

On selfinjective algebras of finite representation type

Marta Błaszczewicz and Andrzej Skowroński

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Nicolaus Copernicus University,
Toruń, Poland

Bielefeld, August 2012

Abstract

Main aim

We describe the structure of finite dimensional selfinjective algebras of finite representation type over a field whose stable Auslander-Reiten quiver has a sectional module which is not the middle of a short chain.

Notation

- algebra = basic indecomposable finite dimensional associative K -algebra with an identity over a fixed field K
- $\text{mod } A$ = category of finite dimensional (over K) right A -modules
- $D = \text{Hom}_K(-, K): \text{mod } A \rightarrow \text{mod } A^{\text{op}}$
standard duality on $\text{mod } A$
- Γ_A Auslander-Reiten quiver of A
- $\tau_A = D\text{Tr}, \tau_A^{-1} = \text{Tr}D$

Notation

- algebra = basic indecomposable finite dimensional associative K -algebra with an identity over a fixed field K
- $\text{mod } A$ = category of finite dimensional (over K) right A -modules
- $D = \text{Hom}_K(-, K): \text{mod } A \rightarrow \text{mod } A^{\text{op}}$
standard duality on $\text{mod } A$
- Γ_A Auslander-Reiten quiver of A
- $\tau_A = D\text{Tr}, \tau_A^{-1} = \text{Tr}D$

Notation

- algebra = basic indecomposable finite dimensional associative K -algebra with an identity over a fixed field K
- $\text{mod } A$ = category of finite dimensional (over K) right A -modules
- $D = \text{Hom}_K(-, K): \text{mod } A \rightarrow \text{mod } A^{\text{op}}$
standard duality on $\text{mod } A$
- Γ_A Auslander-Reiten quiver of A
- $\tau_A = D\text{Tr}, \tau_A^{-1} = \text{Tr}D$

Notation

- algebra = basic indecomposable finite dimensional associative K -algebra with an identity over a fixed field K
- $\text{mod } A$ = category of finite dimensional (over K) right A -modules
- $D = \text{Hom}_K(-, K): \text{mod } A \rightarrow \text{mod } A^{\text{op}}$
standard duality on $\text{mod } A$
- Γ_A Auslander-Reiten quiver of A
- $\tau_A = D\text{Tr}, \tau_A^{-1} = \text{Tr}D$

Notation

- algebra = basic indecomposable finite dimensional associative K -algebra with an identity over a fixed field K
- $\text{mod } A$ = category of finite dimensional (over K) right A -modules
- $D = \text{Hom}_K(-, K): \text{mod } A \rightarrow \text{mod } A^{\text{op}}$
standard duality on $\text{mod } A$
- Γ_A Auslander-Reiten quiver of A
- $\tau_A = D\text{Tr}, \tau_A^{-1} = \text{Tr}D$

Notation

- algebra = basic indecomposable finite dimensional associative K -algebra with an identity over a fixed field K
- $\text{mod } A$ = category of finite dimensional (over K) right A -modules
- $D = \text{Hom}_K(-, K): \text{mod } A \rightarrow \text{mod } A^{\text{op}}$
standard duality on $\text{mod } A$
- Γ_A Auslander-Reiten quiver of A
- $\tau_A = D\text{Tr}, \tau_A^{-1} = \text{Tr}D$

Notation

- A is called **selfinjective** if $A \cong D(A)$ in $\text{mod } A$
(projective right A -module \implies injective right A -module).
- A is called **finite representation type** if $\text{mod } A$ admits only finitely many indecomposable modules up to isomorphism.
- Sequence $N \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \tau_A N$ of nonzero homomorphisms in $\text{mod } A$ with N being indecomposable is called a **short chain**, and M the **middle** of this short chain.

Motivation

Theorem

Let A be a nonsimple selfinjective algebra over an algebraically closed field K . The following conditions are equivalent.

- 1 A is of finite representation type.
- 2 A is a socle equivalent to an orbit algebra \widehat{B}/G , where B is a tilted algebra of Dynkin type $A_n (n \geq 1)$, $D_n (n \geq 4)$, E_6 , E_7 , E_8 and G is an admissible infinite cyclic group of automorphisms of \widehat{B} .

Motivation

Theorem

Let A be a nonsimple selfinjective algebra over an algebraically closed field K . The following conditions are equivalent.

- 1 A is of finite representation type.
- 2 A is a socle equivalent to an orbit algebra \widehat{B}/G , where B is a tilted algebra of Dynkin type $\mathbb{A}_n (n \geq 1)$, $\mathbb{D}_n (n \geq 4)$, \mathbb{E}_6 , \mathbb{E}_7 , \mathbb{E}_8 and G is an admissible infinite cyclic group of automorphisms of \widehat{B} .

Motivation

Conjecture (Skowroński-Yamagata)

Let A be a nonsimple selfinjective algebra A over an arbitrary field K .
The following conditions are equivalent.

- 1 A is of finite representation type.
- 2 A is socle equivalent to an orbit algebra \widehat{B}/G , where B is a tilted algebra of Dynkin type $\mathbb{A}_n (n \geq 1)$, $\mathbb{B}_n (n \geq 2)$, $\mathbb{C}_n (n \geq 3)$, $\mathbb{D}_n (n \geq 4)$, \mathbb{E}_6 , \mathbb{E}_7 , \mathbb{E}_8 , \mathbb{F}_4 or \mathbb{G}_2 and G is an admissible infinite cyclic group of automorphisms of \widehat{B} .

Repetitive category

B algebra

$1_B = e_1 + \dots + e_n$ decomposition of the identity 1_B of B into a sum of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents

$B \rightsquigarrow \widehat{B}$ selfinjective locally bounded K -category, called the *repetitive category of B*

- $\text{ob } \widehat{B} = \{e_{r,i} \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$

- $\widehat{B}(e_{r,i}, e_{s,j}) = \begin{cases} e_j B e_i, & s = r, \\ D(e_i B e_j), & s = r + 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Repetitive category

B algebra

$1_B = e_1 + \dots + e_n$ decomposition of the identity 1_B of B into a sum of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents

$B \rightsquigarrow \widehat{B}$ selfinjective locally bounded K -category, called the *repetitive category of B*

- $\text{ob } \widehat{B} = \{e_{r,i} \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$
- $\widehat{B}(e_{r,i}, e_{s,j}) = \begin{cases} e_j B e_i, & s = r, \\ D(e_i B e_j), & s = r + 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Repetitive category

B algebra

$1_B = e_1 + \dots + e_n$ decomposition of the identity 1_B of B into a sum of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents

$B \rightsquigarrow \widehat{B}$ selfinjective locally bounded K -category, called the *repetitive category of B*

- $\text{ob } \widehat{B} = \{e_{r,i} \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$

- $\widehat{B}(e_{r,i}, e_{s,j}) = \begin{cases} e_j B e_i, & s = r, \\ D(e_i B e_j), & s = r + 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Repetitive category

B algebra

$1_B = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$ decomposition of the identity 1_B of B into a sum of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents

$B \rightsquigarrow \widehat{B}$ selfinjective locally bounded K -category, called the **repetitive category of B**

- $\text{ob } \widehat{B} = \{e_{r,i} \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$
- $\widehat{B}(e_{r,i}, e_{s,j}) = \begin{cases} e_j B e_i, & s = r, \\ D(e_i B e_j), & s = r + 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Repetitive category

B algebra

$1_B = e_1 + \dots + e_n$ decomposition of the identity 1_B of B into a sum of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents

$B \rightsquigarrow \widehat{B}$ selfinjective locally bounded K -category, called the **repetitive category of B**

- $\text{ob } \widehat{B} = \{e_{r,i} \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$
- $\widehat{B}(e_{r,i}, e_{s,j}) = \begin{cases} e_j B e_i, & s = r, \\ D(e_i B e_j), & s = r + 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Repetitive category

Compositions: let $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\widehat{B}(e_{s,j}, e_{t,k}) \times \widehat{B}(e_{r,i}, e_{s,j}) \longrightarrow \widehat{B}(e_{r,i}, e_{t,k})$$

- $r = s = t$: $e_k B e_j \times e_j B e_i \longrightarrow e_k B e_i$
- $r = s, t = s + 1$: $e_k D(B) e_j \times e_j B e_i \longrightarrow e_k D(B) e_i$
- $s = r + 1, s = t$: $e_k B e_j \times e_j D(B) e_i \longrightarrow e_k D(B) e_i$
- otherwise: composition is zero

Repetitive category

Definition 2.1

A group G of K -linear automorphisms of \widehat{B} is said to be **admissible** if G acts freely on the objects of \widehat{B} ($gx = x \Rightarrow g = 1$ for $x \in \text{ob } \widehat{B}, g \in G$) and has finitely many orbits.

Definition 2.2

Orbit category \widehat{B}/G is defined as follows:

- $\text{ob}(\widehat{B}/G) = (\text{ob } \widehat{B})/G$
- $(\widehat{B}/G)(a, b) = \left\{ (f_{y,x}) \in \prod_{(x,y) \in a \times b} \widehat{B}(x, y) \mid gf_{y,x} = f_{gy, gx}, \forall g \in G, (x, y) \in a \times b \right\}$
 for all objects a, b of \widehat{B}/G .

Repetitive category

Definition 2.1

A group G of K -linear automorphisms of \widehat{B} is said to be **admissible** if G acts freely on the objects of \widehat{B} ($gx = x \Rightarrow g = 1$ for $x \in \text{ob } \widehat{B}, g \in G$) and has finitely many orbits.

Definition 2.2

Orbit category \widehat{B}/G is defined as follows:

- $\text{ob}(\widehat{B}/G) = (\text{ob } \widehat{B})/G$
- $(\widehat{B}/G)(a, b) =$
 $= \left\{ (f_{y,x}) \in \prod_{(x,y) \in a \times b} \widehat{B}(x, y) \mid gf_{y,x} = f_{gy, gx}, \forall g \in G, (x,y) \in a \times b \right\}$
 for all objects a, b of \widehat{B}/G .

Repetitive category

\widehat{B} is selfinjective
 locally bounded
 K -category, G
 admissible group of
 K -linear
 automorphisms of \widehat{B}

\implies

orbit category \widehat{B}/G is
 selfinjective bounded
 K -category

\implies

$\bigoplus(\widehat{B}/G) :=$
 $= \bigoplus_{x,y \in \text{ob } \widehat{B}} \widehat{B}/G(x,y)$
 is finite dimensional
 basic indecomposable
 selfinjective
 K -algebra

K -algebra $\bigoplus(\widehat{B}/G)$ is called the *orbit algebra* of \widehat{B} with respect to G

$$\widehat{B}/G \equiv \bigoplus(\widehat{B}/G)$$

Repetitive category

\widehat{B} is selfinjective
 locally bounded
 K -category, G
 admissible group of
 K -linear
 automorphisms of \widehat{B}

\implies

orbit category \widehat{B}/G is
 selfinjective bounded
 K -category

\implies

$\bigoplus(\widehat{B}/G) :=$
 $= \bigoplus_{x,y \in \text{ob } \widehat{B}} \widehat{B}/G(x,y)$
 is finite dimensional
 basic indecomposable
 selfinjective
 K -algebra

K -algebra $\bigoplus(\widehat{B}/G)$ is called the **orbit algebra** of \widehat{B} with respect to G

$$\widehat{B}/G \equiv \bigoplus(\widehat{B}/G)$$

Repetitive category

\widehat{B} is selfinjective
locally bounded
 K -category, G
admissible group of
 K -linear
automorphisms of \widehat{B}

 \implies

orbit category \widehat{B}/G is
selfinjective bounded
 K -category

 \implies

$\bigoplus(\widehat{B}/G) :=$
 $= \bigoplus_{x,y \in \text{ob } \widehat{B}} \widehat{B}/G(x,y)$
is finite dimensional
basic indecomposable
selfinjective
 K -algebra

K -algebra $\bigoplus(\widehat{B}/G)$ is called the **orbit algebra** of \widehat{B} with respect to G

$$\widehat{B}/G \equiv \bigoplus(\widehat{B}/G)$$

Automorphisms of \widehat{B}

An automorphism φ of the K -category \widehat{B} is said to be:

Definition 2.3

positive if for each pair $(m, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have $\varphi(e_{m,i}) = e_{p,j}$ for some $p \geq m$ and some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 2.4

rigid if for each pair $(m, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\varphi(e_{m,i}) = e_{m,j}$.

Definition 2.5

strictly positive if it is positive but not rigid.

Automorphisms of \widehat{B}

An automorphism φ of the K -category \widehat{B} is said to be:

Definition 2.3

positive if for each pair $(m, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have $\varphi(e_{m,i}) = e_{p,j}$ for some $p \geq m$ and some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 2.4

rigid if for each pair $(m, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\varphi(e_{m,i}) = e_{m,j}$.

Definition 2.5

strictly positive if it is positive but not rigid.

Automorphisms of \widehat{B}

An automorphism φ of the K -category \widehat{B} is said to be:

Definition 2.3

positive if for each pair $(m, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have $\varphi(e_{m,i}) = e_{p,j}$ for some $p \geq m$ and some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 2.4

rigid if for each pair $(m, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\varphi(e_{m,i}) = e_{m,j}$.

Definition 2.5

strictly positive if it is positive but not rigid.

Automorphisms of \widehat{B}

An automorphism φ of the K -category \widehat{B} is said to be:

Definition 2.3

positive if for each pair $(m, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have $\varphi(e_{m,i}) = e_{p,j}$ for some $p \geq m$ and some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 2.4

rigid if for each pair $(m, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\varphi(e_{m,i}) = e_{m,j}$.

Definition 2.5

strictly positive if it is positive but not rigid.

Automorphisms of \widehat{B}

Definition 2.6

Nakayama automorphism of \widehat{B} is an automorphism $\nu_{\widehat{B}}$ of \widehat{B} defined by

$$\nu_{\widehat{B}}(e_{m,i}) = e_{m+1,i}$$

for all $(m, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$.

- Automorphisms $\nu_{\widehat{B}}^r$, $r \geq 1$, are strictly positive automorphisms of \widehat{B} .
- Infinite cyclic group $(\nu_{\widehat{B}}^r)$ generated by the r -th power $\nu_{\widehat{B}}^r$ of $\nu_{\widehat{B}}$ is an admissible group of automorphisms of \widehat{B} , $r \geq 1$.

Automorphisms of \widehat{B}

Definition 2.6

Nakayama automorphism of \widehat{B} is an automorphism $\nu_{\widehat{B}}$ of \widehat{B} defined by

$$\nu_{\widehat{B}}(e_{m,i}) = e_{m+1,i}$$

for all $(m, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$.

- Automorphisms $\nu_{\widehat{B}}^r$, $r \geq 1$, are strictly positive automorphisms of \widehat{B} .
- Infinite cyclic group $(\nu_{\widehat{B}}^r)$ generated by the r -th power $\nu_{\widehat{B}}^r$ of $\nu_{\widehat{B}}$ is an admissible group of automorphisms of \widehat{B} , $r \geq 1$.

Automorphisms of \widehat{B}

Definition 2.6

Nakayama automorphism of \widehat{B} is an automorphism $\nu_{\widehat{B}}$ of \widehat{B} defined by

$$\nu_{\widehat{B}}(e_{m,i}) = e_{m+1,i}$$

for all $(m, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$.

- Automorphisms $\nu_{\widehat{B}}^r$, $r \geq 1$, are strictly positive automorphisms of \widehat{B} .
- Infinite cyclic group $(\nu_{\widehat{B}}^r)$ generated by the r -th power $\nu_{\widehat{B}}^r$ of $\nu_{\widehat{B}}$ is an admissible group of automorphisms of \widehat{B} , $r \geq 1$.

Automorphisms of \widehat{B}

We have the associated selfinjective orbit algebra

$$T(B)^{(r)} = \widehat{B}/(\nu_{\widehat{B}}^r) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} b_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ f_2 & b_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f_3 & b_3 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & f_{r-1} & b_{r-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & f_1 & b_1 \end{array} \right] \\ b_1, \dots, b_{r-1} \in B, f_1, \dots, f_{r-1} \in D(B) \end{array} \right\}$$

called the ***r-fold trivial extension algebra*** of B .

In particular, $T(B)^{(1)} \cong T(B) = B \ltimes D(B)$ is the **trivial extension** of B by the injective cogenerator $D(B)$.

Tilted algebras

H hereditary algebra, Q_H valued quiver of H

Definition 2.7

A module T in $\text{mod } H$ is called *tilting module* if

- $\text{Ext}_H^1(T, T) = 0$;
- T is a direct sum of n pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable modules, where $n = \text{rk } K_0(H)$.

Then the endomorphism algebra $B = \text{End}_H(T)$ is called a *tilted algebra of type Q_H* .

Remark: All modules $\text{Hom}_H(T, I)$ in $\text{mod } B$, where I is an indecomposable injective module in $\text{mod } H$, form a section Δ_T of the connecting component \mathcal{C}_T of Γ_B . Moreover, $\Delta_T \cong Q_H^{\text{op}}$.

Tilted algebras

H hereditary algebra, Q_H valued quiver of H

Definition 2.7

A module T in $\text{mod } H$ is called **tilting module** if

- $\text{Ext}_H^1(T, T) = 0$;
- T is a direct sum of n pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable modules, where $n = \text{rk } K_0(H)$.

Then the endomorphism algebra $B = \text{End}_H(T)$ is called a **tilted algebra of type Q_H** .

Remark: All modules $\text{Hom}_H(T, I)$ in $\text{mod } B$, where I is an indecomposable injective module in $\text{mod } H$, form a section Δ_T of the connecting component \mathcal{C}_T of Γ_B . Moreover, $\Delta_T \cong Q_H^{\text{op}}$.

Tilted algebras

H hereditary algebra, Q_H valued quiver of H

Definition 2.7

A module T in $\text{mod } H$ is called **tilting module** if

- $\text{Ext}_H^1(T, T) = 0$;
- T is a direct sum of n pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable modules, where $n = \text{rk } K_0(H)$.

Then the endomorphism algebra $B = \text{End}_H(T)$ is called a **tilted algebra of type Q_H** .

Remark: All modules $\text{Hom}_H(T, I)$ in $\text{mod } B$, where I is an indecomposable injective module in $\text{mod } H$, form a section Δ_T of the connecting component \mathcal{C}_T of Γ_B . Moreover, $\Delta_T \cong Q_H^{\text{op}}$.

Tilted algebras

H hereditary algebra of Dynkin type (i.e. Q_H is a Dynkin quiver $\Leftrightarrow H$ is of finite representation type)

T tilting module in $\text{mod } H$

Definition 2.8

Endomorphism algebra $B = \text{End}_H(T)$ is called a *tilted algebra of Dynkin type*.

- B is algebra of finite representation type
- $\mathcal{C}_T = \Gamma_B$

Tilted algebras

H hereditary algebra of Dynkin type (i.e. Q_H is a Dynkin quiver $\Leftrightarrow H$ is of finite representation type)

T tilting module in $\text{mod } H$

Definition 2.8

Endomorphism algebra $B = \text{End}_H(T)$ is called a **tilted algebra of Dynkin type**.

- B is algebra of finite representation type
- $\mathcal{C}_T = \Gamma_B$

Tilted algebras

H hereditary algebra of Dynkin type (i.e. Q_H is a Dynkin quiver $\Leftrightarrow H$ is of finite representation type)

T tilting module in $\text{mod } H$

Definition 2.8

Endomorphism algebra $B = \text{End}_H(T)$ is called a **tilted algebra of Dynkin type**.

- B is algebra of finite representation type
- $\mathcal{C}_T = \Gamma_B$

Sectional module

A nonsimple selfinjective algebra of finite representation type
 $\Gamma_A^s \cong \mathbb{Z}\Delta/G$, where Δ is a Dynkin quiver and G is an infinite cyclic group of automorphisms of the translation quiver $\mathbb{Z}\Delta$ (by the Riedtmann-Todorov theorem)

A selfinjective algebra of finite representation type

\rightsquigarrow

$\Delta(A)$ Dynkin graph

$$\Delta(A) = \bar{\Delta}$$

$\Delta(A)$ is called *Dynkin type* of A

Sectional module

A nonsimple selfinjective algebra of finite representation type

$\Gamma_A^s \cong \mathbb{Z}\Delta/G$, where Δ is a Dynkin quiver and G is an infinite cyclic group of automorphisms of the translation quiver $\mathbb{Z}\Delta$ (by the Riedtmann-Todorov theorem)

A selfinjective algebra of finite representation type

\rightsquigarrow

$\Delta(A)$ Dynkin graph

$$\Delta(A) = \bar{\Delta}$$

$\Delta(A)$ is called *Dynkin type* of A

Sectional module

A nonsimple selfinjective algebra of finite representation type
 $\Gamma_A^s \cong \mathbb{Z}\Delta/G$, where Δ is a Dynkin quiver and G is an infinite cyclic group of automorphisms of the translation quiver $\mathbb{Z}\Delta$ (by the Riedtmann-Todorov theorem)

A selfinjective algebra of finite representation type

\rightsquigarrow

$\Delta(A)$ Dynkin graph

$$\Delta(A) = \bar{\Delta}$$

$\Delta(A)$ is called *Dynkin type* of A

Sectional module

A nonsimple selfinjective algebra of finite representation type
 $\Gamma_A^s \cong \mathbb{Z}\Delta/G$, where Δ is a Dynkin quiver and G is an infinite cyclic group of automorphisms of the translation quiver $\mathbb{Z}\Delta$ (by the Riedtmann-Todorov theorem)

A selfinjective algebra of finite representation type

\rightsquigarrow

$\Delta(A)$ Dynkin graph

$$\Delta(A) = \bar{\Delta}$$

$\Delta(A)$ is called *Dynkin type* of A

Sectional module

A nonsimple selfinjective algebra of finite representation type
 $\Gamma_A^s \cong \mathbb{Z}\Delta/G$, where Δ is a Dynkin quiver and G is an infinite cyclic group of automorphisms of the translation quiver $\mathbb{Z}\Delta$ (by the Riedtmann-Todorov theorem)

A selfinjective algebra of finite representation type

\rightsquigarrow

$\Delta(A)$ Dynkin graph

$$\Delta(A) = \bar{\Delta}$$

$\Delta(A)$ is called **Dynkin type** of A

Sectional module

Definition 2.9

- A module M in $\text{mod } A$ is called **sectional** if M is a direct sum of pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable nonprojective modules forming a connected full valued subquiver Δ of Γ_A^s , with $\Delta(A)$ as underlying graph.
- Sectional module M in $\text{mod } A$ is said to be **pure** if no direct summand of M is the radical of a projective module in $\text{mod } A$.

Main THEOREM

Theorem

Let A be a nonsimple finite dimensional basic indecomposable selfinjective algebra of finite representation type over a field K . The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $\text{mod } A$ admits a pure sectional module M which is not the middle of a short chain.
- (ii) A is isomorphic to a selfinjective orbit algebra $\widehat{B}/(\rho\nu_{\widehat{B}}^2)$, where B is a tilted algebra of the form $B = \text{End}_H(T)$ with H a hereditary algebra of Dynkin type and T is a tilting module in $\text{mod } H$ without indecomposable projective direct summands, and ρ is a positive automorphism of \widehat{B} .

Theorem 1.

Theorem 1.

Let B be a tilted algebra $\text{End}_H(T)$ of Dynkin type, Δ_T the canonical section of Γ_B given by the images $\text{Hom}_H(T, I)$ of indecomposable injective H -modules I via the functor $\text{Hom}_H(T, -): \text{mod } H \rightarrow \text{mod } B$, and M_T the direct sum of indecomposable B -modules lying on Δ_T . Moreover, let φ be a strictly positive automorphism of \widehat{B} , $A = \widehat{B}/(\varphi)$, and $F_\lambda^\varphi: \text{mod } \widehat{B} \rightarrow \text{mod } A$ the associated push-down functor. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $F_\lambda^\varphi(M_T)$ is not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } A$.
- (ii) $\varphi = \rho\nu_{\widehat{B}}^2$ for a positive automorphism ρ of \widehat{B} .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i)
$$M := F_{\lambda}^{\varphi}(M_T), \text{ where } M_T = \text{Hom}_H(T, D(H)).$$

Annihilators

A selfinjective algebra

Definition 6.1

$X \subseteq A$

- $l_A(X) = \{a \in A \mid aX = 0\}$ *left annihilator* of X in A
- $r_A(X) = \{a \in A \mid Xa = 0\}$ *right annihilator* of X in A

Annihilators

A selfinjective algebra

Definition 6.1

$X \subseteq A$

- $l_A(X) = \{a \in A \mid aX = 0\}$ **left annihilator** of X in A
- $r_A(X) = \{a \in A \mid Xa = 0\}$ **right annihilator** of X in A

Annihilators

I ideal of A , $B = A/I$

e idempotent of A such that $e + I$ is the identity of B

$(1_A = e_1 + \cdots + e_n + e_{n+1} + \cdots + e_r$

e_1, \dots, e_r pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents of A ,

$e = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$, $e_1, \dots, e_n \notin I$, $e_{n+1}, \dots, e_r \in I$)

- e is uniquely determined by I (up to an inner automorphism of A)
- e is called a *residual identity* of $B = A/I$
- $B \cong eAe/eIe$

Annihilators

I ideal of A , $B = A/I$

e idempotent of A such that $e + I$ is the identity of B

$(1_A = e_1 + \cdots + e_n + e_{n+1} + \cdots + e_r$

e_1, \dots, e_r pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents of A ,

$e = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$, $e_1, \dots, e_n \notin I$, $e_{n+1}, \dots, e_r \in I$)

- e is uniquely determined by I (up to an inner automorphism of A)
- e is called a *residual identity* of $B = A/I$
- $B \cong eAe/eIe$

Annihilators

I ideal of A , $B = A/I$

e idempotent of A such that $e + I$ is the identity of B

$(1_A = e_1 + \cdots + e_n + e_{n+1} + \cdots + e_r$

e_1, \dots, e_r pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents of A ,

$e = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$, $e_1, \dots, e_n \notin I$, $e_{n+1}, \dots, e_r \in I$)

- e is uniquely determined by I (up to an inner automorphism of A)
- e is called a *residual identity* of $B = A/I$
- $B \cong eAe/eIe$

Annihilators

I ideal of A , $B = A/I$

e idempotent of A such that $e + I$ is the identity of B

$(1_A = e_1 + \cdots + e_n + e_{n+1} + \cdots + e_r$

e_1, \dots, e_r pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents of A ,

$e = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$, $e_1, \dots, e_n \notin I$, $e_{n+1}, \dots, e_r \in I$)

- e is uniquely determined by I (up to an inner automorphism of A)
- e is called a **residual identity** of $B = A/I$
- $B \cong eAe/eIe$

Deforming ideals

A selfinjective algebra, I ideal of A , e residual identity of A/I

Definition 6.2

I is said to be a *deforming ideal* of A if the following conditions are satisfied:

- $l_{eAe}(I) = eIe = r_{eAe}(I)$;
- the valued quiver $Q_{A/I}$ of A/I is acyclic.

Deforming ideals

A selfinjective algebra, I ideal of A , e residual identity of A/I

Definition 6.2

I is said to be a **deforming ideal** of A if the following conditions are satisfied:

- $l_{eAe}(I) = eIe = r_{eAe}(I)$;
- the valued quiver $Q_{A/I}$ of A/I is acyclic.

Algebra $A[[I]]$

I deforming ideal of A

$$eAe/eIe \xrightarrow{\sim} A/I$$

I is $(eAe/eIe) - (eAe/eIe)$ -bimodule

$A[[I]]$:

- $A[[I]] = (eAe/eIe) \oplus I$
- $(b, x) \cdot (c, y) = (bc, by + xc + xy)$,
for $b, c \in eAe/eIe$ and $x, y \in I$
- $1_{A[[I]]} = (e + eIe, 1_A - e)$

$A[[I]]$ is K -algebra

Algebra $A[I]$

I deforming ideal of A

$$eAe/eIe \xrightarrow{\sim} A/I$$

I is $(eAe/eIe) - (eAe/eIe)$ -bimodule

$A[I]$:

- $A[I] = (eAe/eIe) \oplus I$
- $(b, x) \cdot (c, y) = (bc, by + xc + xy)$,
for $b, c \in eAe/eIe$ and $x, y \in I$
- $1_{A[I]} = (e + eIe, 1_A - e)$

$A[I]$ is K -algebra

Algebra $A[[I]]$

I deforming ideal of A

$$eAe/eIe \xrightarrow{\sim} A/I$$

I is $(eAe/eIe) - (eAe/eIe)$ -bimodule

$A[[I]]$:

- $A[[I]] = (eAe/eIe) \oplus I$
- $(b, x) \cdot (c, y) = (bc, by + xc + xy)$,
for $b, c \in eAe/eIe$ and $x, y \in I$
- $1_{A[[I]]} = (e + eIe, 1_A - e)$

$A[[I]]$ is K -algebra

Algebra $A[[I]]$

I deforming ideal of A

$$eAe/eIe \xrightarrow{\sim} A/I$$

I is $(eAe/eIe) - (eAe/eIe)$ -bimodule

$A[[I]]$:

- $A[[I]] = (eAe/eIe) \oplus I$
- $(b, x) \cdot (c, y) = (bc, by + xc + xy)$,
for $b, c \in eAe/eIe$ and $x, y \in I$
- $1_{A[[I]]} = (e + eIe, 1_A - e)$

$A[[I]]$ is K -algebra

Algebra $A[[I]]$

I deforming ideal of A

$$eAe/eIe \xrightarrow{\sim} A/I$$

I is $(eAe/eIe) - (eAe/eIe)$ -bimodule

$A[[I]]$:

- $A[[I]] = (eAe/eIe) \oplus I$
- $(b, x) \cdot (c, y) = (bc, by + xc + xy)$,
for $b, c \in eAe/eIe$ and $x, y \in I$
- $1_{A[[I]]} = (e + eIe, 1_A - e)$

$A[[I]]$ is K -algebra

Algebra $A[I]$

Theorem 2. (Skowroński-Yamagata)

Let A be a selfinjective algebra and I a deforming ideal of A . The following statements hold.

- (i) $A[I]$ is a selfinjective algebra with the same Nakayama permutation as A and I is a deforming ideal of $A[I]$.
- (ii) A and $A[I]$ are socle equivalent.
- (iii) A and $A[I]$ are stably equivalent.

Algebra $A[I]$

Theorem 3. (Skowroński-Yamagata)

Let A be a selfinjective algebra, I an ideal of A , $B = A/I$ and e an idempotent of A . Assume that $r_A(I) = eI$ and Q_B is acyclic. The following statements hold.

- (i) $A[I]$ is isomorphic to an orbit algebra $\widehat{B}/(\varphi\nu_{\widehat{B}})$ for some positive automorphism φ of \widehat{B} .
- (ii) Moreover, if $e_i \neq e_{\nu(i)}$, for any primitive summand e_i of e and ν the Nakayama permutation of A then the algebras A and $A[I]$ are isomorphic.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Let

- M be a pure sectional A -module which is not the middle of a short chain
- Δ be a full valued subquiver of Γ_A^s given by the indecomposable direct summands of M
- $I = r_A(M)$, $B = A/I$
- $H = \text{End}_A(M) = \text{End}_B(M)$

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Let

- M be a pure sectional A -module which is not the middle of a short chain
- Δ be a full valued subquiver of Γ_A^s given by the indecomposable direct summands of M
- $I = r_A(M)$, $B = A/I$
- $H = \text{End}_A(M) = \text{End}_B(M)$

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Let

- M be a pure sectional A -module which is not the middle of a short chain
- Δ be a full valued subquiver of Γ_A^s given by the indecomposable direct summands of M
- $I = r_A(M)$, $B = A/I$
- $H = \text{End}_A(M) = \text{End}_B(M)$

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Let

- M be a pure sectional A -module which is not the middle of a short chain
- Δ be a full valued subquiver of Γ_A^s given by the indecomposable direct summands of M
- $I = r_A(M)$, $B = A/I$
- $H = \text{End}_A(M) = \text{End}_B(M)$

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Then

- $\Gamma_A^s \cong \mathbb{Z}\Delta/G$ and Δ is a Dynkin quiver, $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta(A)$
- M is right B -module which is not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } B \Rightarrow H$ is a hereditary algebra of Dynkin type with $Q_H = \Delta^{\text{op}}$
- M is a faithful (\Rightarrow sincere) right B -module which is not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } B \Rightarrow B$ is a tilted algebra and M is a tilting B -module
- $T := D(M)$ is a tilting H -module, $B \cong \text{End}_H(T)$,
 $M \cong \text{Hom}_H(T, D(H)) =: M_T$
 (in particular, indecomposable direct summands of M form the canonical section $\Delta_T = \Delta$ of the connecting component $\mathcal{C}_T = \Gamma_B$)

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Then

- $\Gamma_A^s \cong \mathbb{Z}\Delta/G$ and Δ is a Dynkin quiver, $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta(A)$
- M is right B -module which is not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } B \Rightarrow H$ is a hereditary algebra of Dynkin type with $Q_H = \Delta^{\text{op}}$
- M is a faithful (\Rightarrow sincere) right B -module which is not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } B \Rightarrow B$ is a tilted algebra and M is a tilting B -module
- $T := D(M)$ is a tilting H -module, $B \cong \text{End}_H(T)$,
 $M \cong \text{Hom}_H(T, D(H)) =: M_T$
 (in particular, indecomposable direct summands of M form the canonical section $\Delta_T = \Delta$ of the connecting component $\mathcal{C}_T = \Gamma_B$)

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Then

- $\Gamma_A^s \cong \mathbb{Z}\Delta/G$ and Δ is a Dynkin quiver, $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta(A)$
- M is right B -module which is not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } B \Rightarrow H$ is a hereditary algebra of Dynkin type with $Q_H = \Delta^{\text{op}}$
- M is a faithful (\Rightarrow sincere) right B -module which is not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } B \Rightarrow B$ is a tilted algebra and M is a tilting B -module
- $T := D(M)$ is a tilting H -module, $B \cong \text{End}_H(T)$,
 $M \cong \text{Hom}_H(T, D(H)) =: M_T$

(in particular, indecomposable direct summands of M form the canonical section $\Delta_T = \Delta$ of the connecting component $\mathcal{C}_T = \Gamma_B$)

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Then

- $\Gamma_A^s \cong \mathbb{Z}\Delta/G$ and Δ is a Dynkin quiver, $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta(A)$
- M is right B -module which is not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } B \Rightarrow H$ is a hereditary algebra of Dynkin type with $Q_H = \Delta^{\text{op}}$
- M is a faithful (\Rightarrow sincere) right B -module which is not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } B \Rightarrow B$ is a tilted algebra and M is a tilting B -module
- $T := D(M)$ is a tilting H -module, $B \cong \text{End}_H(T)$,
 $M \cong \text{Hom}_H(T, D(H)) =: M_T$

(in particular, indecomposable direct summands of M form the canonical section $\Delta_T = \Delta$ of the connecting component $\mathcal{C}_T = \Gamma_B$)

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Then

- $\Gamma_A^s \cong \mathbb{Z}\Delta/G$ and Δ is a Dynkin quiver, $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta(A)$
- M is right B -module which is not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } B \Rightarrow H$ is a hereditary algebra of Dynkin type with $Q_H = \Delta^{\text{op}}$
- M is a faithful (\Rightarrow sincere) right B -module which is not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } B \Rightarrow B$ is a tilted algebra and M is a tilting B -module
- $T := D(M)$ is a tilting H -module, $B \cong \text{End}_H(T)$,
 $M \cong \text{Hom}_H(T, D(H)) =: M_T$
 (in particular, indecomposable direct summands of M form the canonical section $\Delta_T = \Delta$ of the connecting component $\mathcal{C}_T = \Gamma_B$)

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Moreover

- T has no indecomposable projective direct summand
- I is an ideal of A satisfying $r_A(I) = eI$ for some idempotent $e \in A$
- Q_B is acyclic

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Moreover

- T has no indecomposable projective direct summand
- I is an ideal of A satisfying $r_A(I) = eI$ for some idempotent $e \in A$
- Q_B is acyclic

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Moreover

- T has no indecomposable projective direct summand
- I is an ideal of A satisfying $r_A(I) = eI$ for some idempotent $e \in A$
- Q_B is acyclic

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

- 1 A is socle equivalent to $A[I]$.
- 2 A is stably equivalent to $A[I]$.
- 3 $A[I]$ is a selfinjective algebra with the same Nakayama permutation as A and I is a deforming ideal of $A[I]$.
- 4 $A[I]$ is isomorphic to a selfinjective orbit algebra $\widehat{B}/(\varphi\nu_{\widehat{B}})$ for some positive automorphism φ of \widehat{B} .

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

- 1 A is socle equivalent to $A[I]$.
- 2 A is stably equivalent to $A[I]$.
- 3 $A[I]$ is a selfinjective algebra with the same Nakayama permutation as A and I is a deforming ideal of $A[I]$.
- 4 $A[I]$ is isomorphic to a selfinjective orbit algebra $\widehat{B}/(\varphi\nu_{\widehat{B}})$ for some positive automorphism φ of \widehat{B} .

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

- 1 A is socle equivalent to $A[I]$.
- 2 A is stably equivalent to $A[I]$.
- 3 $A[I]$ is a selfinjective algebra with the same Nakayama permutation as A and I is a deforming ideal of $A[I]$.
- 4 $A[I]$ is isomorphic to a selfinjective orbit algebra $\widehat{B}/(\varphi\nu_{\widehat{B}})$ for some positive automorphism φ of \widehat{B} .

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

- 1 A is socle equivalent to $A[I]$.
- 2 A is stably equivalent to $A[I]$.
- 3 $A[I]$ is a selfinjective algebra with the same Nakayama permutation as A and I is a deforming ideal of $A[I]$.
- 4 $A[I]$ is isomorphic to a selfinjective orbit algebra $\widehat{B}/(\varphi\nu_{\widehat{B}})$ for some positive automorphism φ of \widehat{B} .

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

- M is a pure sectional module not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } A \implies M = F_\lambda^\varphi(M_T)$ is a pure sectional module not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } A[I]$
- $A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\varphi\nu_{\widehat{B}}) \implies A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\rho\nu_{\widehat{B}}^2)$ (by Theorem 1.)
- $A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\rho\nu_{\widehat{B}}^2) \implies e_i \neq e_{\nu(i)}$ for any primitive direct summand e_i of the common residual identity e of $A/I \cong A[I]/I$ (by Theorem 2.)
- $A \cong A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\rho\nu_{\widehat{B}}^2)$ (by Theorem 3.)

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

- M is a pure sectional module not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } A \implies M = F_\lambda^\varphi(M_T)$ is a pure sectional module not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } A[I]$
- $A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\varphi\nu_{\widehat{B}}) \implies A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\rho\nu_{\widehat{B}}^2)$ (by Theorem 1.)
- $A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\rho\nu_{\widehat{B}}^2) \implies e_i \neq e_{\nu(i)}$ for any primitive direct summand e_i of the common residual identity e of $A/I \cong A[I]/I$ (by Theorem 2.)
- $A \cong A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\rho\nu_{\widehat{B}}^2)$ (by Theorem 3.)

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

- M is a pure sectional module not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } A \implies M = F_\lambda^\varphi(M_T)$ is a pure sectional module not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } A[I]$
- $A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\varphi\nu_{\widehat{B}}) \implies A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\rho\nu_{\widehat{B}}^2)$ (by Theorem 1.)
- $A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\rho\nu_{\widehat{B}}^2) \implies e_i \neq e_{\nu(i)}$ for any primitive direct summand e_i of the common residual identity e of $A/I \cong A[I]/I$ (by Theorem 2.)
- $A \cong A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\rho\nu_{\widehat{B}}^2)$ (by Theorem 3.)

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

- M is a pure sectional module not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } A \implies M = F_\lambda^\varphi(M_T)$ is a pure sectional module not the middle of a short chain in $\text{mod } A[I]$
- $A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\varphi\nu_{\widehat{B}}) \implies A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\rho\nu_{\widehat{B}}^2)$ (by Theorem 1.)
- $A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\rho\nu_{\widehat{B}}^2) \implies e_i \neq e_{\nu(i)}$ for any primitive direct summand e_i of the common residual identity e of $A/I \cong A[I]/I$ (by Theorem 2.)
- $A \cong A[I] \cong \widehat{B}/(\rho\nu_{\widehat{B}}^2)$ (by Theorem 3.)