

INDUCED MODULES: FIRST PROPERTIES OF DEFECT GROUPS

ROLF FARNSTEINER

Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. If G is a finite group, then the group algebra kG has a block decomposition

$$kG = \mathcal{B}_1 \oplus \mathcal{B}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{B}_r,$$

where each block $\mathcal{B}_i \trianglelefteq kG$ is an indecomposable two-sided ideal. Equivalently, each block $\mathcal{B} \subseteq kG$ is an indecomposable $kG \otimes_k kG^{\text{op}}$ -module. Since the map

$$(g, h) \mapsto g \otimes h^{-1}$$

induces an isomorphism $k(G \times G) \longrightarrow kG \otimes_k kG^{\text{op}}$ of associative k -algebras, the latter condition amounts to \mathcal{B} being an indecomposable submodule of the $(G \times G)$ -module kG , relative to the action

$$(g, h).x := gxh^{-1} \quad \forall g, h \in G, x \in kG.$$

One can thus speak of the vertex of the $(G \times G)$ -module \mathcal{B} , see [4] for the definition.

Let $\Delta : G \longrightarrow G \times G ; g \mapsto (g, g)$ be the diagonal embedding, whose induced algebra homomorphism $kG \longrightarrow k(G \times G)$ will also be denoted Δ .

Definition. Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq kG$ be a block. A p -subgroup $D \subseteq G$ is called a *defect group* of \mathcal{B} if $\Delta(D)$ is a vertex of the $(G \times G)$ -module \mathcal{B} . If $\text{ord}(D) = p^d$, then d is called the *defect* of \mathcal{B} .

The name defect derives from an early result of the theory, which states that a block $\mathcal{B} \subseteq kG$ is semi-simple (and hence simple) if and only if $d = 0$. Thus, d may be viewed as a measure for the deviation of \mathcal{B} from being semi-simple.

Defects were first defined by Brauer [1], with the definition of a defect group following shortly thereafter [2]. In his seminal articles [1, 2, 3] Brauer established important properties of defect groups that were later reformulated by Green [6, 7], whose approach is the basis of our exposition.

Recall that G acts on k via

$$g.\alpha = \alpha \quad \forall g \in G, \alpha \in k.$$

Our first result establishes the existence of defect groups and shows that the defect of a block is well-defined.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq kG$ be a block of kG .*

- (1) \mathcal{B} possesses a defect group $D \subseteq G$.
- (2) If $D, D' \subseteq G$ are defect groups of \mathcal{B} , then there exists $g \in G$ with $D' = gDg^{-1}$.

Proof. (1) We consider $k(G \times G)$ as a left and right G -module via Δ . The bilinear map

$$\varphi : k(G \times G) \times k \longrightarrow kG \quad ; \quad ((g, h), \alpha) \mapsto \alpha gh^{-1}$$

is kG -balanced: Given $x \in G$, we have

$$\varphi((g, h).x, \alpha) = \varphi((gx, hx), \alpha) = \alpha gh^{-1} = \varphi((g, h), x.\alpha).$$

Date: November 29, 2007.

Hence there exists a surjective, k -linear map

$$\psi : k(G \times G) \otimes_{kG} k \longrightarrow kG \quad ; \quad (g, h) \otimes \alpha \mapsto \alpha gh^{-1},$$

which is readily seen to be $k(G \times G)$ -linear. Since both spaces involved have dimension $\text{ord}(G)$, ψ is in fact an isomorphism, so that kG is a relatively $\Delta(G)$ -projective $k(G \times G)$ -module. Being a direct summand of kG , the block \mathcal{B} enjoys the same property. According to [4, Prop.4] there exists a p -subgroup $D \subseteq G$ such that $\Delta(D)$ is a vertex of \mathcal{B} .

(2) Let D, D' be defect groups of \mathcal{B} . Owing to [4, Prop.4], there exists an element $(g, h) \in G \times G$ such that

$$\Delta(D') = (g, h)\Delta(D)(g, h)^{-1},$$

whence $D' = gDg^{-1}$. □

We would like to relate the defect group of a block to the vertices of its indecomposable modules. This necessitates the following subsidiary result, which shows that induction commutes with taking tensor products over k . Recall that the tensor product $M \otimes_k N$ of two G -modules obtains the structure of a G -module via

$$g \cdot (m \otimes n) := g.m \otimes g.n$$

for all $g \in G$, $m \in M$ and $n \in N$.

Lemma 2 (Tensor Identity). *Let $H \subseteq G$ be a subgroup of the finite group G . If V is a finite-dimensional G -module and M is a finite-dimensional H -module, then we have an isomorphism*

$$kG \otimes_{kH} (M \otimes_k V|_H) \cong (kG \otimes_{kH} M) \otimes_k V$$

of G -modules.

Proof. Given $g \in G$, we consider the k -linear map

$$\lambda_g : M \otimes_k V \longrightarrow (kG \otimes_{kH} M) \otimes_k V \quad ; \quad m \otimes v \mapsto (g \otimes m) \otimes g.v$$

If $a = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g$ is an element of kG , we define $\lambda_a := \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g \lambda_g$. There results a bilinear map

$$\psi : kG \times (M \otimes_k V) \longrightarrow (kG \otimes_{kH} M) \otimes_k V \quad ; \quad (a, x) \mapsto \lambda_a(x).$$

Since $\lambda_{ah}(x) = \lambda_a(hx)$ for all $a \in kG$, $h \in H$ and $x \in M \otimes_k V$, the map ψ is kH -balanced and there exists a k -linear map

$$\omega : kG \otimes_{kH} (M \otimes_k V) \longrightarrow (kG \otimes_{kH} M) \otimes_k V \quad ; \quad a \otimes x \mapsto \lambda_a(x).$$

This map is actually kG -linear: Let $g, g' \in G$, $m \in M$ and $v \in V$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(g' \cdot (g \otimes (m \otimes v))) &= \omega(g'g \otimes (m \otimes v)) = (g'g \otimes m) \otimes g'g.v = g' \cdot ((g \otimes m) \otimes g.v) \\ &= g' \cdot \omega(g \otimes (m \otimes v)). \end{aligned}$$

Directly from the definition, we obtain the surjectivity of ω . Since both G -modules involved have dimension $|G/H|(\dim_k M)(\dim_k V)$, the map ω is bijective. □

Recall that any block $\mathcal{B} \subseteq kG$ is of the form $\mathcal{B} = kGe$, where $e \in kG$ is a central, primitive idempotent of kG . Given an indecomposable kG -module M , we thus have $e.M = (0)$ or $e.M = M$. In the latter case, we say that M belongs to \mathcal{B} .

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq kG$ be a block with defect group D . Then every indecomposable kG -module M belonging to \mathcal{B} has a vertex $D_M \subseteq D$.*

Proof. We let G act on kG via conjugation, i.e.,

$$g.a := gag^{-1} \quad \forall a \in kG, g \in G.$$

Note that this amounts to pulling back the $(G \times G)$ -action on kG along Δ . Since $\mathcal{B} \trianglelefteq kG$ is a two-sided ideal, $\mathcal{B} \subseteq kG$ is a G -submodule relative to this operation. The multiplication

$$\mu : \mathcal{B} \otimes_k M \longrightarrow M \quad ; \quad b \otimes m \mapsto bm$$

is a homomorphism of G -modules: Given $g \in G, b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $m \in M$, we have

$$\mu(g(b \otimes m)) = \mu(g.b \otimes gm) = \mu(gbg^{-1} \otimes gm) = gbg^{-1}gm = g(bm) = g\mu(b \otimes m).$$

Let $e \in kG$ be the central primitive idempotent of \mathcal{B} , so that $\mathcal{B} = kGe$. Then

$$\iota : M \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes_k M \quad ; \quad m \mapsto e \otimes m$$

is a homomorphism of G -modules. Since M belongs to \mathcal{B} , we obtain $\mu \circ \iota = \text{id}_M$, so that M is a direct summand of $\mathcal{B} \otimes_k M$.

As $D \subseteq G$ is a defect group of \mathcal{B} , the G -module \mathcal{B} is relatively D -projective. Consequently, \mathcal{B} is a direct summand of $kG \otimes_{kD} \mathcal{B}|_D$. In view of Lemma 2, the tensor product $\mathcal{B} \otimes_k M$ is a direct summand of $(kG \otimes_{kD} \mathcal{B}|_D) \otimes_k M \cong kG \otimes_{kD} (\mathcal{B}|_D \otimes_k M|_D)$. By the above, this implies that M is relatively D -projective, so that D contains a vertex of M , cf. [4, Prop.4]. \square

There exists exactly one block $\mathcal{B}_0(G) \subseteq kG$ to which the trivial G -module k belongs. The block $\mathcal{B}_0(G)$ is customarily referred to as the *principal block*. The following result shows why $\mathcal{B}_0(G)$ is thought of as being the ‘‘most complicated’’ block of kG :

Corollary 4. *Every defect group $D \subseteq G$ of the principal block $\mathcal{B}_0(G)$ is a Sylow- p -subgroup of G .*

Proof. Owing to Theorem 3, D contains a vertex D' of the trivial module k . Being a p -group, D' is contained in a Sylow- p -subgroup $P \subseteq G$. As k is relatively D' -projective, k is a summand of $kG \otimes_{kD'} k$. By Mackey’s Theorem [4], the trivial P -module $k|_P$ is a summand of

$$\bigoplus_{PgD'} kP \otimes_{k(P \cap D'^g)} k^g = \bigoplus_{PgD'} kP \otimes_{k(P \cap D'^g)} k,$$

where $D'^g := gD'g^{-1}$. Repeated application of Green’s Indecomposability Theorem [5] (to a chain of normalizers in P starting with $\text{Nor}_P(P \cap D'^g)$) implies that each summand is an indecomposable kP -module.¹ The Theorem of Krull-Remak-Schmidt now ensures that $k|_P$ is isomorphic to one of these summands. Hence there exists an element g with $P = D'^g$, so that $P = D'$. \square

Corollary 5. *Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq kG$ be a block with defect group D .*

- (1) *If D is cyclic, then \mathcal{B} has finite representation type.*
- (2) *If $D = \{1\}$, then \mathcal{B} is simple.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{ord}(D) = p^r$. As D is cyclic, the group algebra $kD \cong k[X]/(X^{p^r})$ has finite representation type, with indecomposable modules N_1, \dots, N_{p^r} . In view of Theorem 3, every indecomposable \mathcal{B} -module is relatively D -projective, and hence a direct summand of some $kG \otimes_{kD} N_i$. Consequently, there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of such modules. If $D = \{1\}$, then each indecomposable \mathcal{B} -module M is a direct summand of $kG \otimes_k k \cong kG$ and is thus projective. This implies that \mathcal{B} is simple. \square

¹This argument actually shows that induction functors of p -groups preserve indecomposables. In our situation, Frobenius reciprocity gives $\text{Hom}_{kP}(kP \otimes_{k(P \cap D'^g)} k, k) \cong \text{Hom}_{k(P \cap D'^g)}(k, k)$, which, in view of kP being local, implies that the top of the induced module is simple.

Remark. The converse statements of (1) and (2) of Corollary 5 also hold, but their proofs necessitate the so-called Brauer correspondence of blocks.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Brauer, *On the arithmetic in a group ring*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **30** (1944), 109–114.
- [2] ———, *On blocks of characters of groups of finite order I*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **32** (1946), 182–186.
- [3] ———, *On blocks of characters of groups of finite order II*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **32** (1946), 215–219.
- [4] R. Farnsteiner, *Induced modules: The Mackey Decomposition Theorem*. Lecture Notes, available at <http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~sek/selected.html>
- [5] ———, *Induced modules: Graded algebras and Green's Indecomposability Theorem*. Lecture Notes, available at <http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~sek/selected.html>
- [6] J.A. Green, *Blocks of modular representations*. Math. Z. **79** (1962), 100–115.
- [7] ———, *Some remarks on defect groups*. Math. Z. **107** (1968), 133–150.