Identities on Maximal Subgroups of $GL_n(D)$

D. Kiani, M. Mahdavi-Hezavehi kiani@mehr.sharif.edu mahdavih@sharif.edu Department of Mathematical Sciences Sharif University of Technology P. O. Box 11365-9415 Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Let D be a division ring with centre F. Assume that M is a maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$, $n \geq 1$ such that Z(M) is algebraic over F. Group identities on M and polynomial identities on the F-linear hull F[M] are investigated. It is shown that if F[M] is a PI-algebra, then $[D:F] < \infty$. When D is noncommutative and F is infinite, it is also proved that if M satisfies a group identity and F[M] is algebraic over F, then we have either $M = K^*$, where K is a field and $[D:F] < \infty$ or M is absolutely irreducible. For a finite dimensional division algebra D, assume that N is a subnormal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$ and M is a maximal subgroup of N. If M satisfies a group identity, it is shown that M is abelian-by-finite.

1 Introduction

Let D be a division ring with centre F, and let n be a positive integer. Denote by $A := M_n(D)$ the full $n \times n$ matrix ring over D and by $A^* := GL_n(D)$, the units of A. Given a subgroup M of A^* , we shall say that M is maximal in A^* if for any subgroup L of A^* with $M \subset L$, one concludes that $L = A^*$. The study of maximal subgroups of A^* begins in [1] and [9] in relation with an investigation of the structure of finitely generated normal subgroups of $GL_n(D)$, where D is of finite dimension over its centre F. In those papers we essentially show that maximal subgroups arise naturally in A^* , and finitely generated subnormal subgroups of A^* , are central. This result is used to prove that a maximal subgroup of A^* can not be finitely generated. The reader may consult [7], and the references thereof for more recent results on multiplicative subgroups of A^* . The object of this note is to investigate the algebraic structure of D when the F-linear hull F[M] satisfies a polynomial identity. We also study the structure of M whenever M satisfies a group identity. To be more precise, let M be a maximal subgroup of A^* such that the centre of M, Z(M) is algebraic over F. It is shown that if F[M] is a PIalgebra, then $[D:F]<\infty$. As consequences of this, some results of [1] that are proved there for the case n=1 may be generalized for n>1. For examples, it is shown if $[A:F]=\infty$, then A^* contains no abelian maximal subgroup which is algebraic over F. Also, if M satisfies a multilinear polynomial identity, then $[D:F]<\infty$. In this direction, it is proved that if D is noncommutative and M/F^* is locally finite, then we have either M is absolutely irreducible and D is locally finite dimensional or $[D:F]<\infty$ and $M=K^*$, where K is a subfield of A. We then turn to the case where M satisfies a group identity. Let Dbe a noncommutative division ring with infinite centre F and $n \geq 1$. Assume that M is a maximal subgroup of A^* such that F[M] is algebraic over F. It is shown that if M satisfies a group identity, then we have either $M = K^*$, where K is a field and $[D:F]<\infty$ or M is absolutely irreducible. In particular, if M is a noncommutative soluble maximal subgroup of A^* such that F[M] is algebraic over F, then M is abelian-by-locally finite. For a noncommutative finite dimensional F-central division algebra D, assume that N is a subnormal subgroup of A^* and M is a maximal subgroup of N. It is proved that if Msatisfies a group identity, then M is abelian-by-finite.

2 Notations and conventions

Let D be a division ring with centre F and G be a subgroup of $A^* = GL_n(D)$. We denote by F[G] the F-linear hull of G, i.e., the F-algebra generated by elements of G over F. We also denote by D^n the space of row n-vectors over D. Then D^n is a D-G bimodule in the obvious manner. G is said to be an *irreducible* (reducible) subgroup of $GL_n(D)$ whenever D^n is irreducible (reducible) as D-G bimodule. Considering the elements of D^n as column vectors, we may regard D^n as a G-D bimodule. It is easily shown that D^n is irreducible (reducible) as a G-D bimodule precisely when it has the property as D-G bimodule. We shall say that G is absolutely irreducible if $M_n(D) = F[G]$. For any group G we denote its centre by Z(G). Given a subgroup H of G, $N_G(H)$ means the normalizer of H in G, and $\langle H, K \rangle$ the group generated by H and K, where K is a subgroup of G. We shall say that H is abelian-by-finite if there is an abelian normal subgroup K of H such that H/K is finite. Let S be a subset of $M_n(D)$, then the centralizer of S in $M_n(D)$ is denoted by $C_{M_n(D)}(S)$. We shall identify the centre FI of $M_n(D)$ with F. By a dilatation matrix $D_{ii}(d)$, $d \in D^*$ we understand a diagonal $n \times n$ matrix whose diagonal entries are all 1 except the (i,i)-th entry which is d. Some notations and conventions for linear groups and skew linear groups from [11] and [12] are frequently used throughout.

3 Polynomial identities on F[M]

Given a maximal subgroup of A^* , this section essentially deals with conditions on M that imply either the commutativity of M or $[D:F]<\infty$. The main result is Theorem 5 which asserts that if F[M] is a PI-algebra and Z(M) is algebraic over F, then $[D:F]<\infty$. Using this, it is shown that if M satisfies a multilinear polynomial identity and Z(M) is algebraic over F, then $[D:F]<\infty$. Furthermore, it is proved that if either n=1 and D is noncommutative or n>1 and D is infinite, then there exists no maximal subgroup M of A^* containing F^* such that $[M:F^*]<\infty$. For a noncommutative division ring

with centre F, it is also shown that if M/F^* is locally finite, then we have either M is absolutely irreducible and D is locally finite dimensional or $[D:F]<\infty$ and $M=K^*$, where K is a subfield of A. We begin our material with

PROPOSITION 1. Let D be a division ring with centre F and $n \geq 1$. Assume that M is a maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$. Then M is either irreducible or it contains an isomorphic copy of D^* .

PROOF. The case n=1 follows from Proposition 1 of [1]. So, we may assume that $n\geq 2$. Now, consider the F-algebra F[M]. Since M is maximal we conclude that either $GL_n(D)=F[M]^*$ or $F[M]^*=M$. The first case implies that $M_n(D)=F[M]$, i.e., M is absolutely irreducible and so it is irreducible. Thus, we may assume that $F[M]^*=M$. If M is not irreducible, then D^n has a nontrivial submodule as D-F[M] bimodule. Thus, by 1.1.1 of [12], there exists an invertible $n\times n$ matrix P over D such that $PMP^{-1}\subset \Sigma$, where $\Sigma=\left\{\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\0&C\end{pmatrix}\mid A\in GL_s(D), C\in GL_{n-s}(D), B\in M_{s\times(n-s)}(D)\right\}$. It is clear that PMP^{-1} is also a maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$ and we have $PMP^{-1}\subseteq \Sigma\subset GL_n(D)$. Therefore, $PMP^{-1}=\Sigma$ and since Σ contains a copy of D^* we obtain the result.

We shall need the following lemmas to prove our main theorem.

LEMMA 2. Let D be a division ring of infinite dimension over its centre F and $n \geq 1$. Assume that M is a maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$. If F[M] is a PI-algebra, then F[M] is a prime ring.

PROOF. By Proposition 1, we know that either M contains a copy of D^* or M is irreducible. If the first case happens, then D is a PI-algebra. This implies, by Kaplansky's Theorem (cf. [11]), that $[D:F] < \infty$ which is a contradiction. So we may assume that M is irreducible. Now, using 1.1.14 of [12, p. 9], we conclude that F[M] is a prime ring.

LEMMA 3. Let D be a division ring of infinite dimension over its centre F and $n \geq 1$. Assume that M is a maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$. If F[M] is a PI-algebra, then Z(F[M]) is a field.

PROOF. Set A = F[M]. Assume that $X \in Z(A)$. We consider two cases:

Case 1. If $X \in GL_n(D)$ and $X^{-1} \notin A$, then we have $\langle M, X \rangle \subseteq C_{M_n(D)}(Z(A))$ and by maximality of M we conclude that $C_{M_n(D)}(Z(A)) = M_n(D)$. This means that Z(A) = F which is a contradiction. Thus $X^{-1} \in Z(A)$.

Case 2. Assume that $X \notin GL_n(D)$. Then there exists an $n \times n$ matrix P in $GL_n(D)$ such that the first row of PXP^{-1} is zero. We note that PMP^{-1} is a maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$, $F[PMP^{-1}]$ is a PI-algebra, and $PXP^{-1} \in$ $Z(F[PMP^{-1}]) = PZ(F[M])P^{-1}$. Set J := XF[M]. Then J is an ideal in F[M] and $PXP^{-1}(PF[M]P^{-1}) = PJP^{-1} = PXP^{-1}F[PMP^{-1}]$ is also an ideal in $F[PMP^{-1}]$. Put $J' = PJP^{-1}$ and $B := \{Y \in M_n(D) | YJ' \subset J'\}$. It is clear that B is a ring and $PAP^{-1} \subseteq B$. On the other hand PXP^{-1} is a matrix whose first row is zero. Therefore, $D_{11}(d) \in B$ for all $d \in D$. It is clear that $PMP^{-1} \subseteq B^*$. Thus, $B^* = GL_n(D)$ or $B^* = PMP^{-1}$. In the first case $B = M_n(D)$ and so J' is a right ideal and clearly J is a right ideal in $M_n(D)$. In the second case $D_{11}(d) \in PMP^{-1}$ for all $d \in D$. Therefore, $F[PMP^{-1}]$ contains a copy of D^* and so D is a PI-algebra which implies $[D:F]<\infty$ that is a contradiction. Similarly, there exists a matrix $Q \in GL_n(D)$ such that QXQ^{-1} is a matrix whose first column is zero. Set $C = \{Y \in M_n(D) | (QJQ^{-1})Y \subset QJQ^{-1}\}$. As above, one may show that J is a left ideal in $M_n(D)$. Consequently, J is an ideal in $M_n(D)$. Since $J \neq 0$ we obtain $J = M_n(D)$. Therfore, $F[M] = M_n(D)$ and so $[D:F] < \infty$ which is a contradiction. Thus, Z(A) is a field and the proof is complete.

LEMMA 4. Let D be a division ring of infinite dimension over its centre F and $n \geq 1$. Assume that M is a maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$. If F[M] is a PI-algebra, then F[M] is simple and we have $[F[M]: Z(F[M])] < \infty$.

PROOF. By Lemma 2-3, we conclude that F[M] is a prime ring whose centre is a field. Since F[M] is also a PI-algebra, by Theorem 7.5 of [3], we conclude that F[M] is simple. Finally, the rest of the result follows from Kaplansky's Theorem.

Theorem 5. Let D be a division ring with centre Z(D) = F and $n \ge 1$.

Assume that M is a maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$ such that Z(M) is algebraic over F. If F[M] is a PI-algebra, then $[D:F] < \infty$.

PROOF. We have $M \subseteq F[M]^*$. By maximality of M we conclude that either $F[M]^* = GL_n(D)$ or $F[M]^* = M$. The first case gives us F[M] = $M_n(D)$. Now, use Kaplansky's Theorem to obtain $[D:F]<\infty$. Therefore, we may assume that $F[M]^* = M$. To complete the proof, we show that the assumption $[D:F]=\infty$ leads to a contradiction. Thus, suppose [D:F] $F = \infty$. Then, by Lemma 4 and Artin-Wedderburn's Theorem, we have $F[M] \cong M_{n_1}(D_1)$ for some positive integer n_1 and division ring D_1 , and so $M \cong GL_{n_1}(D_1)$. We claim that $Z(M) = F^*$. For otherwise, since Z(M) is algebraic over F there exists $a \in Z(M) \setminus F^*$ such that $[F(a) : F] < \infty$. Now, we have $F[M] \subseteq C_{M_n(D)}(F(a)) := A$. If $F[M] \neq A$, then, by the Centralizer Theorem, we conclude that A is a simple Artinian ring. Therefore, there exists a positive integer n_2 and a division ring D_2 such that $A \cong M_{n_2}(D_2)$ and so $A^* \cong GL_{n_2}(D_2)$. We know that $M \subseteq A^*$. If $M = A^*$, then we clearly have F[M] = A which is a contradiction to our assumption. Thus, by maximality of M, one concludes that $A^* = GL_n(D)$, i.e., $C_{M_n(D)}(F(a)) =$ $A = M_n(D)$ which contradicts the fact that $a \in Z(M) \setminus F$. Therefore, we must have A = F[M] and so Z(F[M]) = Z(A) = F(a) by the Centralizer Theorem. Thus, by Lemma 4, this means that $[F[M]:F]<\infty$. Now, apply the Centralizer Theorem again to obtain $M_n(D) \otimes F(a)^{0p} \cong M_s(F) \otimes F[M]$ for some positive integer s. The last isomorphism implies that $[D:F]<\infty$ which contradicts our assumption. Therefore, we must have $Z(M) = F^*$ and the claim is established. Now, since F[M] is simple and $F[M]^* = M$ we obtain Z(F[M]) = F. Finally, consider the simple Artinian ring B := $C_{M_n(D)}(F[M])$. There exists a positive integer n_3 and a division ring D_3 such that $B \cong M_{n_3}(D_3)$. If $F \neq C_{M_n(D)}(F[M])$, then $F^* \subset B^* \cong GL_{n_3}(D_3)$. Therefore, there is an $X \in B^* \setminus F^*$ such that $\langle M, X \rangle \subseteq C_{M_n(D)}(F(X))$ and so $M_n(D) = C_{M_n(D)}(F(X))$ which is a contradiction to the fact that $X \notin F^*$. Thus, $F = C_{M_n(D)}(F[M])$. Now, using the Centralizer Theorem as above, one concludes that $[D:F]<\infty$ which is a contradiction and this completes the proof.

The next result generalizes Theorem 4.1 of [1].

COROLLARY 6. Let D be a division ring with centre Z(D) = F and $n \ge 1$. Assume that M is a maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$ such that Z(M) is algebraic over F. If M satisfies a multi-linear polynomial identity, then $[D:F] < \infty$.

The following result is also a generalization of Corollary 4.2 of [1].

COROLLARY 7. Let D be a division ring of infinite dimension over its centre Z(D) = F and $n \geq 1$. Then $GL_n(D)$ contains no abelian maximal subgroup which is algebraic over F.

COROLLARY 8. Let D be a division ring with centre F. If either n = 1 and D is noncommutative or n > 1 and D is infinite, then there exists no maximal subgroup M of $GL_n(D)$, $n \ge 1$, containing F^* such that $[M:F^*] < \infty$.

PROOF. Assume that there is a maximal subgroup M such that $[M:F^*]<\infty$. Then, we have $[F[M]:F]<\infty$, i.e., F[M] is a PI-algebra and M is algebraic over F. Thus, by Theorem 5, we obtain $[D:F]<\infty$. Let x_1,\ldots,x_t be the representatives for cosets of F^* in M, i.e., $M=F^*x_1\cup\ldots\cup F^*x_t$. Then, we have $M=< x_1,\ldots,x_t>F^*$, where $< x_1,\ldots,x_t>$ is the group generated by x_1,\ldots,x_t . Take $x\in GL_n(D)\backslash M$. By maximality of M, we obtain $GL_n(D)=< x_1,\ldots,x_t,x>F^*$. Put $H=< x_1,\ldots,x_t,x>$. Thus, $GL_n(D)=HF^*$ and consequently we have $SL_n(D)=H'\subset H$, i. e., H is normal in $GL_n(D)$. Now, by Theorem 5 of [2], we conclude that $H\subset F^*$, i. e., $GL_n(D)=F^*$ which means that n=1 and D=F that is a contradiction and so the result follows.

COROLLARY 9. Let R be a semisimple Artinian F-algebra with $[Z(R):F]<\infty$. Assume that M is a maximal subgroup of R^* such that Z(M) is algebraic over F. If F[M] satisfies a polynomial identity, then we have $[R:F]<\infty$.

Now, assume that M is a maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$ containing F^* such that M/F^* is locally finite. The next result gives some information about the

algebraic structure of M.

THEOREM 10. Let D be a noncommutative division ring with centre F and $n \ge 1$. Assume that M is a maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$ containing F^* such that M/F^* is locally finite. Then we have either M is absolutely irreducible and D is locally finite dimensional or $[D:F] < \infty$ and $M = K^*$, where K is a subfield of $M_n(D)$.

PROOF. Consider the F-algebra F[M]. If $F[M]^* = GL_n(D)$, then we have $F[M] = M_n(D)$. Since M/F^* is locally finite we conclude that D is locally finite dimensional and so the result follows. Thus, we may assume throughout that $F[M]^* \neq GL_n(D)$. By maximality of M we conclude that $F[M]^* = M$. We observe that since M/F^* is locally finite then F[M] is locally finite dimensional. Therefore, for each finite set of elemnts $m_1 \cdots m_r \in M$ we have $[F[m_1 \cdots m_r]: F] < \infty$. We next claim that F[M] is a PI-algebra satisfying $P(X,Y) = (XY - YX)^n$. To do this, let $x,y \in F[M]$. Then, there exist elements $m_i, n_j \in M$ with $1 \le i \le t, 1 \le j \le s$ such that $x = m_1 + \cdots + m_t$ and $y = n_1 + \cdots + n_s$, and we have $[F[m_1, \cdots m_t, n_1, \cdots n_s] : F] < \infty$. Therefore, $A = F[m_1, \dots, m_t, n_1, \dots, n_s]$ is an Artinian PI -ring and so the Jacobson radical J = J(A) of A is nilpotent. Therefore, by 1.3.9 of [12], we conclude that $J^n = 0$. Now, by Wedderburn-Artin Theorem, there exist positive integers n_1, \dots, n_k such that $B := \frac{A}{J(A)} \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \dots \times M_{n_k}(D_k)$ as F-algebras, for some division rings D_i , where $1 \leq i \leq k$. Now, we have $B^* = \{m + J | m \in A\}$ $M \cap A \cong GL_{n_1}(D_1) \times \cdots GL_{n_k}(D_k)$. Since B^* is torsion over F we conclude that each D_i is torsion over F where $F \subseteq Z(D_i)$. Thus D_i is torsion over $Z(D_i)$ and by a result of Kaplansky (cf. [5]), we obtain $D_i = Z(D_i) := F_i$. Therefore, we obtain $B \cong M_{n_1}(F_1) \times \cdots \times M_{n_k}(F_k)$. If there exists i such that $n_i \neq 1$, then the dilatation $n_i \times n_i$ matrix $D_{11}(f)$, where $f \in F_i$, is torsion over F. In particular, this implies that F^* is a torsion group. Now, F^* and M/F^* are locally finite. Therefore, by a well-known result of group theory, M is locally finite. By Proposition 1, either M contains an isomorphic copy of D^* or M is irreducible. The first case says that D^* is locally finite. This implies, by a result of Jacobson (cf. [5]), that D = F which is a contradiction.

Thus, we may assume that M is irreducible and so it is completely reducible. Therefore, by 1.1.14 of [12], we conclude that F[M] is semisimple Artinian. Thus, as in the above case, there exist positive integers m_1, \dots, m_k such that $F[M] \cong M_{m_1}(D_1) \times \cdots \times M_{m_k}(D_k)$, for some division rings D_i , where $1 \leq i \leq k$. Now, we have $F[M]^* = M \cong GL_{m_1}(D_1) \times \cdots GL_{m_k}(D_k)$. Since M is locally finite, as above, we conclude that $D_i = F_i = Z(D_i)$. Therefore, $F[M] \cong$ $M_{m_1}(F_1) \times \cdots \times M_{m_k}(F_k)$. This means that F[M] satisfies a polynomial identity and so by Theorem 5 we conclude that $[D:F]<\infty$. Since F^* is torsion we obtain D = F which is a contradiction. Therefore, in the decomposition of B for all i we have $n_i = 1$, i.e., $B \cong F_1 \times \cdots \times F_k$. This implies that for each $x,y \in A$ we have $xy - yx \in J$ and therefore $(xy - yx)^n = 0$ since $J^n = 0$. Thus, F[M] satisfies $P(X,Y) = (XY - YX)^n$. Therefore, by Theorem 5, we obtain $[D:F]<\infty$. Since M is irreducible and $[D:F]<\infty$, by 1.1.12 of [12], we conclude that F[M] is simple Artinian, i.e., $F[M] \cong M_t(D_1)$ for some t and division ring D_1 . Now, we may use a similar argument as above to deduce that $D_1 = F_1 = Z(D_1)$. If $t \neq 1$, we may conclude that F is torsion and since $[D:F]<\infty$ we obtain D=F which is a contradiction. Therefore, t=1 and we have $F[M]^* = M \cong F_1^*$ which completes the proof.

COROLLARY 11. Let D be a division ring with centre F and $n \ge 1$. Assume that M is a maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$. If M is locally finite, then we have D = F.

PROOF. If $F^* \not\subseteq M$, then $SL_n(D) \subset M$. Since M is torsion, we conclude that $SL_n(D)$ is torsion. Thus, by Corollary 2 of [8], we conclude that D = F. So, we may assume that $F^* \subseteq M$. This implies that F^* is torsion and so M/F^* is torsion. Now, Theorem 10 implies that D is algebraic over F. Therefore, D is algebraic over its prime subfield and so by a result of Jacobson we conclude that D = F.

4 Group identities on M

Let D be a noncommutative division ring with infinite centre F and n be a positive integer. Given a maximal subgroup M of A^* such that F[M] is algebraic over F, the key result of this section is to show that if M satisfies a group identity, then we have either $M = K^*$, where K is a field and $[D:F] < \infty$ or M is absolutely irreducible. Particularly, if M is a noncommutative soluble maximal subgroup of A^* such that F[M] is algebraic over F, it is proved that M is abelian-by-locally finite. When D is of finite dimension over F, assume that N is a subnormal subgroup of A^* and M is a maximal subgroup of N. It is proved that if M satisfies a group identity, then M is abelian-by-finite.

THEOREM 1. Let D be a noncommutative division ring with infinite centre F and $n \geq 1$. Assume that M is a maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$ such that F[M] is algebraic over F. If M satisfies a group identity, then we have either $M = K^*$, where K is a field and $[D:F] < \infty$ or M is absolutely irreducible.

PROOF. If $F^* \not\subseteq M$, then M is normal in $GL_n(D)$. This means that F[M] is normal in $M_n(D)$. Thus, by a result of [10], we conclude that either $F[M] \subset F$ or $F[M] = M_n(D)$. If the first case occurs, then we have $M \subseteq F^*$ which is a contradiction to the fact that M is a maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$. The second case says that M is absolutely irreducible. So, let $F^* \subset M$, we have two cases to consider. If $F[M]^* = GL_n(D)$, then M is absolutely irreducible. So, assume that $F[M]^* = M$. By Proposition 3.1, we know that either M contains an isomorphic copy of D^* or M is irreducible. If the first case occurs, then D^* satisfies a group identity. But since F is infinite this is impossible by a result of Amitsur (cf. [11]). Therefore, M must be irreducible. Thus, by 1.1.14 of [12, p. 9], we conclude that F[M] is a prime ring. Now, by Theorem 5.5 of [6], we have $F[M] \cong M_r(K)$ for some positive integer r, where K is an extension field of F. This shows that F[M] satisfies a polynomial identity. Now, by Theorem 3.5, we conclude that $[D:F] < \infty$. Finally, we have $F[M]^* = M \cong GL_r(K)$. If $r \neq 1$, then M contains a copy of $SL_r(K)$,

i.e., M contains a free subgroup (cf. [12]). This contradicts the fact that M satisfies a group identity. Therefore, we have r=1 and this completes the proof.

COROLLARY 2. Let D be a division ring with infinite centre F and $n \ge 1$. Assume that M is a noncommutative maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$ such that F[M] is algebraic over F. If M satisfies a group identity, then $M_n(D)$ is algebraic over F.

COROLLARY 3. Let D be a division ring with infinite centre F and $n \ge 1$. Assume that M is a noncommutative nilpotent maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$ such that F[M] is algebraic over F. Then M is centre-by-locally finite. Therefore, M/F^* is locally finite and so D is locally finite dimensional.

PROOF. M satisfies a group identity since M is nilpotent. Thus, by Theorem 1, we conclude that M is absolutely irreducible. Now, by a result of [12, p. 213], this implies that M is centre-by-locally finite and so the proof is complete.

COROLLARY 4. Let D be a division ring with infinite centre F and $n \ge 1$. Assume that M is a noncommutative soluble maximal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$ such that F[M] is algebraic over F. Then M is abelian-by-locally finite.

PROOF. M satisfies a group identity since M is soluble. By Theorem 1, we conclude that M is absolutely irreducible. Now, by a result of [13], this implies that M is abelian-by-locally finite and so the proof is complete.

To prove our final result, we need the following

LEMMA 5. Let D be a division algebra of finite dimension over its centre F. Assume that G is a subgroup of D^* . If G satisfies a group identity, then G is abelian-by-finite.

PROOF. Assume that G satisfies a group identity. Since $[D:F] < \infty$ we conclude that G is a linear group. By a theorem of Platonov (cf. [14. p. 149]), G is soluble-by-finite, i.e., there exists a soluble normal subgroup N of G such that G/N is finite. Since $[D:F] < \infty$ we conclude that F[N] is a division

ring, and therefore F[N] is semisimple. Thus, N as a linear group over F is completely reducible. Therefore, N is a completely reducible soluble linear group. So, by a theorem of [4, p. 111], N is abelian-by-finite and consequently, G is abelian-by-finite which completes the proof.

THEOREM 6. Let D be a noncommutative division algebra of finite dimension over its centre F and $n \geq 1$. Assume that N is a subnormal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$ and M is a maximal subgroup of N. If M satisfies a group identity, then M is abelian-by-finite.

PROOF. The case n=1 follows from Lemma 4. So, we may assume that $n\geq 2$ and N is a subnormal subgroup of $GL_n(D)$. By Theorem 11 of [8], we have either $N\subset F^*$ or $SL_n(D)\subset N$, i.e., N is normal in $GL_n(D)$. We now claim that M is irreducible. For otherwise assume that M is reducible. Therefore, D^n has a nontrivial submodule as D-F[M] bimodule. Thus, there exists an invertible $n\times n$ matrix P over D such that $PMP^{-1}\subset \Sigma$, where $\Sigma=\{\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\0&C\end{pmatrix}\mid A\in GL_s(D), C\in GL_{n-s}(D), B\in M_{s\times (n-s)}(D)\}\cap N$. It is clear that PMP^{-1} is also a maximal subgroup of N. Set

$$T = \left(\begin{array}{cc} SL_s(D) & o \\ o & SL_{n-s}(D) \end{array}\right).$$

Then, we have $T \subset SL_n(D)$ and so $T \subset \Sigma$. Now, we clearly have $PMP^{-1} \subseteq \Sigma \subseteq N$. By maximality of PMP^{-1} we conclude that either $PMP^{-1} = \Sigma$ or $N = \Sigma$. If the second case occurs, then $I + e_{n1} \in SL_n(D)$ whereas $I + e_{n1} \notin \Sigma = N$ and this contradicts the fact that $SL_n(D) \subseteq N$. Therefore, $PMP^{-1} = \Sigma$ which implies that Σ satisfies a group identity, and so $T \subset \Sigma$ satisfies a group identity. Now, by 4.5.1 of [12], we conclude that D = F which is a contradiction. Thus, M is irreducible as claimed. Therefore, by 1.1.12 of [12], F[M] is simple Artinian. So, there exists a positive integer t and a division ring D_1 such that $F[M] \cong M_t(D_1)$ as F-algebras. Thus, $F[M]^* \cong GL_t(D_1)$ and $(F[M]^*)' \cong SL_t(D_1)$. Since N is normal in $GL_n(D)$ we have $(F[M]^*)' \subset N$. If $F[M]^* \cap N = M$, then we have $SL_t(D_1) \cong (F[M]^*)' \subseteq F[M]^* \cap N = M$. Since M satisfies a group identity, by 4.5.1 of [12] again, we conclude that

either D_1 is a locally finite field or t = 1 and $D_1 = Z(D_1)$. In the first case F is also a locally finite field and since $[D:F] < \infty$ we conclude that D = F which is a contradiction. The second case implies that M is abelian and the result follows. Finally, if $N \cap F[M]^* = N$, then $N \subseteq F[M]^*$. Since N is normal in $GL_n(D)$ we conclude that $F[M] = M_n(D)$, i.e., M is absolutely irreducible. By a result of [14, p. 149], M is soluble-by-finite, i.e., there is a soluble normal subgroup N_1 such that M/N_1 is finite. Now, by 1.2.12 of [12], $F[N_1]$ is semisimple Artinian. Therefore, N_1 is a soluble completely reducible linear group. By a theorem of [4, p. 111], N_1 is abelian-by-finite and therefore M is abelian-by-finite which completes the proof.

The authors thank the Research Council of Sharif University of Technology for support. The second author is also indebted to the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics for its support during his stay in 2002.

References

- [1] S. Akbari, M. Mahdavi-Hezavehi, M. G. Mahmudi, Maximal subgroups of $GL_1(D)$, J. Algebra, 217 (1999), 422-433.
- [2] S. Akbari, M. Mahdavi-Hezavehi, Normal subgroups of $GL_n(D)$ are not finitely generated, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 128 (2000), 1627-1632.
- [3] P. M. Cohn, Algebra, Vol. 3, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 1991.
- [4] D. Dixon, The structure of linear groups, Van Nostrand, London, (1971).
- [5] T. Y. Lam, A first course in noncommutative rings, GTM, No. 131, Springer-Verlag, (1991).
- [6] C. H. Liu, Some properties on rings with units satisfying a group identity, J. Algebra, 232 (2000), 226-235.

- [7] M. Mahdavi-Hezavehi, Free subgroups in maximal subgroups of $GL_1(D)$, J. Algebra, 241 (2001), 720-730.
- [8] M. Mahdavi-Hezavehi, and S. Akbari, Some special subgroups of $GL_n(D)$, Algebra Collog. 5:4 (1998), 361-370.
- [9] M. Mahdavi-Hezavehi, M. G. Mahmudi, S. Yasamin, Finitely generated subnormal subgroups of $GL_n(D)$ are central, J. Algebra, 225 (2000), 517-521.
- [10] Alex Rosenberg, The Cartan-Brauer-Hua Theorem for matrix and local matrix rings, *Proc. Amer. Mat. Soc.*, 7(1956), 891-898.
- [11] L. Rowen, Ring theory, Volume II, Academic Press, INC, (1988).
- [12] M. Shirvani, B. A. F. Wehrfritz, Skew linear groups, LMS Lecture Note Series, No. 118, (1986).
- [13] R. L. Snider, Solvable linear groups over division rings, *Proc. Amer. Soc.*, 91 (1984), 341-344.
- [14] A. E. Zalesskii, Linear groups, in "Algebra IV," part II (A. I. Kostrikin, I. R. Shafarevich, Eds.), Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Science, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1993.