# Moore's Ergodicity Theorem

Julius Grüning

Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

## Table of Contents

Ergodic Theory

Moore's Ergodicity Theorem

Vanishing of Matrix Coefficients Theorem

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Ergodic Theory

## Definition

A standard Borel space S is a measure space, which is isomorphic to a measurable subset of a complete separable metric space.

## Definition

Two measures  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  are said to be in the same measure class,  $\mu\sim\mu',$  if they have the same null sets.

## Definition

Let G be a locally compact second countable group. Let G act on a standard Borel space  $(S, \mu)$ . The measure  $\mu$  is said to be

- *invariant*, if  $\mu(A) = \mu(Ag)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $A \subseteq S$  Borel.
- quasi-invariant, if  $\mu(A) = 0$  if and only if  $\mu(Ag) = 0$ .

### Definition

An action of G on  $(S, \mu)$ , with  $\mu$  quasi-invariant, is called *ergodic*, if every G-invariant measurable subset of S is either null or conull.

#### Remark

The action is called *essentially transitive*, if there is a conull orbit.

transitive  $\Rightarrow$  essentially transitive  $\Rightarrow$  ergodic

An action is called *properly ergodic*, if it is ergodic, but not essentially transitive. In this case, every orbit is a null set.

#### Example

Let  $S:=\{z\in\mathbb{C}\mid |z|=1\},$  and let  $\mathbb{Z}$  act on S via

$$T: S \rightarrow S$$
  
 $z \mapsto e^{i\alpha}z$ 

with  $\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \in \mathbb{R}$  irrational. This action

- preserves the arc-length measure
- has countable (so null) orbits
- and is ergodic, so properly ergodic:

If  $A \subseteq S$  is invariant, let  $\chi_A = \sum a_n z^n$  denote the  $L^2$ -Fourier expansion of its characteristic function. By invariance,

$$\sum a_n z^n = \chi_A(z) = \chi_A(Tz) = \sum a_n e^{in\alpha} z^n,$$

and hence  $a_n e^{in\alpha} = a_n$ , so  $a_n = 0$  for  $n \neq 0$ , as  $\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}$  is irrational. This implies  $\chi_A$  is constant and verifies ergodicity.

Let G act continuously on a second countable topological space S. Let  $\mu$  be a quasi-invariant measure that is positive on open sets. If the action is properly ergodic, then for almost every  $s \in S$  the orbit of s is a dense null set.

Proof. If  $W \subset S$  open, then

is an open invariant set. So by ergodicity it is conull. Thus, if  $\{W_i\}$  is a countable basis for the topology

 $g \in G$ 

Wg

$$D:=\bigcap_i(\bigcup_g W_ig)$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

is also conull and every  $x \in D$  has a dense orbit, since any such orbit intersects every  $W_i$ .

## Smoothness

## Definition

Let S be a separable, metrizable G-space. The action of G on S is called *smooth*, if its orbits are locally closed, i.e. if any orbit is open in its closure.

### Proposition

Let G act smoothly on S. Let  $\mu$  be a quasi-invariant ergodic measure on S. Then  $\mu$  is supported on an orbit. In particular, the action is essentially transitive.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Suppose S is an ergodic G-space and Y is a countably separated space. If  $f : S \rightarrow Y$  is G-invariant, then f is essentially constant.

### Remark

The proposition is also true, if *G*-invariance of *f* is replaced by *essential G*-invariance, i.e. f(sg) = f(s) for all  $g \in G$  and almost all  $s \in S$ .

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Any continuous action of a compact group on a separable metrizable space is smooth.

The fact that continuous actions of compact groups are smooth and that smooth ergodic actions are essentially transitive implies the converse statement of Moore's Ergodicity Theorem:

## Proposition

Let  $G = \prod G_i$  be a finite product of connected non-compact simple Lie groups with finite center. Let  $\Gamma \subset G$  be an irreducible lattice. If  $H \subset G$  is a closed subgroup and H is compact, then H is not ergodic on  $G/\Gamma$ .

### Proof.

Since *H* is compact, then by the previous corollary, the action of *H* on  $G/\Gamma$  is smooth. If it were ergodic, then by the previous proposition it would have a conull orbit. But the *H*-orbits are closed submanifolds of strictly lower dimension.

In the following section, G is always a finite product  $G = \prod G_i$  of connected non-compact simple Lie groups with finite center.

## Theorem (Moore's Ergodicity Theorem)

Let  $\Gamma \subset G$  be an irreducible lattice. If  $H \subset G$  is a closed subgroup and H is not compact, then H is ergodic on  $G/\Gamma$ .

If  $H_1, H_2 \subset G$  are two closed subgroups, then  $H_1$  is ergodic on  $G/H_2$  if and only if  $H_2$  is ergodic on  $G/H_1$ .

## Theorem (Moore's Ergodicity Theorem)

Let  $\Gamma \subset G$  be an irreducible lattice. If  $H \subset G$  is a closed subgroup and H is not compact, then  $\Gamma$  is ergodic on G/H.

## Example

- Let  $H := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re(z) > 0\}$ .  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on H via  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ . This action can be extended to the boundary circle  $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  by the same formula. Since  $\overline{\mathbb{R}} \cong \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})/P$ , where P is the subgroup of upper triangular matrices, any lattice  $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts ergodically on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .
- Any lattice Γ ⊂ SL<sub>n</sub>(ℝ) acts ergodically on ℝP<sup>n-1</sup>, as ℝP<sup>n-1</sup> can be realised as a homogeneous space of SL<sub>n</sub>(ℝ).

### Definition

Let S be an ergodic G-space with finite invariant measure. Then the action is called *irreducible* if for every non-central normal subgroup  $N \subset G$ , N is ergodic on S.

### Proposition

Let  $\Gamma \subset G$  be a lattice. Then

 $\Gamma$  is irreducible  $\Leftrightarrow G/\Gamma$  is an irreducible G-space.

## Theorem (Moore's Ergodicity Theorem)

Let S be an ergodic irreducible G-space with finite invariant measure. If  $H \subset G$  is a closed subgroup and H is not compact, then H is ergodic on S.

### Definition

A unitary representation of G is a homomorphism  $\pi : G \to U(\mathcal{H})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space and  $U(\mathcal{H})$  carries the strong operator topology. (Recall that this is the topology of pointwise convergence:  $T_i \to T \Leftrightarrow ||T_i(x) - T(x)|| \to 0$ .)

Let S be a G-space with finite invariant measure. Define  $\pi: G \to U(L^2(S))$  via

$$(\pi(g)f)(s)=f(sg).$$

By general point-set-topological and measure-theoretical arguments, this  $\pi$  is continuous.

If S is a G-space with finite invariant measure, then G is ergodic on S if and only if there are no non-trivial G-invariant vectors in  $L^2(S) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ .

#### Proof.

If  $A \subset S$  is *G*-invariant, then  $\chi_A \in L^2(S)$  will be *G*-invariant and so will be the projection  $f_A \in L^2(S) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ . If *A* is neither null nor conull, then  $f_A \neq 0$ . Thus if *G* is not ergodic, then there is a non-zero invariant vector in  $L^2(S) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ . Conversely suppose *G* acts ergodically and  $f \in L^2(S) \oplus \mathbb{C}$  is *G*-invariant. This means that for all  $g \in G$  and almost all  $s \in S$ that f(sg) = f(s). But we have already seen that such an *f* must be essentially constant, so its projection onto  $L^2(S) \oplus \mathbb{C}$  is 0.

## Theorem (Moore)

Let  $\pi$  be a unitary representation of G so that  $\pi|_{G_i}$  has no non-trivial invariant vectors for all i. If  $H \subset G$  is a closed subgroup and H is not compact, then  $\pi|_H$  has no non-trivial invariant vectors.

This theorem is implied by the even more general Vanishing of Matrix Coefficients Theorem.

### Definition

Let  $\pi$  be a unitary representation of G on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $v, w \in \mathcal{H}$  be unit vectors. Then the map  $f(g) := \langle \pi(g)v | w \rangle$  is called a *matrix coefficient* of  $\pi$ .

### Theorem (Vanishing of Matrix Coefficients)

Let  $\pi$  be a unitary representation of G. Then all matrix coefficients vanish at  $\infty$ , i.e.,  $f(g) \rightarrow 0$  as g leaves compact subsets of G.

This implies Moore's theorem, because if  $\pi|_{H}$  has an invariant vector v, the matrix coefficient  $f(g) := \langle \pi(g)v \mid v \rangle$  is identically 1 along H, and hence H is compact.

The Vanishing of Matrix Coefficients for  $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is implied by the following two facts:

#### Lemma

Let  $\pi$  be a unitary representation of a group G. Suppose G = KAK ('Cartan decomposition'), where K is a compact group. Then all matrix coefficients of  $\pi$  vanish at  $\infty$  if and only if all matrix coefficients of  $\pi|_A$  vanish at  $\infty$ .

## Theorem (Unitary representations of P)

Let  $P \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  denote the subgroup of upper triangular matrices. Then P = AN, where  $A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  and  $N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ . Let  $\pi$  be a unitary representation of P. Then either

- 1.  $\pi|_{N}$  has non-trivial invariant vectors or
- 2. Any matrix coefficient of  $\pi|_A$  vanishes at  $\infty$ .

Proof of the Vanishing of Matrix Coefficients Theorem Proof in the case  $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

As  $SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = KAK$ , with K = SO(2) and  $A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ , it suffices to show that all matrix coefficients vanish at  $\infty$  along A. By the theorem about unitary representations of P, it suffices to see that there are no *N*-invariant vectors,  $N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ . Suppose there is an *N*-invariant *v* and let  $f(g) := \langle \pi(g)v \mid v \rangle$ . Then f is continuous and bi-invariant under N, so lifts from a continuous *N*-invariant  $\overline{f}$  :  $G/N \to \mathbb{C}$ . Now  $G/N \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  with the action of G being ordinary matrix multiplication (to see this, observe that N is the stabiliser of  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .)

This action has two types of orbits

- Lines parallel to the x-axis
- Points on the x-axis (without 0)

Since  $\overline{f}$  is continuous and constant along these orbits, it must be constant along the x-axis.

But the x-axis is identified with  $P/N \subset G/N$ , hence f is constant along P, so v must be P-invariant.

Therefore f is bi-invariant under P and hence lifts from a continuous  $\tilde{f} : G/P \to \mathbb{C}$ .

But  $G/P \cong P(\mathbb{R}^2)$  ( $\cong \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ) with ordinary matrix multiplication and P has a dense orbit in  $P(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Thus f is actually constant on G and v is G-invariant.

## Theorem (Unitary representations of *P*)

Let  $\pi$  be a unitary representation of P. Then either

- 1.  $\pi|_{N}$  has non-trivial invariant vectors or
- 2. All matrix coefficients of  $\pi|_A$  vanish at  $\infty$ .

Sketch.

- Any unitary representation π of N ≅ ℝ is unitarily equivalent to a canonical representation on the space L<sup>2</sup>(ℝ, μ, {H<sub>λ</sub>}) = ∫<sup>⊕</sup> H<sub>λ</sub>, where
  - $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$  denotes the set of characters of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,
  - $\mu$  is some ( $\sigma$ -finite) measure on  $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$  and
  - ►  $\lambda \mapsto \mathcal{H}_{\lambda}$  is a piecewise constant assignment of Hilbert spaces to any  $\lambda \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ .

- Distinguish the cases  $\mu(\{0\}) > 0$  and = 0
- ▶ µ({0}) > 0 implies that H<sub>0</sub> contains N-invariant vectors

## Sketch (continued).

If µ({0}) = 0 we approximate vectors f, h ∈ L<sup>2</sup>(ℝ, µ, {H<sub>λ</sub>}) by truncations:

$$\|\chi_{E} \cdot f - f\| < \varepsilon \text{ and } \|\chi_{F} \cdot h - h\| < \varepsilon,$$

with  $E, F \subset \widehat{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \{0\}$  compact.

- ► Now  $\langle \pi(g)f \mid h \rangle \leq 2\varepsilon + |\langle \pi(g)(\chi_E \cdot f) \mid \chi_F \cdot h \rangle|.$
- ▶ Use that  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in A$  acts on  $\widehat{N} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$  via multiplication with  $a^2$ , so if  $a \gg 0$ ,  $gE \cap F = \emptyset$ , so that  $\chi_E \cdot f \perp \chi_F \cdot h$ .

• It follows that  $\langle \pi(g)f \mid h \rangle \leq 2\pi$ .

Proof of the Vanishing of Matrix Coefficients

Proof for  $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$  and general semisimple G.

 $G = \operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  or any semisimple G has a Cartan decomposition, where A is the subgroup of diagonal matrices, resp. a maximal  $\mathbb{R}$ -split torus.

Instead of looking at P = AN, we look at H = AB, where

$$B = \left\{ egin{pmatrix} 1 & b_2 & \dots & b_n \ 0 & & & \ dots & \ \dots & \$$

denoting  $b \in B$  by  $b = (1, b_2, ..., b_n)$ . Note that  $B \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  is a normal subgroup of H. For semisimple G, we use the fact that  $A \subset G' \subset G$ , where G' is semisimple and  $\mathbb{R}$ -split. Now we take a set of simple roots, and Bis then defined as the subgroup corresponding to the direct sum of the root spaces.

## Proof (continued).

Now a case distinction can be made similar to the case where  $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ :

- $\blacktriangleright$  In the one case the matrix coefficients of A vanish at  $\infty$
- In the other case, the subgroup
  B<sub>i</sub> = {b ∈ B | b<sub>j</sub> = 0 for i ≠ j} ⊂ B has non-trivial invariant vectors, this leads to a contradiction:

 $B_i$  is contained in a subgroup  $H_i$  isomorphic to  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . From the vanishing of matrix coefficients for  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , we get that  $H_i$  has a non-trivial invariant vector, since  $B_i$  isn't compact. In particular  $A_i = H_i \cap A$  has an invariant vector. Let  $W := \{v \in \mathcal{H} \mid \pi(a)v = v \text{ for all } a \in A_i\}$ . It suffices to show that W is G-invariant, since then the representation  $\pi_W$  of G on W has ker $(\pi_W) \supset A_i$ , which by simplicity of G implies ker $(\pi_W) = G$ , so that G fixes W pointwise.

## Proof (continued).

It remains to show that W is G-invariant.

*G* is generated by the subgroups  $B_{kj}$ ,  $k \neq j$ , of matrices that are the identity matrix except for the (k, j)-entry, which is arbitrary. Now there are two possibilities:

- k, j ∉ {1, i}, then B<sub>kj</sub> commutes with A<sub>i</sub> and hence leaves W invariant.
- {k,j} ∩ {1,i} ≠ Ø, then A<sub>i</sub> normalizes B<sub>kj</sub>. Hence A<sub>i</sub>B<sub>kj</sub> is a subgroup isomorphic to P but with the theorem about unitary representations of P it is not difficult to prove that any A-invariant vector is also P-invariant.