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## Overview

(1) Background-localization of categories
(2) Definitions of derived and triangulated categories
(3) First lemmas
(4) Flaws of triangulated categories

## Background-inverting morphisms in a category

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a category, and let $S$ be a class of morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$. There exists a functor $F: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow S^{-1} \mathcal{A}$ such that

## Background-inverting morphisms in a category

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a category, and let $S$ be a class of morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$. There exists a functor $F: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow S^{-1} \mathcal{A}$ such that

- $F$ takes every morphism in $S \subset \mathcal{A}$ to an isomorphism in $S^{-1} \mathcal{A}$.


## Background-inverting morphisms in a category

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a category, and let $S$ be a class of morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$. There exists a functor $F: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow S^{-1} \mathcal{A}$ such that

- $F$ takes every morphism in $S \subset \mathcal{A}$ to an isomorphism in $S^{-1} \mathcal{A}$.
- If $H: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a functor taking every morphism in $S$ to an isomorphism, then there exists a unique functor $G: S^{-1} \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ rendering commutative the triangle



## Background-inverting morphisms in a category

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a category, and let $S$ be a class of morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$. There exists a functor $F: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow S^{-1} \mathcal{A}$ such that

- $F$ takes every morphism in $S \subset \mathcal{A}$ to an isomorphism in $S^{-1} \mathcal{A}$.
- If $H: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a functor taking every morphism in $S$ to an isomorphism, then there exists a unique functor $G: S^{-1} \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ rendering commutative the triangle


We call this construction formally inverting the morphisms in $S$.
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## Reminder of the construction

As on the previous slide: $\mathcal{A}$ is a category, $S \subset \mathcal{A}$ is a class of morphisms.

## Objects of $S^{-1} \mathcal{A}$ :

The objects of $S^{-1} \mathcal{A}$ are the same as the objects of $\mathcal{A}$, and on objects the functor $F: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow S^{-1} \mathcal{A}$ is the identity.

## Morphisms of $S^{-1} \mathcal{A}$ :

If $A, B$ are objects of $\mathcal{A}$, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{S^{-1} \mathcal{A}}(A, B)$ is the set of equivalence classes of zigzags

where the $s_{i}$ belong to $S$.

## Definition of the derived categories $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{e^{\prime \prime}}(\mathcal{A})$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category. The derived category $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathfrak{c}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$ is as follows:

- Objects: cochain complexes of objects in $\mathcal{A}$, that is

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow A^{-2} \longrightarrow A^{-1} \longrightarrow A^{0} \longrightarrow A^{1} \longrightarrow A^{2} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

where the composites $A^{i} \longrightarrow A^{i+1} \longrightarrow A^{i+2}$ all vanish. The subscript $\mathfrak{C}$ and superscript $\mathfrak{C}^{\prime}$ stand for conditions.

- Morphisms: cochain maps are examples, that is

but we formally invert the cohomology isomorphisms.


## More generally

If $\mathcal{E}$ is any exact category, we define the categories $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathfrak{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{E})$ analoguously. The objects are still cochain complexes satisfying some conditions.

The issue is with the morphisms-what does it mean for a cochain map to induce an isomorphism in cohomology? Which are the cochain maps we should invert?

## More generally

If $\mathcal{E}$ is any exact category, we define the categories $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathfrak{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{E})$ analoguously. The objects are still cochain complexes satisfying some conditions.

The issue is with the morphisms-what does it mean for a cochain map to induce an isomorphism in cohomology? Which are the cochain maps we should invert?

The solution is to invert those maps $f: A^{*} \longrightarrow B^{*}$ such that, for every exact functor $F: \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$, with $\mathcal{A}$ abelian, the induced map $F(f): F\left(A^{*}\right) \longrightarrow F\left(B^{*}\right)$ is an isomorphism in cohomology.

Let $R$ be an associative ring.

## Example

(1) $\mathrm{D}(R$-Mod) has for objects all cochain complexes of left $R$-modules, no conditions.
(2) If $R$ is coherent, $\mathrm{D}(R-\bmod )$ has for objects all cochain complexes of finitely generated left $R$-modules.
(3) If $R$ is coherent, $\mathrm{D}^{b}(R-\bmod )$ has for objects all bounded cochain complexes of finitely generated left $R$-modules. A complex $A^{*}$ is bounded if $A^{i}=0$ for all but finitely many $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(9) With $R$ still coherent, $\mathbf{D}^{-}(R-\bmod )$ has for objects all bounded above cochain complexes of finitely generated left $R$-modules. A complex $A^{*}$ is bounded above if $A^{i}=0$ for all $i \gg 0$.
(6) With $R$ still coherent, $\mathbf{D}^{+}(R-\bmod )$ has for objects all bounded below cochain complexes of finitely generated left $R$-modules. A complex $A^{*}$ is bounded below if $A^{i}=0$ for all $i \ll 0$.

With $R$ still an associative ring.

## Example

(1) $\mathrm{D}(R-$ Proj $)$ has for objects all cochain complexes of projective left $R$-modules. Note that the category $R$-Proj isn't abelian, it is only an exact category.
(2) $\mathrm{D}(R$-proj) has for objects all cochain complexes of finitely generated projective left $R$-modules.
(3) $\mathrm{D}^{b}(R-\mathrm{proj})$ has for objects all bounded cochain complexes of finitely generated, projective left $R$-modules.
(1) $\mathrm{D}^{-}(R-$ proj $)$ has for objects all bounded above cochain complexes of finitely generated, projective left $R$-modules.
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(2) We are given a collection of exact triangles, meaning diagrams in $\mathcal{T}$ of the form $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$.

## Definition (formal definition of triangulated categories)

The additive category $\mathcal{T}$ has a triangulated structure if:
(1) It has an invertible additive endofunctor [1] : $\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$, taking the object $X$ and the morphism $f$ in $\mathcal{T}$ to $X$ [1] and $f[1]$, respectively.
(2) We are given a collection of exact triangles, meaning diagrams in $\mathcal{T}$ of the form $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$.
This data must satisfy the following axioms [TR1]
[TR2]

## Example (back to $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathfrak{c}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$ )

We have asserted that the category $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathbb{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$ is triangulated. The endofunctor $[1]: \mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathbb{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\mathbb{C}^{c^{\prime}}}(\mathcal{A})$ : It takes the cochain complex $A^{*}$, i.e.
$\cdots \longrightarrow A^{-2} \xrightarrow{\partial^{-2}} A^{-1} \xrightarrow{\partial^{-1}} A^{0} \xrightarrow{\partial^{0}} A^{1} \xrightarrow{\partial^{1}} A^{2} \longrightarrow \cdots$
to the cochain complex $(A[1])^{*}$ below:
$\cdots \longrightarrow A^{-1} \xrightarrow{-\partial^{-1}} A^{0} \xrightarrow{-\partial^{0}} A^{1} \xrightarrow{-\partial^{1}} A^{2} \xrightarrow{-\partial^{2}} A^{3}$ $\qquad$

## Example (back to $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{C}^{\prime \prime}}(\mathcal{A})$, continued)

If $f^{*}: A^{*} \longrightarrow B^{*}$ is a cochain map

then $(f[1])^{*}$ is the cochain map


## For the attentive, careful listeners

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category. We let $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathfrak{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$ be the category with the same objects as $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathfrak{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$, but where the morphisms are the honest cochain maps. And we let $S$ be the class of all morphisms in $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathfrak{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$ which induce isomorphisms in cohomology.
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\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{C}^{\mathfrak{C}^{\prime}}}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{[1]} \mathbf{C}_{\mathscr{C}}^{\mathbb{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A}) \\
& { }^{F}{ }_{\downarrow} \\
& \mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathfrak{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A}) \quad \exists![1] \quad>\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathbb{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example (back to $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{e^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$, continued)
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## Example (back to $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{C}^{\prime \prime}}(\mathcal{A})$, continued)

The exact triangles: Suppose we are given a commutative diagram in $\mathcal{A}$, where the rows are objects of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathbb{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$


We may view the above as morphisms $X^{*} \xrightarrow{f^{*}} Y^{*} \xrightarrow{g^{*}} Z^{*}$ in the category $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathfrak{c}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$.
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## Example (back to $\mathbf{D}_{\mathscr{C}}^{\mathbb{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$, continued)

Now for each $i$ we have the diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z^{i} \xrightarrow{\theta^{i}} Y^{i} \\
\partial_{Z}^{i} \\
Z^{i+1} \xrightarrow{\theta^{i+1}} \xrightarrow{\partial_{Y}^{i}} Y^{i+1} \xrightarrow{g^{i+1}} Z^{i+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example (back to $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathbb{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$, continued)

Thus the difference $\theta^{i+1} \partial_{Z}^{i}-\partial_{Y}^{i} \theta^{i}$ is annihilated by the map $g^{i+1}: Y^{i+1} \longrightarrow Z^{i+1}$, hence must factor uniquely as $Z^{i} \xrightarrow{h^{i}} X^{i+1} \xrightarrow{f^{i+1}} Y^{i+1}$. Form the diagram
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## Example (back to $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{e^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$, continued)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z^{i} \xrightarrow{\partial_{Z}^{i}} Z^{i+1} \\
& h^{i} \downarrow \quad{ }^{\downarrow} \quad h^{i+1} \\
& X^{i+1} \xrightarrow[-\partial_{X}^{i+1}]{ } X_{\not f^{i+2}}^{i+2} \\
& Y^{i+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example (back to $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{e^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$, continued)

Thus $h^{*}: Z^{*} \longrightarrow X^{*}[1]$ is a cochain map. We have constructed in the category $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathbb{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$ a diagram $X^{*} \xrightarrow{f^{*}} Y^{*} \xrightarrow{g^{*}} Z^{*} \xrightarrow{h^{*}} X^{*}[1]$. We declare

- The exact triangles in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathfrak{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$ are all the isomorphs, in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathfrak{C}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{A})$, of diagrams that come from our construction.
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## Definition (formal definition of triangulated categories)

The additive category $\mathcal{T}$ has a triangulated structure if:
(1) It has an invertible additive endofunctor [1]: $\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$, taking the object $X$ and the morphism $f$ in $\mathcal{T}$ to $X[1]$ and $f[1]$, respectively.
(2) We are given a collection of exact triangles, meaning diagrams in $\mathcal{T}$ of the form $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$.
This data must satisfy the following axioms
[TR1] Any isomorph of an exact triangle is an exact triangle. For any object $X \in \mathcal{T}$ the diagram $0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\text { id }} X \longrightarrow 0$ is an exact triangle. Any morphism $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ may be completed to an exact triangle $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$.
[TR2] Any rotation of an exact triangle is exact. That is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1] \text { is an exact triangle if and only if } \\
& Y \xrightarrow{-g} Z \xrightarrow{-h} X[1] \xrightarrow{-f[1]} Y[1] \text { is. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Definition (definition of triangulated categories-continued)

[TR3+4] Given a commutative diagram, where the rows are exact triangles,


## Definition (definition of triangulated categories-continued)

[TR3+4] Given a commutative diagram, where the rows are exact triangles,

we may complete it to a commutative diagram (also known as a morphism of triangles)


## Definition (definition of triangulated categories-continued)

[TR3+4] (continued): Moreover: we can do it in such a way that

$$
Y \oplus X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-g & 0 \\
v & f^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)} Z \oplus Y^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-h & 0 \\
w & g^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)} X[1] \oplus Z^{\prime}
$$

is an exact triangle.

## If $\mathcal{T}$ is triangulated then so is $\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{op}}$

The endomorphism [1]: $\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ gets replaced by [-1]: $\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{op}}$, where $[-1]=[1]^{-1}$.

If

$$
X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]
$$

is an exact triangle in $\mathcal{T}$, we declare it to also be an exact triangle in $\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{op}}$.
The point being that the rotation

$$
Z[-1] \xrightarrow{-h} X \xrightarrow{-f} Y \xrightarrow{-g} Z
$$

has the required form.

## Conventions

- If $\mathcal{T}$ is a triangulated category and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an integer, then [ $n$ ] will be our shorthand for the endofunctor $[1]^{n}: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$.
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- The subcategory $\mathcal{S}$ is thick if it is triangulated, as well as closed in $\mathcal{T}$ under direct summands.


## Conventions

- If $\mathcal{T}$ is a triangulated category and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an integer, then [ $n$ ] will be our shorthand for the endofunctor $[1]^{n}: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$.
- We will lazily abbreviate "exact triangle" to just "triangle".
- A full subcategory $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is called triangulated if $0 \in \mathcal{S}$, if $\mathcal{S}[1]=\mathcal{S}$, and if, whenever $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$ and there exists in $\mathcal{T}$ a triangle $X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow X[1]$, we must also have $Z \in \mathcal{S}$.
- The subcategory $\mathcal{S}$ is thick if it is triangulated, as well as closed in $\mathcal{T}$ under direct summands.
- Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category. A functor $H: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is homological if it takes triangles to long exact sequences.


## First Lemmas

## Lemma

If $\mathcal{T}$ is a triangulated category, and if $t \in \mathcal{T}$ is an object, then the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(t,-): \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow A b$ is homological.

## First Lemmas

## Lemma

If $\mathcal{T}$ is a triangulated category, and if $t \in \mathcal{T}$ is an object, then the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(t,-): \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow A b$ is homological.

## Proof.

If $A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} A[1]$ is an exact triangle in $\mathcal{T}$, we need to prove that $\operatorname{Hom}(t, A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(t, B) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(t, C) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(t, A[1]) \longrightarrow$ is a long exact sequence. By [TR2], the axiom saying that any rotation of an exact triangle is an exact triangle, it suffices to prove that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(t, A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(t, B) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(t, C)
$$

is exact.

## Proof, continued.

Let $f$ be an element in $\operatorname{Hom}(t, A)$, that is $f$ is a morphism $f: t \longrightarrow A$. Consider the commutative diagram


The rows are triangles, and [TR3+4] permits us to extend the commutative diagram to a morphism of triangles


The commutativity of the middle square tells us that vuf $=0$,

## Proof, continued.

which proves the vanishing of the composite

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(t, A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(t, B) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(t, C)
$$

Now let $f$ be an element of the kernel of $\operatorname{Hom}(t, B) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(t, C)$. That is $f: t \longrightarrow B$ is a morphism such that the composite $t \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{v} C$ vanishes. Thus we have a commutative diagram

By a rotation of [TR3+4] we may complete to a morphism of triangles

## Proof, continued.


and this yields an equality $f=u g$ with $g \in \operatorname{Hom}(t, A)$. That is $f$ is the image of $g \in \operatorname{Hom}(t, A)$ under the map $\operatorname{Hom}(t, A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(t, B)$.

## Corollary

Given any exact triangle

$$
A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} A[1]
$$

we have $v u=0$.

## Corollary

Given any exact triangle

$$
A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} A[1]
$$

we have $v u=0$.

## Proof.

The image of $1 \in \operatorname{Hom}(A, A)$ under the exact sequence

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(A, A) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}(A, u)} \operatorname{Hom}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}(A, v)} \operatorname{Hom}(A, C)
$$

must vanish.

In the light of our Lemma, it makes sense to formulate

## Definition

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category. A sequence $A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} A[1]$ is called a weak triangle if, for every object $t \in \mathcal{T}$, the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(t,-)$ takes $A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} A[1]$ to a long exact sequence.

In the light of our Lemma, it makes sense to formulate

## Definition

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category. A sequence $A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} A[1]$ is called a weak triangle if, for every object $t \in \mathcal{T}$, the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(t,-)$ takes $A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} A[1]$ to a long exact sequence.

Reformulating the first lemma
In terms of the above definition, the first Lemma asserts that every exact triangle is a weak triangle.

## Lemma

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category, and let

be a commutative diagram where the rows are weak triangles. If $f$ and $g$ are isomorphisms then so is $h$.

## Lemma

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category, and let

be a commutative diagram where the rows are weak triangles. If $f$ and $g$ are isomorphisms then so is $h$.

## Proof.

For any object $t \in \mathcal{T}$, the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(t,-)$ takes the above to a commutative diagram with long exact rows, in which $\operatorname{Hom}(t, f[n])$ and $\operatorname{Hom}(t, g[n])$ are isomorphisms for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The 5-lemma tells us that $\operatorname{Hom}(t, h[n])$ are also isomorphisms for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and by Yoneda's lemma $h$ must be an isomorphism.

## Corollary

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category. If

$$
A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} A[1], \quad A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v^{\prime}} C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{w^{\prime}} A[1]
$$

are exact triangles then they are (non-canonically) isomorphic.

## Corollary

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category. If

$$
A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} A[1], \quad A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v^{\prime}} C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{w^{\prime}} A[1]
$$

are exact triangles then they are (non-canonically) isomorphic.

## Proof.

The commutative diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v^{\prime}} C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{w^{\prime}} A[1] \\
& A \xrightarrow[u]{ } \|_{\text {v }} A[C \xrightarrow[w]{\longrightarrow} A[1]
\end{aligned}
$$

has exact triangles for rows, and [TR3+4] permits us to extend to a commutative diagram

## Proof, continued.



The identity maps $1: A \longrightarrow A$ and $1: B \longrightarrow B$ are isomorphisms, hence so is $h: C^{\prime} \longrightarrow C$.

## Corollary

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category. If

$$
A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} A[1], \quad A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{u^{\prime}} B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{v^{\prime}} C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{w^{\prime}} A^{\prime}[1]
$$

are exact triangles then so is

$$
A \oplus A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{u \oplus u^{\prime}} B \oplus B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{v \oplus v^{\prime}} C \oplus C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{w \oplus w^{\prime}}\left(A \oplus A^{\prime}\right)[1]
$$

## Proof.

By [TR1] we may complete the morphism $u \oplus u^{\prime}$ to an exact triangle

$$
A \oplus A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{u \oplus u^{\prime}} B \oplus B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{v}} \widetilde{C} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{w}}\left(A \oplus A^{\prime}\right)[1]
$$

## Proof, continued.

And by $[$ TR3 +4$]$ we may complete the commutative diagrams

and


## Proof, continued.

to commutative diagrams

and


## Proof, continued.

Combining, we have a commutative diagram


Since the rows are weak triangles the map $h$ must be an isomorphism. The bottom row is an exact triangle by construction, and [TR1] now tells us that so is the isomorphic top row.

## Corollary

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category. If

$$
A \oplus A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{u \oplus u^{\prime}} B \oplus B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{v \oplus v^{\prime}} C \oplus C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{w \oplus w^{\prime}}\left(A \oplus A^{\prime}\right)[1]
$$

is an exact triangle, then so is the direct summand

$$
A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} A[1] .
$$

## Proof.

By [TR1] we may complete the morphism $u$ to an exact triangle

$$
A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}} \widetilde{C} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{w}} A[1]
$$

## Proof, continued.

And by $[T R 3+4]$ we may complete the commutative diagram

to the morphism of triangles


## Proof, continued.

The commutative diagram

where the morphism between the second and third row is the projection to a direct summand, composes to give

## Proof, continued.


and as both rows are weak triangles the map $h$ must be an isomorphism. The top row is an exact triangle by construction, and [TR1] now tells us that so is the isomorphic bottom row.

## Theorem (octahedral axiom)

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category. Suppose $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} B^{\prime}$ are two composable morphisms, and choose exact triangles

which exist by [TR1].
Then there exist morphisms $h: C \longrightarrow C^{\prime}$ and $k: C^{\prime} \longrightarrow B^{\prime \prime}$ such that

## Theorem (octahedral axiom, continued)

the following diagram commutes

and the third column is an exact triangle.

## Proof.

We are given the commutative diagram

where the rows are exact triangles. [TR3+4] permits us to extend to a commutative diagram

and do it in such a way that

## Proof, continued.

$$
B \oplus A \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-u & 0 \\
g & g f
\end{array}\right)} C \oplus B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-v & 0 \\
h & u^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)} A[1] \oplus C^{\prime}
$$

is an exact triangle.

## Proof, continued.

This triangle is isomorphic to the direct sum of

$$
B \xrightarrow{\binom{-u}{g}} C \oplus B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
h & u^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)} C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f v^{\prime}} B[1]
$$

and

$$
A \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow A[1] \Longrightarrow A[1]
$$

and both must be exact triangles.

## Proof, continued.

And now the commutative diagram

has exact triangles for rows, and [TR3+4] permits us to extend to a commutative diagram


## Proof, continued.

and do it in such a way that

$$
C \oplus B^{\prime} \oplus B \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
-h & -u^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & g
\end{array}\right)} C^{\prime} \oplus B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-f v^{\prime} & 0 \\
k & g^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)} B[1] \oplus B^{\prime \prime}
$$

is an exact triangle. And this exact triangle is isomorphic to the direct sum of

## Proof, continued.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C \longrightarrow C^{\prime} \longrightarrow B^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{u[1] \rho \ell} C^{h}[1] \\
& B^{\prime} \longrightarrow B^{\prime}[1] \\
& B \longrightarrow B[1] \longrightarrow B[1]
\end{aligned}
$$

which must all be exact triangles.

## Flaws of triangulated categories

## Lemma

If $\mathcal{T}$ is a triangulated category and $g: B \longrightarrow C$ is an epimorphism, then $B \cong A \oplus C$ and $g$ is the split surjection $A \oplus C \longrightarrow C$.

## Proof.

Complete $g$ to an exact triangle $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} A[1]$. The composite $h g: B \longrightarrow A[1]$ vanishes, and as $g$ is an epimorphism we deduce that $h=0$.

## Proof, continued.

But now consider the commutative diagram where the rows are triangles


By [TR3+4] we may complete to a commutative diagram

and $\rho$ must be an isomorphism.

## No cokernels

Suppose $f: X \longrightarrow B$ is a morphism in a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$, and $g: B \longrightarrow C$ is its cokernel. Then $g$ is an epimorphism, and the above lemma says it must be isomorphic to the projection $A \oplus C \longrightarrow C$.

The fact that $f: X \longrightarrow A \oplus C$ has cokernel $A \oplus C \longrightarrow C$ means that map $f$ must factor as $X \xrightarrow{g} A \xrightarrow{i} A \oplus C$, and the map $X \longrightarrow A$ must be an epimorphism. Hence the map $g: X \longrightarrow A$ is isomorphic to the projection $\pi: Y \oplus A \longrightarrow A$.

Thus the morphism $f: X \longrightarrow B$ is isomorphic to the composite $Y \oplus A \xrightarrow{\pi} A \xrightarrow{i} A \oplus C$, where $\pi$ is the projection and $i$ is the inclusion.

Summarizing: morphisms in triangulated categories rarely have cokernels.

## Thank you!

