

# Representation type and Auslander-Reiten theory of Frobenius-Lusztig kernels

Julian Külshammer

University of Kiel, Germany

08.2012



Denote by:

- ▶  $k$  an algebraically closed field (of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ )



Denote by:

- ▶  $k$  an algebraically closed field (of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ )
- ▶ Fix a Dynkin diagram  $\mathbb{A}_n, \dots, \mathbb{G}_2$



Denote by:

- ▶  $k$  an algebraically closed field (of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ )
- ▶ Fix a Dynkin diagram  $\mathbb{A}_n, \dots, \mathbb{G}_2$
- ▶  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding Lie algebra



Denote by:

- ▶  $k$  an algebraically closed field (of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ )
- ▶ Fix a Dynkin diagram  $\mathbb{A}_n, \dots, \mathbb{G}_2$
- ▶  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding Lie algebra
- ▶  $G_r$   $r$ -th Frobenius kernel of algebraic group with  $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$



Denote by:

- ▶  $k$  an algebraically closed field (of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ )
- ▶ Fix a Dynkin diagram  $\mathbb{A}_n, \dots, \mathbb{G}_2$
- ▶  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding Lie algebra
- ▶  $G_r$   $r$ -th Frobenius kernel of algebraic group with  $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$
- ▶  $\ell \geq 3$  an odd integer



Denote by:

- ▶  $k$  an algebraically closed field (of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ )
- ▶ Fix a Dynkin diagram  $\mathbb{A}_n, \dots, \mathbb{G}_2$
- ▶  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding Lie algebra
- ▶  $G_r$   $r$ -th Frobenius kernel of algebraic group with  $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$
- ▶  $\ell \geq 3$  an odd integer
- ▶  $\zeta$  a primitive  $\ell$ -th root of unity



# Definition of $u_\zeta(\mathfrak{g})$

## Definition

The Lusztig form of the quantised enveloping algebra is obtained in three steps:

- (i) Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(q)$  with generators  $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and quantum Serre relations





# Definition of $u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{g})$

## Definition

The Lusztig form of the quantised enveloping algebra is obtained in three steps:

- (i) Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(q)$  with generators  $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and quantum Serre relations
- (ii) a  $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -subalgebra  $U_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{g})$  generated by the divided powers  $E_i^{(n)}, F_i^{(n)}, K_i^{\pm 1}$



# Definition of $u_\zeta(\mathfrak{g})$

## Definition

The Lusztig form of the quantised enveloping algebra is obtained in three steps:

- (i) Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(q)$  with generators  $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and quantum Serre relations
- (ii) a  $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -subalgebra  $U_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{g})$  generated by the divided powers  $E_i^{(n)}, F_i^{(n)}, K_i^{\pm 1}$
- (iii)  $U_\zeta(\mathfrak{g})$  obtained by specialising to  $k$  via  $q \mapsto \zeta$



# Definition of $u_\zeta(\mathfrak{g})$

## Definition

The Lusztig form of the quantised enveloping algebra is obtained in three steps:

- (i) Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(q)$  with generators  $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and quantum Serre relations
- (ii) a  $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -subalgebra  $U_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{g})$  generated by the divided powers  $E_i^{(n)}, F_i^{(n)}, K_i^{\pm 1}$
- (iii)  $U_\zeta(\mathfrak{g})$  obtained by specialising to  $k$  via  $q \mapsto \zeta$

The **small quantum group**  $U_\zeta(G_0)$  is now the  $k$ -subalgebra generated by  $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}$



## Example

For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  the **small quantum group** is the  $k$ -algebra with generators  $E, F, K^{\pm 1}$  and relations:



## Example

For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  the **small quantum group** is the  $k$ -algebra with generators  $E, F, K^{\pm 1}$  and relations:

$$(R2) \quad KEK^{-1} = \zeta^2 E$$

$$(R3) \quad KFK^{-1} = \zeta^{-2} F$$



## Example

For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  the **small quantum group** is the  $k$ -algebra with generators  $E, F, K^{\pm 1}$  and relations:

$$(R2) \quad KEK^{-1} = \zeta^2 E$$

$$(R3) \quad KFK^{-1} = \zeta^{-2} F$$

$$(R4) \quad EF - FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}}$$



## Example

For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  the **small quantum group** is the  $k$ -algebra with generators  $E, F, K^{\pm 1}$  and relations:

$$(R2) \quad KEK^{-1} = \zeta^2 E$$

$$(R3) \quad KFK^{-1} = \zeta^{-2} F$$

$$(R4) \quad EF - FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}}$$

$$(s) \quad E^\ell = F^\ell = K^\ell - 1 = 0$$

# Why Frobenius-Lusztig kernel?



In positive characteristic:

$$k \rightarrow U_{\zeta}(G_0) \rightarrow U_{\zeta}(G_r) \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}_{\zeta}} \text{Dist}(G_r) \rightarrow k$$



# Why Frobenius-Lusztig kernel?



In positive characteristic:

$$k \rightarrow U_{\zeta}(G_0) \rightarrow U_{\zeta}(G_r) \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}_{\zeta}} \text{Dist}(G_r) \rightarrow k$$

Properties of  $U_{\zeta}(G_r)$ :

# Why Frobenius-Lusztig kernel?



In positive characteristic:

$$k \rightarrow U_{\zeta}(G_0) \rightarrow U_{\zeta}(G_r) \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}_{\zeta}} \text{Dist}(G_r) \rightarrow k$$

Properties of  $U_{\zeta}(G_r)$ :

- ▶ finite dimensional

# Why Frobenius-Lusztig kernel?



In positive characteristic:

$$k \rightarrow U_{\zeta}(G_0) \rightarrow U_{\zeta}(G_r) \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}_{\zeta}} \text{Dist}(G_r) \rightarrow k$$

Properties of  $U_{\zeta}(G_r)$ :

- ▶ finite dimensional
- ▶ Hopf algebra, neither commutative nor cocommutative

# Why Frobenius-Lusztig kernel?



In positive characteristic:

$$k \rightarrow U_{\zeta}(G_0) \rightarrow U_{\zeta}(G_r) \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}_{\zeta}} \text{Dist}(G_r) \rightarrow k$$

Properties of  $U_{\zeta}(G_r)$ :

- ▶ finite dimensional
- ▶ Hopf algebra, neither commutative nor cocommutative
- ▶ symmetric



## Theorem

$k \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow C \rightarrow k$ . Assume simple  $B$ -modules lift to  $A$ .



## Theorem

$k \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow C \rightarrow k$ . Assume simple  $B$ -modules lift to  $A$ . Then:

- ▶ All simple  $A$ -modules are of the form  $M \otimes L$ , where  $M$  is a simple  $C$ -module and  $L$  is a simple  $B$ -module



# Normal Hopf subalgebras

## Theorem

$k \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow C \rightarrow k$ . Assume simple  $B$ -modules lift to  $A$ . Then:

- ▶ All simple  $A$ -modules are of the form  $M \otimes L$ , where  $M$  is a simple  $C$ -module and  $L$  is a simple  $B$ -module
- ▶ Assume simple  $B$ -modules have no self-extensions. Then:

$$\text{Ext}^1(M_1 \otimes L_1, M_2 \otimes L_2) \cong \begin{cases} \text{Ext}^1(M_1, M_2) & L_1 \cong L_2 \\ \text{Hom}(M_1, M_2 \otimes \text{Ext}^1(L_1, L_2)) & L_1 \not\cong L_2 \end{cases}$$



# Normal Hopf subalgebras

## Theorem

$k \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow C \rightarrow k$ . Assume simple  $B$ -modules lift to  $A$ . Then:

- ▶ All simple  $A$ -modules are of the form  $M \otimes L$ , where  $M$  is a simple  $C$ -module and  $L$  is a simple  $B$ -module
- ▶ Assume simple  $B$ -modules have no self-extensions. Then:

$$\text{Ext}^1(M_1 \otimes L_1, M_2 \otimes L_2) \cong \begin{cases} \text{Ext}^1(M_1, M_2) & L_1 \cong L_2 \\ \text{Hom}(M_1, M_2 \otimes \text{Ext}^1(L_1, L_2)) & L_1 \not\cong L_2 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Assume  $B$  has a simple projective module  $\text{St}$ . Then: There is a block embedding  $\text{mod } C \rightarrow \text{mod } A, M \mapsto M \otimes \text{St}$ .





If certain finiteness assumptions on cohomology hold, we can define a theory of support varieties for Hopf algebras, i.e. to each module  $M$  one can associate a variety  $\mathcal{V}(M)$  reflecting certain properties of  $M$ .

## Conjecture (fg)

The Hopf algebras  $U_\zeta(G_r)$  satisfy these finiteness assumptions.



If certain finiteness assumptions on cohomology hold, we can define a theory of support varieties for Hopf algebras, i.e. to each module  $M$  one can associate a variety  $\mathcal{V}(M)$  reflecting certain properties of  $M$ .

## Conjecture (fg)

The Hopf algebras  $U_\zeta(G_r)$  satisfy these finiteness assumptions.

Proven for  $r = 0$  and  $r = 1$  (in special cases). From now on assumed in general.



## Theorem

*Assume (fg). Then the blocks of  $U_\zeta(G_r)$  are:*

- ▶ **representation-finite** *only if simple, only St.*



## Theorem

*Assume (fg). Then the blocks of  $U_\zeta(G_r)$  are:*

- ▶ **representation-finite** *only if simple, only St.*
- ▶ **tame** *for  $\mathbb{A}_1$  and*
  - $r = 0$ : *all but one block*
  - $r = 1$ : *all but one of the block in the image of  $- \otimes \text{St}$ .*



## Theorem

*Assume (fg). Then the blocks of  $U_\zeta(G_r)$  are:*

- ▶ **representation-finite** *only if simple, only St.*
- ▶ **tame** *for  $\mathbb{A}_1$  and*
  - $r = 0$ : *all but one block*
  - $r = 1$ : *all but one of the block in the image of  $- \otimes \text{St}$ .*
- ▶ **wild** *in all other cases.*

# Only $SL_2$ with $r = 0, 1$ has tame blocks



Proof.

- 1 If  $B$  is a block of an (fg)-Hopf algebra  $A$  and there is  $M$  with  $\dim \mathcal{V}(M) \geq 3$ , then  $B$  is wild. [Farnsteiner 2007, Feldvoss-Witherspoon 2009]  
This allows to restrict to  $\mathbb{A}_1$



# Only $SL_2$ with $r = 0, 1$ has tame blocks



Proof.

- 1 If  $B$  is a block of an (fg)-Hopf algebra  $A$  and there is  $M$  with  $\dim \mathcal{V}(M) \geq 3$ , then  $B$  is wild. [Farnsteiner 2007, Feldvoss-Witherspoon 2009]  
This allows to restrict to  $\mathbb{A}_1$
- 2 For  $\mathbb{A}_1$ , one can compute the quiver of  $U_\zeta(G_r)$  by considering the quiver of  $U_\zeta(G_0)$  and the quiver of  $\text{Dist}(G_r)$ , i.e. using the Ext-group result from before.

Then one can identify a wild subquiver  $\circ \rightrightarrows \circ \longleftarrow \circ$



# Webb's Theorem



## Theorem (Kerner-Zacharia 2009)

*Let  $A$  be an (fg)-Hopf algebra. Then the components of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver  $\Gamma_s(A)$  are of the form:*

**periodic:** *finite or  $\mathbb{Z}[A_\infty]/\tau^m$  (tubes)*





## Theorem (Kerner-Zacharia 2009)

Let  $A$  be an (fg)-Hopf algebra. Then the components of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver  $\Gamma_s(A)$  are of the form:

**periodic:** *finite or  $\mathbb{Z}[A_\infty]/\tau^m$  (tubes)*

**non-periodic:**  $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$ , where  $\Delta$  is Euclidean or infinite Dynkin



# Webb's Theorem

## Theorem (Kerner-Zacharia 2009)

Let  $A$  be an (fg)-Hopf algebra. Then the components of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver  $\Gamma_s(A)$  are of the form:

**periodic:** finite or  $\mathbb{Z}[A_\infty]/\tau^m$  (tubes)

**non-periodic:**  $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$ , where  $\Delta$  is Euclidean or infinite Dynkin

## Theorem

The following hold for  $\Gamma_s(U_\zeta(G_r))$  not associated to  $\mathbb{A}_1$ :

- ▶ There are no Euclidean components.

# Webb's Theorem



## Theorem (Kerner-Zacharia 2009)

Let  $A$  be an (fg)-Hopf algebra. Then the components of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver  $\Gamma_s(A)$  are of the form:

**periodic:** finite or  $\mathbb{Z}[A_\infty]/\tau^m$  (tubes)

**non-periodic:**  $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$ , where  $\Delta$  is Euclidean or infinite Dynkin

## Theorem

The following hold for  $\Gamma_s(U_\zeta(G_r))$  not associated to  $\mathbb{A}_1$ :

- ▶ There are no Euclidean components.
- ▶ For  $r = 0$  the periodic components are of the form  $\mathbb{Z}[A_\infty]/\tau$ .



# Webb's Theorem

## Theorem (Kerner-Zacharia 2009)

Let  $A$  be an (fg)-Hopf algebra. Then the components of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver  $\Gamma_s(A)$  are of the form:

**periodic:** finite or  $\mathbb{Z}[A_\infty]/\tau^m$  (tubes)

**non-periodic:**  $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$ , where  $\Delta$  is Euclidean or infinite Dynkin

## Theorem

The following hold for  $\Gamma_s(U_\zeta(G_r))$  not associated to  $\mathbb{A}_1$ :

- ▶ There are no Euclidean components.
- ▶ For  $r = 0$  the periodic components are of the form  $\mathbb{Z}[A_\infty]/\tau$ .
- ▶ For  $r = 0$  the components containing  $U_\zeta(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules, e.g. simples, are of the form  $\mathbb{Z}[A_\infty]$ .



## Corollary

*If  $S$  is a simple module for  $U_\zeta(G_r)$  in a  $\mathbb{Z}[A_\infty]$ -component, then  $\text{ht } P(S) = \text{rad } P(S) / \text{soc } P(S)$  is indecomposable.*

# Indecomposable Heart



Proof.

- ▶  $0 \rightarrow \text{rad } P \rightarrow \text{ht } P \oplus P \rightarrow P/\text{soc } P \rightarrow 0$  is the standard almost split sequence, i.e. if  $P/\text{soc } P$  has only one predecessor in  $\Gamma_s(U_\zeta(G_r))$ , then  $\text{ht } P$  is indecomposable.





## Proof.

- ▶  $0 \rightarrow \text{rad } P \rightarrow \text{ht } P \oplus P \rightarrow P/\text{soc } P \rightarrow 0$  is the standard almost split sequence, i.e. if  $P/\text{soc } P$  has only one predecessor in  $\Gamma_s(U_\zeta(G_r))$ , then  $\text{ht } P$  is indecomposable.
- ▶ Applying  $\Omega$  to this sequence this is equivalent to  $\Omega(P/\text{soc } P) = S$  has only one predecessor.





# Indecomposable Heart

Proof.

- ▶  $0 \rightarrow \text{rad } P \rightarrow \text{ht } P \oplus P \rightarrow P/\text{soc } P \rightarrow 0$  is the standard almost split sequence, i.e. if  $P/\text{soc } P$  has only one predecessor in  $\Gamma_s(U_\zeta(G_r))$ , then  $\text{ht } P$  is indecomposable.
- ▶ Applying  $\Omega$  to this sequence this is equivalent to  $\Omega(P/\text{soc } P) = S$  has only one predecessor.
- ▶ [Kawata] Symmetric algebras with a non-quasi-simple simple module  $S$  in a  $\mathbb{Z}[A_\infty]$ -component have a very special shape of projective modules.







# Indecomposable Heart

Proof.

- ▶  $0 \rightarrow \text{rad } P \rightarrow \text{ht } P \oplus P \rightarrow P/\text{soc } P \rightarrow 0$  is the standard almost split sequence, i.e. if  $P/\text{soc } P$  has only one predecessor in  $\Gamma_s(U_\zeta(G_r))$ , then  $\text{ht } P$  is indecomposable.
- ▶ Applying  $\Omega$  to this sequence this is equivalent to  $\Omega(P/\text{soc } P) = S$  has only one predecessor.
- ▶ [Kawata] Symmetric algebras with a non-quasi-simple simple module  $S$  in a  $\mathbb{Z}[A_\infty]$ -component have a very special shape of projective modules.
- ▶ This contradicts a certain Ext-symmetry for  $U_\zeta(G_r)$ .





## Theorem (...)

*The tame blocks are as follows:*



$$1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{x} \\ \xrightarrow{y} \\ \xleftarrow{x} \\ \xleftarrow{y} \end{array} 2 ; xy = yx = 0, x^2 = y^2$$



## Theorem (...)

*The tame blocks are as follows:*



$$1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{x} \\ \xrightarrow{y} \\ \xleftarrow{x} \\ \xleftarrow{y} \end{array} 2 ; xy = yx = 0, x^2 = y^2$$

- ▶ *special biserial*



## Theorem (...)

*The tame blocks are as follows:*



$$1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{x} \\ \xrightarrow{y} \\ \xleftarrow{x} \\ \xleftarrow{y} \end{array} 2 ; xy = yx = 0, x^2 = y^2$$

▶ *special biserial*

▶ *stable Auslander-Reiten quiver: 2 components of type  $\mathbb{Z}[\tilde{A}_{12}]$  and two  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -families of homogeneous tubes.*

# Stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of $u_\zeta(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

