions of projective homogeneous varieties to certain varieties related to a central simple algebra with an isotropic orthogonal involution. We also reproduce (see Theorem 6.1) some results of [9] which contain the needed generalization of indecomposability of the motive of an Albert quadric used in the previous paragraph. Finally, in §7 we prove Main theorem (Theorem 1.1) following the strategy of [7] and using results of [9] which were not available at the time of [7].

Acknowledgements. Thanks to Anne Quéguiner for asking me the question and to Alexander Vishik for telling me the alternate proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 2. Notation

We understand under a *variety* a separated scheme of finite type over a field.

Let $D$ be a central simple $F$-algebra. The $F$-dimension of any right ideal in $D$ is divisible by $\deg D$; the quotient is the *reduced dimension* of the ideal. For any integer $i$, we write $X(i; D)$ for the generalized Severi-Brauer variety of the right ideals in $D$ of reduced dimension $i$. In particular, $X(0; D) = \operatorname{Spec} F = X(\deg D; D)$ and $X(i, D) = \emptyset$ for $i < 0$ and for $i > \deg D$.

More generally, let $V$ be a right $D$-module. The $F$-dimension of $V$ is then divisible by $\deg D$ and the quotient $\operatorname{rdim} V = \dim_F V/\deg D$ is called the *reduced dimension* of $V$. For any integer $i$, we write $X(i;V)$ for the projective homogeneous variety of the $D$-submodules in $V$ of reduced dimension $i$ (non-empty iff $0 \le i \le \operatorname{rdim} V$). For a finite sequence of integers $i_1, \dots, i_r$, we write $X(i_1 \subset \dots \subset i_r; V)$ for the projective homogeneous variety of flags of the $D$-submodules in $V$ of reduced dimensions $i_1, \dots, i_r$ (non-empty iff $0 \le i_1 \le \dots \le i_r \le \operatorname{rdim} V$).

Now we additionally assume that $D$ is endowed with an orthogonal involution $\tau$. Then we write $X(i;(D,\tau))$ for the variety of the totally isotropic right ideals in $D$ of reduced dimension $i$ (non-empty iff $0 \le i \le \deg D/2$).

If moreover $V$ is endowed with a hermitian (with respect to $\tau$) form $h$, we write $X(i;(V,h))$ for the variety of the totally isotropic $D$-submodules in $V$ of reduced dimension $i$.

We refer to [10] for a detailed construction and basic properties of the above varieties.

## 3. Krull-Schmidt principle

The characteristic of the base field $F$ is arbitrary in this section.

Our basic reference for Chow groups and Chow motives (including notation) is [5]. We fix an associative unital commutative ring $\Lambda$ (we shall take $\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_2$ in the application) and for a variety $X$ we write $\mathrm{CH}(X;\Lambda)$ for its Chow group with coefficients in $\Lambda$. Our category of motives is the category $\mathrm{CM}(F,\Lambda)$ of *graded Chow motives with coefficients in* $\Lambda$, [5, definition of §64]. By a *sum* of motives we always mean the *direct* sum.

We shall often assume that our coefficient ring $\Lambda$ is finite. This simplifies significantly the situation (and is sufficient for our application). For instance, for a finite $\Lambda$, the endomorphism rings of finite sums of Tate motives are also finite and the following easy statement applies:

**Lemma 3.1.** *An appropriate power of any element of any* finite *associative (not necessarily commutative) ring is idempotent.*

*Proof.* Since the ring is finite, any its element $x$ satisfies $x^a = x^{a+b}$ for some $a \ge 1$ and $b \ge 1$. It follows that $x^{ab}$ is an idempotent. □

Let $X$ be a smooth complete variety over $F$. We call $X$ *split*, if its *integral* motive $M(X) \in \mathrm{CM}(F,\mathbb{Z})$ (and therefore its motive with any coefficients) is a finite sum of Tate motives. We call $X$ *geometrically split*, if it splits over a field extension of $F$. We say that $X$ satisfies the *nilpotence principle*, if for any field extension $E/F$ and any coefficient ring $\Lambda$, the kernel of the change of field homomorphism $\mathrm{End}(M(X)) \to \mathrm{End}(M(X)_E)$ consists of nilpotents. Any projective homogeneous variety is geometrically split and satisfies the nilpotence principle, [3, Theorem 8.2].

**Corollary 3.2** ([9, Corollary 2.2])**.** *Assume that the coefficient ring $\Lambda$ is finite. Let $X$ be a geometrically split variety satisfying the nilpotence principle. Then an appropriate power of any endomorphism of the motive of $X$ is a projector.*

We say that the *Krull-Schmidt principle* holds for a given pseudo-abelian category, if every object of the category has one and unique decomposition in a finite direct sum of indecomposable objects. In the sequel, we are constantly using the following statement:

**Corollary 3.3** ([4, Corollary 35], see also [9, Corollary 2.6]). *Assume that the coefficient ring $\Lambda$ is finite. The Krull-Schmidt principle holds for the pseudo-abelian Tate subcategory in $\mathrm{CM}(F,\Lambda)$ generated by the motives of the geometrically split $F$-varieties satisfying the nilpotence principle.* □

**Remark 3.4.** Replacing the Chow groups $\mathrm{CH}(-;\Lambda)$ by the *reduced* Chow groups $\overline{\mathrm{CH}}(-;\Lambda)$ (cf. [5, §72]) in the definition of the category $\mathrm{CM}(F,\Lambda)$, we get a "simplified" motivic category $\overline{\mathrm{CM}}(F,\Lambda)$ (which is still sufficient for the main purpose of this paper). Working within this category, we do not need the nilpotence principle any more. In particular, the Krull-Schmidt principle holds (with a simpler proof) for the pseudo-abelian Tate subcategory in $\overline{\mathrm{CM}}(F,\Lambda)$ generated by the motives of the geometrically split $F$-varieties.

## 4. Splitting off a motivic summand

The characteristic of the base field $F$ is still arbitrary in this section.

In this section we assume that the coefficient ring $\Lambda$ is connected. We shall often assume that $\Lambda$ is finite.

Before climbing to the main result of this section (which is Proposition 4.6), let us do some warm up.

The following definition of [9] extends some terminology of [19]:

**Definition 4.1.** Let $M \in \mathrm{CM}(F,\Lambda)$ be a summand of the motive of a smooth complete irreducible variety of dimension $d$. The summand $M$ is called *upper*, if $\mathrm{CH}^0(M;\Lambda) \neq 0$. The summand $M$ is called *lower*, if $\mathrm{CH}_d(M;\Lambda) \neq 0$. The summand $M$ is called *outer*, if it is simultaneously upper and lower.

For instance, the whole motive of a smooth complete irreducible variety is an outer summand of itself. Another example of an outer summand is the motive given by a *Rost projector* (see Definition 5.1).

Given a correspondence $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_{\dim X}(X \times Y;\Lambda)$ between some smooth complete irreducible varieties $X$ and $Y$, we write $\operatorname{mult}\alpha \in \Lambda$ for the *multiplicity* of $\alpha$, [5, definition of §75]. Multiplicity of a composition of two correspondences is the product of multiplicities of the composed correspondences (cf. [11, Corollary 1.7]). In particular, multiplicity of a projector is idempotent and therefore $\in \{0,1\}$ because the coefficient ring $\Lambda$ is connected.

Characterizations of outer summands given in the two following Lemmas are easily obtained:

**Lemma 4.2** (cf. [9, Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9]). *Let $X$ be a smooth complete irreducible variety. The motive $(X,p)$ given by a projector $p \in \mathrm{CH}_{\dim X}(X \times X;\Lambda)$ is upper if and only if $\operatorname{mult} p = 1$. The motive $(X,p)$ is lower if and only if $\operatorname{mult} p^t = 1$, where $p^t$ is the transpose of $p$.*

**Lemma 4.3** (cf. [9, Lemma 2.12]). *Assume that a summand $M$ of the motive of a smooth complete irreducible variety of dimension $d$ decomposes into a sum of Tate motives. Then $M$ is upper if and only if the Tate motive $\Lambda$ is present in the decomposition; it is lower if and only if the Tate motive $\Lambda(d)$ is present in the decomposition.*

The following statement generalizes (the finite coefficient version of) [20, Corollary 3.9]:

**Lemma 4.4.** *Assume that the coefficient ring $\Lambda$ is finite. Let $X$ and $Y$ be smooth complete irreducible varieties such that there exist multiplicity 1 correspondences*

$$\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_{\dim X}(X \times Y; \Lambda) \quad \textit{and} \quad \beta \in \mathrm{CH}_{\dim Y}(Y \times X; \Lambda).$$

*Assume that $X$ is geometrically split and satisfies the nilpotence principle. Then there is an upper summand of $M(X)$ isomorphic to an upper summand of $M(Y)$. Moreover, for any upper summand $M_X$ of $M(X)$ and any upper summand $M_Y$ of $M(Y)$, there is an upper summand of $M_X$ isomorphic to an upper summand of $M_Y$.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.2, the composition $p := (\beta \circ \alpha)^{\circ n}$ for some $n \geq 1$ is a projector. Therefore $q := (\alpha \circ \beta)^{\circ 2n}$ is also a projector and the summand $(X, p)$ of $M(X)$ is isomorphic to the summand $(Y, q)$ of $M(Y)$: mutually inverse isomorphisms are, say,

$$\alpha \circ (\beta \circ \alpha)^{\circ(2n)} : (X, p) \to (Y, q) \ \text{ and } \ \beta \circ (\alpha \circ \beta)^{\circ(4n-1)} : (Y, q) \to (X, p)\ .$$

Since $\operatorname{mult} p = (\operatorname{mult} \beta \cdot \operatorname{mult} \alpha)^n = 1$ and similarly $\operatorname{mult} q = 1$, the summand $(X, p)$ of $M(X)$ and the summand $(Y, q)$ of $M(Y)$ are upper by Lemma 4.2.

We have proved the first statement of Lemma 4.4. As to the second statement, let

$$p' \in \mathrm{CH}_{\dim X}(X \times X; \Lambda) \ \text{ and } \ q' \in \mathrm{CH}_{\dim Y}(Y \times Y; \Lambda)$$

be projectors such that $M_X = (X, p')$ and $M_Y = (Y, q')$. Replacing $\alpha$ and $\beta$ by $q' \circ \alpha \circ p'$ and $p' \circ \beta \circ q'$, we get isomorphic upper motives $(X, p)$ and $(Y, q)$ which are summands of $M_X$ and $M_Y$. □

**Remark 4.5.** Assume that the coefficient ring $\Lambda$ is finite. Let $X$ be a geometrically split irreducible smooth complete variety satisfying the nilpotence principle. Then the complete motivic decomposition of $X$ contains precisely one upper summand and it follows by Corollary 3.3 (or by Lemma 4.4) that an upper indecomposable summands of $M(X)$ is unique up to an isomorphism. (Of course, the same is true for the lower summands.)

Here comes the needed replacement of [20, Theorem 4.15]:

**Proposition 4.6.** *Assume that the coefficient ring $\Lambda$ is finite. Let $X$ be a geometrically split, geometrically irreducible variety satisfying the nilpotence principle and let $M$ be a motive. Assume that there exists a field extension $E/F$ such that*

1. *the field extension $E(X)/F(X)$ is purely transcendental;*
2. *the upper indecomposable summand of $M(X)_E$ is also lower and is a summand of $M_E$.*

*Then the upper indecomposable summand of $M(X)$ is a summand of $M$.*

*Proof.* We may assume that $M = (Y, p, n)$ for some irreducible smooth complete $F$-variety $Y$, a projector $p \in \mathrm{CH}_{\dim Y}(Y \times Y; \Lambda)$, and an integer $n$.

By the assumption (2), we have morphisms of motives $f : M(X)_E \to M_E$ and $g : M_E \to M(X)_E$ with $\operatorname{mult}(g \circ f) = 1$. By [9, Lemma 2.14], in order to prove Proposition 4.6, it suffices to construct morphisms $f' : M(X) \to M$ and $g' : M \to M(X)$ (over $F$) with $\operatorname{mult}(g' \circ f') = 1$.

Let $\xi : \operatorname{Spec} F(X) \to X$ be the generic point of the (irreducible) variety $X$. For any $F$-scheme $Z$, we write $\xi_Z$ for the morphism $\xi_Z = (\xi \times \mathrm{id}_Z) : Z_{F(X)} = \operatorname{Spec}_{F(X)} \times Z \to X \times Z$. Note that for any $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}(X \times Z)$, the image $\xi_Z^*(\alpha) \in \mathrm{CH}(Z_{F(X)})$ of $\alpha$ under the pull-back

homomorphism $\xi_Z^* : \mathrm{CH}(X \times Z, \Lambda) \to \mathrm{CH}(Z_{F(X)}, \Lambda)$ coincides with the composition of correspondences $\alpha \circ [\xi]$, [5, Proposition 62.4(2)], where $[\xi] \in \mathrm{CH}_0(X_{F(X)}, \Lambda)$ is the class of the point $\xi$:

$$(*) \qquad \xi_Z^*(\alpha) = \alpha \circ [\xi].$$

In the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}(X_E \times Y_E; \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\xi_{Y_E}^*} & \mathrm{CH}(Y_{E(X)}; \Lambda) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{res}_{E/F}}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{res}_{E(X)/F(X)}}\uparrow \\ \mathrm{CH}(X \times Y; \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\xi_Y^*} & \mathrm{CH}(Y_{F(X)}; \Lambda) \end{array}$$

the change of field homomorphism $\mathrm{res}_{E(X)/F(X)}$ is surjective[1] because of the assumption (1) by the homotopy invariance of Chow groups [5, Theorem 57.13] and by the localization property of Chow groups [5, Proposition 57.11]. Moreover, the pull-back homomorphism $\xi_Y^*$ is surjective by [5, Proposition 57.11]. It follows that there exists an element $f' \in \mathrm{CH}(X \times Y; \Lambda)$ such that $\xi_{Y_E}^*(f'_E) = \xi_{Y_E}^*(f)$.

Recall that $\mathrm{mult}(g \circ f) = 1$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{mult}(g \circ f'_E) = \mathrm{mult}(g \circ f)$. Indeed, $\mathrm{mult}(g \circ f) = \deg \xi_{X_E}^*(g \circ f)$ by [5, Lemma 75.1], where $\deg : \mathrm{CH}(X_{E(X)}) \to \Lambda$ is the degree homomorphism. Furthermore, $\xi_{X_E}^*(g \circ f) = (g \circ f) \circ [\xi_E]$ by $(*)$. Finally, $(g \circ f) \circ [\xi_E] = g \circ (f \circ [\xi_E])$ and $f \circ [\xi_E] = \xi_{Y_E}^*(f) = \xi_{Y_E}^*(f'_E)$ by the construction of $f'$.

Since $\mathrm{mult}(g \circ f'_E) = 1$ and the indecomposable upper summand of $M(X)_E$ is lower, we have $\mathrm{mult}((f'_E)^t \circ g^t) = 1$. Therefore we may apply the above procedure to the dual morphisms

$$g^t : M(X)_E \to (Y, p, n + \dim X - \dim Y)_E$$
$$\text{and} \qquad (f'_E)^t : (Y, p, n + \dim X - \dim Y)_E \to M(X)_E.$$

This way we get a morphism $g' : M \to M(X)$ such that $\mathrm{mult}((f')^t \circ (g')^t) = 1$. It follows that $\mathrm{mult}(g' \circ f') = 1$. □

**Remark 4.7.** Replacing $\mathrm{CM}(F, \Lambda)$ by $\overline{\mathrm{CM}}(F, \Lambda)$ in Proposition 4.6, we get a weaker version of Proposition 4.6 which is still sufficient for our application. The nilpotence principle is no more needed in the proof of the weaker version. Because of that, there is no more need to assume that $X$ satisfies the nilpotence principle.

## 5. Rost correspondences

In this section, $X$ stands for a smooth complete geometrically irreducible variety of a positive dimension $d$.

The coefficient ring $\Lambda$ of the motivic category is $\mathbb{F}_2$ in this section. We write $\mathrm{Ch}(-)$ for the Chow group $\mathrm{CH}(-; \mathbb{F}_2)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_2$. We write $\deg_{X/F}$ for the degree homomorphism $\mathrm{Ch}_0(X) \to \mathbb{F}_2$.

[1] In fact, $\mathrm{res}_{E(X)/F(X)}$ is even an isomorphism, but we do not need its injectivity (which can be obtained with a help of a specialization).

**Definition 5.1.** An element $\rho \in \mathrm{Ch}_d(X \times X)$ is called a *Rost correspondence* (on $X$), if $\rho_{F(X)} = \chi_1 \times [X_{F(X)}] + [X_{F(X)}] \times \chi_2$ for some 0-cycle classes $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathrm{Ch}_0(X_{F(X)})$ of degree 1. A *Rost projector* is a Rost correspondence which is a projector.

**Remark 5.2.** Our definition of a Rost correspondence differs from the definition of a *special correspondence* in [16]. Our definition is weaker in the sense that a special correspondence on $X$ (which is an element of the *integral* Chow group $\mathrm{CH}_d(X \times X)$) considered modulo 2 is a Rost correspondence but not any Rost correspondence is obtained this way. This difference gives a reason to reproduce below some results of [16]. Actually, some of the results below are formally more general than the corresponding results of [16]; their proofs, however, are essentially the same.

**Remark 5.3.** Clearly, the set of all Rost correspondences on $X$ is stable under transposition and composition. In particular, if $\rho$ is a Rost correspondence, then its both symmetrizations $\rho^t \circ \rho$ and $\rho \circ \rho^t$ are (symmetric) Rost correspondences. Writing $\rho_{F(X)}$ as in Definition 5.1, we have $(\rho^t \circ \rho)_{F(X)} = \chi_1 \times [X_{F(X)}] + [X_{F(X)}] \times \chi_1$ (and $(\rho \circ \rho^t)_{F(X)} = \chi_2 \times [X_{F(X)}] + [X_{F(X)}] \times \chi_2$).

**Lemma 5.4.** *Assume that the variety $X$ is projective homogeneous. Let $\rho \in \mathrm{Ch}_d(X \times X)$ be a projector. If there exists a field extension $E/F$ such that $\rho_E = \chi_1 \times [X_E] + [X_E] \times \chi_2$ for some 0-cycle classes $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathrm{Ch}_0(X_E)$ of degree 1, then $\rho$ is a Rost projector.*

*Proof.* According to [3, Theorem 7.5], there exist some integer $n \geq 0$ and for $i = 1, \dots, n$ some integers $r_i > 0$ and some projective homogeneous varieties $X_i$ satisfying $\dim X_i + r_i < d$ such that for $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M(X_i)(r_i)$ the motive $M(X)_{F(X)}$ decomposes as $\mathbb{F}_2 \oplus M \oplus \mathbb{F}_2(d)$. Since there is no non-zero morphism between different summands of this three terms decomposition, the ring $\operatorname{End} M(X)$ decomposes in the product of rings

$$\operatorname{End} \mathbb{F}_2 \times \operatorname{End} M \times \operatorname{End} \mathbb{F}_2(d) = \mathbb{F}_2 \times \operatorname{End} M \times \mathbb{F}_2.$$

Let $\chi \in \mathrm{Ch}_0(X_{F(X)})$ be a 0-cycle class of degree 1. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \rho' = \chi \times [X_{F(X)}] + [X_{F(X)}] \times \chi \in \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_2 \\ \subset \mathbb{F}_2 \times \operatorname{End} M \times \mathbb{F}_2 = \operatorname{End} M(X)_{F(X)} = \mathrm{Ch}_d(X_{F(X)} \times X_{F(X)}) \end{aligned}$$

and we show that $\rho_{F(X)} = \rho'$. The difference $\varepsilon = \rho_{F(X)} - \rho'$ vanishes over $E(X)$. Therefore $\varepsilon$ is a nilpotent element of $\operatorname{End} M$. Choosing a positive integer $m$ with $\varepsilon^m = 0$, we get

$$\rho_{F(X)} = \rho_{F(X)}^m = (\rho' + \varepsilon)^m = (\rho')^m + \varepsilon^m = (\rho')^m = \rho'. \qquad \square$$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let $\rho \in \mathrm{Ch}_d(X \times X)$ be a projector. The motive $(X, \rho)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2(d)$ iff $\rho = \chi_1 \times [X] + [X] \times \chi_2$ for some some 0-cycle classes $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathrm{Ch}_0(X)$ of degree 1.*

*Proof.* A morphism $\mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2(d) \to (X, \rho)$ is given by some

$$f \in \operatorname{Hom}\big(\mathbb{F}_2, M(X)\big) = \mathrm{Ch}_0(X) \ \text{ and } \ f' \in \operatorname{Hom}\big(\mathbb{F}_2(d), M(X)\big) = \mathrm{Ch}_d(X).$$

A morphism in the inverse direction is given by some

$$g \in \operatorname{Hom}(M(X), \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathrm{Ch}^0(X) \ \text{ and } \ g' \in \operatorname{Hom}(M(X), \mathbb{F}_2(d)) = \mathrm{Ch}^d(X).$$

The two morphisms $\mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2(d) \leftrightarrow (X, \rho)$ are mutually inverse isomorphisms iff $\rho = f \times g + f' \times g'$ and $\deg_{X/F}(fg) = 1 = \deg_{X/F}(f'g')$. The degree condition means that $f' = [X] = g$ and $\deg_{X/F}(f) = 1 = \deg_{X/F}(g')$. □

**Corollary 5.6.** *If $X$ is projective homogeneous and $\rho$ is a projector on $X$ such that*

$$(X, \rho)_E \simeq \mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2(d)$$

*for some field extension $E/F$, then $\rho$ is a Rost projector.* □

A smooth complete variety is called *anisotropic*, if the degree of its any closed point is even.

**Lemma 5.7** ([16, Lemma 9.2], cf. [17, proof of Lemma 6.2])**.** *Assume that $X$ is anisotropic and possesses a Rost correspondence $\rho$. Then for any integer $i \neq d$ and any elements $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ch}_i(X)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{Ch}^i(X_{F(X)})$, the image of the product $\alpha_{F(X)} \cdot \beta \in \mathrm{Ch}_0(X_{F(X)})$ under the degree homomorphism $\deg_{X_{F(X)}/F(X)} : \mathrm{Ch}_0(X_{F(X)}) \to \mathbb{F}_2$ is 0.*

*Proof.* Let $\gamma \in \mathrm{Ch}^i(X \times X)$ be a preimage of $\beta$ under the surjection

$$\xi_X^* : \mathrm{Ch}^i(X \times X) \to \mathrm{Ch}^i(\operatorname{Spec} F(X) \times X)$$

(where $\xi_X^*$ is as defined in the proof of Proposition 4.6). We consider the 0-cycle class

$$\delta = \rho \cdot ([X] \times \alpha) \cdot \gamma \in \mathrm{Ch}_0(X \times X).$$

Since $X$ is anisotropic, so is $X \times X$, and it follows that $\deg_{(X\times X)/F} \delta = 0$. Therefore it suffices to show that $\deg_{(X\times X)/F} \delta = \deg_{X_{F(X)}/F(X)}(\alpha_{F(X)} \cdot \beta)$.

We have $\deg_{(X\times X)/F} \delta = \deg_{(X\times X)_{F(X)}/F(X)}(\delta_{F(X)})$ and

$$\delta_{F(X)} = (\chi_1 \times [X_{F(X)}] + [X_{F(X)}] \times \chi_2) \cdot ([X_{F(X)}] \times \alpha_{F(X)}) \cdot \gamma_{F(X)} = \\ (\chi_1 \times [X_{F(X)}]) \cdot ([X_{F(X)}] \times \alpha_{F(X)}) \cdot \gamma_{F(X)}$$

(because $i \neq d$) where $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathrm{Ch}_0(X_{F(X)})$ are as in Definition 5.1. For the first projection $pr_1 : X_{F(X)} \times X_{F(X)} \to X_{F(X)}$ we have

$$\deg_{(X\times X)_{F(X)}/F(X)} \delta_{F(X)} = \deg_{X_{F(X)}/F(X)}(pr_1)_*(\delta_{F(X)})$$

and by the projection formula

$$(pr_1)_*(\delta_{F(X)}) = \chi_1 \cdot (pr_1)_*\big(([X_{F(X)}] \times \alpha_{F(X)}) \cdot \gamma_{F(X)}\big).$$

Finally,

$$(pr_1)_*\big(([X_{F(X)}] \times \alpha_{F(X)}) \cdot \gamma_{F(X)}\big) = \operatorname{mult}\big(([X_{F(X)}] \times \alpha_{F(X)}) \cdot \gamma_{F(X)}\big) \cdot [X_{F(X)}]$$

and

$$\operatorname{mult}\big(([X_{F(X)}] \times \alpha_{F(X)}) \cdot \gamma_{F(X)}\big) = \operatorname{mult}\big(([X] \times \alpha) \cdot \gamma\big).$$

Since $\operatorname{mult} \chi = \deg_{X_{F(X)}/F(X)} \xi_X^*(\chi)$ for any element $\chi \in \mathrm{Ch}_d(X \times X)$ by [5, Lemma 75.1], it follows that

$$\operatorname{mult}\big(([X] \times \alpha) \cdot \gamma\big) = \deg(\alpha_{F(X)} \cdot \beta).$$ □

For anisotropic $X$, we consider the homomorphism $\deg/2 : \mathrm{Ch}_0(X) \to \mathbb{F}_2$ induced by the homomorphism $\mathrm{CH}_0(X) \to \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha \mapsto \deg(\alpha)/2$.

**Corollary 5.8.** *Assume that $X$ is anisotropic and possesses a Rost correspondence. Then for any integer $i \neq d$ and any elements $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ch}_i(X)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{Ch}^i(X)$ with $\beta_{F(X)} = 0$ one has* $(\deg/2)(\alpha \cdot \beta) = 0$.

*Proof.* Let $\beta' \in \mathrm{CH}^i(X)$ be an integral representative of $\beta$. Since $\beta_{F(X)} = 0$, we have $\beta'_{F(X)} = 2\beta''$ for some $\beta'' \in \mathrm{CH}^i(X_{F(X)})$. Therefore

$$(\deg/2)(\alpha \cdot \beta) = \deg_{X_{F(X)}/F(X)} \big(\alpha_{F(X)} \cdot (\beta'' \mod 2)\big) = 0$$

by Lemma 5.7. □

**Corollary 5.9.** *Assume that $X$ is anisotropic and possesses a Rost correspondence $\rho$. For any integer $i \notin \{0, d\}$ and any $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ch}_i(X)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{Ch}^i(X)$ one has*

$$(\deg/2)\big((\alpha \times \beta) \cdot \rho\big) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let $\alpha' \in \mathrm{CH}_i(X)$ and $\beta' \in \mathrm{CH}^i(X)$ be integral representatives of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Let $\rho' \in \mathrm{CH}_d(X \times X)$ be an integral representative of $\rho$. It suffices to show that the degree of the 0-cycle class $(\alpha' \times \beta') \cdot \rho' \in \mathrm{CH}_0(X \times X)$ is divisible by 4.

Let $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$ be as in Definition 5.1. Let $\chi'_1, \chi'_2 \in \mathrm{CH}_0(X_{F(X)})$ be integral representatives of $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$. Then $\rho'_{F(X)} = \chi'_1 \times [X_{F(X)}] + [X_{F(X)}] \times \chi'_2 + 2\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \mathrm{CH}_d(X_{F(X)} \times X_{F(X)})$. Therefore (since $i \notin \{0, d\}$)

$$(\alpha'_{F(X)} \times \beta'_{F(X)}) \cdot \rho'_{F(X)} = 2(\alpha'_{F(X)} \times \beta'_{F(X)}) \cdot \gamma.$$

Applying the projection $pr_1$ onto the first factor and the projection formula, we get twice the element $\alpha'_{F(X)} \cdot (pr_1)_*\big(([X_{F(X)}] \times \beta'_{F(X)}) \cdot \gamma\big)$ whose degree is even by Lemma 5.7 (here we use once again the condition that $i \neq d$). □

**Lemma 5.10.** *Assume that $X$ is anisotropic and possesses a Rost correspondence $\rho$. Then* $(\deg/2)(\rho^2) = 1$.

*Proof.* Let $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$ be as in Definition 5.1. Let $\chi'_1, \chi'_2 \in \mathrm{CH}_0(X_E)$ be integral representatives of $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$. The degrees of $\chi'_1$ and $\chi'_2$ are odd. Therefore, the degree of the cycle class

$$(\chi'_1 \times [X_{F(X)}] + [X_{F(X)}] \times \chi'_2)^2 = 2(\chi'_1 \times \chi'_2) \in \mathrm{CH}_0(X_{F(X)} \times X_{F(X)})$$

is not divisible by 4.

Let $\rho' \in \mathrm{CH}_d(X \times X)$ be an integral representative of $\rho$. Since $\rho'_{F(X)}$ is $\chi'_1 \times [X_{F(X)}] + [X_{F(X)}] \times \chi'_2$ modulo 2, $(\rho'_{F(X)})^2$ is $(\chi'_1 \times [X_{F(X)}] + [X_{F(X)}] \times \chi'_2)^2$ modulo 4. Therefore $(\deg/2)(\rho^2) = 1$. □

**Theorem 5.11** ([16, Theorem 9.1], see also [17, proof of Lemma 6.2])**.** *Let $X$ be an anisotropic smooth complete geometrically irreducible variety of a positive dimension $d$ over a field $F$ of characteristic $\neq 2$ possessing a Rost correspondence. Then the degree of the highest Chern class $c_d(-T_X)$, where $T_X$ is the tangent bundle on $X$, is not divisible by* 4.

*Proof.* In this proof, we write $c_\bullet(-T_X)$ for the total Chern class $\in \mathrm{Ch}(X)$ in the Chow group with coefficient in $\mathbb{F}_2$. It suffices to show that $(\deg/2)(c_d(-T_X)) = 1$.

Let $\mathrm{Sq}^X_\bullet : \mathrm{Ch}(X) \to \mathrm{Ch}(X)$ be the modulo 2 homological Steenrod operation, [5, §59]. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathrm{Ch}_d(X\times X) \arrow[dl, "(pr_1)_*"'] \arrow[dd, "\mathrm{Sq}_d^{X\times X}"] & \\
\mathrm{Ch}_d(X) \arrow[dd, "\mathrm{Sq}_d^X"'] & & \\
 & \mathrm{Ch}_0(X\times X) \arrow[dl, "(pr_1)_*"'] \arrow[dd, "\deg/2"] \arrow[dr, "(pr_2)_*"] & \\
\mathrm{Ch}_0(X) \arrow[dr, "\deg/2"'] & & \mathrm{Ch}_0(X) \arrow[dl, "\deg/2"] \\
 & \mathbb{F}_2 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $(pr_1)_*(\rho) = [X]$ and $\mathrm{Sq}^X_d([X]) = c_d(-T_X)$ [5, formula (60.1)], it suffices to show that

$$(\deg/2)\big(\mathrm{Sq}^{X\times X}_d(\rho)\big) = 1.$$

We have $\mathrm{Sq}^{X\times X}_\bullet = c_\bullet(-T_{X\times X}) \cdot \mathrm{Sq}^\bullet_{X\times X}$, where $\mathrm{Sq}^\bullet$ is the cohomological Steenrod operation, [5, §61]. Therefore

$$\mathrm{Sq}^{X\times X}_d(\rho) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} c_{d-i}(-T_{X\times X}) \cdot \mathrm{Sq}^i_{X\times X}(\rho).$$

The summand with $i = d$ is $\mathrm{Sq}^d_{X\times X}(\rho) = \rho^2$ by [5, Theorem 61.13]. By Lemma 5.10, its image under $\deg/2$ is 1.

Since $c_\bullet(-T_{X\times X}) = c_\bullet(-T_X) \times c_\bullet(-T_X)$ and $\mathrm{Sq}^0 = \mathrm{id}$, the summand with $i = 0$ is

$$\left(\sum_{j=0}^{d} c_j(-T_X) \times c_{d-j}(-T_X)\right) \cdot \rho.$$

Its image under $\deg/2$ is 0 because

$$(\deg/2)\Big(\big(c_0(-T_X) \times c_d(-T_X)\big) \cdot \rho\Big) = (\deg/2)(c_d(-T_X)) = \\ (\deg/2)\Big(\big(c_d(-T_X) \times c_0(-T_X)\big) \cdot \rho\Big)$$

while for $j \notin \{0, d\}$, we have $(\deg/2)\Big(\big(c_j(-T_X) \times c_{d-j}(-T_X)\big) \cdot \rho\Big) = 0$ by Corollary 5.9.

Finally, for any $i$ with $0 < i < d$ the $i$th summand is the sum

$$\sum_{j=0}^{d-i} \big(c_j(-T_X) \times c_{d-i-j}(-T_X)\big) \cdot \mathrm{Sq}^i_{X\times X}(\rho).$$

We shall show that for any $j$ the image of the $j$th summand under $\deg/2$ is 0. Note that the image under $\deg/2$ coincides with the image under the composition $(\deg/2) \circ (pr_1)_*$

and also under the composition $(\deg/2)\circ(pr_2)_*$ (look at the above commutative diagram). By the projection formula we have

$$(pr_1)_*\Big(\big(c_j(-T_X)\times c_{d-i-j}(-T_X)\big)\cdot \mathrm{Sq}^i_{X\times X}(\rho)\Big) = \\ c_j(-T_X)\cdot (pr_1)_*\Big(\big([X]\times c_{d-i-j}(-T_X)\big)\cdot \mathrm{Sq}^i_{X\times X}(\rho)\Big)$$

and the image under $\deg/2$ is 0 for positive $j$ by Corollary 5.8 applied to $\alpha = c_j(-T_X)$ and $\beta = (pr_1)_*\Big(\big([X]\times c_{d-i-j}(-T_X)\big)\cdot \mathrm{Sq}^i_{X\times X}(\rho)\Big)$. Corollary 5.8 can be indeed applied, because since $\rho_{F(X)} = \chi_1\times[X_{F(X)}]+[X_{F(X)}]\times\chi_2$ and $i>0$, we have $\mathrm{Sq}^i_{(X\times X)_{F(X)}}(\rho)_{F(X)} = 0$ and therefore $\beta_{F(X)} = 0$.

For $j=0$ we use the projection formula for $pr_2$ and Corollary 5.8 with $\alpha = c_{d-i}(-T_X)$ and $\beta = (pr_2)_*\big(\mathrm{Sq}^i_{X\times X}(\rho)\big)$. □

**Remark 5.12.** The reason of the characteristic exclusion in Theorem 5.11 is that its proof makes use of Steenrod operations on Chow groups with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_2$ which are not available in characteristic 2.

We would like to mention

**Lemma 5.13** ([16, Lemma 9.10])**.** *Let $X$ be an anisotropic smooth complete equidimensional variety over a field of arbitrary characteristic. If $\dim X+1$ is not a power of 2, then the degree of the integral 0-cycle class $c_{\dim X}(-T_X)\in \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ is divisible by 4.*

## 6. Motivic decompositions of some isotropic varieties

The coefficient ring $\Lambda$ is $\mathbb{F}_2$ in this section. Throughout this section, $D$ is a central division $F$-algebra of degree $2^r$ with some positive integer $r$.

We say that motives $M$ and $N$ are *quasi-isomorphic* and write $M\approx N$, if there exist decompositions $M\simeq M_1\oplus\cdots\oplus M_m$ and $N\simeq N_1\oplus\cdots\oplus N_n$ such that

$$M_1(i_1)\oplus\cdots\oplus M_m(i_m)\simeq N_1(j_1)\oplus\cdots\oplus N_n(j_n)$$

for some (shift) integers $i_1,\dots,i_m$ and $j_1,\dots,j_n$.

We shall use the following

**Theorem 6.1** ([9, Theorems 3.8 and 4.1])**.** *For any integer $l=0,1,\dots,r$, the upper indecomposable summand $M_l$ of the motive of the generalized Severi-Brauer variety $X(2^l;D)$ is lower. Besides of this, the motive of any finite direct product of any generalized Severi-Brauer varieties of $D$ is quasi-isomorphic to a finite sum of $M_l$ (with various $l$).*

For the rest of this section, we fix an orthogonal involution on the algebra $D$.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let $n$ be a positive integer. Let $h$ be a hyperbolic hermitian form on the right $D$-module $D^{2n}$ and let $Y$ be the variety $X(n\deg D;(D^{2n},h))$ (of the* maximal *totally isotropic submodules). Then the motive $M(Y)$ is isomorphic to a finite sum of several shifted copies of the motives $M_0,M_1,\dots,M_r=\Lambda$ including one non-shifted copy of $\Lambda$.*

*Proof.* By [10, Следствие 15.9] (cf. [3] or [2]), the motive of the variety $Y$ is quasi-isomorphic to the motive of the "total" variety

$$X(*;D^n)=\coprod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}X(i;D^n)=\coprod_{i=0}^{4n}X(i;D^n)$$

of $D$-submodules in $D^n$. Furthermore, $M(X(*;D^n))\approx M(X(*;D))^{\otimes n}$ by [10, Следствие 10.10] (cf. [3] or [2]). We finish by Theorem 6.1. The non-shifted copy of $\Lambda$ is obtained as $\Lambda=M(X(0;D^n))=M(X(0;D))^{\otimes n}$. □

As before, we write $\mathrm{Ch}(-)$ for the Chow group $\mathrm{CH}(-;\mathbb{F}_2)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_2$. We recall that a smooth complete variety is called *anisotropic*, if the degree of its any closed point is even (the empty variety is anisotropic). The following statement is a particular case of [9, Lemma 2.21].

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let $Z$ be an anisotropic $F$-variety with a projector $p\in\mathrm{Ch}_{\dim Z}(Z\times Z)$ such that the motive $(Z,p)_L\in\mathrm{CM}(L,\mathbb{F}_2)$ for a field extension $L/F$ is isomorphic to a finite sum of Tate motives. Then the number of the Tate summands is even. In particular, the motive in $\mathrm{CM}(F,\mathbb{F}_2)$ of any anisotropic $F$-variety does not contain a Tate summand.*

*Proof.* Mutually inverse isomorphisms between $(Z,p)_L$ and a sum of, say, $n$ Tate summands, are given by two sequences of homogeneous elements $a_1,\dots,a_n$ and $b_1,\dots,b_n$ in $\mathrm{Ch}(Z_L)$ with $p_L=a_1\times b_1+\dots+a_n\times b_n$ and such that for any $i,j=1,\dots,n$ the degree $\deg(a_ib_j)$ is 0 for $i\neq j$ and $1\in\mathbb{F}_2$ for $i=j$. The pull-back of $p$ via the diagonal morphism of $Z$ is therefore a 0-cycle class on $Z$ of degree $n$ (modulo 2). □

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let $n$ be a positive integer. Let $h'$ be a hermitian form on the right $D$-module $D^n$ such that $h'_L$ is anisotropic for any finite odd degree field extension $L/F$. Let $h$ be the hermitian form on the right $D$-module $D^{n+2}$ which is the orthogonal sum of $h'$ and a hyperbolic $D$-plane. Let $Y'$ be the variety of totally isotropic submodules of $D^{n+2}$ of reduced dimension $2^r$ ($=\operatorname{ind}D$). Then the complete motivic decomposition of $M(Y')\in\mathrm{CM}(F,\mathbb{F}_2)$ (cf. Corollary 3.3) contains one summand $\mathbb{F}_2$, one summand $\mathbb{F}_2(\dim Y')$, and does not contain any other Tate motive.*

*Proof.* According to [10, Следствие 15.9], $M(Y')$ is quasi-isomorphic to the sum of the motives of the products

$$X(i\subset j;D)\times X(j-i;(D^n,h'))$$

where $i,j$ run over all integers (the product is non-empty iff $0\le i\le j\le 2^r$). The choices $i=j=0$ and $i=j=2^r$ give the summands $\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\mathbb{F}_2(\dim Y')$. The variety obtained by any other choice of $i,j$ is anisotropic (the variety with $i=0,j=2^r$ is anisotropic by the assumption involving the odd degree field extensions), and we are done by Lemma 6.3. □

## 7. Proof of Main theorem

We fix a central simple algebra $A$ of index $>1$ with a non-hyperbolic orthogonal involution $\sigma$. Since the involution is an isomorphism of $A$ with its dual, the exponent of $A$ is 2; therefore, the index of $A$ is a power of 2, say, $\operatorname{ind}A=2^r$ for a positive integer $r$.

We assume that $\sigma$ becomes hyperbolic over the function field of the Severi-Brauer variety of $A$ and we are looking for a contradiction.

According to [8, Theorem 3.3], $\operatorname{coind} A = 2n$ for some integer $n \geq 1$. We assume that Main theorem (Theorem 1.1) is already proven for all algebras (over all fields) of index $< 2^r$ as well as for all algebras of index $2^r$ and coindex $< 2n$.

Let $D$ be a central division algebra Brauer-equivalent to $A$. Let $X_0$ be the Severi-Brauer variety of $D$. Let us fix an orthogonal involution on $D$ and an isomorphism of $F$-algebras $A \simeq \operatorname{End}_D(D^{2n})$. Let $h$ be a hermitian form on the right $D$-module $D^{2n}$ such that $\sigma$ is adjoint to $h$. Then $h_{F(X_0)}$ is hyperbolic. Since the anisotropic kernel of $h$ also becomes hyperbolic over $F(X_0)$, our induction hypothesis ensures that $h$ is anisotropic. Moreover, $h_L$ is hyperbolic for any field extension $L/F$ such that $h_L$ is isotropic. It follows by [1, Proposition 1.2] that $h_L$ is anisotropic for any finite odd degree field extension $L/F$.

Let $Y$ be the variety of totally isotropic submodules in $D^{2n}$ of reduced dimension $n \deg D$. (The variety $Y$ is a twisted form of the variety of maximal totally isotropic subspaces of a quadratic form studied in [5, Chapter XVI].) It is isomorphic to the variety of totally isotropic right ideals in $A$ of reduced dimension $(\deg A)/2$ $(=n2^r)$. Since $\sigma$ is hyperbolic over $F(X_0)$ and the field $F$ is algebraically closed in $F(X_0)$ (because the variety $X_0$ is geometrically integral), the discriminant of $\sigma$ is trivial. Therefore the variety $Y$ has two connected components $Y_+$ and $Y_-$ corresponding to the components $C_+$ and $C_-$ (cf. [5, Theorem 8.10]) of the Clifford algebra $C(A, \sigma)$.

Since $\sigma_{F(X_0)}$ is hyperbolic, $Y(F(X_0)) \neq \emptyset$. Since the varieties $Y_+$ and $Y_-$ become isomorphic over $F(X_0)$, each of them has an $F(X_0)$-point.

The central simple algebras $C_+$ and $C_-$ are related with $A$ by the formula [12, (9.14)]:

$$[C_+] + [C_-] = [A] \in \operatorname{Br}(F).$$

Since $[C_+]_{F(X_0)} = [C_-]_{F(X_0)} = 0 \in \operatorname{Br}(F(X_0))$, we have $[C_+], [C_-] \in \{0, [A]\}$ and it follows that $[C_+] = [A]$, $[C_-] = 0$ up to exchange of the indices $+, -$.

By the index reduction formula for the varieties $Y_+$ and $Y_-$ of [14, page 594], we have (up to exchange of the indices $+, -$): $\operatorname{ind} D_{F(Y_+)} = \operatorname{ind} D$, $\operatorname{ind} D_{F(Y_-)} = 1$. We replace $Y$ by the component of $Y$ whose function field does not reduce the index of $D$. Note that the variety $Y$ is projective homogeneous.

The coefficient ring $\Lambda$ is $\mathbb{F}_2$ in this section. We use the $F$-motives $M_0, \dots, M_r$ introduced in Theorem 6.1. Note that for any field extension $E/F$ such that $D_E$ is still a division algebra, we also have the $E$-motives $M_0, \dots, M_r$.

**Lemma 7.1.** *The motive of $Y$ decomposes as $R_1 \oplus R_2$, where $R_1$ is quasi-isomorphic to a finite sum of several copies of the motives $M_0, \dots, M_{r-1}$, and where $(R_2)_{F(Y)}$ is isomorphic to a finite sum of Tate motives including one exemplar of $\mathbb{F}_2$.*

*Proof.* According to Lemma 6.2 and since $D_{F(Y)}$ is a division algebra, the motive $M(Y)_{F(Y)}$ is isomorphic to a sum of several shifted copies of the $F(Y)$-motives $M_0, \dots, M_r = \mathbb{F}_2$ including a copy of $\mathbb{F}_2$. If for some $l = 0, \dots, r-1$ there is at least one copy of $M_l$ (with a shift $j \in \mathbb{Z}$) in the decomposition, let us apply Proposition 4.6 taking as $X$ the variety $X_l = X(2^l, D)$, taking as $M$ the motive $M(Y)(-j)$, and taking as $E$ the function field $F(Y)$.

Since, as mentioned already, $D_E$ is a division algebra, condition (2) of Proposition 4.6 is fulfilled. Since $\operatorname{ind} D_{F(X)} < 2^r$, the hermitian form $h_{F(X)}$ is hyperbolic by the induction hypothesis; therefore the variety $Y_{F(X)}$ is rational and condition (1) of Proposition 4.6 is fulfilled as well.

It follows that the $F$-motive $M_l$ is a summand of $M(Y)(-j)$. Let now $M$ be the complement summand of $M(Y)(-j)$. By Corollary 3.3, the complete decomposition of $M_{F(Y)}$ is the complete decomposition of $M(Y)(-j)_{F(Y)}$ with one copy of $M_l$ erased. If $M_{F(Y)}$ contains one more copy of a shift of $M_l$ (for some $l = 0, \dots, r-1$), we once again apply Proposition 4.6 to the variety $X_l$ and an appropriate shift of $M$. Doing this until we can, we get the desired decomposition in the end. □

Now let us consider a minimal right $D$-submodule $V \subset D^{2n}$ such that $V$ becomes isotropic over a finite odd degree field extension of $F(Y)$. We set $v = \dim_D V$. Clearly, $2 \le v \le n+1$. [2] For $n > 1$, let $Y'$ be the variety of totally isotropic submodules in $V$ of reduced dimension $2^r$ (that is, of "$D$-dimension" 1). For $n = 1$ we set $Y' = Y$. Note that the variety $Y'$ is projective homogeneous.

The variety $Y'$ is irreducible and has an even positive dimension. Moreover, the variety $Y'$ is anisotropic (because the hermitian form $h$ is anisotropic and remains anisotropic over any finite odd degree field extension of the base field). Surprisingly, we can however prove the following

**Lemma 7.2.** *There is a Rost projector (Definition 5.1) on $Y'$.*

*Proof.* By the construction of $Y'$, there exists a correspondence of odd multiplicity (that is, of multiplicity $1 \in \mathbb{F}_2$) $\alpha \in \operatorname{Ch}_{\dim Y}(Y \times Y')$. On the other hand, since $h_{F(Y')}$ is isotropic, $h_{F(Y')}$ is hyperbolic and therefore there exist a rational map $Y' \dashrightarrow Y$ and a multiplicity 1 correspondence $\beta \in \operatorname{Ch}_{\dim Y'}(Y' \times Y)$ (e.g., the class of the closure of the graph of the rational map). Since the summand $R_2$ of $M(Y)$ given by Lemma 7.1 is upper (cf. Definition 4.1 and Lemma 4.3), by Lemma 4.4 there is an upper summand of $M(Y')$ isomorphic to a summand of $R_2$.

Let $\rho \in \operatorname{Ch}_{\dim Y'}(Y' \times Y')$ be the projector giving this summand. We claim that $\rho$ is a Rost projector. We prove the claim by showing that the motive $(Y', \rho)_{\tilde F}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2(\dim Y')$, cf. Corollary 5.6, where $\tilde F / F(Y)$ is a finite odd degree field extension such that $V$ becomes isotropic over $\tilde F$.

Since $(R_2)_{F(Y)}$ is a finite sum of Tate motives, the motive $(Y', \rho)_{\tilde F}$ is also a finite sum of Tate motives. Since $(Y', \rho)_{\tilde F}$ is upper, the Tate motive $\mathbb{F}_2$ is included (Lemma 4.3). Now, by the minimal choice of $V$, the hermitian form $(h|_V)_{\tilde F}$ satisfies the condition on $h$ in Lemma 6.4: $(h|_V)_{\tilde F}$ is an orthogonal sum of a hyperbolic $D_{\tilde F}$-plane and a hermitian form $h'$ such that $h'_L$ is anisotropic for any finite odd degree field extension $L/\tilde F$ of the base field $\tilde F$ (otherwise any $D$-hyperplane $V' \subset V$ would become isotropic over some odd degree extension of $F(Y)$). Therefore the complete motivic decomposition of $Y'_{\tilde F}$ has one copy of $\mathbb{F}_2$, one copy of $\mathbb{F}_2(\dim Y')$, and no other Tate summands. By Corollary 3.3 and anisotropy of the variety $Y'$ (see Lemma 6.3), it follows that

$$(Y', \rho)_{\tilde F} \simeq \mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2(\dim Y'). \qquad \square$$

[2] One probably always has $v = n+1$ here, but we do not need to know the precise value of $v$.

Lemma 7.2 contradicts to the general results of §5 (namely, to Theorem 5.11 and Lemma 5.13) thus proving Main theorem (Theorem 1.1). We can avoid the use of Lemma 5.13 by showing that $\deg c_{\dim Y'}(-T_{Y'})$ is divisible by $2^{2^r}$ for our variety $Y'$. Indeed, let $K$ be the field $F(t_1,\dots,t_{v2^r})$ of rational functions over $F$ in $v2^r$ variables. Let us consider the (generic) diagonal quadratic form $\langle t_1,\dots,t_{v2^r}\rangle$ on the $K$-vector space $K^{v2^r}$. Let $Y''$ be the variety of $2^r$-dimensional totally isotropic subspaces in $K^{v2^r}$. The degree of any closed point on $Y''$ is divisible by $2^{2^r}$. In particular, the integer $\deg c_{\dim Y''}(-T_{Y''})$ is divisible by $2^{2^r}$. Since over an algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ of $K$ the varieties $Y'$ and $Y''$ become isomorphic, we have

$$\deg c_{\dim Y'}(-T_{Y'}) = \deg c_{\dim Y''}(-T_{Y''}).$$

## References


[1] Bayer-Fluckiger, E., and Lenstra, Jr., H. W. Forms in odd degree extensions and self-dual normal bases. *Amer. J. Math. 112*, 3 (1990), 359–373.

[2] Brosnan, P. On motivic decompositions arising from the method of Białynicki-Birula. *Invent. Math. 161*, 1 (2005), 91–111.

[3] Chernousov, V., Gille, S., and Merkurjev, A. Motivic decomposition of isotropic projective homogeneous varieties. *Duke Math. J. 126*, 1 (2005), 137–159.

[4] Chernousov, V., and Merkurjev, A. Motivic decomposition of projective homogeneous varieties and the Krull-Schmidt theorem. *Transform. Groups 11*, 3 (2006), 371–386.

[5] Elman, R., Karpenko, N., and Merkurjev, A. *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, vol. 56 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.

[6] Garibaldi, S. Orthogonal involution on algebras of degree 16 and the killing form of E8. Linear Algebraic Groups and Related Structures (preprint server).

[7] Karpenko, N. A. Hyperbolicity of hermitian forms over biquaternion algebras. Linear Algebraic Groups and Related Structures (preprint server).

[8] Karpenko, N. A. On isotropy of quadratic pair. *Contemp. Math.,* to appear.

[9] Karpenko, N. A. Upper motives of algebraic groups and incompressibility of Severi-Brauer varieties. Preprint, available on the web page of the author (18 April 2009, 18 pages).

[10] Karpenko, N. A. Cohomology of relative cellular spaces and of isotropic flag varieties. *Algebra i Analiz 12*, 1 (2000), 3–69.

[11] Karpenko, N. A. On anisotropy of orthogonal involutions. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 15*, 1 (2000), 1–22.

[12] Knus, M.-A., Merkurjev, A., Rost, M., and Tignol, J.-P. *The book of involutions*, vol. 44 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. With a preface in French by J. Tits.

[13] Laghribi, A. Isotropie de certaines formes quadratiques de dimensions 7 et 8 sur le corps des fonctions d'une quadrique. *Duke Math. J. 85*, 2 (1996), 397–410.

[14] Merkurjev, A. S., Panin, I. A., and Wadsworth, A. R. Index reduction formulas for twisted flag varieties. I. *K-Theory 10*, 6 (1996), 517–596.

[15] Parimala, R., Sridharan, R., and Suresh, V. Hermitian analogue of a theorem of Springer. *J. Algebra 243*, 2 (2001), 780–789.

[16] Rost, M. On the basic correspondence of a splitting variety. Preprint, September-Novermber 2006, 42 pages. Available on the web page of the author.

[17] Semenov, N. Motivic construction of cohomological invariants. $K$-Theory Preprint Archives (preprint server).

[18] Sivatski, A. S. Applications of Clifford algebras to involutions and quadratic forms. *Comm. Algebra 33*, 3 (2005), 937–951.

[19] Vishik, A. Integral motives of quadrics. Preprint of the Max Planck Institute at Bonn, (1998)-13, 82 pages.
[20] Vishik, A. Motives of quadrics with applications to the theory of quadratic forms. In *Geometric methods in the algebraic theory of quadratic forms*, vol. 1835 of *Lecture Notes in Math.* Springer, Berlin, 2004, pp. 25–101.

UPMC Univ Paris 06, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, F-75252 Paris, FRANCE

*Web page:* `www.math.jussieu.fr/~karpenko`
*E-mail address*: `karpenko` *at* `math.jussieu.fr`