

# ON THE MAKAR-LIMANOV, DERKSEN INVARIANTS, AND FINITE AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

VLADIMIR L. POPOV\*

*To Peter Russell on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

ABSTRACT. A simple method of constructing a big stock of algebraic varieties with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant is described, the Derksen invariant of some varieties is computed, the generalizations of the Makar-Limanov and Derksen invariants are introduced and discussed, and some results on the Jordan property of automorphism groups of algebraic varieties are obtained.

## INTRODUCTION

The subject matter of this note are automorphism groups of algebraic varieties.

In Section 1 I discuss the Makar-Limanov and Derksen invariants. As is known, they have been first introduced as the means for distinguishing the Koras-Russell threefolds from affine spaces. Since then studying varieties with certain properties of these invariants (for instance, with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant) became an independent line of research, see, e.g., [Dai], [Dub], [FZ], and references therein. At the conference *Affine Algebraic Geometry*, June 1–5, 2009, Montreal, I was surprised to find that a simple general method of constructing a big stock of such varieties remained unnoticed by the experts. In Section 1 I expand my comment on this point made after one of the talks and give the related proofs and some illustrating examples. Then I consider the Derksen invariant and show that in many cases in presence of an algebraic group action it coincides with the coordinate algebra. At the end of this section I introduce and discuss the natural generalizations of the Makar-Limanov and Derksen invariants. In Section 2 some results on the Jordan property of automorphism groups of algebraic varieties are obtained.

*Conventions and notation.*

Below variety means algebraic variety. All varieties are taken over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic zero. I use the standard conventions of [Bo] and [Sp] and the following notation.

$A^*$  is the group of units of the commutative ring  $A$  with identity.

$\mathbf{M}_{n \times m}$  is the affine space of all  $n \times m$ -matrices with entries in  $k$ .

$\mathbf{A}_*^1$  is the punctured affine line  $\mathbf{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ .

---

*Date:* January 8, 2010.

\* Supported by grants PΦΦИ 08–01–00095, ИИИ–1987.2008.1, and the program *Contemporary Problems of Theoretical Mathematics* of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Branch of Mathematics.

$\mathbf{Z}_{>0}$  is the set of positive integers.

$|M|$  is the number of elements of the set  $M$ .

$\text{Rad } G$  is the radical of the linear algebraic group  $G$ .

$\text{Rad}_u G$  is the unipotent radical of the linear algebraic group  $G$ .

$(G, G)$  is the commutator subgroup of the group  $G$ .

$k[X]$  is the  $k$ -algebra of regular function on the variety  $X$ .

$k(X)$  is the field of rational function on the irreducible variety  $X$ .

$T_{x,X}$  is the tangent space to the variety  $X$  at the point  $x \in X$ .

$\text{Aut}(X)$  is the automorphism group of the variety  $X$ .

$\text{Bir}(X)$  is the group of birational automorphisms of the irreducible variety  $X$ .

Given the varieties  $X$  and  $Y$  (not necessarily affine),  $k[X]$  and  $k[Y]$  are naturally identified with the  $k$ -subalgebras of  $k[X \times Y]$ . Recall that then  $k[X \times Y]$  is generated by  $k[X]$  and  $k[Y]$  and, moreover,  $k[X \times Y] = k[X] \otimes_k k[Y]$ , see [SW]. If  $A$  and  $B$  are the  $k$ -subalgebras of resp.  $k[X]$  and  $k[Y]$ , then the subalgebra of  $k[X \times Y]$  generated by  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A \otimes_k B$ .

Below action of an algebraic group on an algebraic variety means algebraic action. Homomorphism of algebraic groups means algebraic homomorphism.

Let  $X$  be a variety endowed with an action of an algebraic group  $G$ . Then the natural homomorphism  $\varphi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$  defined by this action is called *algebraic* and  $\varphi(G)$  is called the *algebraic subgroup* of  $\text{Aut}(X)$ . If  $\varphi$  is injective,  $\varphi(G)$  is identified with  $G$  by means of  $\varphi$ .

*Acknowledgement.* I am grateful to YU. PROKHOROV for the discussions on automorphism groups of surfaces and drawing my attention to references [C], [M].

## 1. THE MAKAR–LIMANOV AND DERKSEN INVARIANTS

### 1.1. The Makar–Limanov invariant.

Recall that the *Makar-Limanov invariant* of a variety  $X$  is the following  $k$ -subalgebra of  $k[X]$ :

$$\text{ML}(X) := \bigcap_H k[X]^H \quad (1)$$

where  $H$  in (1) runs over the images of all homomorphisms  $\mathbf{G}_a \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$ .

Below is described a simple method of constructing varieties whose Makar-Limanov invariant is trivial (i.e., equal to  $k$ ). The starting point is

**Lemma 1.1.** *For every connected linear algebraic group  $G$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G$  has no nontrivial characters;
- (ii)  $G$  is generated by one-dimensional unipotent subgroups;
- (iii)  $G$  is generated by unipotent elements;
- (iv)  $\text{Rad } G = \text{Rad}_u G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G_0$  be the subgroup of  $G$  generated by all one-dimensional unipotent subgroups of  $G$ ; it is normal and, by [Sp, 2.2.7], closed. Since  $\text{char } k = 0$ , for every non-identity unipotent element  $u \in G$ , the closure of  $\{u^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a one-dimensional unipotent subgroup of  $G$  (cf., e.g., [OV, Chap. 3, §2, no. 2, Theorem 1]). Hence  $G_0$  coincides with the subgroup generated by all unipotent element of  $G$ . This yields (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii).

Since homomorphisms of algebraic groups preserve Jordan decompositions,  $G_0$  is contained in the kernel of every character of  $G$  and every element of the  $G/G_0$  is semisimple. The latter yields that  $G/G_0$  is a torus (cf., e.g., [Bo, I.4.6]). Hence  $G$  has no nontrivial characters if and only if  $G = G_0$ . This proves (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii).

Since  $\text{char } k = 0$ , there is a reductive subgroup  $L$  in  $G$  such that  $G$  is the semidirect product of  $\text{Rad}_u G$  and  $L$  (cf., e.g. [OV, Chap. 6, Sect. 4]). Let  $Z$  and  $Z^0$  be resp. the center of  $L$  and the identity component of  $Z$ . Put  $H := (L, L)\text{Rad}_u G$ . Then  $Z^0$  is a torus,  $F := (L, L) \cap Z^0$  is finite,  $L = Z^0(L, L)$ , and  $H$  is connected and normal. Being connected semisimple,  $(L, L)$  has no nontrivial characters. Hence  $H$  is generated by unipotent elements. This yields  $H \subseteq G_0$ . As  $G/H$  is isomorphic to  $Z^0/F$  and the latter is a torus, all elements of  $G/H$  are semisimple. Hence  $H = G_0$ . Thus, (i) holds if and only if  $Z^0$  is the identity. Since  $\text{Rad } G = Z^0\text{Rad}_u G$ , this proves (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.2.**  $\text{ML}(X) = \bigcap_{H \subseteq \text{Aut}(X)} k[X]^H$ , where  $H$  runs over all connected linear algebraic subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  that have no nontrivial characters.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a variety and let  $G$  be a connected linear algebraic subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  that has no nontrivial characters. Then*

$$\text{ML}(X) \subseteq k[X]^G. \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 1.1 we infer that  $k[X]^G = \bigcap_H k[X]^H$  where  $H$  runs over all one-parameter unipotent subgroups of  $G$ . This and (1) imply (2).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.4.** *Maintain the notation of Theorem 1.3. If  $G$  has no nontrivial characters and  $k[X]^G = k$ , then  $\text{ML}(X) = k$ .*

Since there are no nonconstant invariant functions on orbit closures, this yields the following.

**Corollary 1.5.** *Maintain the notation of Theorem 1.3. If  $G$  has no nontrivial characters and  $X$  is the closure of a  $G$ -orbit, then  $\text{ML}(X) = k$ .*

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let  $G$  be a connected algebraic group that has no nontrivial characters. Let  $H$  be a reductive subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $G/H$  is an irreducible affine variety with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant.*

*Proof.* As  $G$  acts on  $G/H$  transitively, Corollary 1.5 yields  $\text{ML}(G/H) = k$ . By [Bo, Theorem 6.8] and [PV<sub>2</sub>, Theorem 4.9] reductivity of  $H$  implies that  $G/H$  is affine.  $\square$

The following generalizes Corollary 1.5.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $X$  be a variety endowed with an action of a connected linear algebraic group  $G$ . Let  $d$  be the dimension of the center of  $G/\text{Rad}_u G$ . If  $X$  contains a dense  $G$ -orbit, then*

$$\text{tr deg}_k \text{ML}(X) \leq d. \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be the closure of the  $G$ -orbit of a point  $x \in X$ . The morphism  $G \rightarrow X$ ,  $g \mapsto g \cdot x$ , is  $G$ -equivariant with respect to the action of  $G$  on itself by left translations. Since its image is dense in  $X$ , the corresponding comorphism is a  $G$ -equivariant embedding of the  $k$ -algebras

$$k[X] \hookrightarrow k[G]. \quad (4)$$

Let  $L$ ,  $Z$ ,  $Z^0$ ,  $F$ , and  $H$  be as in the proof of Lemma 1.1. From (4) and Theorem 1.3 we then infer that

$$\text{ML}(X) \subseteq k[X]^H \hookrightarrow k[G]^H. \quad (5)$$

Since  $G/H$  is isomorphic to  $Z^0/F$  and  $\dim Z^0/F = \dim Z^0 = \dim Z = d$ , we have  $\dim G/H = d$ . As  $k[G]^H$  is isomorphic to  $k[G/H]$ , this and (5) imply the claim.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.8.** *Let  $X$  be the closure in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  of an orbit of a connected algebraic subgroup  $G$  in  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^n)$ . Let  $\widehat{X} \subseteq k^{n+1}$  be the affine cone over  $X$ . Then  $\text{tr deg}_k \text{ML}(\widehat{X}) \leq d + 1$ , where  $d$  is the dimension of the center of  $G/\text{Rad}_u G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\widehat{G}$  be the pullback of  $G$  with respect to the natural projection  $\text{Aut}(k^{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^n)$ . Then  $\widehat{X}$  is the closure of a  $\widehat{G}$ -orbit in  $k^{n+1}$  and the dimension of the center of  $\widehat{G}/\text{Rad}_u \widehat{G}$  is  $d + 1$ ; whence the claim by Theorem 1.7.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.9.** *For any varieties  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ ,*

$$\text{ML}(X_1 \times X_2) \subseteq \text{ML}(X_1) \otimes_k \text{ML}(X_2). \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* Take an element  $f \in \text{ML}(X_1 \times X_2)$ . Since  $k[X_1 \times X_2]$  is generated by  $k[X_1]$  and  $k[X_2]$ , there is a decomposition

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i t_i, \quad s_1, \dots, s_n \in k[X_1], \quad t_1, \dots, t_n \in k[X_2]. \quad (7)$$

We may (and shall) assume that  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  in (7) are linearly independent over  $k$ . As  $k[X_1 \times X_2] = k[X_1] \otimes_k k[X_2]$ , then they are also linearly independent over  $k[X_1]$ .

Consider an action  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbf{G}_a$  on  $X_1$ . Then  $k[X_1]$  is stable and  $k[X_2]$  is pointwise fixed with respect to the diagonal action of  $\mathbf{G}_a$  on  $X_1 \times X_2$  determined by  $\alpha$  and trivial action on  $X_2$ . For every element  $g \in \mathbf{G}_a$  and this diagonal action, (1) and (7) imply that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n s_i t_i = f = g \cdot f = \sum_{i=1}^n (g \cdot s_i) t_i. \quad (8)$$

Since  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are linearly independent over  $k[X_1]$ , we infer from (8) that every  $s_i$  is invariant with respect to  $\alpha$ . As  $\alpha$  is arbitrary, (1) implies that  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \text{ML}(X_1)$ .

Hence  $f$  is decomposed as

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^m s'_i t'_i, \quad s'_1, \dots, s'_m \in \text{ML}(X_1), \quad t'_1, \dots, t'_m \in k[X_2], \quad (9)$$

where  $s'_1, \dots, s'_m$  are linearly independent over  $k$ . The same argument as above then yields  $t'_1, \dots, t'_m \in \text{ML}(X_2)$ . Now (6) follows from (9).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.10.** *For any varieties  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{ML}(X_1)$  and  $\text{ML}(X_2)$  lie in  $\text{ML}(X_1 \times X_2)$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{ML}(X_1 \times X_2) = \text{ML}(X_1) \otimes_k \text{ML}(X_2)$ .

**Corollary 1.11.** *If  $\text{ML}(X_1) = k$  and  $\text{ML}(X_2) = k$ , then  $\text{ML}(X_1 \times X_2) = k$ .*

**Corollary 1.12.** *Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be the varieties such that  $\text{ML}(X_1)$  and  $\text{ML}(X_2)$  are generated by units. Then  $\text{ML}(X_1 \times X_2) = \text{ML}(X_1) \otimes_k \text{ML}(X_2)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Corollary 1.10 since  $k[X_1]^*$  and  $k[X_2]^*$  lie in  $k[X_1 \times X_2]^*$  and  $k[X_1 \times X_2]^* \subset \text{ML}(X_1 \times X_2)$ , cf. [F, 1.4].  $\square$

**Definition 1.13.** A variety is called *toral* if it is isomorphic to a closed subvariety of a linear algebraic torus.

Note that closed subvarieties and products of toral varieties are toral.

**Lemma 1.14.** *Let  $X$  be an affine variety.*

- (a) *The following are equivalent:*
  - (a<sub>1</sub>)  $X$  is toral;
  - (a<sub>2</sub>)  $k[X]$  is generated by  $k[X]^*$ .
- (b) *For every finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aut}(X)$ , there is a covering of  $X$  by  $G$ -stable open toral sets.*
- (c) *If  $X$  is toral, then*
  - (c<sub>1</sub>) *for every unipotent linear algebraic group  $H$ , every algebraic homomorphism  $\varphi: H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$  is trivial;*
  - (c<sub>2</sub>)  $\text{ML}(X) = k[X]$ .

*Proof.* (a) Every character of a linear algebraic torus  $T$  is an element of  $k[T]^*$  and  $k[T]^*$  is the  $k$ -linear span of the set of all characters [Bo, Sect. 8.2]; this and Definition 1.13 imply (a<sub>1</sub>) $\Rightarrow$ (a<sub>2</sub>).

Conversely, if (a<sub>2</sub>) holds, let  $k[X] = k[f_1, \dots, f_n]$  for some  $f_i \in k[X]^*$ . Then  $\iota: X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^n$ ,  $x \mapsto (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ , is a closed embedding since  $X$  is affine. The standard coordinate functions on  $\mathbf{A}^n$  do not vanish on  $\iota(X)$  since  $f_i$  does not vanish on  $X$ . Hence  $\iota(X) \subset (\mathbf{G}_m)^n$ . This proves (a<sub>2</sub>) $\Rightarrow$ (a<sub>1</sub>) and completes the proof of (a).

(b) Let  $x$  be a point of  $X$ . We have to show that  $x$  is contained in a  $G$ -stable open toral subset of  $X$ . Let  $k[X] = k[h_1, \dots, h_s]$ . Replacing  $h_i$  by  $h_i + \alpha_i$  for an appropriate  $\alpha_i \in k$ , we may (and shall) assume that every  $h_i$  vanishes nowhere on the  $G$ -orbit  $G \cdot x$  of  $x$ . Enlarging the set  $\{h_1, \dots, h_s\}$  by including in it  $g \cdot h_i$  for every  $i$  and  $g \in G$ , we may (and shall) assume that  $\{h_1, \dots, h_s\}$  is  $G$ -stable. Then  $h := h_1 \cdots h_s \in k[X]^G$ .

Hence the affine open set  $X_h := \{z \in X \mid h(z) \neq 0\}$  is  $G$ -stable and contains  $G \cdot x$ . Since  $k[X_h] = k[h_1, \dots, h_s, 1/h]$  we have  $h_i \in k[X_h]^*$  for every  $i$ . Hence,  $X_h$  is toral by (a). This proves (b).

(c) Consider the action of  $H$  on  $X$  determined by  $\varphi$ . Let  $H \cdot x$  be the  $H$ -orbit of a point  $x \in X$ . Since  $\text{char } k = 0$ ,  $H \cdot x$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}^d$  for some  $d$ , see [P<sub>1</sub>, Cor. of Theorem 2]. Since  $H$  is unipotent and  $X$  is affine,  $H \cdot x$  is closed in  $X$ , cf. [Bo, 4.10]. Hence  $H \cdot x$  is toral. Since  $k[\mathbf{A}^d]^* = k^*$ , from (a) we then infer that  $d = 0$ , i.e.,  $x$  is a fixed point. This proves (c<sub>1</sub>). In turn, (c<sub>1</sub>) implies (c<sub>2</sub>) by (1).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.15.** *If  $\text{ML}(X_1) = k$  and  $X_2$  is toral, then  $\text{ML}(X_1 \times X_2) = k[X_2]$ .*

Utilizing the above statements one gets many interesting varieties with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant. The following construction is typical (but not the only possible, see Example 1.21).

Let  $G$  be a connected semisimple algebraic group acting on an affine variety  $X$ . By Hilbert's theorem,  $k[X]^G$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra. Let  $k[X]^G = k[f_1, \dots, f_n]$ . For every  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in k$ , denote by  $X(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  the closed subvariety of  $X$  whose underlying topological space is  $\{x \in X \mid f_1(x) = \alpha_1, \dots, f_n(x) = \alpha_n\}$  (warning: in general, the ideal  $(f_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, f_n - \alpha_n)$  of  $k[X]$  is not radical). Let  $Y$  be a  $G$ -stable closed subvariety of  $X$ . It is well-known that  $k[X]^G \rightarrow k[Y]^G$ ,  $f \mapsto f|_Y$ , is an epimorphism [PV<sub>2</sub>, 3.4]. Hence  $k[Y]^G = k$  if and only if  $Y$  is contained in some  $X(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . From Theorem 1.3 we then infer that the Makar-Limanov invariant of every  $G$ -stable closed subvariety of  $X(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is trivial.

There are many instances where  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  can be explicitly described. E.g., classical invariant theory yields such a description for a number of finite-dimensional modules  $X$  of classical linear groups  $G$ ; for some of them, it is proved that  $(f_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, f_n - \alpha_n)$  is radical. If the latter happens, one obtains the instances of affine algebras with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant that are explicitly described by equations.

Below are several illustrating examples.

**Example 1.16** (Closures of adjoint orbits). Let  $f_s$  be the sum of all principal  $s \times s$ -minors of the  $n \times n$ -matrix  $(x_{ij})$  where  $x_{11}, \dots, x_{nn}$  are variables considered as the standard coordinate functions on  $\mathbf{M}_{n \times n}$ . For  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in k$ ,

$$\mathbf{M}_{n \times n}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) := \{a \in \mathbf{M}_{n \times n} \mid f_1(a) = \alpha_1, \dots, f_n(a) = \alpha_n\}$$

is the set of all matrices whose characteristic polynomial is  $t^n + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \alpha_i t^{n-i}$ .

Consider the action of  $\mathbf{SL}_n$  on  $\mathbf{M}_{n \times n}$  by conjugation. Then  $k[\mathbf{M}_{n \times n}]^{\mathbf{SL}_n}$  is freely generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  (cf., e.g., [PV<sub>2</sub>, 0.6]). Moreover,  $\mathbf{M}_{n \times n}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is irreducible and the ideal  $(f_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, f_n - \alpha_n)$  of  $k[\mathbf{M}_{n \times n}]$  is radical (see the next paragraph). Hence,  $\mathbf{M}_{n \times n}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is a closed subvariety  $\mathbf{M}_{n \times n}$  of such that

$$\text{ML}(\mathbf{M}_{n \times n}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) = k$$

and  $k[\dots, x_{ij}, \dots]/(f_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, f_n - \alpha_n)$  is the  $k$ -domain with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant.

This admits the following generalization. Let  $G$  be a connected reductive algebraic group and let  $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$  endowed with the adjoint action of  $G$ . By [K] the graded  $k$ -algebra  $k[\mathrm{Lie}(G)]^G$  is free and, for every minimal system of its homogeneous generators  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  and constants  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in k$ ,

- (i)  $\mathrm{Lie}(G)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) := \{a \in \mathrm{Lie}(G) \mid f_1(a) = \alpha_1, \dots, f_r(a) = \alpha_r\}$  is the closure of a  $G$ -orbit;
- (ii) the ideal  $(f_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, f_r - \alpha_r)$  of  $k[\mathrm{Lie}(G)]$  is radical.

Since the center  $Z$  of  $G$  acts trivially on  $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$  and  $G/Z$  is semisimple, this yields

$$\mathrm{ML}(\mathrm{Lie}(G)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)) = k$$

and  $k[\mathrm{Lie}(G)]/(f_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, f_r - \alpha_r)$  is the  $k$ -domain with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant.

For  $G = \mathbf{GL}_n$ , we have  $\mathrm{Lie}(G)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) = \mathbf{M}_{n \times n}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ .

**Example 1.17** (Determinantal varieties). Given positive integers  $n \geq m > r$ , let  $\{x_{ij} \mid i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m\}$  be the set of variables considered as the standard coordinates functions on  $\mathbf{M}_{n \times m}$ . Let  $I_{n,m,r}$  be the ideal of  $k[\mathbf{M}_{n \times m}] = k[\dots, x_{ij}, \dots]$  generated by all  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ -minors of the matrix  $(x_{ij})$ . Then  $I_{n,m,r}$  is radical, cf., e.g., [Pr]. The (affine) determinantal variety  $D_{n,m,r}$  is the subvariety of  $\mathbf{M}_{n \times m}$  defined by  $I_{n,m,r}$ . Its underlying set is that of  $n \times m$ -matrices of rank  $\leq r$ . It is stable with respect to the action of  $\mathbf{SL}_n \times \mathbf{SL}_m$  on  $\mathbf{M}_{n \times m}$  by  $(g, h) \cdot a := gah^{-1}$  and contains a dense orbit. Whence

$$\mathrm{ML}(D_{n,m,r}) = k$$

and  $k[\mathbf{M}_{n \times m}]/I_{n,m,r}$  is the  $k$ -domain with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant.

**Example 1.18** ( $S$ -varieties in the sense of [PV<sub>1</sub>]). Denote by  $\mathbf{S}^d k^n$  the  $d$ th symmetric power of the coordinate vector space (of columns)  $k^n$ . The natural  $\mathbf{SL}_n$ -action on  $k^n$  induces that on  $\mathbf{S}^d k^n$ . The (affine) Veronese morphism

$$\nu_n^d: k^n \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^d k^n, \quad v \mapsto v^d,$$

is  $\mathbf{SL}_n$ -equivariant. Its image  $\nu_n^d(k^n)$  is closed and contains a dense  $\mathbf{SL}_n$ -orbit. The ideal of  $\nu_n^d(k^n)$  is generated by all  $2 \times 2$ -minors of a certain symmetric matrix whose entries are the coordinates on  $\mathbf{S}^d k^n$ , cf. [Ha]. Thus,

$$\mathrm{ML}(\nu_n^d(k^n)) = k$$

and the coordinate algebra of  $\nu_n^d(k^n)$  is the explicitly described  $k$ -domain with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant.

More generally, the following combination of the Veronese and Segre morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{n_1, \dots, n_s}^{d_1, \dots, d_s}: k^{n_1} \times \dots \times k^{n_s} &\rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{d_1} k^{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{S}^{d_s} k^{n_s}, \\ (v_1, \dots, v_s) &\mapsto v^{d_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v^{d_s}, \end{aligned}$$

is equivariant with respect to the natural  $\mathbf{SL}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{SL}_{n_s}$ -actions, its image is closed and contains a dense orbit. Whence,

$$\mathrm{ML}(\nu_{n_1, \dots, n_s}^{d_1, \dots, d_s}(k^{n_1} \times \dots \times k^{n_s})) = k.$$

In turn, this construction admits a further generalization. Namely, any matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1s} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{r1} & \dots & a_{rs} \end{pmatrix}$$

with the entries in  $\mathbf{Z}_{>0}$  defines the diagonal morphism

$$\nu_{n_1, \dots, n_s}^A := \nu_{n_1, \dots, n_s}^{a_{11}, \dots, a_{1s}} \times \dots \times \nu_{n_1, \dots, n_s}^{a_{r1}, \dots, a_{rs}}.$$

This morphism is  $\mathbf{SL}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{SL}_{n_s}$ -equivariant and its image

$$\mathbf{H}_{n_1, \dots, n_s}^A := \nu_{n_1, \dots, n_s}^A(k^{n_1} \times \dots \times k^{n_s})$$

is closed and contains a dense orbit. Thus,

$$\mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{H}_{n_1, \dots, n_s}^A) = k.$$

In fact,  $\mathbf{H}_{n_1, \dots, n_s}^A$ 's are special examples of varieties with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant obtained by the following general construction [PV<sub>1</sub>].

Let  $G$  be a connected semisimple algebraic group and let  $E(\lambda)$  be a simple  $G$ -module with the highest weight  $\lambda$  (with respect to a fixed Borel subgroup and its maximal torus). Let  $v_{\lambda_i}$  be a highest vector of  $E(\lambda_i)$ . For  $x = v_{\lambda_1} + \dots + v_{\lambda_s} \in E(\lambda_1) \oplus \dots \oplus E(\lambda_s)$ , let  $X(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$  be the closure of the  $G$ -orbit of  $x$ . Up to  $G$ -isomorphism,  $X(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$  depends only on  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ . By Corollary 1.5

$$\mathrm{ML}(X(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)) = k.$$

The ideal  $I(\lambda)$  of  $X(\lambda)$  in  $k[E(\lambda)]$  is generated by quadratic forms that can be explicitly described. Namely,  $k[E(\lambda)]$  is the symmetric algebra of the dual  $G$ -module  $E(\lambda)^* = E(\lambda^*)$ . The submodule  $\mathbf{S}^2 E(\lambda^*)$  of the  $G$ -module  $k[E(\lambda)]$  contains a unique simple submodule with the highest weight  $2\lambda^*$ , the Cartan component of  $\mathbf{S}^2 E(\lambda^*)$ . Hence  $\mathbf{S}^2 E(\lambda^*)$  contains a unique submodule  $L$  complement to the Cartan component. This  $L$  generates  $I(\lambda)$ , see [Br, Theorem 4.1].

For  $G = \mathbf{SL}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{SL}_{n_s}$  and  $\lambda_i = a_{i1}\varpi_1^{(1)} + \dots + a_{is}\varpi_1^{(s)}$  where  $\varpi_1^{(j)}$  is the highest weight of the natural  $\mathbf{SL}_{n_j}$ -module  $k^{n_j}$ , we have

$$X(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s) = \mathbf{H}_{n_1, \dots, n_s}^A.$$

**Example 1.19** (Irreducible affine surfaces quasihomogeneous with respect to an algebraic group in the sense of [G]). By [P<sub>1</sub>], up to isomorphism, such surfaces are exhausted by the following list (we maintain the notation of Example 1.18):

(i) smooth surfaces:

$$\mathbf{A}^2, \mathbf{A}^1 \times \mathbf{A}_*^1, \mathbf{A}_*^1 \times \mathbf{A}_*^1, (\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1) \setminus \Delta, \mathbf{P}^2 \setminus C, \quad (10)$$

where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal in  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ , and  $C$  is a nondegenerate conic in  $\mathbf{P}^2$ ;

(ii) singular surfaces:

$$\mathbf{V}(n_1, \dots, n_r) := \mathbf{H}_2^A \quad \text{for } A = (n_1, \dots, n_r)^\top, \quad n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 2.$$



Each of these surfaces but  $\mathbf{A}^1 \times \mathbf{A}_*^1$  and  $\mathbf{A}_*^1 \times \mathbf{A}_*^1$  admits an  $\mathbf{SL}_2$ -action with a dense orbit. Namely,  $(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1) \setminus \Delta = \mathbf{SL}_2/T$  and  $\mathbf{P}^2 \setminus C = \mathbf{SL}_2/N(T)$ , where  $T$  is a maximal torus of  $\mathbf{SL}_2$  and  $N(T)$  its normalizer, see [P<sub>1</sub>, Lemma 2]. The surface  $\mathbf{V}(n_1, \dots, n_r)$  is the closure of the  $\mathbf{SL}_2$ -orbit of  $v_1 + \dots + v_r \in \mathbf{R}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{R}_{n_r}$ , where  $v_i$  is a highest vector of the simple  $\mathbf{SL}_2$ -module  $\mathbf{R}_{n_i}$  of dimension  $n_i + 1$  (such a module is unique up to isomorphism),

see [P<sub>1</sub>, §2]. By Corollary 1.5 this yields

$$\mathrm{ML}((\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1) \setminus \Delta) = \mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{P}^2 \setminus C) = \mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{V}(n_1, \dots, n_r)) = k, \quad (11)$$

As  $\mathbf{A}_*^m := (\mathbf{A}_*^1)^m$  is toral and  $\mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{A}^n) = k$ , Corollary 1.15 implies that

$$\mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{A}^n \times \mathbf{A}_*^m) = k[\mathbf{A}_*^m]. \quad (12)$$

From (12) we get the Makar-Limanov invariants of the remaining three surfaces in (10).

**Example 1.20** (Irreducible affine threefolds quasihomogeneous with respect to an algebraic group in the sense of [G]). We maintain the notation of Examples 1.18 and 1.19. Identify  $\mathrm{Pic}((\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1) \setminus \Delta)$  with  $\mathbf{Z}$  by a fixed isomorphism  $\varphi$ . Let  $\mathbf{X}_n$  be the total space of the one-dimensional vector bundle over  $(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1) \setminus \Delta$  corresponding to  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and let  $\mathbf{X}_n^*$  be the complement of the zero section in  $\mathbf{X}_n$ . In fact,  $\mathbf{X}_n$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{X}_{-n}$  and  $\mathbf{X}_n^*$  to  $\mathbf{X}_{-n}^*$ , so  $\mathbf{X}_n$  and  $\mathbf{X}_n^*$  do not depend on the choice of  $\varphi$ , see [P<sub>2</sub>].

The group  $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{P}^2 \setminus C)$  has order 2. Let  $\mathbf{Y}_0$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_1$  be the total spaces of, resp., trivial and nontrivial one-dimensional vector bundles over  $\mathbf{P}^2 \setminus C$ . Let  $\mathbf{Y}_n^*$  be the complement of the zero section in  $\mathbf{Y}_n$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}$ , and  $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_n$  be, resp., the binary tetrahedral, octahedral, icosahedral, and dihedral subgroup of order  $4n$  in  $\mathbf{SL}_2$ . Put  $\mathbf{S}_3 = \mathbf{SL}_2/\tilde{\mathbf{T}}$ ,  $\mathbf{S}_4 = \mathbf{SL}_2/\tilde{\mathbf{O}}$ ,  $\mathbf{S}_5 = \mathbf{SL}_2/\tilde{\mathbf{I}}$ , and  $\mathbf{W}_n = \mathbf{SL}_2/\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_n$ .

By [P<sub>1</sub>] up to isomorphism irreducible affine threefolds quasihomogeneous with respect to an algebraic group in the sense of [G] are exhausted by the following list:

(i) smooth threefolds:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{X}_n, \mathbf{X}_n^*, \mathbf{W}_n, \mathbf{Y}_0, \mathbf{Y}_0^*, \mathbf{Y}_1^*, \mathbf{S}_3, \mathbf{S}_4, \mathbf{S}_5, \\ &\mathbf{A}^3, \mathbf{A}^2 \times \mathbf{A}_*^1, \mathbf{A}^1 \times \mathbf{A}_*^2, \mathbf{A}_*^3; \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

(ii) singular threefolds:

$$\mathbf{P}(A) := \mathbf{H}_3(A) \quad \text{where all entries of } A \text{ are } \geq 1,$$

$$\mathbf{Q}(B) := \mathbf{H}_{2,2}(B) \quad \text{where } \mathrm{rk} B = 1.$$

By construction,  $\mathbf{S}_3, \mathbf{S}_4, \mathbf{S}_5, \mathbf{W}_n$  are homogeneous with respect to  $\mathbf{SL}_2$  while  $\mathbf{P}(A)$  and  $\mathbf{Q}(B)$  admit an action of resp.  $\mathbf{SL}_2 \times \mathbf{SL}_2$  and  $\mathbf{SL}_3$  with a dense orbit. In fact,  $\mathbf{X}_n^*$  for  $n \neq 0$  is homogeneous with respect to  $\mathbf{SL}_2$  as well (it is the quotient of  $\mathbf{SL}_2$  modulo a cyclic subgroup of order  $|n|$ ). By [P<sub>2</sub>, Theorem 9] every  $\mathbf{X}_n$  is homogeneous with respect to the nonreductive linear algebraic group  $\mathbf{SL}_{2,|n|} := \mathbf{SL}_2 \times \mathbf{R}_{|n|}$  (see Example

1.19); the radical of  $\mathbf{SL}_{2,|n|}$  is unipotent. By [P<sub>2</sub>, Prop. 18],  $\mathbf{Y}_0$  is homogeneous with respect to  $\mathbf{SL}_{2,d}$  for every even  $d > 0$ . From Theorem 1.7 we then deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{S}_3) &= \mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{S}_4) = \mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{S}_5) = \mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{Y}_0) = k, \\ \mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{X}_n) &= \mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{W}_n) = \mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{P}(A)) = \mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{Q}(B)) = k, \\ \mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{X}_n^*) &= k \quad \text{for } n \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\mathbf{X}_0^* = ((\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1) \setminus \Delta) \times \mathbf{A}_*^1$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_0^* = (\mathbf{P}^2 \setminus C) \times \mathbf{A}_*^1$ , we deduce from (11) and Corollary 1.15 that

$$\mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{X}_0^*) = \mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{Y}_0^*) = k[\mathbf{A}_*^1].$$

By [P<sub>2</sub>, Prop. 16],  $\mathbf{Y}_1^*$  is homogeneous with respect to  $\mathbf{SL}_2 \times \mathbf{G}_m$ . Since the latter is a reductive group with one-dimensional center, Theorem 1.7 implies that  $\mathrm{tr} \deg_k \mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{Y}_1^*) \leq 1$ . On the other hand, by [P<sub>2</sub>, Prop. 19],  $k[\mathbf{Y}_1^*]/k^*$  is a free abelian group of rank 1. Since  $k[X]^* \subseteq \mathrm{ML}(X)$  for every  $X$ , this yields

$$\mathrm{tr} \deg_k \mathrm{ML}(\mathbf{Y}_1^*) = 1.$$

Finally, (12) yields the Makar-Limanov invariants of the last four threefolds in (13).

**Example 1.21** (Schubert varieties). Let  $G$  be a connected semisimple algebraic group and let  $\mathbf{P}E$  be the projective space of 1-dimensional linear subspaces in a nonzero simple  $G$ -module  $E$ . There is a unique closed  $G$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathbf{P}E$ . Let  $U$  be a maximal unipotent subgroup of  $G$ . There are only finitely many  $U$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{O}$ ; their closures are called Schubert varieties, cf., e.g., [Sp, 8.3–8.5]. Let  $X \subseteq \mathcal{O}$  be a Schubert variety and let  $\widehat{X}$  be the affine cone over  $X$  in  $E$ . As  $U$  is unipotent, Corollary 1.8 yields

$$\mathrm{tr} \deg_k \mathrm{ML}(\widehat{X}) \leq 1.$$

The ideal of  $\widehat{X}$  in  $k[E]$  is generated by certain forms of degree  $\leq 2$  that admit an explicit description, see, e.g., [BL, 2.10].

## 1.2. The Derksen invariant.

Let  $X$  be a variety. Recall that the *Derksen invariant*  $D(X)$  of  $X$  is the  $k$ -subalgebra of  $k[X]$  generated by all  $k[X]^H$ 's where  $H$  runs over all subgroups of  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$  isomorphic to  $\mathbf{G}_a$ . If there are no such subgroups, we put  $D(X) = \emptyset$ .

**Example 1.22.** If  $X$  is toral, then  $D(X) = \emptyset$  by Lemma 1.14(c<sub>1</sub>).

In this section we deduce some information on  $D(X)$  in case when  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$  contains a connected noncommutative reductive algebraic subgroup.

Recall that if an algebraic group  $G$  acts linearly on a (not necessarily finite-dimensional)  $k$ -vector space  $V$ , then the  $G$ -module  $V$  is called *algebraic* if every element of  $V$  is contained in an algebraic finite-dimensional  $G$ -submodule of  $V$ , cf., e.g., [PV<sub>2</sub>, 3.4].

The starting point is

**Lemma 1.23.** *Let  $G$  be a connected noncommutative reductive algebraic group. Then every algebraic  $G$ -module  $V$  is a  $k$ -linear span of the set*

$$\bigcup_{H \subset G} V^H, \quad (14)$$

where  $H$  in (14) runs over all one-parameter unipotent subgroups of  $G$ .

*Proof.* The assumptions that  $G$  is reductive,  $\text{char } k = 0$ , and  $V$  is algebraic imply that  $V$  is a sum of simple  $G$ -submodules. Hence we may (and shall) assume that  $V$  is a nonzero simple  $G$ -module. Since  $G$  is a connected noncommutative reductive algebraic group, it contains a one-dimensional unipotent subgroup  $U$  (indeed, since  $(G, G)$  is a nontrivial semisimple group, a root subgroup of  $(G, G)$  with respect to a maximal torus may be taken as  $U$ ). By the Lie–Kolchin theorem  $V^U \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $v$  be a nonzero vector of  $V^U$ . As  $g \cdot v \in V^{gUg^{-1}}$  for every element  $g \in G$ , the  $G$ -orbit  $G \cdot v$  of  $v$  is contained in set (14). Hence the  $k$ -linear span of  $G \cdot v$  is contained in the  $k$ -linear span of this set. But the  $k$ -linear span of  $G \cdot v$  is  $G$ -stable and therefore coincides with  $V$  since  $V$  is simple. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.24.** *Let  $X$  be a variety. If  $\text{Aut}(X)$  contains a connected noncommutative reductive algebraic subgroup, then*

$$D(X) = k[X]. \quad (15)$$

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a connected noncommutative reductive algebraic subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(X)$ . Since the  $G$ -module  $k[X]$  is algebraic (see [PV<sub>2</sub>, Lemma 1.4]), the claim follows from Lemma 1.23 and the definition on  $D(X)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 1.25.* The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\text{Aut}(X)$  contains a connected noncommutative reductive algebraic subgroup;
- (ii)  $\text{Aut}(X)$  contains  $\text{SL}_2$  or  $\text{PSL}_2$ .

Indeed,  $\text{SL}_2$  and  $\text{PSL}_2$  are connected noncommutative reductive algebraic groups and every connected noncommutative reductive algebraic group contains  $\text{SL}_2$  or  $\text{PSL}_2$ , cf. [Bo, Theorem 13.18(4)], [Sp, 7.2.4].

The following example shows that the assumption of noncommutativity in Theorem 1.24 cannot be dropped.

**Example 1.26.** By [Der], for the Koras–Russell cubic threefold  $X$ , the following inequality distinguishing  $X$  from  $\mathbf{A}^3$  holds:

$$D(X) \neq k[X]. \quad (16)$$

On the other hand, since  $X$  is defined in  $\mathbf{A}^4$  by  $x_1 + x_1^2 x_2 + x_3^2 + x_4^3 = 0$  where  $x_1, \dots, x_4$  are the standard coordinate functions on  $\mathbf{A}^4$ , it is stable with respect to the action of  $\mathbf{G}_m$  on  $\mathbf{A}^4$  defined by  $t \cdot (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (t^6 a_1, t^{-6} a_2, t^3 a_3, t^2 a_4)$ . Hence  $\text{Aut}(X)$  contains a one-dimensional connected commutative reductive subgroup, cf. [DM-JP, Sect. 3].

One can apply Theorem 1.24 to proving that, for some varieties  $X$ , there are no connected noncommutative reductive algebraic subgroups in  $\text{Aut}(X)$ .

**Example 1.27.** For the Koras–Russell cubic threefold  $X$ , Theorem 1.24 and (16) imply that  $\text{Aut}(X)$  contains no connected noncommutative reductive algebraic subgroups.

Since  $\mathbf{A}^n$  for  $n \geq 2$  contains a connected noncommutative reductive algebraic subgroup (for instance,  $\mathbf{GL}_n$ ), the next corollary generalizes the well-known fact that  $D(X \times \mathbf{A}^n) = k[X \times \mathbf{A}^n]$  for  $n \geq 2$  (see, e.g., [CM]).

**Corollary 1.28.** *Let  $Z$  be a variety such that  $\text{Aut}(Z)$  contains a connected noncommutative reductive algebraic subgroup. Then, for every variety  $X$ ,*

$$D(X \times Z) = k[X \times Z]. \quad (17)$$

*Proof.* Consider the natural action of  $\text{Aut}(Z)$  on  $Z$  and its trivial action on  $X$ . Then the diagonal action of  $\text{Aut}(Z)$  on  $X \times Z$  identifies  $\text{Aut}(Z)$  with a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(X \times Z)$ . Whence the claim by Theorem 1.24.  $\square$

The following example shows that the assumption of noncommutativity in Corollary 1.28 cannot be dropped.

**Example 1.29.** Let  $x_1, x_2$  be the standard coordinate functions on  $\mathbf{A}^2$ . The principal open set  $Y$  in  $\mathbf{A}^2$  defined by  $x_1 \neq 0$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}_*^1 \times \mathbf{A}^1$  and

$$k[Y] = k[t, t^{-1}, s], \quad \text{where } t := x_1|_Y, s := x_2|_Y. \quad (18)$$

Since  $t$  is the unit of  $k[Y]$ , for every action of  $\mathbf{G}_a$  on  $Y$  we have

$$t, t^{-1} \in k[Y]^{\mathbf{G}_a}. \quad (19)$$

As, clearly,  $\text{Aut}(Y)$  contains a one-dimensional unipotent subgroup, (19) and the definition of  $D[Y]$  yield  $k[t, t^{-1}] \subseteq D[Y]$ . We also deduce from (19) that, for every point  $y \in Y$ , the  $\mathbf{G}_a$ -orbit of  $y$  lies in the line defined by the equation  $t = t(y)$ . But this orbit is closed in  $Y$  since  $Y$  is affine and  $\mathbf{G}_a$  is unipotent, cf. [Bo, 4.10]. Hence, if  $y$  is not a fixed point, this orbit coincides with the aforementioned line. Therefore, if  $\mathbf{G}_a$  acts on  $Y$  nontrivially,  $t$  separates orbits in general position. Since  $\text{char } k = 0$ , by [PV<sub>2</sub>, Lemma 2.1] this means that  $k(Y)^{\mathbf{G}_a} = k(t)$ . Whence by (18) we have  $k[Y]^{\mathbf{G}_a} = k[t, t^{-1}]$ . From this, (12) and (18) we then infer that

$$k[t, t^{-1}] = \text{ML}(\mathbf{A}_*^1 \times \mathbf{A}^1) = D(\mathbf{A}_*^1 \times \mathbf{A}^1) \subsetneq k[\mathbf{A}_*^1 \times \mathbf{A}^1] = k[t, t^{-1}, s].$$

Thus, (17) does not hold for  $X = \mathbf{A}_*^1$ ,  $Z = \mathbf{A}^1$  while both  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}_*^1)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}^1)$  contain a one-dimensional connected commutative reductive algebraic subgroup.

**Theorem 1.30.** *If  $X_i$  is a variety such that  $\text{ML}(X_i) \neq k[X_i]$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then*

$$D(X_1 \times X_2) = k[X_1 \times X_2].$$

*Proof.* As  $\text{ML}(X_1) \neq k[X_1]$ , there is a nontrivial  $\mathbf{G}_a$ -action  $\alpha$  on  $X_1$ . The diagonal  $\mathbf{G}_a$ -action on  $X_1 \times X_2$  determined by  $\alpha$  and trivial action on  $X_2$  is a nontrivial  $\mathbf{G}_a$ -action for which  $k[X_2]$  lies in the algebra of invariants. Hence,  $k[X_2] \subseteq \text{D}(X_1 \times X_2)$ . Similarly,  $k[X_1] \subseteq \text{D}(X_1 \times X_2)$ . As  $k[X_1 \times X_2]$  is generated by  $k[X_1]$  and  $k[X_2]$ , the claim follows.  $\square$

**Example 1.31.** If  $X$  is the Koras–Russell cubic threefold  $X$ , then  $\text{D}(X) \neq k[X]$  by [Der]. But for the square of  $X$  we have  $\text{D}(X \times X) = k[X \times X]$ — since  $\text{ML}(X) = k[x_1|_X] \neq k[X]$  (cf., e.g., [F, Chap. 9]), this follows from Theorem 1.30.

### 1.3. Generalizations.

The Makar-Limanov and Derksen invariants can be naturally generalized.

Namely, let  $X$  be a variety and let  $F$  be an algebraic group.

**Definition 1.32.** The  $F$ -kernel of  $X$  is the following  $k$ -subalgebra of  $k[X]$ :

$$\text{Ker}_F(X) := \bigcap_H k[X]^H, \quad (20)$$

where  $H$  in (20) runs over the images of all algebraic homomorphisms  $F \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$ .

**Definition 1.33.** The  $F$ -envelope of  $X$  is the  $k$ -subalgebra

$$\text{Env}_F(X)$$

of  $k[X]$  generated by all  $k[X]^H$ 's where  $H$  runs over all subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  isomorphic to  $F$ . If there are no such subgroups, we put  $\text{Env}_F(X) = \emptyset$ .

**Example 1.34.** The definitions imply that

$$\text{Ker}_{\mathbf{G}_a}(X) = \text{ML}(X), \quad \text{Env}_{\mathbf{G}_a}(X) = \text{D}(X).$$

**Definition 1.35.** We say that an algebraic group  $G$  is  $F$ -generated if it is generated by the images of all homomorphisms  $F \rightarrow G$ .

**Examples 1.36.** (1) By Lemma 1.1 a connected linear algebraic group  $G$  is  $\mathbf{G}_a$ -generated if and only if  $G$  has no nontrivial characters that, in turn, is equivalent to the condition  $\text{Rad } G \neq \text{Rad}_u G$ .

(2) Every connected reductive algebraic group  $G$  is  $\mathbf{G}_m$ -generated. This is clear if  $G$  is a torus. In the general case this follows from the fact that the subgroup generated by algebraic subgroups is closed (see, e.g., [Sp, 2.2.7]) and the union of maximal tori of  $G$  contains a dense open subset ([Sp, 6.4.5(iii), 7.6.4(ii)]).

(3) Clearly, the subgroup generated by the images of all homomorphisms  $F \rightarrow G$  is normal. Hence, if  $G$  is simple as abstract group and there exists a nontrivial homomorphism  $F \rightarrow G$ , then  $G$  is  $F$ -generated.

The following are the generalizations of the above statements on  $\text{ML}(X)$  and  $\text{D}(X)$ .

**Theorem 1.37.** *If a variety  $X$  is endowed with an action of an  $F$ -generated algebraic group  $G$ , then  $\text{Ker}_F(X) \subseteq k[X]^G$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Definitions 1.32 and 1.35.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.38.** *If a variety  $X$  is endowed with an action of an  $F$ -generated algebraic group  $G$  and  $X$  contains a dense  $G$ -orbit, then  $\text{Ker}_F(X) = k$ .*

**Corollary 1.39.** *If  $H$  is a reductive subgroup of an  $F$ -generated linear algebraic group  $G$ , then  $G/H$  is an affine variety with  $\text{Ker}_F(G/H) = k$ .*

**Corollary 1.40.** *Let  $X$  be an irreducible variety. If there is an action of  $\mathbf{G}_m$  on  $X$  with a fixed point and without other closed orbits, then*

$$\text{Ker}_{\mathbf{G}_m}(X) = k. \quad (21)$$

*Proof.* The assumptions imply that the fixed point is unique and lies in the closure of every  $\mathbf{G}_m$ -orbit; whence  $k[X]^{\mathbf{G}_m} = k$ . In turn, this and (20) yield (21). Note that, in fact,  $X$  is affine [P<sub>4</sub>].  $\square$

**Corollary 1.41.** *Let  $X$  be a closed subset of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  and let  $\widehat{X} \subseteq k^{n+1}$  be the affine cone over  $X$ . Then  $\text{Ker}_{\mathbf{G}_m}(\widehat{X}) = k$ .*

**Example 1.42.** Consider the case  $F = \mathbf{G}_m$ . If  $G$  is a connected reductive subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  and  $X$  contains a dense  $G$ -orbit, then Corollary 1.38 and Example 1.36(2) imply that (21) holds. In particular, this is so for every toric variety  $X$ ; for instance,

$$\text{Ker}_{\mathbf{G}_m}(\mathbf{A}^n \times \mathbf{A}_*^m) = k.$$

(compare with (12)). Applying this to the varieties considered in Examples 1.16–1.20, we see that (21) holds for every  $X$  from the following list:

$$\text{Lie}(G)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \quad (\text{see Example 1.16});$$

$$D_{n,m,r} \quad (\text{see Example 1.17});$$

$$X(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s) \quad (\text{see Example 1.18});$$

$$(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1) \setminus \Delta, \quad \mathbf{P}^2 \setminus C, \quad \mathbf{V}(n_1, \dots, n_r) \quad \text{where } n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 2 \quad (\text{see Example 1.19});$$

$$\mathbf{S}_3, \quad \mathbf{S}_4, \quad \mathbf{S}_5, \quad \mathbf{W}_n, \quad \mathbf{P}(A), \quad \mathbf{Q}(B), \quad \mathbf{X}_n^* \quad \text{where } n \neq 0, \quad \mathbf{Y}_1^* \quad (\text{see Example 1.20}).$$

The threefold  $\mathbf{X}_n$  from Example 1.20 is homogeneous with respect to  $\mathbf{SL}_{2,|n|}$ . One can prove that  $\mathbf{SL}_{2,|n|}$  is  $\mathbf{G}_m$ -generated; whence  $\text{Ker}(\mathbf{X}_n) = k$ .

The remaining threefolds  $\mathbf{X}_0^*$ ,  $\mathbf{Y}_0$ , and  $\mathbf{Y}_0^*$  from Example 1.20 are considered in Example 1.45 below.

The same proof as that of Lemma 1.9 yields

**Lemma 1.43.** *For any varieties  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ ,*

$$\text{Ker}_F(X_1 \times X_2) \subseteq \text{Ker}_F(X_1) \otimes_k \text{Ker}_F(X_2).$$

**Corollary 1.44.** *For any varieties  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{Ker}_F(X_1)$  and  $\text{Ker}_F(X_2)$  lie in  $\text{Ker}_F(X_1 \times X_2)$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{Ker}_F(X_1 \times X_2) = \text{Ker}_F(X_1) \otimes_k \text{Ker}_F(X_2)$ .

**Example 1.45.** Since  $\mathbf{X}_0^* = ((\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1) \setminus \Delta) \times \mathbf{A}_*^1$ ,  $\mathbf{Y}_0 = (\mathbf{P}^2 \setminus C) \times \mathbf{A}^1$ , and  $\mathbf{Y}_0^* = (\mathbf{P}^2 \setminus C) \times \mathbf{A}_*^1$  (see Example 1.20), we deduce from Lemma 1.43 and Example 1.42 that  $\text{Ker}_{\mathbf{G}_m}(\mathbf{X}_0^*) = \text{Ker}_{\mathbf{G}_m}(\mathbf{Y}_0) = \text{Ker}_{\mathbf{G}_m}(\mathbf{Y}_0^*) = k$ .

**Lemma 1.46.** *For any connected algebraic group  $F$  that has no nontrivial characters,*

$$k[X]^* \subseteq \text{Ker}_F(X). \quad (22)$$

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the image of an algebraic homomorphism  $F \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$ . We claim that  $k[X]^* \subseteq k[X]^H$ ; by virtue of Definition 1.32 this inclusion implies (22). Since  $H$  is connected, every irreducible component of  $X$  is  $H$ -stable, so proving the claim we may (and shall) assume that  $X$  is irreducible. In this case every element of  $k[X]^*$  is  $H$ -semiinvariant by [PV<sub>2</sub>, Theorem 3.1], hence lies in  $k[X]^H$  since  $H$  has no nontrivial characters. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.47.** *Let  $F$  be a connected algebraic group that has no nontrivial characters. Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be varieties such that  $\text{Ker}_F(X_1)$  and  $\text{Ker}_F(X_2)$  are generated by units. Then  $\text{Ker}_F(X_1 \times X_2) = \text{Ker}_F(X_1) \otimes_k \text{Ker}_F(X_2)$ .*

**Lemma 1.48.** *Let  $G$  be a connected reductive algebraic group of rank  $\geq 2$ . Then every algebraic  $G$ -module  $V$  is a  $k$ -linear span of the set*

$$\bigcup_{H \subseteq G} V^H, \quad (23)$$

where  $H$  in (23) runs over all one-dimensional tori of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Like in the proof of Lemma 1.23 we may (and shall) assume that  $V$  is a nonzero simple  $G$ -module. Let  $T$  be a maximal torus of  $G$  and let  $v \in V$ ,  $v \neq 0$ , be a weight vector of  $T$ . Since  $\dim T \geq 2$ , the  $T$ -stabilizer  $T_v$  of  $v$  is a diagonalizable group of dimension  $\geq 1$ . Hence  $T_v$  contains a one-dimensional torus  $S$ . Thus,  $v \in V^S$ . Like in the proof of Lemma 1.23 we then conclude that the orbit  $G \cdot v$  is contained in set (23). Since  $V$  is simple, the  $k$ -linear span of  $G \cdot v$  coincides with  $V$ ; whence the claim.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.49.** *Let  $X$  be a variety such that  $\text{Aut}(X)$  contains a connected reductive algebraic group  $G$  of rank  $\geq 2$ . Then*

$$\text{Env}_{\mathbf{G}_m}(X) = k[X].$$

*Proof.* Since the  $G$ -module  $k[X]$  is algebraic, the claim follows from Lemma 1.48 and Definition 1.33.  $\square$

*Remark 1.50.* Clearly,  $\text{Env}_{\mathbf{G}_m}(\mathbf{A}^1) = k$ . This shows that in Lemma 1.48 and Theorem 1.49 the condition “ $\geq 2$ ” can not be replaced by “ $\geq 1$ ”.

## 2. FINITE AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

### 2.1. Jordan groups.

The following definition is inspired by the classical Jordan theorem (Theorem 2.2).

**Definition 2.1.** A group  $G$  is called a *Jordan group* if there exists a positive integer  $J_G$ , depending only on  $G$ , such that every finite subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  contains a normal abelian subgroup whose index in  $K$  is at most  $J_G$ .

Jordan’s theorem (see [CR, Theorem 36.13]) can be then reformulated as follows:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Every  $\mathbf{GL}_n(k)$  is Jordan.*

*Remark 2.3.* For  $G = \mathbf{GL}_n(k)$ , the explicit upper bounds  $J_G$  are known, see [CR, §36].

Since subgroups of Jordan groups are Jordan and every linear algebraic group is isomorphic to a subgroup of some  $\mathbf{GL}_n(k)$  (see [Sp, 2.3.7]), Theorem 2.2 yields the following more general

**Theorem 2.4.** *Every linear algebraic group is Jordan.*

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $H$  be a finite normal subgroup of a group  $G$ . If  $G$  is Jordan, then  $G/H$  is Jordan.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$  be the natural projection and let  $K$  be a finite subgroup of  $G/H$ . Since  $H$  is finite,  $\pi^{-1}(K)$  is a finite subgroup of  $G$ . As  $G$  is Jordan,  $\pi^{-1}(K)$  contains a normal abelian subgroup  $A$  whose index is at most  $J_G$ . Hence  $\pi(A)$  is a normal abelian subgroup of  $K$  whose index is at most  $J_G$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *If the groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are Jordan, then  $G_1 \times G_2$  is Jordan.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi_i: G := G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow G_i$  be the natural projection and let  $K$  be a finite subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $G_i$  is Jordan,  $K_i := \pi_i(K)$  contains an abelian normal subgroup  $A_i$  such that

$$[K_i : A_i] \leq J_{K_i}. \quad (24)$$

The subgroup  $\tilde{A}_i := \pi_i^{-1}(A_i) \cap K$  is normal in  $K$  and  $K/\tilde{A}_i$  is isomorphic to  $K_i/A_i$ . From (24) we then conclude that

$$[K : \tilde{A}_i] \leq J_{K_i}. \quad (25)$$

Since  $A := \tilde{A}_1 \cap \tilde{A}_2$  is the kernel of the diagonal homomorphism

$$K \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^2 K/\tilde{A}_i$$

determined by the canonical projections  $K \rightarrow K/\tilde{A}_i$ , we infer from (25) that

$$[K : A] = |K/A| \leq \left| \prod_{i=1}^2 K/\tilde{A}_i \right| \leq J_{K_1} J_{K_2} \quad (26)$$

By construction,  $A \subseteq A_1 \times A_2$ . Since  $A_i$  is abelian, this implies that  $A$  is abelian. As  $A$  is normal in  $K$ , this and (26) complete the proof.  $\square$

The following definition distinguishes a special class of Jordan groups.

**Definition 2.7.** A group  $G$  is called *bounded* if there is a positive integer  $b_G$ , depending only on  $G$ , such that the order of every finite subgroup of  $G$  is at most  $b_G$ .



**Examples 2.8.** (1) Finite groups and torsion free groups are bounded.

(2) Every finite subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q})$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$  (see, e.g., [CR, Theorem 73.5]). On the other hand, by Minkowski's theorem (see, e.g., [Hu, Theorem 39.4])  $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$  is bounded. Hence  $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q})$  is bounded. Note that H. Minkowski and I. Schur obtained explicit upper bounds of the orders of finite subgroups in  $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ , see [Hu, §39].

(3) It is immediate from the definition that every extension of a bounded group by bounded is bounded as well.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $H$  be a normal subgroup of a group  $G$  such that  $G/H$  is bounded. Then  $G$  is Jordan if and only if  $H$  is Jordan.*

*Proof.* A proof is needed only for the sufficiency. So assume that  $H$  is Jordan; we have to prove that  $G$  is Jordan. Let  $K$  be a finite subgroup of  $G$ . By Definition 2.1

$$L := K \cap H \tag{27}$$

contains an abelian normal subgroup  $A$  such that

$$[L : A] \leq J_H. \tag{28}$$

Let  $g$  be an element of  $K$ . Since  $L$  is a normal subgroup of  $K$ , we infer that  $gAg^{-1}$  is a normal abelian subgroup of  $L$  and

$$[L : A] = [L : gAg^{-1}]. \tag{29}$$

Consider now the group

$$M := \bigcap_{g \in K} gAg^{-1}. \tag{30}$$

It is a normal abelian subgroup of  $K$ . We claim that  $[K : M]$  is upper bounded by a constant not depending on  $K$ . To prove this, fix the representatives  $g_1, \dots, g_{|K/L|}$  of all cosets of  $L$  in  $K$ . Then (30) and normality of  $A$  in  $L$  imply that

$$M = \bigcap_{i=1}^{|K/L|} g_i A g_i^{-1}. \tag{31}$$

From (31) we deduce that  $M$  is the kernel of the diagonal homomorphism

$$L \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{|K/L|} L/g_i A g_i^{-1}$$

determined by the canonical projections  $L \rightarrow L/g_i A g_i^{-1}$ . This, (29), and (28) yield

$$[L : M] \leq [L : A]^{|K/L|} \leq J_H^{|K/L|}. \tag{32}$$

Let  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$  be the canonical projection. By (27) the finite subgroup  $\pi(K)$  of  $G/H$  is isomorphic to  $K/L$ . Since  $G/H$  is bounded, this yields  $|K/L| \leq b_{G/H}$ . We then deduce from (32) and  $[K : M] = [K : L][L : M]$  that

$$[K : M] \leq b_{G/H} J_H^{b_{G/H}}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $H$  be a finite normal subgroup of a group  $G$  such that the center of  $H$  is trivial. If  $G/H$  is Jordan, then  $G$  is Jordan.*

*Proof.* The conjugating action of  $G$  on  $H$  determines a homomorphism  $\varphi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ . The definition of  $\varphi$  and triviality of the center of  $H$  implies that

$$H \cap \ker \varphi = \{1\}. \quad (33)$$

In turn, (33) yields that the restriction of the natural projection  $G \rightarrow G/H$  to  $\ker \varphi$  is an embedding  $\ker \varphi \hookrightarrow G/H$ . Hence  $\ker \varphi$  is Jordan since  $G/H$  is Jordan. But  $G/\ker \varphi$  is finite since it is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(H)$  for the finite group  $H$ . Whence  $G$  is Jordan by Lemma 2.9. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Example 2.12, Theorems 2.13, 2.16 and their corollaries below give, for some varieties  $X$ , the affirmative answer to the following

**Question 2.11.** Let  $X$  be an irreducible affine variety. Is it true that  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is Jordan?

**Example 2.12.**  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}^n)$  is Jordan for  $n \leq 2$ . For  $n = 1$  this is clear, for  $n = 2$  follows from Theorem 2.2 and the well-known fact that every finite subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}^2)$  is linearizable, i.e., conjugate to a subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_2(k)$  (see also Subsection 2.2 below).

**Theorem 2.13.** *The automorphism group of every irreducible toral variety (see Definition 1.13) is Jordan.*

*Proof.* By [R], for any irreducible variety  $X$ , the abelian group

$$\Gamma := k[X]^*/k^*$$

is free and of finite rank. Let  $X$  be toral and let  $H$  be the kernel of the natural action of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  on  $\Gamma$ . We claim that  $H$  is abelian. Indeed, for every element  $f \in k[X]^*$ , the line spanned by  $f$  in  $k[X]$  is  $H$ -stable. Since  $\mathbf{GL}_1$  is abelian, this yields that

$$h_1 h_2 \cdot f = h_2 h_1 \cdot f \quad \text{for any elements } h_1, h_2 \in H. \quad (34)$$

As  $X$  is toral,  $k[X]^*$  generates the  $k$ -algebra  $k[X]$  by Lemma 1.14. Hence (34) holds for every  $f \in k[X]$ . Since  $X$  is affine, the automorphisms of  $X$  coincide if and only if they induce the same automorphisms of  $k[X]$ . Whence  $H$  is abelian, as claimed.

Let  $n$  be the rank of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ . By the definition of  $H$ , the natural action of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  on  $\Gamma$  induces an embedding of  $\text{Aut}(X)/H$  in  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ . Hence  $\text{Aut}(X)/H$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ . Example 2.8(2) then implies that  $\text{Aut}(X)/H$  is bounded. Thus,  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is an extension of a bounded group by an abelian group, hence Jordan by Lemma 2.9. This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 2.14.* Maintain the notation of the proof of Theorem 2.13. Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  be a basis of  $\Gamma$ . There are the homomorphisms  $\lambda_i: H \rightarrow k^*$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , such that  $g \cdot f_i = \lambda_i(g) f_i$  for every  $g \in H$  and  $i$ . Since  $k[X]^*$  generates  $k[X]$ , the diagonal map  $H \rightarrow (k^*)^n$ ,  $h \mapsto (\lambda_1(h), \dots, \lambda_n(h))$ , is injective. This and the proof of Theorem 2.13

show that the automorphism group of  $X$  is an extension of a subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$  by a subgroup of the torus  $(k^*)^n$ .

The following lemma is well-known (see, e.g., [FZ, Lemma 2.7(b)]).

**Lemma 2.15.** *Let  $X$  be a variety and let  $G$  be a reductive algebraic subgroup of  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ . Let  $x \in X$  be a fixed point of  $G$ . Then the kernel of the induced action of  $G$  on  $T_{x,X}$  is trivial.*

**Theorem 2.16.** *Let  $\sim$  be the equivalence relation on the set of points of a variety  $X$  defined by*

$$x \sim y \iff \text{the local rings of } X \text{ at } x \text{ and } y \text{ are } k\text{-isomorphic.}$$

*If there is a finite equivalence class of  $\sim$ , then  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$  is Jordan.*

*Proof.* Every equivalence class of  $\sim$  is  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ -stable. Let  $C$  be a finite equivalence class of  $\sim$  and let  $G$  be the kernel of the action of  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$  on  $C$ . Then  $G$  is a normal subgroup of finite index in  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ . By Lemma 2.9 it suffices to prove that  $G$  is Jordan.

Let  $K$  be a finite subgroup of  $G$  and let  $x$  be a point of  $C$ . As  $x$  is fixed by  $K$ , the action of  $K$  on  $X$  induces an action of  $K$  on  $T_{x,X}$ . The latter is linear and hence determined by a homomorphism  $\tau: K \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(T_{x,X})$ . Being finite,  $K$  is reductive. Hence  $\tau$  is injective by Lemma 2.15. Theorem 2.2 then yields that  $K$  contains an abelian normal subgroup  $A$  such that  $[K : A] \leq J_{\mathbf{GL}_n(k)}$ ,  $n := \dim T_{x,X}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Given a variety  $X$ , we say that its point  $x$  is a *vertex* of  $X$  if

$$\dim T_{x,X} \geq \dim T_{y,X} \text{ for every point } y \in X.$$

Clearly, an irreducible  $X$  is smooth if and only if every its point is a vertex.

**Corollary 2.17.** *The automorphism group of every variety with only finitely many vertices is Jordan.*

**Corollary 2.18.** *Let  $\approx$  be the equivalence relation on the set of points of a variety  $X$  defined by*

$$x \approx y \iff \text{the tangent cones of } X \text{ at } x \text{ and } y \text{ are isomorphic.}$$

*If there is a finite equivalence class of  $\approx$ , then  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$  is Jordan.*

**Corollary 2.19.** *The automorphism group of every nonsmooth variety with only finitely many singular points is Jordan.*

**Corollary 2.20.** *Let  $\widehat{X} \subset k^{n+1}$  be the affine cone of a smooth closed proper subvariety  $X$  in  $\mathbf{P}^n = \mathbf{P}(k^{n+1})$  that does not lie in any hyperplane. Then  $\mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{X})$  is Jordan.*

*Proof.* The assumptions imply that the singular locus of  $\widehat{X}$  consists of a single point, the origin; whence the claim by Corollary 2.19.  $\square$

*Remark 2.21.* Smoothness in Corollary 2.20 may be replaced by the assumption that  $X$  is not a cone. Indeed, in this case the origin constitutes a single equivalence class of  $\approx$  for points of  $\widehat{X}$ ; whence the claim by Corollary 2.18.

**Theorem 2.22.** *For every variety  $X$ , every finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  such that  $X^G \neq \emptyset$  contains an abelian normal subgroup whose index in  $G$  is at most  $J_{\mathbf{GL}_d(k)}$  where  $d = \max_x \dim T_{x,X}$ .*

*Proof.* Like in the above proof of Theorem 2.16, this follows from Lemma 2.15 and Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.23.** *Let  $p$  be a prime number. Then every finite  $p$ -subgroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}^n)$  contains an abelian normal subgroup whose index in  $G$  is at most  $J_{\mathbf{GL}_n(k)}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2.22 since in this case  $(\mathbf{A}^n)^G \neq \emptyset$ , see [Se<sub>3</sub>, Theorem 1.2].  $\square$

*Remark 2.24.* To date, it is not known whether or not  $(\mathbf{A}^n)^G \neq \emptyset$  for every finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}^n)$ . By Theorem 2.22 the affirmative answer would imply that  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A}^n)$  is Jordan.

*Remark 2.25.* The statement of Corollary 2.23 remains true if  $\mathbf{A}^n$  is replaced by any  $p$ -acyclic variety  $X$  and  $n$  in  $J_{\mathbf{GL}_n(k)}$  by  $\max_x \dim T_{x,X}$ . This is because in this case  $X^G \neq \emptyset$  for every finite  $p$ -subgroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aut}(X)$ , see [Se<sub>3</sub>, Sect. 7–8].

**Theorem 2.26.** *For every variety  $X$ , there is an integer  $m_X$  such that any finite subgroup  $G$  of any connected linear algebraic subgroup  $L$  of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  contains an abelian normal subgroup whose index in  $G$  is at most  $m_X$ .*

*Proof.* Being reductive,  $G$  is contained in a maximal reductive subgroup  $R$  of  $L$ . Then  $R$  is a Levi subgroup, i.e.,  $L$  is a semidirect product of  $R$  and  $\text{Rad}_u L$ , cf., e.g., [OV, Chap. 6]. As  $L$  is connected,  $R$  is connected as well. Since the kernel of the action of  $R$  on  $X$  is trivial,  $\text{rk } R \leq \dim X$ , see [P<sub>2</sub>, §3]. The claim then follows from Theorem 2.4 as there are only finitely many connected reductive groups of rank at most  $\dim X$ .  $\square$

**2.2. Generalizations.** One may ask whether “affine” in Question 2.11 can be dropped:

**Question 2.27.** Is there an irreducible variety  $X$  such that  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is not Jordan?

The negative answer to Question 2.27 would follow from that to

**Question 2.28.** Is there an irreducible variety  $X$  such that  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is not Jordan?

If  $X$  is a curve, then the answer to Question 2.28 is negative.

Indeed, we may assume that  $X$  is smooth and projective. Then  $\text{Bir}(X) = \text{Aut}(X)$ .

If  $g(X)$ , the genus of  $X$ , is 0, then  $X = \mathbf{P}^1$ , hence  $\text{Bir}(X) = \mathbf{PGL}_2(k)$ , so  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is Jordan by Theorem 2.4.

If  $g(X) = 1$ , then  $X$  is an elliptic curve; whence  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is the extension of a finite group by the abelian algebraic group  $X$ , hence Jordan by Lemma 2.9.

If  $g(X) \geq 2$ , then  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is finite, hence Jordan.

Note that all curves (not necessarily smooth and projective) with infinite automorphism group are classified in [P<sub>3</sub>].

Answering Question 2.28 for surfaces  $X$ , one may assume that  $X$  is a smooth projective minimal model.

If  $X$  is of general type, then by Matsumura's theorem  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is finite, hence Jordan.

If  $X$  is rational, then  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is the Cremona group of rank 2 over  $k$ , hence Jordan by [Se<sub>1</sub>, Theorem 5.3], [Se<sub>2</sub>, Théorème 3.1].

If  $X$  is a nonrational ruled surface, it is birationally isomorphic to  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times B$  where  $B$  is a smooth projective curve such that  $g(B) > 0$ ; we may then take  $X = \mathbf{P}^1 \times B$ . As  $g(B) > 0$ , there are no dominant rational maps  $\mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow B$ , hence the elements of  $\text{Bir}(X)$  permute the fibers of the natural projection  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times B \rightarrow B$ . The set of elements inducing trivial permutation is a normal subgroup  $\text{Bir}_B(X)$  of  $\text{Bir}(X)$ . The definition implies that  $\text{Bir}_B(X) = \mathbf{PGL}_2(k(B))$ , hence Jordan by Theorem 2.4. Naturally identifying  $\text{Aut}(B)$  with the subgroup of  $\text{Bir}(X)$ , we get the decomposition  $\text{Bir}(X) = \text{Bir}_B(X) \rtimes \text{Aut}(B)$ . Note that  $\text{Aut}(X) = \mathbf{PGL}_2(k) \times \text{Aut}(B) \neq \text{Bir}(X)$  (see [M, pp. 98–99]), so  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is Jordan by Lemma 2.6. Let  $g(B) \geq 2$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(B)$  is finite, hence  $[\text{Bir}(X) : \text{Bir}_B(X)] < \infty$ . Lemma 2.9 then implies that  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is Jordan. For  $g(B) = 1$ , this argument does not work as  $B$  is an elliptic curve, so  $\text{Aut}(B)$  is infinite.

The canonical class of all other surfaces  $X$  is numerically effective, so, for them,  $\text{Bir}(X) = \text{Aut}(X)$  (cf. [IS, Sect. 7.3, Theorem 2]).

If  $X$  is an abelian surface, then  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is an extension of a subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_4(\mathbf{Z})$  by the abelian algebraic group  $X$ , hence Jordan by Lemma 2.9 and Example 2.8(2).

If  $X$  is a bielliptic surface, then  $X$  is the quotient of an abelian surface  $\tilde{X}$  by a finite automorphism group  $F$  and  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Aut}(\tilde{X})/F$ . Since  $\text{Aut}(\tilde{X})$  is Jordan, Lemma 2.5 then yields that  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is Jordan.

In the other cases let  $K$  be the kernel of the natural action of  $\text{Bir}(X)$  on  $H^2(X, \mathbf{Q})$  (we may assume that  $k = \mathbf{C}$ ) and let  $D$  be the image of  $\text{Bir}(X)$  in  $\mathbf{GL}(H^2(X, \mathbf{Q}))$  defined by this action. Since  $\text{Bir}(X)/K$  is isomorphic to  $D$  and  $D$  is bounded (see Example 2.8(2)), Lemma 2.9 implies that  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is Jordan if  $K$  is Jordan (and  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is bounded if  $K$  is bounded, see Example 2.8(3)). In many cases this yields that  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is Jordan. Namely:

- If  $X$  is a  $K3$ -surface, then  $K$  is trivial (cf. [IS, Sect. 12.4]); whence  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is bounded.
- If  $X$  is an Enriques surface, then  $K$  is finite by [MM]; whence  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is bounded.
- For elliptic surfaces  $X$  of Kodaira dimension one, there is an extensive information on the cases when  $K$  is finite (hence  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is bounded), see [C] and references therein. For instance, by [L] if  $H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_X) = 0$  (i.e.,  $X$  admits no nonzero regular vector fields), then  $K$  is finite.

So to answer Question 2.28 for surfaces it only remains to consider the following two types of elliptic surfaces that are not covered by the above considerations:

- (a)  $X = \mathbf{P}^1 \times B$  where  $B$  is an elliptic curve;
- (b) elliptic surfaces  $X$  of Kodaira dimension one for which the kernel of the natural action of  $\text{Bir}(X)$  on  $H^2(X, \mathbf{Q})$  is infinite (note that every such  $X$  admits a nonzero regular vector field).

It looks plausible that  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is Jordan for both types (a) and (b). If this is indeed so, this would complete the proof of the following

**Conjecture 2.29.**  *$\text{Bir}(X)$  is Jordan for every surface  $X$ .*

#### REFERENCES

- [Bo] A. BOREL, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, 2nd ed., Graduate Text in Mathematics, Vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [Br] M. BRION, *Représentations exceptionnelles des groupes semi-simples*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. 4e sér. **18** (1985), 345–387.
- [BL] S. BILLEY, V. LAKSHMIBAI, *Singular Loci of Schubert Varieties*, Progress in Math., Vol. 182, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2000.
- [C] J.-X. CAI, *Automorphism groups of elliptic surfaces, inducing the identity in cohomology*, J. Algebra, **322** (2009), 4228–4246.
- [CM] A. CRACHIOLA, S. MAUBACH, *The Derksen invariant vs. the Makar-Limanov invariant*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 11, 3365–3369.
- [CR] C. W. CURTIS, I. REINER, *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*, Wiley, New York, 1962.
- [Dai] D. DAIGLE, *Affine surfaces with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), no. 8, 3100–3111.
- [Der] H. DERKSEN, *Constructive Invariant Theory and the Linearization Problem*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Basel, 1997.
- [Dub] A. DUBOULOZ, *Completions of normal affine surfaces with a trivial Makar-Limanov invariant*, Michigan Math. J. **52** (2004), no. 2, 289–308.
- [DM-JP] A. DUBOULOZ, L. MOSER-JAUSIN, P.-M. POLONI, *Inequivalent embeddings of the Koras–Russell cubic threefold*, arXiv:0903.4278 (2009).
- [F] G. FREUDENBURG, *Algebraic Theory of Locally Nilpotent Derivations*, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [FZ] H. FLENNER, M. ZAIDENBERG, *Locally nilpotent derivations on affine surfaces with a  $\mathbf{C}^*$ -action*, Osaka J. Math. **42** (2005), 931–974.
- [G] M. H. GIZATULLIN, *Affine surfaces which are quasihomogeneous with respect to an algebraic group*, Math. USSR Izv. **5** (1971), 754–769.
- [Ha] J. HARRIS, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 133, Springer, New York, 1992.
- [Hu] B. HUPPERT, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, Vol. 25, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998.
- [IS] V. A. ISKOVSKIKH, I. R. SHAFAREVICH, *Algebraic surfaces*, in: *Algebraic Geometry*, II, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., Vol. 35, Springer, Berlin, 1996, pp. 127–262.
- [K] B. KOSTANT, *Lie group representations on polynomial rings*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 327–404.
- [L] D. LIEBERMAN, *Compactness of the Chow scheme: applications to automorphisms and deformations of Kähler manifolds*, Sem. Norguet 1976.

- [M] M. MARUYAMA, *On automorphisms of ruled surfaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **11-1** (1971), 89–112.
- [MM] S. MUKAI, Y. NAMIKAWA, *Automorphisms of Enriques surfaces which act trivially on the cohomology groups*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), 383–397.
- [OV] A. L. ONISHCHIK, E. B. VINBERG, *Lie Groups and Algebraic Groups*, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [P<sub>1</sub>] V. L. POPOV, *Classification of affine algebraic surfaces that are quasihomogeneous with respect to an algebraic group*, Math. USSR Izv. **7** (1973), no. 5, 1039–1055.
- [P<sub>2</sub>] V. L. POPOV, *Classification of three-dimensional affine varieties that are quasihomogeneous with respect to an algebraic group*, Math. USSR Izv. **9** (1975), no. 3, 535–576.
- [P<sub>3</sub>] V. L. POPOV, *Algebraic curves with an infinite automorphism group*, Math. Notes **23** (1978), 102–108.
- [P<sub>4</sub>] V. L. POPOV, *Algebraic cones*, Math. Notes **86** (2009), no. 6, 892–894.
- [PV<sub>1</sub>] V. L. POPOV, E. B. VINBERG, *On a class of quasihomogeneous affine varieties*, Math. USSR, Izv. **6** (1973), 743–758.
- [PV<sub>2</sub>] V. L. POPOV, E. B. VINBERG, *Invariant Theory*, in: *Algebraic Geometry IV*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 55, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994, pp. 123–284.
- [Pr] C. PROCESI, *Lie Groups. An Approach Through Invariants and Representations*, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [R] M. ROSENLICHT, *Some rationality questions on algebraic groups*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **43** (1957), 25–50.
- [Se<sub>1</sub>] J-P. SERRE, *A Minkowski-style bound for the orders of the finite subgroups of the Cremona group of rank 2 over an arbitrary field*, Moscow Math. J. **9** (2009), no. 1, 183–198.
- [Se<sub>2</sub>] J-P. SERRE, *Le groupe de Cremona et ses sous-groupes finis*, Séminaire Bourbaki, no. 1000, Novembre 2008, 24 pp.
- [Se<sub>3</sub>] J-P. SERRE, *How to use finite fields for problems concerning infinite fields*, Contemporary Math. **487** (2009), 183–193.
- [Sp] T. A. SPRINGER, *Linear Algebraic Groups*. 2nd ed., Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 9, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.
- [SW] J. N. SAMPSON, G. WASHNITZER, *A Künneth formula for coherent algebraic sheaves*, Illinois J. Math. **3** (1959), 389–402.

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, GUBKINA 8, MOSCOW, 119991, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* `popovvl@orc.ru`