

The stabilization functor via the singular Yoneda dg category

Xiao-Wu Chen (陈小伍)

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

Representation Theory and Triangulated Categories

A Conference in Honour of Henning Krause, Sept. 26-30, 2022

Paderborn University, Paderborn, Germany

The plan

- The stabilization functor
- The Yoneda dg category
- The singular Yoneda dg category and a comparison theorem

This talk is mainly based on a series of joint work with Zhengfang Wang (汪正方) at Stuttgart.

Section I

- The stabilization functor
- The Yoneda dg category
- The singular Yoneda dg category and a comparison theorem

Recollement, as a categorical gluing, arises naturally in

- the study of perverse sheaves
- partial tilting complexes
- quasi-hereditary algebras and highest weight categories
- dg (= differential graded) quotients

Recollement: the definition

Recall from [BBD 1982] that a *recollement* is a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \xleftarrow{i_\lambda} & & \xleftarrow{j_\lambda} & \\ \mathcal{T}' & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathcal{T}'' \\ & \xleftarrow{i_\rho} & & \xleftarrow{j_\rho} & \end{array}$$

such that

- (i_λ, i, i_ρ) and (j_λ, j, j_ρ) are adjoint triples;
- i, j_λ and j_ρ are fully faithful;
- $ji \simeq 0$; by the adjunctions, $i_\lambda j_\lambda \simeq 0$ and $i_\rho j_\rho \simeq 0$.
- For each $X \in \mathcal{T}$, there are *functorial* exact triangles

$$ii_\rho(X) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_X} X \xrightarrow{\eta_X} j_\rho j(X) \xrightarrow{\delta_X} \Sigma ii_\rho(X)$$

and

$$j_\lambda j(X) \xrightarrow{\phi_X} X \xrightarrow{\psi_X} ii_\lambda(X) \xrightarrow{\sigma_X} \Sigma j_\lambda j(X).$$

- There exists the *norm morphism* $N: j_\lambda \rightarrow j_\rho$ such that $Nj = \eta \circ \phi$;
- There exists the *conorm morphism* $C: i_\rho \rightarrow i_\lambda$ such that $iC = \psi \circ \varepsilon$;

The intertwining isomorphism

Proposition (BBD 1982, C.-Le 2022)

There exists a unique isomorphism

$$\xi: i_{\lambda}j_{\rho} \longrightarrow \Sigma i_{\rho}j_{\lambda}$$

making the following two exact diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \Sigma^{-1}ii_{\lambda}j_{\rho} & \xrightarrow{-j_{\lambda}\eta' \circ \Sigma^{-1}\sigma j_{\rho}} & j_{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{N} & j_{\rho} & \xrightarrow{\psi j_{\rho}} & ii_{\lambda}j_{\rho} \\
 \Sigma^{-1}i\xi \downarrow \vdots & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow \vdots i\xi \\
 ii_{\rho}j_{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon j_{\lambda}} & j_{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{N} & j_{\rho} & \xrightarrow{\delta j_{\lambda} \circ j_{\rho} \phi'} & \Sigma ii_{\rho}j_{\lambda}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \Sigma^{-1}i_{\lambda}j_{\rho}j & \xrightarrow{-\psi' i_{\rho} \circ \Sigma^{-1}i_{\lambda} \delta} & i_{\rho} & \xrightarrow{C} & i_{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{i_{\lambda} \eta} & i_{\lambda}j_{\rho}j \\
 -\Sigma^{-1}\epsilon j \downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow -\xi j \\
 i_{\rho}j_{\lambda}j & \xrightarrow{i_{\rho} \phi} & i_{\rho} & \xrightarrow{C} & i_{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{i_{\rho} \sigma \circ \epsilon' i_{\lambda}} & \Sigma i_{\rho}j_{\lambda}j
 \end{array}$$

The gluing functor

Definition

The *gluing functor* of the following recollement is $i_{\lambda}j_{\rho}: \mathcal{T}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \curvearrowright^{i_{\lambda}} & & \curvearrowright^{j_{\lambda}} & \\ \mathcal{T}' & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathcal{T}'' \\ & \curvearrowleft_{i_{\rho}} & & \curvearrowleft_{j_{\rho}} & \end{array}$$

- In the dg or ∞ -categorical setting, the middle category can be recovered from the gluing functor; see [Kuznetsov-Lunts 2015] and [Lurie 2017, Dyckerhoff-Jasso-Walde 2021].
- In the triangulated setting, we recover \mathcal{T} from the *comma category* of the gluing functor, up to an epivalence; see [C.-Le 2022]; compare [Geiss-Keller 2002] and [Keller 2020].

Notation

- Λ a left noetherian ring
- $\Lambda\text{-proj} \subseteq \Lambda\text{-mod} \subseteq \Lambda\text{-Mod}$
- $\mathbf{K}^b(\Lambda\text{-proj}) \subseteq \mathbf{D}^b(\Lambda\text{-mod}) \subseteq \mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod})$

The singularity category

Definition (Buchweitz 1986, Orlov 2003)

The *singularity category* of Λ is the Verdier quotient of triangulated categories

$$\mathbf{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda) = \frac{\mathbf{D}^b(\Lambda\text{-mod})}{\mathbf{K}^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})}.$$

- If $\text{gl.dim } \Lambda < \infty$, for example, a *regular* commutative ring by Auslander-Buchsbaum-Serre's theorem, then $\mathbf{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda) = 0$.
- Buchweitz uses the *stabilized derived category*. Nowadays, we follow [Orlov 2003].

Compactly generated completions

- It is well known that $\mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod})$ is a *compactly generated completion* of $\mathbf{K}^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$, that is, $\mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod})$ is compactly generated and $\mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod})^c \simeq \mathbf{K}^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$.
- In general, the inclusion $\mathbf{K}^b(\Lambda\text{-proj}) \subseteq \mathbf{D}^b(\Lambda\text{-mod})$ is proper.

Theorem (Krause 2005)

The homotopy category $\mathbf{K}(\Lambda\text{-Inj})$ of unbounded complexes of injective modules is a compactly generated completion of $\mathbf{D}^b(\Lambda\text{-mod})$.

Krause's completion

- The dg-injective resolution functor \mathbf{i} restricts to the embedding $\mathbf{i}: \mathbf{D}^b(\Lambda\text{-mod}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\Lambda\text{-Inj})$.
- Krause's completion works more generally, namely replacing $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$ by any locally noetherian Grothendieck category.
- In the study of the Grothendieck duality, A. Neeman in a 2008 paper mentioned
"... offers a very promising new angle on this old problem."

Here, the "old problem" might mean the Grothendieck duality (in a modern form).

Krause's recollement

Theorem (Krause 2005)

There exists a recollement

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \bar{a} & & \bar{p} & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & \\ \mathbf{K}_{\text{ac}}(\Lambda\text{-Inj}) & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \mathbf{K}(\Lambda\text{-Inj}) & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & \mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod}) \\ & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowleft & \\ & a' & & i & \end{array}$$

Here, i is the dg-injective resolution functor, a' is determined by

$$a'I \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow iI \longrightarrow \Sigma a'I$$

In general, \bar{p} and \bar{a} are mysterious. They are related by

$$\bar{p}I \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow \bar{a}I \longrightarrow \Sigma \bar{p}I$$

The mysterious upper half

- The localizing subcategory $\text{Loc}\langle \mathbf{i}\Lambda \rangle$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod})$ via the canonical functor $\text{can}: \mathbf{K}(\Lambda\text{-Inj}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod})$.
- $\bar{\mathbf{p}}$ is the composition of its quasi-inverse with the inclusion $\text{Loc}\langle \mathbf{i}\Lambda \rangle \hookrightarrow \mathbf{K}(\Lambda\text{-Inj})$.
- The norm morphism $N: \bar{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow \mathbf{i}$ restricts to an isomorphism on $\mathbf{K}^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$.
- The counit $\bar{\mathbf{p}}\text{can}(I) \rightarrow I$ of $(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \text{can})$ is NOT explicit. So, the functor $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ is (more) mysterious.

The completion of the singularity category

Applying Ravenel-Thomason-Trobaugh-Yao's localization theorem [Neeman 1992] to the mysterious upper half,

$$\mathbf{K}_{\text{ac}}(\Lambda\text{-Inj}) \xleftarrow{\bar{a}} \mathbf{K}(\Lambda\text{-Inj}) \xleftarrow{\bar{p}} \mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod})$$

we have

Theorem (Krause 2005)

The homotopy category $\mathbf{K}_{\text{ac}}(\Lambda\text{-Inj})$ of unbounded acyclic complexes of injective modules is a compactly generated completion of $\mathbf{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda)$ (up to direct summands).

The stabilization functor

Recall Krause's recollement

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \bar{a} & & \bar{p} & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\ \mathbf{K}_{ac}(\Lambda\text{-Inj}) & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \mathbf{K}(\Lambda\text{-Inj}) & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & \mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod}) \\ & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\ & a' & & i & \end{array}$$

Definition (Krause 2005)

The *stabilization functor* of Λ is

$$\mathbb{S} = \bar{a}i: \mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_{ac}(\Lambda\text{-Inj}).$$

Therefore, \mathbb{S} is the gluing functor of the recollement above.

Moreover, we have $\mathbb{S} \simeq \Sigma a' \bar{p}$.

The stabilization functor, continued

- The functor \mathbb{S} induces the embedding $\mathbf{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\text{ac}}(\Lambda\text{-Inj})$.
To be more precise,

$$\mathbf{D}^b(\Lambda\text{-mod}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{K}(\Lambda\text{-Inj}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \mathbf{K}_{\text{ac}}(\Lambda\text{-Inj})$$

vanishes on $\mathbf{K}^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$, and induces the required embedding.

- If Λ is Gorenstein, \mathbb{S} gives functorial *Gorenstein injective resolutions* for Λ -modules. More specifically, if Λ is quasi-Frobenius, then $\mathbb{S}M$ is given by

$$\mathbf{p}M \longrightarrow \mathbf{i}M \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}M \longrightarrow \Sigma\mathbf{p}M.$$

It is the *complete resolution* of M ; [Tate 1952].

The dg singularity category

- The dg quotient [Keller 1999, Drinfeld 2004] enhances the Verdier quotient.
- For example, the dg derived category $\mathbf{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\Lambda\text{-mod})$ is a canonical dg enhancement for $\mathbf{D}^b(\Lambda\text{-mod})$.

Definition (Keller 2018, Blanc-Robalo-Töen-Vezzosi 2018, Brown-Dyckerhoff 2020 ...)

The *dg singularity category* of Λ is the dg quotient

$$\mathbf{S}_{\text{dg}}(\Lambda) = \mathbf{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\Lambda\text{-mod}) / C_{\text{dg}}^b(\Lambda\text{-proj}).$$

$\mathbf{S}_{\text{dg}}(\Lambda)$ enhances $\mathbf{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda)$, that is, it is pretriangulated such that $H^0(\mathbf{S}_{\text{dg}}(\Lambda)) = \mathbf{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda)$.

The dg approach to Krause's recollement

Set $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\Lambda\text{-mod})$, $\mathcal{P} = C_{\text{dg}}^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$ and $\mathcal{S} = \mathbf{S}_{\text{dg}}(\Lambda)$.

By a general result of [Keller 1999, Drinfeld 2004], we have

Theorem (Krause 2005, implicitly)

Krause's recollement is "isomorphic" to the canonical recollement associated to the dg quotient:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \xleftarrow{\otimes_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{S}} & & \xleftarrow{\otimes_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{D}} & \\ & \text{can} & \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{D}) & \text{res} & \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{P}) \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}, -)} & & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{D}, -)} & \\ \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{S}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \end{array}$$

Consequently, the stabilization functor \mathbb{S} is "isomorphic" to $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{D}, -) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{S}$.

The goal

- Goal: to describe \mathbb{S} explicitly.
- Assume that Λ is over a field k .
- Tool: the Yoneda dg category and its strict localization, namely, the singular Yoneda dg category.

Section II

- The stabilization functor
- The Yoneda dg category
- The singular Yoneda dg category and a comparison theorem

The bar resolution

- Set $\bar{\Lambda} = \Lambda/k$, and $\Sigma(\bar{\Lambda}) = s\bar{\Lambda}$. Its typical element is written $s\bar{a}$, which is of degree -1 .
- The normalized *bar resolution*

$$\mathbb{B} = \Lambda \otimes T(s\bar{\Lambda}) \otimes \Lambda = \prod_{n \geq 0} \Lambda \otimes s\bar{\Lambda}^{\otimes n} \otimes \Lambda$$

has differential given

$$\begin{aligned} d(a \otimes s\bar{a}_{1,n} \otimes b) &= aa_1 \otimes s\bar{a}_{2,n} \otimes b + (-1)^n a \otimes s\bar{a}_{1,n-1} \otimes a_n b \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i a \otimes s\bar{a}_{1,i-1} \otimes s\overline{a_i a_{i+1}} \otimes s\bar{a}_{i+2,n} \otimes b. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $s\bar{a}_{i,j} = s\bar{a}_i \otimes \cdots \otimes s\bar{a}_j$.

The *fundamental* bar resolution

- " *One of the fundamental constructions in homological algebra is the bar resolution, which has been successfully applied to ...*", taken from [Buchsbaum-Rota 1994].
- "*... is fundamental in governing the homological properties of the algebra*", taken from [Butler-King 1999].

The bar resolution, continued

- $\epsilon: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a quasi-isomorphism.
- It provides a *functorial* dg-projective resolution: for any complex X of Λ -modules, $\mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X$ is a dg-projective resolution of X .
- \mathbb{B} is a *coalgebra* in the category of complexes of bimodules: we have

$$\Delta: \mathbb{B} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{B}$$

given by

$$\Delta(a \otimes s\bar{a}_{1,n} \otimes b) = \sum_{i=0}^n (a \otimes s\bar{a}_{1,i} \otimes 1) \otimes_{\Lambda} (1 \otimes s\bar{a}_{i+1,n} \otimes b).$$

The Yoneda dg category

We define the *Yoneda dg category* \mathcal{Y} ; compare [Keller 1994, Anno-Logvinenko 2021].

- The objects are just complexes of Λ -modules.
- For any complexes X and Y , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y}(X, Y) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X, Y) \\ &= \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Hom}(s\bar{\Lambda}^{\otimes n} \otimes X, Y).\end{aligned}$$

This is NOT a product of complexes. Elements in $\mathrm{Hom}(s\bar{\Lambda}^{\otimes n} \otimes X, Y)$ will be said to have *filtration-degree* n .

The Yoneda dg category, continued

- The composition of $f \in \mathcal{Y}(X, Y)$ and $g \in \mathcal{Y}(Y, Z)$ is defined as

$$g \circ f: \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z.$$

- If f is represented by $f \in \text{Hom}(s\bar{\Lambda}^{\otimes n} \otimes X, Y)$ and g is represented by $g \in \text{Hom}(s\bar{\Lambda}^{\otimes m} \otimes Y, Z)$, then $g \circ f$ is represented by the following element in $\text{Hom}(s\bar{\Lambda}^{\otimes n+m} \otimes X, Z)$:

$$s\bar{a}_{m+n} \otimes x \longmapsto (-1)^{m|f|} g(s\bar{a}_{1,m} \otimes f(s\bar{a}_{m+1,m+n} \otimes x)).$$

- \mathcal{Y} might be viewed as the coKleisli category of the comonad $\mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} -$ on the dg category $C_{\text{dg}}(\Lambda\text{-Mod})$ of complexes.

The Yoneda dg category as a dg enhancement

Proposition (Keller 1994 implicitly, C.-Wang)

\mathcal{Y} is a dg enhancement of $\mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod})$, that is, it is pretriangulated with $H^0(\mathcal{Y}) = \mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod})$.

- Reason: $\mathcal{Y}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathbb{B} \otimes_\Lambda X, Y)$ computes $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod})}(X, \Sigma^i(Y))$.
- To justify the terminology: the dg endomorphism algebra $\mathcal{Y}(M, M)$ computes the Yoneda Ext-algebra $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(M, M)$.

The advantages of \mathcal{Y}

- Noncommutative differential forms as a dg endofunctor Ω_{nc} ;
- A closed natural transformation $\theta: \text{Id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{nc}}$;
- An explicit homotopy inverse ι_X for the dg-projective resolution $\epsilon \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Id}_X: \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X \rightarrow X$.
- These data are compatible.

Noncommutative differential forms

- For a complex X , we define the *GRADED noncommutative differential 1-forms* with values in X to be

$$\Omega_{\text{nc}}(X) = s\bar{\Lambda} \otimes X.$$

As a complex, $|s\bar{a} \otimes x| = |x| - 1$, $d(s\bar{a} \otimes x) = -s\bar{a} \otimes d_X(x)$.

The (somewhat nontrivial) Λ -action is given by

$$b \blacktriangleright (s\bar{a} \otimes x) = s\bar{b}a \otimes x - s\bar{b} \otimes ax.$$

- Observe that $\Omega_{\text{nc}}(\Lambda)$ is the *graded bimodule of right noncommutative differential 1-forms* in [Wang 2016]; compare [Cuntz-Quillen 1995].

Noncommutative differential forms, continued

- We have $\Omega_{\text{nc}}(X) \simeq \Omega_{\text{nc}}(\Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} X$.
- For a Λ -module M , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \bar{\Lambda} \otimes M \longrightarrow \Lambda \otimes M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the left arrow $\bar{a} \otimes x \mapsto a \otimes x - 1_{\Lambda} \otimes ax$.

Therefore, we have

$$\Omega_{\text{nc}}(M) \simeq \Sigma\Omega(M).$$

Here, $\Omega(M)$ denotes the first syzygy of M . This isomorphism justifies the notation “ Ω_{nc} ”!

Noncommutative differential forms as a dg endofunctor

- $\Omega_{\text{nc}}: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a dg endofunctor, whose action on morphisms is given by

$$(f: X \rightarrow Y) \longmapsto (\text{Id}_{s\bar{\Lambda}} \otimes f: \Omega_{\text{nc}}(X) \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{nc}}(Y)).$$

- There is a closed natural transformation of degree zero:

$$\theta: \text{Id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\text{nc}}$$

such that $\theta_Y: Y \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{nc}}(Y)$ is of filtration-degree *one*, represented by $\text{Id}_{\Omega_{\text{nc}}(Y)}: s\bar{\Lambda} \otimes Y \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{nc}}(Y)$.

- $\theta\Omega_{\text{nc}} = \Omega_{\text{nc}}\theta$.

An explicit homotopy inverse

- We define a closed morphism of degree zero in \mathcal{Y} :

$$\iota_X: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X$$

such that its entry $(\iota_X)_p$ of filtration-degree p is given by

$$(s\bar{\Lambda})^{\otimes p} \otimes X \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}^{-p} \otimes_{\Lambda} X \subseteq \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X, \quad s\bar{a}_{1,p} \otimes X \longmapsto (1 \otimes s\bar{a}_{1,p} \otimes 1) \otimes_{\Lambda} X.$$

- $(\varepsilon \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Id}_X) \odot \iota_X = \text{Id}_X$. It follows that ι_X is a *homotopy inverse* of the dg-projective resolution $\varepsilon \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Id}_X: \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X \rightarrow X$.

A commutative diagram in \mathcal{Y}

Proposition

The following diagram strictly commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\theta_X} & \Omega_{\text{nc}}(X) \\ \downarrow \iota_X & & \downarrow \iota_{\Omega_{\text{nc}}(X)} \\ \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \mathbb{B}_{\geq 1} \otimes_{\Lambda} X \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} \Omega_{\text{nc}}(X) \end{array}$$

In comparison,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\theta_X} & \Omega_{\text{nc}}(X) \\ \uparrow \varepsilon \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Id}_X & & \uparrow \varepsilon \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Id}_{\Omega_{\text{nc}}(X)} \\ \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} \Omega_{\text{nc}}(X) \end{array}$$

does NOT commute because of different filtration-degree.

The dg-injective resolutions via \mathcal{Y}

- For any complex X , $\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, X) = \prod_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}((s\bar{\Lambda})^{\otimes n} \otimes \Lambda, X)$ is a dg-injective complex of Λ -modules.
- The natural embedding $X \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, X)$ is a quasi-isomorphism; consequently, we have $\mathbf{i} \simeq \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, -)$.
- $\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \Lambda)$ is a complex of Λ -bimodules, and $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \Lambda)$ is a quasi-isomorphism between complexes of bimodules.

An explicit form of Krause's recollement

We rewrite Krause's recollement.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \bar{\mathbf{a}} & & \\
 & \swarrow & \text{inc} & \searrow & \\
 \mathbf{K}_{\text{ac}}(\Lambda\text{-Inj}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{K}(\Lambda\text{-Inj}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod}) \\
 & \nwarrow & \mathbf{a}' = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\text{Cone}(\varepsilon), -) & \swarrow & \\
 & & & & \bar{\mathbf{p}} = \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} - \\
 & & & & \text{can} \\
 & & & & \mathbf{i} = \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, -)
 \end{array}$$

Here, $\bar{\mathbf{p}} \simeq \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbf{p}-$, where \mathbf{p} is the dg-projective resolution functor, and identified with $\mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} -$.

However, we can NOT describe the counit $\bar{\mathbf{p}}\text{can}/ \rightarrow /$ explicitly.

The stabilization functor as a cone

For each complex X , we have a chain map

$$\kappa_X: \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, X)$$

$$f \otimes_{\Lambda} (a_0 \otimes s\bar{a}_{1,q} \otimes 1) \otimes_{\Lambda} x \longmapsto (s\bar{b}_{1,p} \otimes b \mapsto \delta_{q,0} f(s\bar{b}_{1,p} \otimes b) a_0 x).$$

Proposition

We have a functorial exact triangle

$$\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X \xrightarrow{\kappa_X} \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}X \longrightarrow \Sigma \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X$$

Section III

- The stabilization functor
- The Yoneda dg category
- The singular Yoneda dg category and a comparison theorem

A reminder

- The Yoneda dg category \mathcal{Y} , composition denoted by \odot ;
- A dg endofunctor $\Omega_{\text{nc}}: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$;
- A closed natural transformation $\theta: \text{Id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{nc}}$;
- Restricting to bounded complexes of finitely generated modules, we have $\mathcal{Y}^f \simeq \mathbf{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\Lambda\text{-mod})$.

The singular Yoneda dg category

The *singular Yoneda dg category* \mathcal{SY} of Λ is defined as follows.

- It has the same objects as \mathcal{Y} .
- The Hom complex $\mathcal{SY}(X, Y)$ is the colimit of

$$\mathcal{Y}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(X, \Omega_{\text{nc}}(Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(X, \Omega_{\text{nc}}^2(Y)) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where the structure maps send $f: X \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{nc}}^p(Y)$ to

$\theta_{\Omega_{\text{nc}}^p(Y)} \odot f$. The canonical image of such an f in $\mathcal{SY}(X, Y)$ is denoted by $[f; p]$.

- The composition of $[f; p]: X \rightarrow Y$ and $[g; q]: Y \rightarrow Z$ is

$$[g; q] \odot_{\text{sg}} [f; p] = [\Omega_{\text{nc}}^p(g) \odot f; p + q].$$

The singular Yoneda dg category vs the dg singularity category

Recall $\mathbf{S}_{\text{dg}}(\Lambda) = \mathbf{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\Lambda\text{-mod})/C_{\text{dg}}^b(\Lambda\text{-proj})$.

Theorem (C.-Wang)

We have $\mathcal{SY}^f \simeq \mathbf{S}_{\text{dg}}(\Lambda)$. Consequently, the singular Yoneda dg category \mathcal{SY} contains a dg enhancement of $\mathbf{D}_{\text{sg}}(\Lambda)$.

Therefore, $\mathcal{SY}(M, M)$ computes the *singular Yoneda Ext algebra* or *Tate cohomology algebra* $\widehat{\text{Ext}}_{\Lambda}^*(M, M)$ of M .

The Hom complexes in \mathcal{SY}

- An observation: $\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, X) = \prod_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}((s\bar{\Lambda})^{\otimes n} \otimes \Lambda, X)$ is a complex of INJECTIVE Λ -modules.
- $\mathcal{SY}(\Lambda, X) = \text{colim } \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \Omega_{\text{nc}}^p(X))$ is also a complex of injective modules, ACYCLIC!

Proposition

There is a well-defined triangle functor

$$\mathcal{SY}(\Lambda, -): \mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\text{ac}}(\Lambda\text{-Inj}).$$

The Hom functor $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, -)$ as a cone

Proposition

There is a functorial exact triangle

$$\operatorname{colim} \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \mathbb{B}_{\leq p} \otimes_{\Lambda} X) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_X} \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, X) \longrightarrow$$

where ϑ_X is induced by $\mathbb{B}_{\leq p} \otimes_{\Lambda} X \rightarrow X$.

The proof uses the commutative diagram in \mathcal{Y} and its generalization:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\theta_X} & \Omega_{\text{nc}}(X) \\ \downarrow \iota_X & & \downarrow \iota_{\Omega_{\text{nc}}(X)} \\ \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \mathbb{B}_{\geq 1} \otimes_{\Lambda} X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} \Omega_{\text{nc}}(X) & \end{array}$$

The comparison theorem

Recall both \mathbb{S} , $\mathcal{SY}(\Lambda, -): \mathbf{D}(\Lambda\text{-Mod}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\text{ac}}(\Lambda\text{-Inj})$, as certain explicit cones.

Theorem (C.-Wang)

There is a natural transformation

$$c: \mathbb{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{SY}(\Lambda, -)$$

such that its restriction to $\mathbf{D}^+(\Lambda\text{-Mod})$ is an isomorphism. If Λ is Gorenstein, then c is an isomorphism.

Remark: it is not clear whether c is an isomorphism for non-Gorenstein Λ .

The key ingredient of the proof

We compare the two cones:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, X) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}X & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow c_X & & \\ \text{colim } \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \mathbb{B}_{\leq p} \otimes_{\Lambda} X) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, X) & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & \mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, X) & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

We have to analyze the leftmost dotted arrow.

A colimit of cochain maps

The above dotted arrow

$$\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{B} \otimes_{\Lambda} X \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim} \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \mathbb{B}_{\leq p} \otimes_{\Lambda} X)$$

is the colimit of the following

$$\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{B}_{\leq p} \otimes_{\Lambda} X \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \mathbb{B}_{\leq p} \otimes_{\Lambda} X).$$

Proposition

Assume that X is bounded-below, or Λ is Gorenstein. Then the above map

$$\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{B}_{\leq p} \otimes_{\Lambda} X \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}(\Lambda, \mathbb{B}_{\leq p} \otimes_{\Lambda} X)$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

Main references

1. H. KRAUSE, *The stable derived category of a noetherian scheme*, Compos. Math. **141** (5) (2005), 1128–1162.
2. X.W. CHEN, J. LE, *Recollements, comma categories and morphic enhancements*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edin. Sect. A: Math. **152** (3) (2022), 567–591.
3. X.W. CHEN, Z. WANG, *The dg Leavitt algebras, singular Yoneda category and singularity category, with an appendix by Bernhard Keller and Yu Wang*, arXiv: 2109.11278, 2021.
4. X.W. CHEN, Z. WANG, *The singular Yoneda category and the stabilization functor*, arXiv: 2205.08429, 2022.

Thank you very much for your attention!

谢谢大家!

<http://home.ustc.edu.cn/~xwchen>