

Selected topics in representation theory

– Modules with standard filtration II –
WS 2005/06

Let A be an Artin algebra, and denote the category of finitely generated left A -modules by $\text{mod } A$.

1 Reminder

Notation. Let $\Theta = \{\Theta(1), \dots, \Theta(n)\}$ be a sequence of A -modules with $\text{Ext}_A^1(\Theta(j), \Theta(i)) = 0$ for all $j \geq i$. Denote by $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ the full subcategory of $\text{mod } A$ of modules with filtration factors in Θ .

Theorem (Ringel). *The subcategory $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ is functorially finite in $\text{mod } A$.*

Note that $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ is generally *not* closed under direct summands.

Let \mathcal{X} be a full subcategory of $\text{mod } A$, and denote by \mathcal{Y} the full subcategory of $\text{mod } A$ of all modules Y with $\text{Ext}_A^1(X, Y) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$.

Lemma. *Let $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow 0$ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$, $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ be exact. Then f is a right \mathcal{X} -approximation of M .*

Lemma. *Suppose that \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions and for every $N \in \text{mod } A$ there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow Y_N \rightarrow X_N \rightarrow 0$ with $Y_N \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $X_N \in \mathcal{X}$. Then every module $M \in \text{mod } A$ has a right \mathcal{X} -approximation.*

The main step in the proof and the construction of the right \mathcal{X} -approximation can be seen already in the following case:

Let $M \in \text{mod } A$. **There is an epimorphism $\pi : X \rightarrow M$ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$.**

Let $K = \ker \pi$. We get a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns (taking the push out sequences):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & Y_K & \longrightarrow & X_K \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & X_K \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow f & & \\
 & & M & \xlongequal{\quad} & M & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Then f is a right \mathcal{X} -approximation of M .

Let now $\Theta = \{\Theta(1), \dots, \Theta(n)\}$ be a sequence of A -modules as above, $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{F}(\Theta)$, and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}(\Theta) = \{Y \in \text{mod } A \mid \text{Ext}_A^1(X, Y) = 0 \forall X \in \mathcal{F}(\Theta)\} = \{Y \in \text{mod } A \mid \text{Ext}_A^1(\Theta(i), Y) = 0 \forall i = 1, \dots, n\}$.

Lemma. *Let $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and $N \in \text{mod } A$ such that $\text{Ext}_A^1(\Theta(j), N) = 0$ for all $j > t$. Then there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N' \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ with $Q = \Theta(t)^{r_N}$ and $\text{Ext}_A^1(\Theta(j), N') = 0$ for all $j \geq t$.*

The proof of this Lemma uses universal extensions and a little homological algebra.

The sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N' \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ is given by the universal extension of N by copies of $\Theta(t)$:

Let $[\varepsilon_1], \dots, [\varepsilon_{r_N}]$ generate $\text{Ext}_A^1(\Theta(t), N)$ as a left $\text{End}_A(\Theta(t))$ -module. Then the universal extension is given by the exact sequence ε such that the s -th inclusion of $\Theta(t)$ into $Q = \Theta(t)^{r_N}$ induces the sequence ε_s for $s = 1, \dots, r_N$.

Lemma. *Let $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and $N \in \text{mod } A$ such that $\text{Ext}_A^1(\Theta(j), N) = 0$ for all $j > t$. Then there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ with $X \in \mathcal{F}(\{\Theta(1), \dots, \Theta(t)\})$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}(\Theta)$.¹*

For the proof of this Lemma, use the previous Lemma and reverse induction:

$$N = N_{t+1} \xrightarrow{\mu_t} N_t \xrightarrow{\mu_{t-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\mu_1} N_1 = Y,$$

w. l. o. g. all μ_i are inclusions for $i = 1, \dots, t$, and set $X = \text{cok } \mu_t \circ \dots \circ \mu_1$.

Now the theorem follows if we just take the dual constructions to get the left $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ -approximations.

Here is an example of a subcategory filtered by some finite set of modules Θ which is *not* functorially finite in $\text{mod } A$.

Example. *Let $A = kQ$ with $Q : \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \circ$.*

Any indecomposable module M of length 2 has self extensions. If $\Theta = \{M\}$, then $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ is neither covariantly (left approximations) nor contravariantly (right approximations) finite. (Every indecomposable M_n of dimension $2n$ from the same tube as M is contained in $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$. Take the preprojective (resp. the preinjective) modules. They do not have left (resp. right) $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ -approximations.)

2 Δ - and ∇ -filtered modules

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of simple A -modules, $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ the set of isomorphism classes of the corresponding projective A -modules, and $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n\}$ the set of isomorphism classes of the corresponding injective A -modules.

Let U_i be the sum of all images of maps $P_j \rightarrow P_i$ with $j > i$, and $\Delta_i = P_i/U_i$.

Let ∇_i be the intersection of all kernels of maps $I_i \rightarrow I_j$ with $j > i$.

We have

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(\Delta_j, \Delta_i) = 0 \quad \forall j \geq i$$

and

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(\nabla_j, \nabla_i) = 0 \quad \forall j \leq i.$$

Thus, we can apply the Theorem to both $\Delta = \{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n\}$ and $\nabla = \{\nabla_n, \dots, \nabla_1\}$.

Here is a different description of $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$.

¹Note the the special case $t = n$ gives us the “required” sequences, therefore the right $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ -approximations for any module $M \in \text{mod } A$.

Let J_i be the sum of the images of all maps $P_j \rightarrow_A A$ with $j \geq i$. Then we get a decreasing sequence of ideals

$$A = J_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq J_n \supseteq J_{n+1} = 0.$$

We have

$$M \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta) \Leftrightarrow J_i M / J_{i+1} M \text{ is projective as an } A/J_{i+1}\text{-module } \forall i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and

$$M \in \mathcal{F}(\nabla) \Leftrightarrow J_i M / J_{i+1} M \text{ is injective as an } A/J_{i+1}\text{-module } \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence, both $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ are closed under direct summands.

Using the main theorem by Auslander and Smalø from [1], we get

Theorem. *The subcategories $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ have (relative) almost split sequences.*

References

- [1] M. Auslander, S. O. Smalø: *Almost split sequences in subcategories*. J. Algebra **69** (1981), no. 2, 426–454.
- [2] C. M. Ringel: *The category of modules with good filtrations over a quasi-hereditary algebra has almost split sequences*. Math. Z. **208** (1991), no. 2, 209–223.