# NAKAYAMA ALGEBRAS: KUPISCH SERIES AND MORITA TYPE

### ROLF FARNSTEINER

Throughout,  $\Lambda$  is assumed to be a finite dimensional k-algebra, defined over an algebraically closed field k. We let J be the (Jacobson) radical of  $\Lambda$ . A  $\Lambda$ -module M of length  $\ell(M)$  is called *uniserial* if the following equivalent conditions hold:

- *M* possesses exactly one composition series.
- $(J^i M)_{i>0}$  is a composition series of M.
- For every  $i \in \{0, \ldots, \ell(M)\}, J^i M$  is the unique submodule of length  $\ell(M) i$ .

The algebra  $\Lambda$  is referred to as *pro-uniserial* if all its projective indecomposable modules are uniserial.

Let  $\mathcal{S}(\Lambda)$  denote a complete set of representatives of the simple  $\Lambda$ -modules.

**Proposition 1** (Thm. 9 of [2]). The following statements are equivalent:

(1)  $\Lambda$  is pro-uniserial

(2)  $\sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}(\Lambda)} \dim_k \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\Lambda}(S,T) \leq 1 \text{ for every } S \in \mathcal{S}(\Lambda).$ 

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let S be an element of  $\mathcal{S}(\Lambda)$  with projective cover P(S). There results an exact sequence

$$(*) \qquad (0) \longrightarrow JP(S) \longrightarrow P(S) \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow (0)$$

If  $T \in \mathcal{S}(\Lambda)$  is another simple  $\Lambda$ -module, then general theory implies that

(\*\*)  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\Lambda}(S,T) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(JP(S)/J^{2}P(S),T).$ 

Since P(S) is uniserial, the module  $JP(S)/J^2 P(S)$  is either (0) or simple. Schur's Lemma then yields  $\dim_k \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\Lambda}(S,T) = 1$  for at most one  $T \in \mathcal{S}(\Lambda)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let S be an element of  $S(\Lambda)$  and consider the exact sequence (\*). The module  $JP(S)/J^2P(S)$  is semi-simple, and condition (2) in conjunction with (\*\*) shows that  $JP(S)/J^2P(S)$  is either zero or simple.

Given n > 1, suppose that  $J^{n-1}P(S)/J^nP(S)$  is simple. If Q is a projective cover of  $J^{n-1}P(S)$ , then it is also a projective cover of  $J^{n-1}P(S)/J^nP(S)$ , and the above observation ensures that  $JQ/J^2Q$  is zero or simple. The surjective map  $\pi : Q \longrightarrow J^{n-1}P(S)$  induces a surjection  $\hat{\pi} :$  $JQ/J^2Q \longrightarrow J^nP(S)/J^{n+1}P(S)$ , so that the latter module is also either zero or simple. It now follows inductively that the Loewy series of  $(J^iP(S))_{0 \le i \le \ell(P(S))}$  is a composition series. Consequently, P(S) is uniserial.

**Corollary 2.** The algebra  $\Lambda$  is pro-uniserial if and only if  $\Lambda/J^2$  is pro-uniserial.

*Proof.* Setting  $\Lambda' := \Lambda/J^2$ , we note that the pullback functor

 $\pi^*: \operatorname{mod} \Lambda' \longrightarrow \operatorname{mod} \Lambda$ 

induces a bijection between the simple modules. Moreover,  $P(S)/J^2P(S)$  is the projective cover of the simple  $\Lambda$ -module S, considered as a  $\Lambda'$ -module. It readily follows from (\*\*), that

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\Lambda}(\pi^{*}(S),\pi^{*}(T)) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\Lambda'}(S,T) \qquad \forall \ S,T \in \mathcal{S}(\Lambda').$$

Date: June 7, 2006.

#### ROLF FARNSTEINER

Our assertion is now a direct consequence of Proposition 1.

**Definition.** The algebra  $\Lambda$  is a *Nakayama algebra* if every projective indecomposable and every injective indecomposable  $\Lambda$ -module is uniserial.

*Remarks.* (1) A self-injective algebra is a Nakayama algebra if and only if it is pro-uniserial.

(2) The algebra  $\Lambda = k[1 \rightarrow 2 \leftarrow 3]$  is pro-uniserial, but the injective indecomposable  $\Lambda$ -module  $I_2$  belonging to the vertex 2 has a top of length 2, so that  $\Lambda$  is not a Nakayama algebra.

(3) Using duality, we see that  $\Lambda$  is a Nakayama algebra if and only if  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda^{\text{op}}$  are pro-uniserial. Consequently, Corollary 2 also holds for Nakayama algebras.

(4) An algebra  $\Lambda$  is a Nakayama algebra if and only if Proposition 1 and its dual

$$\sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}(\Lambda)} \dim_k \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\Lambda}(T, S) \le 1 \qquad \forall \ S \in \mathcal{S}(\Lambda)$$

hold.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a Nakayama algebra. Then every indecomposable  $\Lambda$ -module is uniserial, and  $\Lambda$  has finite representation type.

Proof. We prove the first assertion by induction on the Loewy length  $\ell\ell(\Lambda)$  of  $\Lambda$ , the case  $\ell\ell(\Lambda) = 1$ being trivial. Assuming  $\ell := \ell\ell(\Lambda) \ge 2$ , we consider an indecomposable  $\Lambda$ -module M. If  $J^{\ell-1}M =$ (0), then M is an indecomposable module for the Nakayama algebra  $\Lambda/J^{\ell-1}$ , and the inductive hypothesis yields the assertion. Alternatively, there exists a simple left ideal  $S \subset J^{\ell-1}$  with  $S \cdot M \neq (0)$ . We can therefore find  $m \in M \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\psi_m: S \longrightarrow M \; ; \; s \mapsto s.m$$

is injective. Hence there is a map  $\hat{\psi}_m : M \longrightarrow E(S)$  to the injective envelope E(S) of S, whose composite with  $\psi_m$  is the canonical inclusion  $S \hookrightarrow E(S)$ . As E(S) is uniserial, we can find  $i \ge 0$ with  $\hat{\psi}_m(M) = J^i E(S)$ . Consequently,  $J^{\ell-i}M \subset \ker \hat{\psi}_m$ , while  $J^{\ell-1}M \not\subset \ker \hat{\psi}_m$ . As a result i = 0, so that  $\hat{\psi}_m$  is surjective and  $J^{\ell-1}E(S) \neq (0)$ . Since the uniserial projective cover  $\pi : P \longrightarrow$ E(S) of E(S) satisfies  $\ell(P) = \ell\ell(P) \le \ell = \ell\ell(E(S)) = \ell(E(S))$ , we have  $P \cong E(S)$ . As M is indecomposable, it now follows that  $\hat{\psi}_m$  is an isomorphism. Thus, M is uniserial.

As an upshot of the above, every indecomposable  $\Lambda\text{-module}\;M$  has a simple top and is thus of the form

$$M \cong P(S)/J^i P(S) \quad 0 \le i \le \ell \ell(\Lambda),$$

for some simple module S. Consequently,  $\Lambda$  has finite representation type.

**Example.** The path algebra  $k[\tilde{D}_4]$  of the four subspace quiver  $\tilde{D}_4$  is pro-uniserial, but not of finite representation type. The same holds of course for any subspace quiver involving at least four subspaces.

We let  $Q_{\Lambda}$  be the Gabriel quiver of  $\Lambda$  and denote by  $A_n$  and  $\tilde{A}_n$  the quivers with vertices  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and  $\mathbb{Z}/(n+1)$ , respectively and arrows  $i \to i+1$ .

An analogue of following result, which is an easy consequence of Proposition 1 and its dual, was established by Kupisch prior to the introduction of quivers.

**Theorem 4** (cf. Satz 5 of [3]). Let  $\Lambda$  be a connected Nakayama algebra. Then  $Q_{\Lambda} = A_n$ ,  $A_n$ .

*Proof.* Let p be a directed path of maximal length in  $Q_{\Lambda}$  subject to every vertex of  $Q_{\Lambda}$  occurring at most once. We denote by V(p) the set of vertices of p and claim that  $V(p) = (Q_{\Lambda})_0$ .

Writing  $V(p) = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$  with arrows  $p_i \to p_{i+1}$ , we suppose there is a vertex  $x \in (Q_\Lambda)_0 \setminus V(p)$ which is connected to some vertex  $p_i \in V(p)$ . If  $x \to p_i$ , then the dual of Proposition 1 implies i = 1, and the maximality of p gives a contradiction. Alternatively, we have  $p_i \to x$ , and the above reasoning first shows i = n and then yields a contradiction. Since  $Q_\Lambda$  is connected, our claim follows.

Let  $\alpha \in (Q_{\Lambda})_1$  be an arrow. If the starting point of  $\alpha$  is  $p_i$ , then Proposition 1 shows that  $\alpha$  belongs to the path whenever i < n. For i = n, the dual of Proposition 1 implies that  $\alpha$  is the unique arrow  $p_n \to p_1$ . As an upshot of our discussion, we conclude that  $Q_{\Lambda} = A_n$  in case there is no arrow originating in  $p_n$ , and  $Q_{\Lambda} = \tilde{A}_{n-1}$  otherwise.

In view of our Theorem there exists an ordering  $S_1, \ldots, S_n$  of the simple  $\Lambda$ -modules such that their projective covers  $P_i := P(S_i)$  satisfy

$$JP_i/J^2P_i \cong S_{i+1} \quad 1 \le i \le n-1,$$

with  $JP_n/J^2P_n \cong S_1$  if  $JP_n \neq (0)$ . This ordering is often called the *Kupisch series* of  $\Lambda$ . Note that the foregoing isomorphism also implies

$$\ell(P_{i+1}) \ge \ell(P_i) - 1$$

It follows from the above, that the Morita equivalence class of  $\Lambda$  is determined by the *n*-tuple  $(\ell(P_1), \ldots, \ell(P_n)).$ 

**Example.** Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is a connected hereditary Nakayama algebra. Then  $\Lambda$  is Morita equivalent to  $k[A_n]$ , so that  $\ell(P_i) = n + 1 - i$ . Note that  $k[A_n]$  is isomorphic to the algebra of lower triangular  $(n \times n)$ -matrices.

We let  $k[\tilde{A}_n]^{\dagger}$  be the space generated by all paths of length  $\geq 1$ .

**Corollary 5.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a connected Nakayama algebra. Then  $\Lambda$  is self-injective if and only if  $\Lambda$  is Morita equivalent to  $k[\tilde{A}_n]/(k[\tilde{A}_n]^{\dagger})^m$  for  $n = |S(\Lambda)| - 1$  and  $m = \ell \ell(\Lambda)$ .

*Proof.* If  $\Lambda$  is Morita equivalent to  $k[\tilde{A}_n]/(k[\tilde{A}_n]^{\dagger})^m$ , then we have  $\operatorname{Soc}(P_i) \cong S_{i+m-1}$ , where the indices are to be taken  $\operatorname{mod}(n+1)$ . In view of [1, Theorem], the algebra  $\Lambda$  is self-injective.

For the reverse direction, we pick r such that  $\ell(P_r)$  is maximal. If  $n \neq 0$ , then no simple  $\Lambda$ -module is projective and there is a surjection

$$P_{r+1} \longrightarrow JP_r.$$

Since  $\ell(P_r) \geq \ell(P_{r+1}) \geq \ell(P_r) - 1$ , the assumption  $\ell(P_r) \neq \ell(P_{r+1})$  implies that the above map is in fact an isomorphism. Thus,  $JP_r$  is injective and hence a direct summand of  $P_r$ . Consequently,  $JP_r = (0)$ , so that  $S_r$  is projective, a contradiction. We obtain  $\ell(P_{r+1}) = \ell(P_r)$ , and repeat the argument to see that  $\ell(P_i) = \ell\ell(\Lambda)$  for  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n+1\}$ .

Since  $\Lambda$  has Loewy length  $m = \ell(P_r)$ , it follows that  $\Lambda$  is Morita equivalent to  $k[\tilde{A}_n]/(k[\tilde{A}_n]^{\dagger})^m$ .

## ROLF FARNSTEINER

## References

- $[1] {\rm \ R.\ Farnsteiner.\ Self-injective\ algebras:\ The\ Nakayama\ permutation.\ Lecture\ Notes,\ available\ at$
- http://www.mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de/~sek/selected.html
- [2] L. Huppert. Homological characteristics of pro-uniserial rings. J. Algebra 161 (1981), 43-66
- [3] H. Kupisch. Beiträge zur Theorie nichthalbeinfacher Ringe mit Minimalbedingung. J. reine angew. Math. 201 (1959), 100-112