

⑨ What is the relationship between Chow motives and motivic Galois groups?

Here is P. O'Sullivan's answer.

We assume the standard ej.  $\sim_{\text{hom}} = \sim_{\text{num}}$  and finite-dim. of Chow motives  
(in the sense of Kimura - O'Sullivan)

[But of course, the following applies to some  $\otimes$ -subcategories for which one knows the ej holds  $\leadsto$  unconditional result.]

We choose a field of coefficients  $F$  s.t.

$M_{\text{num}}(k)_F$  is tamekian neutral

$\simeq \text{Rep}_F G$        $G = G_{\text{mot}}$  : absolute  
pure motivic Galois gp  
(a pro-reductive gp/ $F$ )

$M_{\text{num}}(k)_F \simeq \text{Rep}_F(G, -\text{id})$

(acting as  $-!$  on  
odd rep's.)

$\text{CHM}(k)_F$

$M_{\text{rat}}''(k)_F \longrightarrow M_{\text{num}}(k)_F$

- 26 -  
Under the above  $\mathfrak{g}$ :

Thm (O'Sullivan). There exists an affine  $G$ -super-scheme  $\mathrm{Spec} A$  and a closed point  $0 \in \mathrm{Spec} A$  fixed by  $G$ , such that  $A^G = F$  and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CHM}(k)_F & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Vec}(\mathrm{Spec} A; G, -\mathrm{id}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{fiber at } 0 \\ M_{\mathrm{num}}(k)_F & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Rep}_F(G, -\mathrm{id}) \end{array}$$


---

Here  $\mathrm{Vec}(\mathrm{Spec} A; G, -\mathrm{id})$  is the  $\otimes$ -category of  $G$ -equivariant super-vector bundles over  $\mathrm{Spec} A$  (for which the action of  $-\mathrm{id} \in G$  defines parity).

So understanding Chow motives amounts to understanding  $G$  and

$A \in \mathrm{Ind} M_{\mathrm{num}}(k)_F$ , objects which belong to "the "numerical world" !

$$p: 0 \leftrightarrow a: A \rightarrow F$$

- Voevodsky's nilpotency  $\Leftrightarrow$  kera nil-ideal

- weight grading  $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{+\infty} A_i$

Block-Beilinson-Murre's conj.

$\Leftrightarrow A_i = 0$  for  $i < 0 \Leftrightarrow A_0 = 1$  and

$A$  generated by  $A_1$ .

# MIXED MOTIVIC GALOIS THEORY

⑩ Construction of "tannakian" categories  
of mixed motives.

(A) Via conjectural t-structure on  $DM_{gm}(k)_\alpha$

$$DM_{gm}(k)_\alpha^{\leq 0} \cap DM_{gm}(k)_\alpha^{> 0} = MM(k)_\alpha^{\text{ab.}}$$

$$\tau_H^i : DM_{gm}(k)_\alpha \rightarrow MM(k)_\alpha$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CHM(k)_\alpha & \xhookrightarrow{\quad h \quad} & DM(k)_\alpha \\ \downarrow \text{mod.} & \swarrow \beta(k) & \downarrow \text{maxi.} \\ M_{num}(k)_\alpha & \hookrightarrow & MM(k)_\alpha \end{array}$$

tannakian after  
change of conn.  
constraint à la  
Koszul.

$$M_{num}(k)_\alpha \hookrightarrow MM(k)_\alpha$$

full subcategory  
of semi-simple objects.

absolute mixed motivic  
Galois group (attached to  
any realization).

Extension of the pure  
by a unipotent group.

Unconditional ex: mixed Tate motives  
over  $k =$  number field.

$$D^b(TM(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}) \hookrightarrow DM_{gm}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Tannakian gp is an extension of  
 $G_m$  by a pro-unipotent group.

B) Nori's category. /kcc.

Q quiver ("category without composition  
of morphisms")

"representation"  $T: Q \rightarrow \text{Ab}$

means  $Q \rightarrow (\text{End } T) - \text{Mod}$  ( where  
 $\text{End } T$  is the ring of natural transf. of  $T$ )  
whenever  $Q$  is finite,

and  $Q \rightarrow C(T) - \text{Mod}$  in general,

where  $C(T) = \lim$  of  $(\text{End } T|_{Q'}) - \text{Mod}$   
over all finite subquivers.

Apply to "Q": objects:  $(x, y, i)$   $i \geq 0$

morphisms:  $(x, y, i) \rightarrow (x', y', i')$   $y' \in X$  affine  
(obvious ones)

+  $(x, y, i) \rightarrow (y, z, i+1)$   $z \in Y \subset X$

$T: (x, y, i) \mapsto H: (x, y, i)$

invert "Tate object" in  $C(T) \rightsquigarrow MM(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$   
tannakian neutral.

(11) Back to Grothendieck's period ej.

$k \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

$$M \in MM(k)_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \xrightarrow{\text{(mixed) periods}} \Omega_M \quad (\text{integrate of alg. forms})$$

(matrix of the comp. is w.r.t. "rat." basis:

$$H_{DR}(M) \otimes_k \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_B(M) \otimes_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathbb{C}.$$

(mixed) motivic Galois gp  $G_{\text{mot}}(M)$   
period torsor  $GP(M)$ .

$G_{\text{mot}}(M)_k$

One can extend Grothendieck's period ej.  
to this setting:

"all alg. relations between periods (with  
coeff. in  $k$ ) are of motivic origin"

$$\text{tr.deg}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} k(\Omega_M) = ? \dim G_{\text{mot}}(M).$$

Kontsevich viewpoint: if one uses Nori's  
category, this ej. takes the following  
form:

"all alg. relations between periods come  
from alg. changes of variables and  
Stokes formula for integrals".

More precisely:

Consider  $\Omega$ -space gen. by

$$[(X, D, \omega, \gamma)] \quad X \text{ affine smooth }/\mathbb{Q}$$

$$D \subset X \text{ NCD}$$

$$\omega \in \Omega^{\dim X}(X)$$

$$\gamma \in H_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_C, D_C, \mathbb{Q})$$

modulo relations:

i) linearity in  $\omega$  and  $\gamma$ ,

ii)  $\forall f : (X, D) \rightarrow (X', D')$ ,  $\forall \omega \in \Omega^{dR}(X)$

$\gamma \in H_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_C, D_C, \mathbb{Q})$ ,

$$[(X, D, f^*\omega, \gamma)] = [(X, D, \omega, f_*\gamma)]$$

iii)  $D^{(1)}$  (resp.  $D^{(2)}$ ) normalization of  $D$

(reg. of 2nd intersection stratum),  $\forall \eta \in \Omega^{dR}(X')$

$$[(X, D, d\eta, \gamma)] = [(\hat{D}^{(1)}, D^{(2)}, \eta|_{D^{(2)}}, \partial\gamma)]$$

this is a  $\Omega$ -alg.  $\hookrightarrow$  alg.  $\hat{D}$  after inversion

of  $[(\hat{A}', 0, \frac{dx}{x}, \gamma)]$  or "formal mixed period"

$$\hat{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : [(X, D, \omega, \gamma)] \mapsto \int_X \omega$$

period ej: this is injective.

Comment. Toward a Galois theory for  
transcendental numbers?

alg. num  $\alpha \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} \begin{matrix} \alpha' \\ \alpha'' \end{matrix}$  conjugates, which  
are permuted by the  
Galois gp of the normal closure  
of  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Is there anything similar for transcendental  
plex numbers  $\alpha$ ? If  $\alpha$  is a period,  
motivic Galois theory suggests a positive answer:

normal closure of  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ :  $\mathbb{Q}[\text{Fl}(M)]$  for  
 $M$  minimal (s.t.  $\mathbb{Q}[\text{Fl}]$  contains  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ ).

$$G = G_{\text{mot}}^{(H_0)}(M)(\mathbb{Q}) \curvearrowleft$$

conjugates of  $\alpha$ : orbit of  $\alpha$  under this action  
of  $G$ .

Ex: -  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  nln field  $M$ : comp. Artin  
motivic  
 $\mathbb{Q}[\beta] =$  normal closure of  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$   
and  $G$  is the usual Galois gp.

- $\alpha = 2\pi i$ ,  $M = 1(-1)$ ,  $G = \mathbb{Q}^*$   
conj. of  $\alpha$ : non-zero rational multiples.
- $\alpha = w_1$ , <sup>elliptic</sup> period of the 1st kind without  
plex multiplication  
 $M = h'(x)$ ,  $G \cong GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ ,  
conj. of  $\alpha = w_1$  are el's of  $\mathbb{Q}w_1 \oplus \mathbb{Q}w_2$ .

(12)

## Polyzetas, mixed Tate motives and their motivic Galois groups.

(A) Polyzetas

$$\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \quad s_i > 0$$

$$s_i > 1$$

$$\xi(\underline{s}) := \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_k > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_k^{s_k}}$$

$$= \int_{t_1 > \dots > t_s > 0} \omega_{s_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{s_k} \quad s = |\underline{s}| = s_1 + \dots + s_k$$

$$\omega_0 = \frac{dt}{t}, \quad \omega_1 = \frac{dt}{1-t}, \quad \omega_r = \omega_0^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \quad r > 1$$

One iterated integral

period of the ind.-Tate motive

$$h(\pi_1^{\text{uni}}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}, \vec{0})) \in \text{Ind } TM(\mathbb{Q}).$$

(Goncharov, Deligne...)

Actually  $\in \text{Ind } TM(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}}$

mixed Tate motives  
unclassified at every  
prime  $p$ .

$$\begin{aligned} Z^s \subset \mathbb{R} \\ Z^s = \mathbb{Q}\text{-subspace gen. by} \\ \zeta(s) \quad (\zeta(s))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$Z = \sum Z^s$$

$TM(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}}$

in  $TM(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $\text{Ext}^i(1, 1(r)) = 0 \quad i > 1, r > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^i(1, 1(r)) &= H^i(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(r)) \\ &= K_{2r-1}(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \begin{cases} 0 & r \text{ even} > 1 \\ \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Q} & r=1 \\ \mathbb{Q} & r \text{ odd} > 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

in  $TM(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , same but  $K_{2r-1}(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0$  if  $r =$

Theorem (Deligne · Goncharov) The motivic Galois gp attached to  $TM(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is

of the form  $G_{TM(\mathbb{Z})} = \mathbb{G}_m \ltimes G'_{TM(\mathbb{Z})}$   
 $\uparrow$   
 pro-unipotent

- 1)  $\text{Lie } G'_{TM(\mathbb{Z})}$ , graded by the  $\mathbb{G}_m$  action, is the free graded Lie algebra with one generator in each odd degree  $\leq -3$ .
- 2)  $TM(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \{ \text{f.d. } (\text{Lie } G'_{TM(\mathbb{Z})})\text{-graded modules} \}$

$TM'(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}} \subseteq TM(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  sub-Tannakian category generated by f.d. piece of

$$h(\pi_a^{\text{uni}}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}, \bar{\alpha})) .$$

so that  $\mathcal{Z}$  (which is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra as we shall see) is the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -alg. of real periods of objects of  $TM'(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Cor. (Goncharov, Terasoma)

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{Z}_s \leq d_s, \quad \text{where } d_s = d_{s-2} + d_{s-1}, \quad d_0 = d_1 = 1, \quad d_2 = c$$

Rank: there is no non-motivic proof of this inequality!

Hint : as a graded comm. alg.,  $(U(\text{Lie } G_{TM}(z)))^*$   
 $\simeq \mathbb{Q}[\tau_1] \otimes \underbrace{U(L(T_{-3}, T_{-5}, \dots))}_\text{= graded Hopf alg. of functions on } G_{TM}^1(z)$   
 via  $G_{TM}(z) \rightarrow G_{TM}'(z)$ ,

$$U(\text{Lie } G_{TM}'(z))^* \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[\tau_1] \otimes U(L(T_{-3}, T_{-5}, \dots))^*$$

Period torsor

$$\text{as } U(\text{Lie } G_{TM}'(z))^* \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{Z}[2\pi i]$$

$$\mathcal{Z} = \text{image of } \varphi = \mathcal{Z} \oplus 2\pi i \mathcal{Z}$$

$$\cap \underbrace{\mathbb{Q}[\tau_1^2] \otimes U(L(T_{-3}, \dots))}_\text{graded piece s of dim d_s}^*$$

graded piece  $s$  of  $\dim d_s$ .

Rmk :  $TM(z)_\mathbb{Q} \stackrel{?}{=} TM'(z)_\mathbb{Q}$   
 + Grothendieck's period  $g$  for  $TM'(z)_\mathbb{Q}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{Z} = \bigoplus_s \mathcal{Z}_s$$

$$\text{and } \dim \mathcal{Z}_s = d_s .$$

### © Explicit relations between polyzetas.

two sets of known relations:

- regularized double shuffle relation  
(RDS)
- Drinfel'd's associator relations  
(Ass).

$$\text{RDS} : \zeta(s) \cdot \zeta(s')$$

$$= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots} \frac{1}{n_1} \frac{1}{n_2} \dots$$

$\int \int \dots$   
 $t_1 > t_2 > \dots \quad t'_1 > t'_2 > \dots$   
 $\underbrace{\quad}_{\text{decompose the index set}} \quad \square$   
 $\underbrace{\quad}_{\text{decompose the integr. domain}} \quad \text{into simplices}$

$\underbrace{\quad}_{\text{decompose the index set}}$

= lin. comb. of  
                     $\zeta(s)$ 's

= another lin. comb. of  $\zeta(s)$ 's

( $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra).

Can be extended to  $s_i = 1$  (regularization)

Thm (Goncharov) RDS relations are of motivic origin.

Thm (Racinet) they define a torsor under some affine gp scheme  $G_{\text{RDS}}$  which contains  $G_{\text{PMF}}(z)$ .

$$\text{Ass : } \frac{dG(z)}{dz} = \left( \frac{x_0}{z} + \frac{x_1}{1-z} \right) G(z)$$

$$\text{sol. } G_0(z) \sim z^{x_0}, \quad G_1(z) \sim (1-z)^{-x_1}$$

$$G_1(z)^{-1} G_0(z) = \phi(x_0, x_1) \text{ indep of } z$$

$$\phi_{KZ} = \phi\left(\frac{x_0}{z^m}, \frac{-x_1}{z^n}\right) \quad \text{Drinfel'd's associator.}$$

- exp. of a Lie series in  $x_0, x_1$ ,

$$- \phi_{KZ}(x_1, x_0) = \phi_{KZ}(x_0, x_1)^{-1}$$

$$- e^{x_0/2} \phi_{KZ}(x_{-1}, x_0) e^{x_{-1}/2} \phi_{KZ}(x_1, x_{-1}).$$

$$e^{x_1/2} \phi_{KZ}(x_0, x_1) = 1$$

$$\text{with } x_{-1} = -x_0 - x_1,$$

$$- \phi_{KZ}(x_{01}, x_{12} + x_{13}) \cdot \phi_{KZ}(x_{02} + x_{12}, x_{23}) \\ = \phi_{KZ}(x_{12}, x_{23}) \phi_{KZ}(x_{01} + x_{02}, \\ x_{13} + x_{23}) \phi_{KZ}(x_{01}, x$$

$x_{ij}$ ,  $0 \leq i < j \leq 3$  non-comm. var.

$$x_{ij} x_{kl} = x_{kl} x_{ij} \quad (\{x_{ij} + x_{ik}, x_{jk}\} = 0 \\ i, j, k, l \text{ distinct.})$$

Point : coeff. of  $\phi = 1 + S(z) x_0 x_1 + \dots$   
are polyzetas

Drinfel'd's rel  $\Rightarrow$  assoc. relations  
between polyzetas.

-34-

Fact:  $(A_{\infty})$  relations are of motivic origin, and define a torsor under the so-called Grothendieck-Teichmüller gp GT

$$G_{M(\mathbb{Z})} \rightarrow G_{TM^*(\mathbb{Z})} \hookrightarrow G_{RDS}$$

Conj: these gp's coincide.

$(A_{\infty})$  and  $(RDS)$  are, independently, defining equations for polylogas.

D) Hodge and Tate conjecture for  $TM^*(\mathbb{Z})_a$

$$TM^*(\mathbb{Z})_a \xrightarrow{Hg} MHS_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

$$TM^*(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \xrightarrow{Hg} \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$$

fully faithful.

$$\text{Ext}^1(A, A(r)) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{MHS}^1(A, A(r)) \cong \mathbb{C}/(2\pi i)$$

$$\text{Ext}^1(A, A(r)) \cong K_{2r+1}(\mathbb{Z})$$

Rep<sub>g</sub> Gal $(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$   
unr. outside

⊗(1)

(Soule').

the END