

Note

A counterexample to Aharoni's strongly maximal matching conjecture

R. Ahlswede\*, L.H. Khachatryan

*Universität Bielefeld, Fakultät für Mathematik, Postfach 100131, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany*

Received 1 May 1995; revised 12 July 1995

---

It is conjectured (and proved for edge sets of graphs) in [1] that in every family  $\mathcal{A}$  of finite sets a subfamily  $\mathcal{B}$  of disjoint sets (called a 'strongly maximal matching') exists, so that no replacement of  $k$  of them by more than  $k$  sets from  $\mathcal{A}$  results again in a subfamily of disjoint sets.

As expected by Erdős (Introduction of [2]), the conjecture is false. A counterexample is  $\mathcal{A}$ , the family of those finite subsets of the set  $\mathbb{N}$  of natural numbers, whose cardinality and smallest element (in canonical order) are equal.

In fact, suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  contains a strongly maximal matching  $\mathcal{B}$ , then, by our definitions  $\mathcal{B}$  is infinite, has an element  $B = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_t\}$  with  $b_1 = t \geq 3$  and also an element  $B' = \{b'_1 < b'_2 < \dots < b'_t\}$  with

$$(1) t' = b'_1 \geq b_2 + b_3.$$

By the disjointness property of  $\mathcal{B}$

$$(2) |B \cup B'| = t + t'$$

and there exist disjoint  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \mathcal{A}$ :

$$(i) b_i \text{ is the minimal element of } A_i \text{ and } |A_i| = b_i \text{ (} i = 1, 2, 3).$$

$$(ii) A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \subset B \cup B'.$$

The two sets  $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$  can be replaced by the three sets  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \mathcal{A}$  without violating the disjointness property, but in violation of our supposition.

**Remark.** The conjecture remains open for families of sets of bounded sizes.

References

[1] R. Aharoni, Infinite matching theory, *Discrete Math.* 95 (1991) 5–22.  
[2] P. Erdős, Problems and results in discrete mathematics, *Discrete Math.* 136 (1994) 53–73.

---

\* Corresponding author.