

Classifying resolving subcategories by grade consistent functions

Ryo Takahashi

Nagoya University/University of Nebraska-Lincoln

August 17, 2012

Joint work with Hailong Dao

Building a module out of another module

Building a module out of another module

Question

Let M, N be finitely generated modules. When can M be built out of N by taking

- direct summands,
- extensions, and
- syzygies?

Building a module out of another module

Question

Let M, N be finitely generated modules. When can M be built out of N by taking

- direct summands,
- extensions, and
- syzygies?

$\langle X \rangle =$ the smallest subcategory of $\text{mod } R$ containing X
and closed under the above three operations

When $M \in \langle N \rangle$?

When $M \notin \langle N \rangle$?

Example

$$R = k[x, y]$$

$$\textcircled{1} R/(xy) \in \langle R/(x) \oplus R/(y) \oplus k \rangle$$

$$\textcircled{2} R/(x) \notin \langle R/(y) \rangle$$

Example

$$R = k[x, y]$$

$$\textcircled{1} R/(xy) \in \langle R/(x) \oplus R/(y) \oplus k \rangle$$

$$\textcircled{2} R/(x) \notin \langle R/(y) \rangle$$

Indeed:

Example

$$R = k[x, y]$$

- 1 $R/(xy) \in \langle R/(x) \oplus R/(y) \oplus k \rangle$
- 2 $R/(x) \notin \langle R/(y) \rangle$

Indeed:

- 1 There is an exact sequence
$$0 \rightarrow R/(xy) \rightarrow R/(x) \oplus R/(y) \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0,$$
which induces an exact sequence
$$0 \rightarrow \Omega k \rightarrow R/(xy) \oplus R \rightarrow R/(x) \oplus R/(y) \rightarrow 0.$$

Example

$$R = k[x, y]$$

- 1 $R/(xy) \in \langle R/(x) \oplus R/(y) \oplus k \rangle$
- 2 $R/(x) \notin \langle R/(y) \rangle$

Indeed:

- 1 There is an exact sequence
$$0 \rightarrow R/(xy) \rightarrow R/(x) \oplus R/(y) \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0,$$
which induces an exact sequence
$$0 \rightarrow \Omega k \rightarrow R/(xy) \oplus R \rightarrow R/(x) \oplus R/(y) \rightarrow 0.$$
- 2 If $R/(x) \in \langle R/(y) \rangle$, then
$$(R/(x))_{(x)} \in \langle (R/(y))_{(x)} \rangle = \text{proj } R_{(x)},$$
a contradiction.

Motivation

Want to establish a criterion for \mathbf{M} to be in $\langle \mathbf{N} \rangle$.

Motivation

Want to establish a criterion for M to be in $\langle N \rangle$.

A **resolving subcategory** of $\text{mod } R$ is a full subcategory containing $\text{proj } R$ and closed under direct summands, extensions and syzygies.

Motivation

Want to establish a criterion for M to be in $\langle N \rangle$.

A **resolving subcategory** of $\text{mod } R$ is a full subcategory containing $\text{proj } R$ and closed under direct summands, extensions and syzygies. Since $\langle N \rangle$ is resolving, we should be done if we can:

Goal

Classify resolving subcategories.

Motivation

Want to establish a criterion for \mathbf{M} to be in $\langle \mathbf{N} \rangle$.

A **resolving subcategory** of $\text{mod } R$ is a full subcategory containing $\text{proj } R$ and closed under direct summands, extensions and syzygies. Since $\langle \mathbf{N} \rangle$ is resolving, we should be done if we can:

Goal

Classify resolving subcategories.

Example

Using our classification, we will see (without making exact sequences):

$$R = k[[x, y]]/(xy) \Rightarrow R/(x) \in \langle R/(x - y) \oplus (x, y) \rangle$$

Resolving subcategories in $\text{PD}(\mathbb{R})$

Resolving subcategories in $\text{PD}(\mathbf{R})$

$$\text{PD}(\mathbf{R}) = \{ M \in \text{mod } \mathbf{R} \mid \text{pd } M < \infty \}$$

Resolving subcategories in $\text{PD}(R)$

$$\text{PD}(R) = \{ M \in \text{mod } R \mid \text{pd } M < \infty \}$$

Definition

An \mathbb{N} -valued function f on $\text{Spec } R$ is **grade consistent** if:

- $f(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \text{grade}(\mathfrak{p})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$,
- If $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ in $\text{Spec } R$, then $f(\mathfrak{p}) \leq f(\mathfrak{q})$.

Resolving subcategories in $\text{PD}(\mathbf{R})$

$$\text{PD}(\mathbf{R}) = \{ M \in \text{mod } \mathbf{R} \mid \text{pd } M < \infty \}$$

Definition

An \mathbb{N} -valued function f on $\text{Spec } \mathbf{R}$ is **grade consistent** if:

- $f(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \text{grade}(\mathfrak{p})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } \mathbf{R}$,
- If $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ in $\text{Spec } \mathbf{R}$, then $f(\mathfrak{p}) \leq f(\mathfrak{q})$.

Theorem 1

\mathbf{R} = commutative Noetherian ring

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Resolving subcategories of} \\ \text{mod } \mathbf{R} \text{ contained in } \text{PD}(\mathbf{R}) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \\ \xrightarrow{1-1} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Grade consistent} \\ \text{functions on } \text{Spec } \mathbf{R} \end{array} \right\}$$

Resolving subcategories in $\text{PD}(\mathbf{R})$

$$\text{PD}(\mathbf{R}) = \{ M \in \text{mod } \mathbf{R} \mid \text{pd } M < \infty \}$$

Definition

An \mathbb{N} -valued function f on $\text{Spec } \mathbf{R}$ is **grade consistent** if:

- $f(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \text{grade}(\mathfrak{p})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } \mathbf{R}$,
- If $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ in $\text{Spec } \mathbf{R}$, then $f(\mathfrak{p}) \leq f(\mathfrak{q})$.

Theorem 1

\mathbf{R} = commutative Noetherian ring

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Resolving subcategories of} \\ \text{mod } \mathbf{R} \text{ contained in } \text{PD}(\mathbf{R}) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Grade consistent} \\ \text{functions on } \text{Spec } \mathbf{R} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\phi(\mathcal{X}) = [\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \max_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \{ \text{pd } X_{\mathfrak{p}} \}]$$

$$\psi(f) = \{ M \in \text{mod } \mathbf{R} \mid \text{pd } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq f(\mathfrak{p}) \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \}$$

Remark

Angeleri Hügel, Pospíšil, Šťovíček and Trlifaj have recently given essentially the same classification as Theorem 1 independently.

Remark

Angeleri Hügel, Pospíšil, Šťovíček and Trlifaj have recently given essentially the same classification as Theorem 1 independently.

Corollary

$R =$ regular ring

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Resolving subcategories} \\ \text{of } \text{mod } R \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Grade consistent functions} \\ \text{on } \text{Spec } R \end{array} \right\}$$

Remark

Angeleri Hügel, Pospíšil, Šťovíček and Trlifaj have recently given essentially the same classification as Theorem 1 independently.

Corollary

$R =$ regular ring

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Resolving subcategories} \\ \text{of } \text{mod } R \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ 1-1 \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Grade consistent functions} \\ \text{on } \text{Spec } R \end{array} \right\}$$

Corollary (Auslander (1962))

$R =$ regular ring TFAE for $M, N \in \text{PD}(R)$:

- 1 $\text{pd } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \text{pd } N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$.
- 2 $\text{Supp Tor}_i^R(M, X) \subseteq \text{Supp Tor}_i^R(N, X)$
for all $i > 0$ and all $X \in \text{mod } R$.

Remark

Angeleri Hügel, Pospíšil, Šťovíček and Trlifaj have recently given essentially the same classification as Theorem 1 independently.

Corollary

$R =$ regular ring

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Resolving subcategories} \\ \text{of } \text{mod } R \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ 1-1 \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Grade consistent functions} \\ \text{on } \text{Spec } R \end{array} \right\}$$

Corollary (Auslander (1962))

$R =$ regular ring TFAE for $M, N \in \text{PD}(R)$:

- 1 $\text{pd } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \text{pd } N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$.
- 2 $\text{Supp Tor}_i^R(M, X) \subseteq \text{Supp Tor}_i^R(N, X)$
for all $i > 0$ and all $X \in \text{mod } R$.

In fact, these two conditions are equivalent to $M \in \langle N \rangle$.

Dominant resolving subcategories

Dominant resolving subcategories

A resolving subcategory \mathcal{X} of $\text{mod } R$ is **dominant** if for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ there is $n \geq 0$ such that $\Omega^n \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \in \text{add}\{\mathbf{X}_p\}_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}}$.

Dominant resolving subcategories

A resolving subcategory \mathcal{X} of $\text{mod } R$ is **dominant** if for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ there is $n \geq 0$ such that $\Omega^n \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \in \text{add}\{\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{p}}\}_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}}$.

Theorem 2

$R =$ Cohen-Macaulay ring

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Dominant resolving} \\ \text{subcategories of } \text{mod } R \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \mathbb{1} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Grade consistent} \\ \text{functions on } \text{Spec } R \end{array} \right\}$$

Dominant resolving subcategories

A resolving subcategory \mathcal{X} of $\text{mod } R$ is **dominant** if for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ there is $n \geq 0$ such that $\Omega^n \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \in \text{add}\{\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{p}}\}_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}}$.

Theorem 2

$R =$ Cohen-Macaulay ring

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Dominant resolving} \\ \text{subcategories of } \text{mod } R \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xleftrightarrow{1-1} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Grade consistent} \\ \text{functions on } \text{Spec } R \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\phi(\mathcal{X}) = [\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} - \min_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}} \{ \text{depth } \mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{p}} \}]$$

$$\psi(f) = \{ M \in \text{mod } R \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} - f(\mathfrak{p}) \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \}$$

Dominant resolving subcategories

A resolving subcategory \mathcal{X} of $\text{mod } R$ is **dominant** if for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ there is $n \geq 0$ such that $\Omega^n \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \in \text{add}\{\mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{p}}\}_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}}$.

Theorem 2

$R =$ Cohen-Macaulay ring

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Dominant resolving} \\ \text{subcategories of } \text{mod } R \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \\ \xrightarrow{1-1} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Grade consistent} \\ \text{functions on } \text{Spec } R \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\phi(\mathcal{X}) = [\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} - \min_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}} \{\text{depth } \mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{p}}\}]$$

$$\psi(f) = \{ M \in \text{mod } R \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} - f(\mathfrak{p}) \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \}$$

Corollary

$R =$ regular ring

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Resolving subcategories} \\ \text{of } \text{mod } R \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \\ \xrightarrow{1-1} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Grade consistent functions} \\ \text{on } \text{Spec } R \end{array} \right\}$$

Corollary

$(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k}) = d$ -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring

If R is an isolated singularity, then:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Resolving subcategories} \\ \text{of mod } R \text{ containing } \Omega^d \mathbf{k} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xleftarrow{1-1} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Grade consistent} \\ \text{functions on Spec } R \end{array} \right\}$$

Corollary

$(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k}) = d$ -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring

If R is an isolated singularity, then:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Resolving subcategories} \\ \text{of mod } R \text{ containing } \Omega^d \mathbf{k} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xleftarrow{1-1} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Grade consistent} \\ \text{functions on Spec } R \end{array} \right\}$$

Example

$(R, \mathfrak{m}) = 1$ -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay reduced local ring

$L =$ nonzero R -module of finite length

$$\Rightarrow \phi(\langle L \oplus \mathfrak{m} \rangle) = ht$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle L \oplus \mathfrak{m} \rangle = \psi(ht) = \text{mod } R$$

In particular:

$$R = k[[x, y]]/(xy) \Rightarrow R/(x) \in \langle R/(x - y) \oplus (x, y) \rangle$$

Resolving subcategories over complete intersections

Resolving subcategories over complete intersections

Theorem 3

$R =$ locally complete intersection ring

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Resolving} \\ \text{subcategories} \\ \text{of } \text{mod } R \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xrightarrow{1-1} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Resolving} \\ \text{subcategories} \\ \text{contained} \\ \text{in } \text{CM}(R) \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Resolving} \\ \text{subcategories} \\ \text{contained} \\ \text{in } \text{PD}(R) \end{array} \right\}$$

Resolving subcategories over complete intersections

Theorem 3

$R =$ locally complete intersection ring

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Resolving} \\ \text{subcategories} \\ \text{of } \text{mod } R \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \\ \xleftarrow{\Psi} \\ \text{1-1} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Resolving} \\ \text{subcategories} \\ \text{contained} \\ \text{in } \text{CM}(R) \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Resolving} \\ \text{subcategories} \\ \text{contained} \\ \text{in } \text{PD}(R) \end{array} \right\}$$

$\text{CM}(R) = \{ M \in \text{mod } R \mid M \text{ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay} \}$

$\Phi(\mathcal{X}) = (\mathcal{X} \cap \text{CM}(R), \mathcal{X} \cap \text{PD}(R))$

$\Psi(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) = \langle \mathcal{Y} \cup \mathcal{Z} \rangle$

Corollary

$R =$ locally hypersurface ring

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Resolving} \\ \text{subcategories} \\ \text{of mod } R \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \\ \xleftarrow{\Psi} \\ \xrightarrow{1-1} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Specialization} \\ \text{closed subsets} \\ \text{of Sing } R \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Grade consistent} \\ \text{functions} \\ \text{on Spec } R \end{array} \right\}$$

Corollary

$R =$ locally hypersurface ring

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Resolving} \\ \text{subcategories} \\ \text{of mod } R \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \\ \xrightarrow{1-1} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Specialization} \\ \text{closed subsets} \\ \text{of Sing } R \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Grade consistent} \\ \text{functions} \\ \text{on Spec } R \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\Phi(\mathcal{X}) = (\text{IPD}(\mathcal{X}), \phi(\mathcal{X}))$$

$$\text{IPD}(\mathcal{X}) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \mid \text{pd } X_{\mathfrak{p}} = \infty \text{ for some } X \in \mathcal{X} \}$$

$$\Psi(W, f) = \{ M \in \psi(f) \mid \text{IPD}(M) \subseteq W \}$$

Corollary

$R =$ locally hypersurface ring

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Resolving} \\ \text{subcategories} \\ \text{of mod } R \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \\ 1-1 \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Specialization} \\ \text{closed subsets} \\ \text{of Sing } R \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Grade consistent} \\ \text{functions} \\ \text{on Spec } R \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\Phi(\mathcal{X}) = (\text{IPD}(\mathcal{X}), \phi(\mathcal{X}))$$

$$\text{IPD}(\mathcal{X}) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \mid \text{pd } \mathbf{X}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \infty \text{ for some } \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X} \}$$

$$\Psi(W, f) = \{ M \in \psi(f) \mid \text{IPD}(M) \subseteq W \}$$

Corollary

$R =$ regular ring

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Resolving subcategories} \\ \text{of mod } R \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \\ 1-1 \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Grade consistent functions} \\ \text{on Spec } R \end{array} \right\}$$

Theorem 4

Let R be a local complete intersection. Then the resolving subcategories contained in $\mathbf{CM}(R)$ are precisely the thick subcategories of $\mathbf{CM}(R)$ containing R .

Theorem 4

Let R be a local complete intersection. Then the resolving subcategories contained in $\mathbf{CM}(R)$ are precisely the thick subcategories of $\mathbf{CM}(R)$ containing R .

Corollary

Let $R = S/(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a local complete intersection. Using Theorems 3,4 and Stevenson's classification theorem, one can classify all the resolving subcategories of $\mathbf{mod} R$.

History of the classification of subcategories

Since 1960s

- Ring Theory
- Stable Homotopy Theory
- Algebraic Geometry
- Modular Representation Theory

Classifications of subcategories of module categories

- ① **Gabriel (1962), Garkusha–Prest (2008)**
Serre and localizing subcategories
- ② **Hovey (2000), T (2008), Krause (2008)**
Wide subcategories and full subcategories closed under submodules and extensions
- ③ **Stanley–Wang (2011)**
Narrow subcategories and torsion classes
- ④ **Krause–Stevenson (preprint)**
Thick subcategories containing projectives

Classifications of thick and localizing subcategories of triangulated categories

- ① Devinatz–Hopkins–Smith (1988), Hopkins–Smith (1998)
Stable homotopy categories
- ② Hopkins (1988), Neeman (1992), Thomason (1997)
Derived categories of commutative rings and schemes
- ③ Benson–Carlson–Rickard (1997), Friedlander–Pevtsova (2007), Benson–Iyengar–Krause (2011)
Stable and derived categories of finite groups and group schemes
- ④ T (2010), Stevenson (preprint)
Singularity categories of complete intersections

Classifications of resolving subcategories

① Auslander–Reiten (1991)

Contravariantly finite resolving subcategories over an Artin algebra of finite global dimension

② T (2011)

Contravariantly finite resolving subcategories over a Gorenstein Henselian local ring

③ T (2010)

Resolving subcategories contained in $\mathbf{CM}(\mathbf{R})$ over a local hypersurface \mathbf{R}

Examples of a grade consistent function

$f : \text{Spec } R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$

① $f(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$

② $f(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{grade}(\mathfrak{p})$

③ $R = \text{domain} \quad f(\mathfrak{p}) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\mathfrak{p} \neq 0) \\ 0 & (\mathfrak{p} = 0) \end{cases}$

By the map ϕ in Theorem 1:

① $\mapsto \text{proj } R$

② $\mapsto \text{PD}(R)$

③ $\mapsto \{ M \in \text{mod } R \mid \text{pd } M \leq 1 \}$

By the map ϕ in Theorem 2:

① $\mapsto \text{CM}(R)$

② $\mapsto \text{mod } R$

③ $\mapsto \{ M \in \text{mod } R \mid \text{CMdim } M \leq 1 \}$

Resolving subcategories in $\text{PD}_0(\mathbf{R})$

Corollary

$(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k})$ = commutative Noetherian local ring of depth t

All the resolving subcategories contained in $\text{PD}_0(\mathbf{R})$ are:

$$\text{proj } \mathbf{R} = \text{PD}_0^0(\mathbf{R}) \subsetneq \text{PD}_0^1(\mathbf{R}) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \text{PD}_0^t(\mathbf{R}) = \text{PD}_0(\mathbf{R}).$$

Moreover, for each integer $1 \leq n \leq t$:

$$\text{PD}_0^n(\mathbf{R}) = \langle \text{Tr} \Omega^{n-1} \mathbf{k} \rangle.$$

$$\text{PD}_0(\mathbf{R}) = \{ M \in \text{PD}(\mathbf{R}) \mid M_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is } \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}\text{-free for all } \mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m} \}$$

$$\text{PD}_0^n(\mathbf{R}) = \{ M \in \text{PD}_0(\mathbf{R}) \mid \text{pd } M \leq n \}$$

Criteria for a module to be in a resolving subcategory

Corollary

\mathcal{X} = resolving subcategory of $\text{mod } R$ contained in $\text{PD}(R)$

TFAE for $M \in \text{mod } R$:

- 1 $M \in \mathcal{X}$.
- 2 $\text{pd } M_p \leq \max_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \{\text{pd } X_p\}$ for all p .

Corollary

R = Cohen-Macaulay ring

\mathcal{X} = dominant resolving subcategory of $\text{mod } R$

TFAE for $M \in \text{mod } R$:

- 1 $M \in \mathcal{X}$.
- 2 $\text{depth } M_p \geq \min_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \{\text{depth } X_p\}$ for all p .

1-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local rings

Corollary

$R =$ Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension 1

Then $\{\text{Grade consistent functions}\} = \{0, \text{grade}\}$. Hence:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Resolving subcategories} \\ \text{contained in } \text{PD}(R) \end{array} \right\} = \{\text{proj } R, \text{PD}(R)\},$$
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Dominant resolving} \\ \text{subcategories} \end{array} \right\} = \{\text{CM}(R), \text{mod } R\}.$$

Basic definitions

- ① An **extension** of modules M and N is a module E such that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0.$$

- ② The **grade** of an ideal I is defined as:

$$\text{grade}(I) = \inf \{ i \geq 0 \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, R) \neq 0 \}.$$

This is equal to the maximum of the lengths of regular sequences in I .

- ③ A local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) is an **isolated singularity** if $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$.

- 4 A subset W of $\text{Spec } R$ is **specialization closed** if:

$$\mathfrak{p} \in W, \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } R, \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{q} \in W.$$

This is nothing but a union of Zariski-closed subsets.

- 5 The **singular locus** of R is defined as:

$$\text{Sing } R = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \mid R_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is not regular} \}.$$

- 6 A **thick** subcategory \mathcal{X} of $\text{CM}(R)$ is a full subcategory closed under direct summands and satisfying the “2 out of 3 property”: for an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

of modules in $\text{CM}(R)$, if two of L, M, N are in \mathcal{X} , then so is the third.