

TWISTED γ -FILTRATION OF A LINEAR ALGEBRAIC GROUP.

KIRILL ZAINOULLINE

ABSTRACT. In the present notes we introduce and study the twisted γ -filtration on $K_0(G_s)$, where G_s is a split simple linear algebraic group over a field k of characteristic prime to the order of the center of G_s . We apply this filtration to construct torsion elements in the γ -ring of some twisted flag varieties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth projective variety over a field k . Consider the Grothendieck γ -filtration on $K_0(X)$. It is given by the ideals [6, §2.3] (see also [8, §2])

$$\gamma^i K_0(X) = \langle c_{n_1}(b_1) \cdots c_{n_m}(b_m) \mid n_1 + \dots + n_m \geq i, b_1, \dots, b_m \in K_0(X) \rangle, i \geq 0$$

generated by products of Chern classes in K_0 . Let $\gamma^i(X)$ denote the i -th subsequent quotient and let $\gamma^*(X) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \gamma^i(X)$ denote the associated graded commutative ring called the γ -ring of X .

The ring $\gamma^*(X)$ was invented by Grothendieck to approximate the topological filtration on K_0 and, hence, the Chow ring $\text{CH}^*(X)$ of algebraic cycles modulo rational equivalence. Indeed, by the Riemann-Roch theorem (see [6, §2]) the i -th Chern class c_i induces an isomorphism with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients, i.e. $c_i: \gamma^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{CH}^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$. Moreover, in some cases the ring $\gamma^*(X)$ can be used to compute $\text{CH}^*(X)$, e.g. $\gamma^1(X) = \text{CH}^1(X)$ and there is a surjection $\gamma^2(X) \twoheadrightarrow \text{CH}^2(X)$ (see [7, Ex. 15.3.6]).

In the present notes we provide a uniform lower bound for the torsion part of $\gamma^*(X)$, where $X = {}_\xi \mathfrak{B}_s$ is a twisted form of the variety of Borel subgroups \mathfrak{B}_s of a split simple linear algebraic group G_s by means of a cocycle $\xi \in H^1(k, G_s)$. Note that the groups $\gamma^2(X)$ and $\gamma^3(X)$ have been studied for $G_s = PGL_n$ in [8] and for strongly inner forms in [4]. In particular, it was shown in [4, §3,7] that in the strongly inner case the torsion part of $\gamma^2(X)$ determines the Rost invariant.

Our main tool is the twisted γ -filtration on $K_0(G_s)$, where G_s is a split simple linear algebraic group. Roughly speaking, it is defined to be the image (see Definition 4.4) of the γ -filtration on K_0 of the twisted form X under the composition $K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s) \rightarrow K_0(G_s)$, where the first map is given by the restriction and the second map is induced by taking the quotient.

Let γ_ξ^* denotes the associated graded ring of the twisted γ -filtration. It has the following important properties:

- (i) The ring γ_ξ^* can be explicitly computed (see Theorem 4.5). Observe that $\gamma_\xi^0 = \mathbb{Z}$, $\gamma_\xi^1 = 0$ and γ_ξ^i is torsion and finitely generated for $i > 1$.
- (ii) There is a surjective ring homomorphism $\gamma^*(X) \twoheadrightarrow \gamma_\xi^*$. Hence, γ_ξ^* gives a lower bound for the γ -ring of the twisted form $X = {}_\xi \mathfrak{B}_s$.
- (iii) The assignment $\xi \mapsto \gamma_\xi^*$ respects the base change and, therefore, is an invariant of a G_s -torsor ξ , moreover, the ring γ_ξ^* can be viewed as a substitute for the γ -ring of the inner group ${}_\xi G_s$.

In the last section we use these properties to construct nontrivial torsion elements in $\gamma^2(X)$ for some twisted flag varieties X (see 5.3 and 5.5). In particular, we establish the connection between the indexes of the Tits algebras of ξ and the order of the special cycle $\theta \in \gamma^2(X)$ constructed in [4].

2. PRELIMINARIES.

In the present section we recall several basic facts concerning linear algebraic groups, characters and the Grothendieck K_0 (see [9, §24], [4, §1B, §6]).

2.1. Let G_s be a split simple linear algebraic group of rank n over a field k . We assume that characteristic of k is prime to the order of the center of G_s . We fix a split maximal torus T and a Borel subgroup B such that $T \subset B \subset G_s$.

Let Λ_r and Λ be the root and the weight lattices of the root system of G_s with respect to $T \subset B$. Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a set of simple roots (a basis of Λ_r) and let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ be the respective set of fundamental weights (a basis of Λ), i.e. $\alpha_i^\vee(\omega_j) = \delta_{ij}$. The group of characters T^* of T is an intermediate lattice $\Lambda_r \subset T^* \subset \Lambda$ that determines the isogeny class of G_s . If $T^* = \Lambda$, then the group G_s is simply connected and if $T^* = \Lambda_r$ it is adjoint.

2.2. Let $\mathbb{Z}[T^*]$ be the integral group ring of T^* . Its elements are finite linear combinations $\sum_i a_i e^{\lambda_i}$, $\lambda_i \in T^*$. Let \mathfrak{B}_s denote the variety of Borel subgroups G_s/B of G_s . Consider the characteristic map for K_0 (see [3, §2.8])

$$\mathfrak{c}: \mathbb{Z}[T^*] \rightarrow K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$$

defined by sending e^λ , $\lambda \in T^*$, to the class of the associated line bundle $[\mathcal{L}(\lambda)]$. Observe that the ring $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ does not depend on the isogeny class of G_s while the group of characters T^* and, hence, the image of \mathfrak{c} does.

Since $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ is generated by the classes $[\mathcal{L}(\omega_i)]$, $i = 1 \dots n$, the characteristic map \mathfrak{c} is surjective if G_s is simply connected. If G_s is adjoint, then the image of \mathfrak{c} is generated by the classes $[\mathcal{L}(\alpha_i)]$, where

$$\alpha_i = \sum_j c_{ij} \omega_j \quad \text{and, therefore,} \quad \mathcal{L}(\alpha_i) = \otimes_j \mathcal{L}(\omega_j)^{\otimes c_{ij}},$$

and $c_{ij} = \alpha_i^\vee(\alpha_j)$ are the coefficients of the Cartan matrix of G_s .

2.3. The Weyl group W of G_s acts on weights via simple reflections s_{α_i} as

$$s_{\alpha_i}(\lambda) = \lambda - \alpha_i^\vee(\lambda)\alpha_i, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

For each element $w \in W$ we define (cf. [13, §2.1]) the weight $\rho_w \in \Lambda$ as

$$\rho_w = \sum_{\{i \in 1 \dots n \mid w^{-1}(\alpha_i) < 0\}} w^{-1}(\omega_i).$$

In particular, for a simple reflection $w = s_{\alpha_j}$ we have

$$\rho_w = \sum_{\{i \in 1 \dots n \mid s_{\alpha_j}(\alpha_i) < 0\}} s_{\alpha_j}(\omega_i) = s_{\alpha_j}(\omega_j) = \omega_j - \alpha_j.$$

Observe that the quotient Λ/Λ_r coincides with the group of characters of the center of the simply connected cover of G_s . Since W acts trivially on Λ/Λ_r , we have

$$\bar{\rho}_w = \sum_{\{i \in 1 \dots n \mid w^{-1}(\alpha_i) < 0\}} \bar{\omega}_i \in \Lambda/T^*,$$

where $\bar{\rho}_w$ denotes the class of $\rho_w \in \Lambda$ modulo T^* . In particular, $\bar{\omega}_i = \bar{\rho}_{s_{\alpha_i}}$.

2.4. Let $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^W$ denote the subring of W -invariant elements. Then the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^W$ -module with the basis $\{e^{\rho_w}\}_{w \in W}$ (see [13, Thm.2.2]). Now let $\epsilon: \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $e^\lambda \mapsto 1$ be the augmentation map. By the Chevalley Theorem the kernel of the surjection \mathfrak{c} is generated by elements $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^W$ such that $\epsilon(x) = 0$. Hence, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^W} \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] / \ker(\mathfrak{c}) \simeq K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s).$$

So the elements

$$\{g_w = \mathfrak{c}(e^{\rho_w}) = [\mathcal{L}(\rho_w)]\}_{w \in W}$$

form a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ called the Steinberg basis.

2.5. Following [14] we associate with each $\chi \in \Lambda/T^*$ and each cocycle $\xi \in Z^1(k, G_s)$ the central simple algebra $A_{\chi, \xi}$ over k called the Tits algebra. This defines a group homomorphism

$$\beta_\xi: \Lambda/T^* \rightarrow Br(k) \text{ with } \beta_\xi(\chi) = [A_{\chi, \xi}].$$

Let $\mathfrak{B} = {}_\xi \mathfrak{B}_s$ denote the twisted form of the variety of Borel subgroups \mathfrak{B}_s by means of ξ . Consider the restriction map on K_0 over the separable closure k_{sep}

$$res: K_0(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathfrak{B} \times_k k_{sep}) = K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s),$$

where we identify $K_0(\mathfrak{B} \times_k k_{sep})$ with $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$. By [11, Thm.4.2] the image of the restriction can be identified with the sublattice

$$\langle \iota_w \cdot g_w \rangle_{w \in W},$$

where $g_w = [\mathcal{L}(\rho_w)]$ is an element of the Steinberg basis and $\iota_w = \text{ind}(\beta_\xi(\bar{\rho}_w))$ is the index of the respective Tits algebra. Observe that if G_s is simply connected, then all indexes ι_w are trivial and the restriction map becomes an isomorphism.

3. THE K_0 OF A SPLIT SIMPLE (ADJOINT) GROUP

In the present section we provide an explicit description of the ring $K_0(G_s)$ in terms of generators and relations for every simple split linear algebraic group G_s . The method to compute $K_0(G_s)$ was known before, however, due to the lack of precise references we provide the computations here.

3.1. **Definition.** Let $\mathfrak{c}: \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \rightarrow K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ be the characteristic map for the simply connected cover of G_s . We define the ring \mathfrak{G}_s to be the quotient

$$\mathfrak{G}_s := \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda/T^*] / \overline{(\ker \mathfrak{c})}$$

and the surjective ring homomorphism q to be the composite

$$q: K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mathfrak{c}^{-1}} \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] / (\ker \mathfrak{c}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda/T^*] / \overline{(\ker \mathfrak{c})} = \mathfrak{G}_s.$$

Observe that if G_s is simply connected, then $\mathfrak{G}_s = \mathbb{Z}$.

3.2. **Remark.** By [10, Cor.33] applied to $X = G_s$ and to the simply-connected cover $G = \hat{G}_s$ of G_s , there is an isomorphism

$$K_0(G_s) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{R(\hat{G}_s)} K_0(\hat{G}_s, G_s),$$

where $R(\hat{G}_s) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^W$ is the representation ring. By [10, Cor.5] applied to $G = \hat{G}_s$, $X = \text{Spec } k$ and $G/H = G_s$ there is an isomorphism

$$K_0(\hat{G}_s, G_s) \simeq R(H),$$

where $R(H) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda/T^*]$ is the representation ring. Therefore,

$$K_0(G_s) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^W} \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda/T^*] \simeq \mathfrak{G}_s.$$

3.3. Lemma. *The ideal $\overline{(\ker \mathfrak{c})} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda/T^*]$ is generated by the elements*

$$d_i(1 - e^{\bar{\omega}_i}), \quad i = 1 \dots n,$$

where d_i is the dimension of the i -th fundamental representation.

Proof. By the Chevalley Theorem the subring of invariants $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^W$ can be identified with the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n]$, where ρ_i is the i -th fundamental representation, i.e.

$$\rho_i = \sum_{\lambda \in W(\omega_i)} e^\lambda$$

(here $W(\omega_i)$ denotes the W -orbit of the fundamental weight ω_i).

Since $d_i = \epsilon(\rho_i)$, $\ker \mathfrak{c} = (d_1 - \rho_1, \dots, d_n - \rho_n)$. To finish the proof observe that $\overline{(d_i - \rho_i)} = d_i(1 - e^{\bar{\omega}_i})$. \square

3.4. Remark. Observe that by definition and 3.3 we have $\mathfrak{G}_s \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}$.

3.5. In the following examples we compute the ring $\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq K_0(G_s)$ for every simple split linear algebraic group G_s (we refer to [9, §24] for the description of Λ/T^* and to [1, Ch.8, Table 2] for the dimensions of fundamental representations).

Λ/T^*	$G_s, m \geq 1$	Example
$\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, m \geq 2$	SL_{n+1}/μ_m	(3.6)
$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$O_{m+4}^+, PSP_{2m+2}, HSpin_{4m+4}, E_7^{ad}$	(3.7)
$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	PGO_{4m+4}^+	(3.8)
$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	E_6^{ad}	(3.9)
$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	PGO_{4m+2}^+	(3.10)

3.6. Example. Consider the case $G_s = SL_{n+1}/\mu_m, m \geq 2$. The group G_s has type A_n and $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma \rangle$ is cyclic of order m . The quotient map $\Lambda/\Lambda_r \rightarrow \Lambda/T^*$ sends $\bar{\omega}_i \in \Lambda/\Lambda_r, i = 1 \dots n$ to $(i \bmod m)\sigma \in \Lambda/T^*$.

By Definition 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \mathbb{Z}[y]/(1 - (1 - y)^m, d_1y, \dots, d_{m-1}y^{m-1}),$$

where $y = (1 - e^\sigma)$ and $d_j = \gcd\{\binom{n+1}{i} \mid i \equiv j \pmod{m}, i = 1 \dots n\}$.

In particular, for $G_s = SL_p/\mu_p = PGL_p$, where p is a prime, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \mathbb{Z}[y]/\left(\binom{p}{1}y, \binom{p}{2}y^2, \dots, \binom{p}{p-1}y^{p-1}, y^p\right).$$

3.7. Example. Assume that $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma \rangle$ has order 2. Then

$$\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \mathbb{Z}[y]/(y^2 - 2y, dy),$$

where $y = (1 - e^\sigma)$ and d is the g.c.d. of dimensions of representations corresponding to ω_i with $\bar{\omega}_i = \sigma$. The integer d can be determined as follows:

B_n : We have $\Lambda/\Lambda_r = \{0, \bar{\omega}_n\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ which corresponds to the adjoint group $G_s = O_{2n+1}^+$. Since $\bar{\omega}_i = 0$ for each $i \neq n$, d coincides with the dimension of ω_n that is 2^n .

C_n : We have $\Lambda/\Lambda_r = \{0, \sigma = \bar{\omega}_1 = \bar{\omega}_3 = \dots\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ that is $G_s = PSp_{2n}$. Since $\bar{\omega}_i = 0$ for even i , d is the g.c.d. of dimensions of $\omega_1, \omega_3, \dots$, i.e.

$$d = \gcd(2n, \binom{2n}{3} - \binom{2n}{1}, \binom{2n}{5} - \binom{2n}{3}, \dots).$$

D_n : If n is odd, then $\Lambda/\Lambda_r = \{0, \bar{\omega}_{n-1}, \bar{\omega}_1, \bar{\omega}_n\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, where $\bar{\omega}_1 = 2\bar{\omega}_{n-1} = 2\bar{\omega}_n$. Therefore, $\Lambda/T^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ if it is a quotient of Λ/Λ_r modulo the subgroup $\{0, \bar{\omega}_1\}$. In this case $\Lambda/T^* = \{0, \sigma = \bar{\omega}_{n-1} = \bar{\omega}_n\}$ which corresponds to the special orthogonal group $G_s = O_{2n}^+$. Since $\bar{\omega}_s = s\bar{\omega}_1$ for $2 \leq s \leq n-2$ and $\bar{\omega}_1 = 0$ in Λ/T^* , d is the g.c.d. of the dimensions of ω_{n-1} and ω_n that is 2^{n-1} .

If n is even, then $\Lambda/\Lambda_r = \{0, \bar{\omega}_{n-1}\} \oplus \{0, \bar{\omega}_n\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, where $\bar{\omega}_1 = \bar{\omega}_{n-1} + \bar{\omega}_n$. In this case, we have two cases for Λ/T^* :

- (1) It is the quotient of Λ/Λ_r modulo the diagonal subgroup $\{0, \bar{\omega}_{n-1} + \bar{\omega}_n\}$. Then $\Lambda/T^* = \{0, \sigma = \bar{\omega}_{n-1} = \bar{\omega}_n\}$, $G_s = O_{2n}^+$ and d is the same as in the odd case, i.e. $d = 2^{n-1}$.
- (2) It is the quotient modulo one of the factors, e.g. $\Lambda/T^* = \{0, \sigma = \bar{\omega}_{n-1}\}$, where $\bar{\omega}_n = 0$. This corresponds to the half-spin group $G_s = HSpin_{2n}$. We have $\bar{\omega}_1 = \bar{\omega}_3 = \dots = \bar{\omega}_{n-1}$ and $\bar{\omega}_i = 0$ if i is even. Therefore, $d = \gcd(2n, \binom{2n}{3}, \dots, \binom{2n}{n-3}, 2^{n-1})$ which implies that $d = 2^{v_2(n)+1}$, where $v_2(n)$ denotes the 2-adic valuation of n .

E_7 : We have $\Lambda/\Lambda_r = \{0, \sigma = \bar{\omega}_7 = \bar{\omega}_5 = \bar{\omega}_2\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ with $\bar{\omega}_1 = \bar{\omega}_3 = \bar{\omega}_4 = \bar{\omega}_6 = 0$. Therefore, $d = \gcd(56, \binom{56}{3}, 912) = 8$.

3.8. Example. Assume that $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma_1 \rangle \oplus \langle \sigma_2 \rangle$, where σ_1 and σ_2 are of order 2. In this case $G_s = PGO_{2n}^+$ is an adjoint group ($T^* = \Lambda_r$) of type D_n with n even. We have $\sigma_1 = \bar{\omega}_{n-1}$ and $\sigma_2 = \bar{\omega}_n$, $\bar{\omega}_s = s\bar{\omega}_1$, $2 \leq s \leq n-2$, $2\bar{\omega}_1 = 0$ and $\bar{\omega}_1 = \bar{\omega}_{n-1} + \bar{\omega}_n$. Then

$$\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2]/(y_1^2 - 2y_1, y_2^2 - 2y_2, d_1y_1, d_2y_2, d(y_1 + y_2 - y_1y_2)),$$

where $y_1 = (1 - e^{\sigma_1})$, $y_2 = (1 - e^{\sigma_2})$; d_1 (resp. d_2) is the g.c.d. of dimensions of ω_i with $\bar{\omega}_i = \bar{\omega}_{n-1}$ (resp. $\bar{\omega}_i = \bar{\omega}_n$) that is $d_1 = d_2 = 2^{n-1}$; and d is the g.c.d. of dimensions of $\omega_1, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_{n-3}$ that is $d = \gcd(2n, \binom{2n}{3}, \dots, \binom{2n}{n-3})$.

In particular, for $G_s = PGO_8^+$ we obtain

$$\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2]/(y_1^2 - 2y_1, y_2^2 - 2y_2, 8y_1, 8y_2, 8y_1y_2).$$

3.9. Example. Assume that $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma \rangle$ has order 3. Then

$$\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \mathbb{Z}[y]/(y^3 - 3y^2 + 3y, d_1y, d_2y^2),$$

where $y = (1 - e^\sigma)$ and d_1 (resp. d_2) is the greatest common divisor of dimensions of fundamental representations ω_i , $i = 1 \dots n$ such that $\bar{\omega}_i = \sigma$ (resp. $\bar{\omega}_i = 2\sigma$).

For the adjoint group of type E_6 we have $\Lambda/\Lambda_r = \{0, \sigma = \bar{\omega}_1 = \bar{\omega}_5, 2\sigma = \bar{\omega}_2 = \bar{\omega}_6\}$ with $\bar{\omega}_2 = \bar{\omega}_4 = 0$. Therefore, $d_1 = d_2 = \gcd(27, \binom{27}{2}) = 27$.

3.10. Example. Assume that $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma \rangle$ has order 4. Then

$$\mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \mathbb{Z}[y]/(y^4 - 4y^3 + 6y^2 - 4y, d_1y, d_2y^2, d_3y^3),$$

where $y = (1 - e^\sigma)$. For the group PGO_{2n}^+ where n is odd we have $\sigma = \bar{\omega}_{n-1}$, $2\sigma = \bar{\omega}_1$ and $3\sigma = \bar{\omega}_n$. Therefore, $d_1 = d_3 = 2^{n-1}$ and $d_2 = \gcd\left(\binom{2n}{1}, \binom{2n}{3}, \dots, \binom{2n}{n-2}\right)$.

4. THE TWISTED γ -FILTRATION.

In the present section we introduce and study the twisted γ -filtration.

4.1. Let $\gamma = \ker \epsilon$ denote the augmentation ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$. It is generated by the differences

$$\langle (1 - e^{-\lambda}), \lambda \in \Lambda \rangle.$$

Consider the γ -adic filtration on $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$

$$\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] = \gamma^0 \supseteq \gamma \supseteq \gamma^2 \supseteq \dots$$

The i -th power γ^i is generated by products of at least i differences.

4.2. **Definition.** We define the filtration on $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ (resp. on \mathfrak{G}_s) to be the image of the γ -adic filtration on $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ via \mathfrak{c} (resp. via q), i.e.

$$\gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s) := \mathfrak{c}(\gamma^i) \text{ and } \gamma^i \mathfrak{G}_s := q(\gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)), \quad i \geq 0.$$

So that we have a commutative diagram of surjective group homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \gamma^i & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}} & \gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow q \\ & & \gamma^i \mathfrak{G}_s \end{array}$$

4.3. **Lemma.** *The γ -filtration on $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ coincides with the filtration introduced in Definition 4.2.*

Proof. Since $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ is generated by the classes of line bundles,

$$\gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s) = \langle c_1([\mathcal{L}_1]) \cdot \dots \cdot c_1([\mathcal{L}_m]) \mid m \geq i, \mathcal{L}_j \in K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s) \rangle.$$

Moreover, each line bundle \mathcal{L} is the associated bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ for some character $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Therefore, $c_1([\mathcal{L}]) = 1 - [\mathcal{L}^\vee] = \mathfrak{c}(1 - e^{-\lambda})$ (see [3, §2.8]). \square

4.4. **Definition.** Given a G_s -torsor $\xi \in H^1(k, G_s)$ and the respective twisted form $\mathfrak{B} = {}_\xi \mathfrak{B}_s$ we define the twisted filtration on \mathfrak{G}_s to be the image of the γ -filtration on $K_0(\mathfrak{B})$ via the composite $\text{res} \circ q$, i.e.

$$\gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s := q(\text{res}(\gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}))), \quad i \geq 0.$$

Let $\gamma_\xi^{i/i+1} \mathfrak{G}_s = \gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s / \gamma_\xi^{i+1} \mathfrak{G}_s$. The associated graded ring $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \gamma_\xi^{i/i+1} \mathfrak{G}_s$ will be called the γ -invariant of the torsor ξ and will be denoted simply as γ_ξ^* .

Note that the Chern classes commute with restrictions, therefore the restriction map $\text{res}: \gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ is well-defined. By definition there is a surjective ring homomorphism

$$\gamma^*(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \gamma_\xi^*.$$

4.5. **Theorem.** *The twisted filtration $\gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s$ can be computed as follows:*

$$\gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s = \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^m \binom{\text{ind}(\beta_\xi(\bar{\rho}_{w_j}))}{n_j} (1 - e^{\bar{\rho}_{w_j}})^{n_j} \mid n_1 + \dots + n_m \geq i, w_j \in W \right\rangle.$$

Proof. Since the Chern classes commute with restrictions, the image of the restriction $res: \gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ is generated by the products

$$\langle c_{n_1}(\iota_{w_1} g_{w_1}) \cdots c_{n_m}(\iota_{w_m} g_{w_m}) \mid n_1 + \cdots + n_m \geq i, w_1, \dots, w_m \in W \rangle,$$

where $\{\iota_{w_j}\}$ are the indexes of the respective Tits algebras from 2.5. Applying the Whitney formula for the Chern classes [7, §3.2] we obtain

$$c_j(\iota_w g_w) = \binom{\iota_w}{j} c_1(g_w)^j.$$

Therefore, $q(\binom{\iota_w}{j} c_1(g_w)^j) = \binom{\iota_w}{j} (1 - e^{-\bar{\rho}_w})^j$, where $\iota_w = \text{ind}(\beta_\xi(\bar{\rho}_w))$. \square

4.6. Example. Since $\gamma^0(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma^1(X) = \text{Pic}(X)$ is torsion free for every smooth projective X , we obtain that $\gamma_\xi^0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma_\xi^1 = 0$ for any ξ .

4.7. Example (Strongly-inner case). If $\beta_\xi = 0$, then $\binom{\iota_{w_j}}{n_j} = 1$ and $\gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s = \gamma^i \mathfrak{G}_s$.

4.8. Example ($\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -case). As in 3.7 assume that $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma \rangle$ has order 2 and $\beta_\xi \neq 0$. Then there is only one non-split Tits algebra $A = A_{\sigma, \xi}$ and it has exponent 2. Let $i_A = v_2(\text{ind}(A))$ denote the 2-adic valuation of the index of A . By definition we have

$$\gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle \binom{2^{i_A}}{n_1} \cdots \binom{2^{i_A}}{n_m} 2^{n_1 + \cdots + n_m - 1} y \mid n_1 + \cdots + n_m \geq i \rangle$$

in $\mathbb{Z}[y]/(y^2 - 2y, dy)$, where $y = 1 - e^\sigma$ and d is given in 3.7. Observe that modulo the relation $y^2 = 2y$ these ideals are generated by (for $j \geq 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\xi^{2j-1} \mathfrak{G}_s &= \gamma_\xi^{2j} \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle 2^{2j-1} y \rangle && \text{if } i_A = 1; \\ \gamma_\xi^{4j-3} \mathfrak{G}_s &= \gamma_\xi^{4j-2} \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle 2^{4j-2} y \rangle, \quad \gamma_\xi^{4j-1} \mathfrak{G}_s = \gamma_\xi^{4j} \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle 2^{4j-1} y \rangle && \text{if } i_A = 2; \\ \gamma_\xi^1 \mathfrak{G}_s &= \gamma_\xi^2 \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle 2^{i_A} y \rangle, \quad \gamma_\xi^3 \mathfrak{G}_s = \gamma_\xi^4 \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle 2^{i_A+1} y \rangle, \quad \gamma_\xi^5 \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle 2^{i_A+4} y \rangle \cdots && \text{if } i_A > 2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking these generators modulo the relation $dy = 0$ we obtain the following formulas for the second quotient γ_ξ^2 :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } i_A = 1, \text{ then } \gamma_\xi^2 &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v_2(d) \leq 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } v_2(d) = 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } v_2(d) \geq 3 \end{cases} \\ \text{if } i_A > 1, \text{ then } \gamma_\xi^2 &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v_2(d) \leq i_A \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } v_2(d) > i_A \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

4.9. Example ($\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -case). As in 3.8 assume that $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma_1 \rangle \oplus \langle \sigma_2 \rangle$, where σ_1, σ_2 have order 2. This is the case for the adjoint group PGO_{2n}^+ where n is even [9, §25]. Assume that $n = 4$ which corresponds to the group of type D_4 , i.e. PGO_8^+ . Let C^+ and C^- denote the Tits algebras corresponding to the generators $\sigma_1 = \bar{\omega}_3$ and $\sigma_2 = \bar{\omega}_4$. Let A denote the Tits algebra corresponding to the sum $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2$. Note that $C^+ \times C^-$ is the even part of the Clifford algebra of the algebra with involution A and $[A] = [C^+ \otimes C^-]$ in $Br(k)$.

By definition we have in $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2]$

$$\gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle \binom{\text{ind } C^+}{n_1} y_1^{n_1} \cdot \binom{\text{ind } C^-}{n_2} y_2^{n_2} \cdot \binom{\text{ind } A}{n_3} (y_1 + y_2 - y_1 y_2)^{n_3} \mid n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \geq i \rangle.$$

Modulo the relations $(y_1^2 - 2y_1, y_2^2 - 2y_2, 8y_1, 8y_2, 8y_1 y_2)$ we obtain that

$$\gamma_\xi^2 \mathfrak{G}_s \simeq \frac{(\text{ind } C^+) \mathbb{Z}}{8\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{(\text{ind } C^-) \mathbb{Z}}{8\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{(\text{ind } A) \mathbb{Z}}{8\mathbb{Z}}$$

5. TORSION IN THE γ -FILTRATION.

In the present section we show how the twisted γ -filtration can be used to construct nontrivial torsion elements in the γ -ring of the twisted form \mathfrak{B} of a variety of Borel subgroups.

5.1. For simplicity we consider only the case of G_s (see Examples 3.7 and 4.8) with $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma \rangle$ of order 2. Let d denote the g.c.d. of dimensions of fundamental representations corresponding to σ .

Given a G_s -torsor $\xi \in H^1(k, G_s)$ let i_A denote the 2-adic valuation of the index of the Tits algebra $A = A_{\sigma, \xi}$. Let $\mathfrak{B} = {}_\xi \mathfrak{B}_s$ denote the twisted form of the variety of Borel subgroups of G_s by means of ξ . Consider the respective twisted filtration $\gamma_\xi^i \mathfrak{G}_s$ on \mathfrak{G}_s .

5.2. Proposition. *Assume that $v_2(d) > i_A \geq 3$. Then for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\bar{\lambda} = \sigma$ there exists a non-trivial torsion element of order 2 in $\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$. Moreover, its image in $\gamma_\xi^2 = \mathbb{Z}/2$ (via q) is non-trivial and in $\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ (via res) is trivial.*

Proof. The proof of this result was inspired by the proof of [8, Prop.4.13].

Let $g = [\mathcal{L}(\lambda)]$ denote the class of the associated line bundle. Using the formula for the first Chern class of a tensor product of line bundles for K_0 we obtain

$$c_1(g)^2 = 2c_1(g) - c_1(g^2).$$

Hence,

$$c_1(g)^4 = (2c_1(g) - c_1(g^2))^2 = 4c_1(g)^2 - 4c_1(g)c_1(g^2) + c_1(g^2)^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\eta = 4c_1(g)^3 - c_1(g)^4 = 4c_1(g)^2 - c_1(g^2)^2 \in \gamma^3 K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s).$$

We claim that the class of $2^{i_A-3}\eta$ gives the desired torsion element.

Indeed, $c_1(g^2) = c_1([\mathcal{L}(2\lambda)])$. Since $2\lambda \in T^*$, $[\mathcal{L}(2\lambda)] \in \mathfrak{c}(T^*)$ and, therefore, by [5, Cor.3.1] $c_1(g^2) \in \gamma^1 K_0(\mathfrak{B})$. Moreover, we have $2^{i_A-1}c_1(g)^2 = c_2(2^{i_A}g)$, where $2^{i_A}g \in K_0(\mathfrak{B})$. Hence, $2^{i_A-1}c_1(g)^2 \in \gamma^2 K_0(\mathfrak{B})$. Combining these together we obtain that $2^{i_A-3}\eta \in \gamma^2 K_0(\mathfrak{B})$.

Now since $2^{i_A-3}\eta \in \gamma^2 K_0(\mathfrak{B})$ its image in $\gamma_\xi^2 \mathfrak{G}_s$ can be computed as

$$q(2^{i_A-3}\eta) = 2^{i_A-3}q(\eta) = 2^{i_A-1}q(c_1(g)^2) = 2^{i_A-1}(1 - e^{-\sigma})^2 = 2^{i_A}y.$$

But $q(2^{i_A-3}\eta) \notin \gamma_\xi^3 \mathfrak{G}_s = \langle 2^{i_A+1}y \rangle$. Therefore, $2^{i_A-3}\eta \notin \gamma^3 K_0(\mathfrak{B})$.

From the other hand side $2^{i_A-2}\eta = 2^{i_A}c_1(g)^3 + 2^{i_A-2}c_1(g)^4$ is in $\gamma^3 K_0(\mathfrak{B})$. So the class of $2^{i_A-3}\eta$ gives the desired torsion element of order 2. \square

5.3. Example. Let $G_s = HSpin_{2n}$ be a half-spin group of rank $n \geq 4$. So G_s is of type D_n , where n is even, $\Lambda/T^* = \langle \sigma = \bar{\omega}_1 \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and according to Example 3.7 we have $d = 2^{v_2(n)+1}$. Let $\xi \in H^1(k, G_s)$ be a non-trivial torsor. Then there is only one Tits algebra $A = A_{\sigma, \xi}$; it has exponent 2 and index 2^{i_A} such that $i_A \leq v_2(n) + 1$.

Recall that each such torsor corresponds to an algebra with orthogonal involution (A, δ) with trivial discriminant and trivial component of the Clifford algebra. The respective twisted form $\mathfrak{B} = {}_\xi \mathfrak{B}_s$ then corresponds to the variety of Borel subgroups of the group $PGO^+(A, \delta)$.

Applying the proposition to this case we obtain that for any such algebra (A, δ) where $8 \mid \text{ind}(A)$ and A is non-division, there exists a non-trivial torsion element of order 2 in $\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$ that vanishes over a splitting field of (A, δ) .

5.4. **Lemma.** *The γ -filtration on $K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ is generated by the first Chern classes $c_1([\mathcal{L}(\omega_i)])$, $i = 1 \dots n$, i.e.*

$$\gamma^i K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s) = \langle \prod_{j \in 1 \dots n} c_1([\mathcal{L}(\omega_j)]) \mid \text{the number of elements in the product} \geq i \rangle.$$

In particular, the second quotient $\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ is additively generated by the products

$$\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B}_s) = \langle c_1([\mathcal{L}(\omega_i)])c_1([\mathcal{L}(\omega_j)]) \mid i, j \in 1 \dots n \rangle.$$

Proof. Each $b \in K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ can be written as a linear combination $b = \sum_{w \in W} a_w g_w$. Therefore, any Chern class of b can be expressed in terms of $c_1(g_w)$.

Each ρ_w can be written uniquely as a linear combination of fundamental weights $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$. Therefore, by the formula for the Chern class of the tensor product of line bundles [2, 8.2], each $c_1(g_w)$ can be expressed in terms of $c_1([\mathcal{L}(\omega_i)])$. \square

5.5. **Example.** Let G_s be an adjoint group of type E_7 and let $\xi \in H^1(k, G_s)$ be a non-trivial G_s -torsor. Then there is only one nonsplit Tits algebra $A = A_{\sigma, \xi}$ of exponent 2 and $i_A \leq 3$. Let $\mathfrak{B} = \xi \mathfrak{B}_s$ be the respective twisted flag variety.

By Lemma 5.4 any element of $\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$ can be written as

$$x = \sum_{ij} a_{ij} c_1([\mathcal{L}(\omega_i)])c_1([\mathcal{L}(\omega_j)]) \in \gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$$

for certain coefficients $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\sigma = \bar{\omega}_7 = \bar{\omega}_5 = \bar{\omega}_2$ and $\bar{\omega}_1 = \bar{\omega}_3 = \bar{\omega}_4 = \bar{\omega}_6 = 0$, we obtain that

$$q(x) = C \cdot 2y \in \gamma_\xi^2, \text{ where } C = a_{25} + a_{27} + a_{57} + a_{22} + a_{55} + a_{77}.$$

Therefore, $q(x) \neq 0$ in γ_ξ^2 if and only if $4 \nmid C$ and $i_A \leq 2$.

Consider the class $\mathfrak{c}(\theta) \in \gamma^2 K_0(\mathfrak{B}_s)$ of the special cycle θ constructed in [4, Def.3.3]. Note that the image of θ in $CH^2(\mathfrak{B})$ can be viewed as a generalization of the Rost invariant for split adjoint groups (see Remark 5.7).

If $i_A = 1$, then by [4, Prop.6.5] we know that $\mathfrak{c}(\theta) \in \gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$ is a non-trivial torsion element. If $i_A = 2$, then following the proof of [4, Prop.6.5] we obtain that $2\mathfrak{c}(\theta) \in \gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$.

We claim that if $i_A \leq 2$, then $x = 2\mathfrak{c}(\theta)$ is non-trivial. Indeed, in this case $4 \nmid C = a_{22} + a_{55} + a_{77} = 6$, therefore, we have $q(x) \neq 0$, and $x \neq 0$ in $\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$. In particular, this shows that for $i_A = 1$ the order of the special cycle θ in $\gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$ is divisible by 4.

5.6. **Example.** Let $\xi \in H^1(k, PGO_8^+)$. Applying the same arguments as in 5.5 to Example 4.9 we obtain that if $\text{ind}(A), \text{ind}(C_+), \text{ind}(C_-) \leq 4$, then $2\mathfrak{c}(\theta) \in \gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$ is non-trivial.

We finish by the following remark that provides another motivation for the study of the torsion part of $\gamma^*(\mathfrak{B})$

5.7. **Remark.** Recall that by the Riemann-Roch theorem the second Chern class induces a surjection $c_2: \text{Tors } \gamma^2(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \text{Tors } CH^2(\mathfrak{B})$ [8, Cor.2.15], where the latter group is isomorphic to the cohomology quotient [12, Thm.2.1]

$$\frac{\ker(H^3(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H^3(k(\mathfrak{B}), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)))}{\oplus_{\chi \in \Lambda/\Lambda_r} N_{k_\chi/k}(k_\chi^* \cup \beta_\xi(\chi))},$$

where k_χ denotes the fixed subfield of χ . Therefore, the group $Tors \gamma^2(\mathfrak{B})$ can be viewed as an estimate for the group of cohomological invariants of G_s -torsors of degree 3.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to Alexander Merkurjev for pointing out Remark 3.2. This work was supported by NSERC Discovery 385795-2010 and Accelerator Supplement 396100-2010 grants.

REFERENCES

1. Bourbaki, N. *Éléments de Mathématique, Groupes et Algèbres de Lie* 7-8 et 9, 1982.
2. Calmès, B., Petrov, V., Zainoulline, K. Invariants, torsion indices and oriented cohomology of complete flags. Preprint arXiv 2010, 36pp.
3. Demazure, M. Désingularisation des variétés de Schubert généralisées. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) 7 (1974), 53–88.
4. Garibaldi, S., Zainoulline, K. The γ -filtration and the Rost invariant. Preprint arXiv 2010, 19pp.
5. Gille, S., Zainoulline, K. Equivariant pretheories and invariants of torsors, Preprint arXiv 2010, 8pp.
6. Grothendieck, A. *Théorie des Intersections et Théorème de Riemann-Roch (SGA 6)*. Exposé 0, LNM 225, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
7. Fulton, W. *Intersection theory*. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge.*, 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. xiv+470 pp.
8. Karpenko, N. Codimension 2 cycles on Severi-Brauer varieties. *K-Theory* 13 (1998), no. 4, 305–330.
9. Knus, M.-A., Merkurjev, A., Rost, M., Tignol, J.-P. *The Book of Involutions*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 44. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
10. Merkurjev A. Equivariant K-theory. *J. Handbook of K-theory*. Vol. 1, 2, Springer, Berlin, 925-954, 2005.
11. Panin, I. On the Algebraic K-Theory of Twisted Flag Varieties. *K-Theory* J. 8 (1994), 541–585.
12. Peyre, E. Galois cohomology in degree three and homogeneous varieties. *K-theory* 15 (1998), 99–145.
13. Steinberg, R., On a Theorem of Pittie, *Topology* Vol. 14 (1975), 173–177.
14. Tits, J. Représentations linéaires irréductibles d'un groupe réductif sur un corps quelconque. (French) *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 247 (1971), 196–220

(KIRILL ZAINOULLINE) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA, 585 KING EDWARD, OTTAWA ON K1N6N5, CANADA