

Semi-tilting modules and mutation

Hiroataka Koga

University of Tsukuba

Contents

- Introduction
- Semi-tilting modules
- Mutation

Introduction

R : a commutative complete local noetherian ring.

A : a module-finite R -algebra.

$\text{mod-}A$: the category of finitely generated A -modules.

$\text{add}(T)$: the full subcategory of $\text{mod-}A$ consisting of all direct summands of finite direct sums of copies of $T \in \text{mod-}A$.

$\text{gen}(T)$: the full subcategory of $\text{mod-}A$ consisting of modules which are epimorphic images of modules in $\text{add}(T)$.

Definition 1 (Wakamatsu, 1990). $T \in \text{mod-}A$:
a Wakamatsu tilting module $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$

- (1) $\text{Ext}_A^i(T, T) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.
- (2) $\exists A \rightarrow T^\bullet$: a right resolution in $\text{mod-}A$ with
 $T^i \in \text{add}(T)$ and $\text{Ext}_A^j(Z^i(T^\bullet), T) = 0$ for all i
and $j \neq 0$.

Definition 2 (Miyashita, 1986). $T \in \text{mod-}A$: a tilting module $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$

T : a Wakamatsu tilting module and $\text{proj dim } T_A = \text{proj dim }_{\text{End}_A(T)} T < \infty$.

Example 3.(1) A : a tilting module.

(2) $R = k$: a field,

$$\text{inj dim } {}_A A = \text{inj dim } A_A = n < \infty$$

$\Rightarrow DA := \text{Hom}_k(A, k) \in \text{mod-}A$: a tilting module with $\text{proj dim } DA_A = n$.

Wakamatsu tilting conjecture

$\forall T \in \text{mod-}A$: a Wakamatsu tilting module,

$$\text{proj dim } T_A = \text{proj dim }_{\text{End}_A(T)} T.$$

Remark 4. $T \in \text{mod-}A$: a Wakamatsu tilting module. If $\text{proj dim } T_A, \text{proj dim }_{\text{End}_A(T)} T < \infty$, then T is a tilting module.

Semi-tilting modules

Definition 5. $T \in \text{mod-}A$: a semi-tilting module
 $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$

- (1) $\text{Ext}_A^i(T, T) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.
- (2) $\exists 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow T^0 \rightarrow T^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T^m \rightarrow 0$: exact
in $\text{mod-}A$ with $T^i \in \text{add}(T)$ for all i .

Note that ${}_{\text{End}_A(T)}T$ is a Wakamatsu tilting module of finite projective dimension.

$T \in \text{mod-}A$: a semi-tilting module, $B = \text{End}_A(T)$.

$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow T^0 \rightarrow T^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T^m \rightarrow 0$: a

minimal right resolution in $\text{mod-}A$ with

$T^i \in \text{add}(T)$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$.

Remark 6.(1) $\text{Ext}_A^i(T, A) = 0$ for $i > m$ and

$\text{Ext}_A^m(T, A) \neq 0$.

(2) If $P^\bullet \rightarrow T$ is a projective resolution in $\text{mod-}A$,

then $\bigoplus_{i=0}^m P^{-i} \in \mathcal{P}_A$ is a projective generator.

Remark 7. $\text{add}(T^0) \cap \text{add}(T^m) = \{0\}$ unless $m = 0$.

Remark 8. If $T \cong \bigoplus^n X$ with $X \in \text{mod-}A$ indecomposable, then T is projective.

Proposition 9. *Every non-projective semi-tilting module $T \in \text{mod-}A$ admits a decomposition $T = U \oplus X$ with $X \in \text{gen}(U)$ indecomposable.*

Sketch of the proof

In case $m = 0$, $X \in \text{add}(T)$: non-projective indecomposable.

In case $m > 0$, $X \in \text{add}(T^m)$: indecomposable.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & T^{m-1} & \longrightarrow & T^m & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \pi & & \\ & & E \oplus (\oplus^n X) & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where $E \in \text{add}(U)$.

$\Rightarrow X \in \text{gen}(U)$.

Mutation

$T = U \oplus X \in \text{mod-}A$: a basic semi-tilting module with X indecomposable.

Lemma 10. $X \in \text{add}(T^0) \Rightarrow X \notin \text{gen}(U)$.

Theorem 11. *Assume that $X \in \text{gen}(U)$. Then there exists an exact sequence in $\text{mod-}A$*

$$0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow E \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$$

with $E \in \text{add}(U)$, Y indecomposable and $U \oplus Y$ a semi-tilting module.

Sketch of the proof

Taking a minimal right $\text{add}(U)$ -approximation $\varepsilon : E \rightarrow X$, we have an exact sequence in $\text{mod-}A$

$$0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow E \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$$

with Y indecomposable and $T' = U \oplus Y$ selforthogonal.

It is enough to show that

$\exists 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow T'^0 \rightarrow T'^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T'^k \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod-}A$ with $T'^i \in \text{add}(T')$ for all i .

Set $i = \inf\{j \mid X \in \text{add}(T^j)\} > 0$. We may assume $T^{i-1} \in \text{add}(U)$. We have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & T^{i-2} & \longrightarrow & T^{i-1} & \longrightarrow & E' \oplus (\bigoplus^n X) \longrightarrow \dots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus^n Y & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus^n E & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus^n X \longrightarrow 0,
 \end{array}$$

where $E' \in \text{add}(U)$ and π is the projection.

Taking the mapping cone, we have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & T'^{i-1} & \longrightarrow & E'' & \longrightarrow & T^{i+1} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & T'^{i-1} & \longrightarrow & E'' \oplus (\oplus^n X) & \longrightarrow & T^{i+1} \oplus (\oplus^n X) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 & & 0 & \longrightarrow & \oplus^n X & \xlongequal{\quad} & \oplus^n X & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

where $T'^{i-1} \cong T^{i-1} \oplus (\oplus^n Y)$ and

$E'' \cong E' \oplus (\oplus^n E)$. By induction we obtain the desired sequence.

Definition 12. If $X \in \text{gen}(U)$, then $\mu_X(T) := U \oplus Y$ in Theorem 11.

Remark 13. Let $T \cong U' \oplus X'$ with X' indecomposable. If $X \in \text{gen}(U)$ and $X' \in \text{gen}(U')$ then $X \cong X'$ if and only if $\mu_X(T) \cong \mu_{X'}(T)$.

Lemma 14. *Assume that $X \in \text{gen}(U)$. Then $\mu_X(T)$ is a tilting module if and only if so is T .*

We will define a quiver K as follows:

Vertices : Isomorphism classes of basic semi-tilting modules.

Arrows : $V \rightarrow W \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} W = [T']$ and $V = [\mu_{X'}(T')]$.

Proposition 15 (Riedtmann-Schofield, 1991).

The quiver K contains no oriented cycles.

Theorem 16. *If the connected component of K including T contains a tilting module then T itself is a tilting module.*

R : a Cohen-Macaulay ring, A : a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module,

T : a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module.

$\mathcal{L}({}^\perp T) := \{ M \in \text{mod-}A \mid \text{Ext}_A^i(M, T) = 0 \ \forall i \neq 0 \text{ and } M : \text{a maximal Cohen-Macaulay } R\text{-module} \}$.

Corollary 17. *Assume that $\mathcal{L}({}^\perp T)$ contains only a finite number of non-isomorphic indecomposable modules. Then T is a tilting module.*