

# Cohomology of buildings and finiteness properties of $S$ -arithmetic groups over function fields.

Helmut Behr, Frankfurt am Main

July 2011

$S$ -arithmetic subgroups  $\Gamma$  of reductive algebraic groups  $G$  over *number fields* are finitely presented and contain a torsion-free subgroup of finite index, which is of type  $FL$  (Ragunathan 1968, Borel-Serre 1976), therefore they are of type  $FP_\infty$ , i.e. there exists a projective resolution

$$P_m \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

of finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -modules  $P_i$  for all  $m$ , and also of type  $F_\infty$ , i.e. there exists an Eilenberg-MacLane complex  $K(\Gamma, 1)$  with finite  $m$ -skeleton for all  $m$  (cf. [Br2], VIII).

For *function fields*  $F$  ( $[F : \mathbb{F}_q(t)] < \infty$ ,  $q = p^k$ ,  $p = \text{char} F$ ) however, many counter-examples are known:  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q[t])$  is not even finitely generated, i.e. not of type  $F_1$  (Nagao 1959, Serre 1968),  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q[t, t^{-1}])$  and  $SL_3(\mathbb{F}_q[t])$  are finitely generated, but not finitely presented, i.e. of type  $F_1$ , not  $F_2$  (Stuhler 1976, Behr 1977); for the  $S$ -arithmetic ring  $O_S$  ( $S$  a finite, non-empty set of primes of  $F$ ),  $SL_2(O_S)$  is of type  $F_{|S|-1}$ , but not  $F_{|S|}$  (Stuhler 1980),  $SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q[t])$  is of type  $F_{n-2}$  but not  $F_{n-1}$  as long as  $q \geq 2^{n-2}$  (Abels 1989) or  $q \geq \binom{n-2}{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor}$  (Abramenko 1987) and similar results hold for absolutely almost simple  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -groups  $G$  and  $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{F}_q[t])$  (Abramenko 1994), the positive part was proved without restriction on  $q$  for Chevalley groups  $G$ ,  $\Gamma = G(O_S)$ ,  $|S| = 1$  (Behr 2004).

All these results provided evidence for the following *conjecture*: If  $G$  is an absolutely almost simple algebraic group, defined over  $F$  with  $F$ -rank  $r > 0$  (“isotropic group”),  $r_v = \text{rank}_{F_v} G$  for the completion  $F_v$  of  $F$  ( $v \in S$ ,  $S$  finite,  $S \neq \emptyset$ ),  $\Gamma$   $S$ -arithmetic subgroup (discrete in  $G_S = \prod_{v \in S} G(F_v)$ ), then  $\Gamma$  is of type  $F_{d-1}$ , but not of type  $F_d$  for  $d = \sum_{v \in S} r_v$ .

This conjecture was proved for the classical properties finite generation (iff  $d \geq 2$ , Behr 1969, Keller 1980) and finite presentation (iff  $d \geq 3$ : Behr 1998) and the negative part for arbitrary  $d$  (Bux-Wortman 2007). Moreover for anisotropic  $G$  (i.e.  $rk_F G = 0$ ) it was known (Serre 1971), that  $\Gamma$  is of type  $F_\infty$ .

The positive part is now proved in a preprint by Bux – Gramlich – Witzel ([BGW], 2011). This paper gives a completely different and relatively short proof, using two old results on cohomology, which has the advantage not to need such precise local informations, which are necessary in (almost) all proofs of the results mentioned above, and, also in [BGW], which use filtrations of buildings, defined in very clever ways.

In 1976 Borel-Serre also computed the cohomology of spherical and affine buildings over non-archimedean local fields. If  $X_v$  is the Bruhat-Tits-building of  $G(F_v)$  ( $G$  a semi-simple  $F$ -group,  $v \in S$ ), then the product  $X = \prod_{v \in S} X_v$  is a contractible polysimplicial complex.  $X$  gives rise to a chain-complex  $C = (C_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -modules  $C_n$ , generated by polysimplices, but these are (in general) not projective nor finitely generated. Borel-Serre proved that the reduced cohomology with compact support  $\tilde{H}_c^i(X; M)$  for a  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -module  $M$  vanishes in all dimensions, except for the top-dimension  $d = \sum_{v \in S} d_v$ ,  $d_v = \dim X_v = r_v = \text{rank}_{F_v} G$ . For  $H_c^d(X; M)$  they gave an explicit description by locally-constant functions on unipotent groups. In section 1 we present a short version of their results.

On the other hand, K. Brown found in 1975 a very interesting cohomological criterion for finiteness properties. In his proof he constructed for a  $\Gamma$ -complex  $C_n$  of projective modules another complex  $C'_n$  with the same homology, but finitely generated  $\Gamma$ -modules. His assumptions are not all valid in our case and so we cannot use his general arguments. In section 2 we use his construction, but we must be more explicit, on the other side our situation is more special: The crucial point is Borel-Serre's vanishing result for cohomology with compact supports; in some sense, this substitutes the notion of being essentially trivial for filtrations (see [Br3],2). We obtain a partial resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by finitely generated free  $\Gamma$ -modules up to dimension  $d - 1$ , so  $\Gamma$  is of type  $FP_{d-1}$ . By this method we cannot prove type  $F_{d-1}$ , but this is implied together with finite presentation for  $d \geq 3$  ([B3]).

In section 3 we give a proof for the negative part, which is based on the same ideas as the proof in [BW], but the computations of Borel-Serre provide a more natural construction of cycles, that also illustrates the geometry of Bruhat-Tits-buildings: we find arbitrary big spherical holes in a  $\text{mod } \Gamma$  compact subcomplex  $X_0$  of  $X$ , which only become boundaries of cones, arbitrary far from  $X_0$ .

I am very grateful for interesting comments by Herbert Abels, Robert Bieri, Kenneth Brown, Kai-Uwe Bux, Ulrich Stuhler and especially to Wolf-Hanno Rehn for pointing out several mistakes.

# 1 Borel-Serre: Cohomology of buildings and $S$ -arithmetic groups (see [BS])

## 1.1 Spherical or Tits buildings

Let  $k$  be a non-archimedean local field,  $G$  a connected semi-simple  $k$ -group of rank  $l \geq 1$  and  $Y$  the Tits-building of  $G(k)$ . It is well-known by the Solomon-Tits-theorem that  $Y$  has the homotopy-type of a bouquet of  $(l - 1)$ -spheres with respect to its simplicial topology. Borel-Serre provide  $Y$  with the analytic topology, induced by the valuation on  $k$  and prove an analogue for the Alexander-Spanier-cohomology (cf. [Sp1] or [Sp2]).

Denote by  $P$  a minimal  $k$ -parabolic subgroup of  $G$  and by  $C$  the closed chamber of  $Y$  fixed by  $P(k)$ . Then  $Y$  can be described as  $G(k)/P(k) \times C$  with identifications. The homogeneous space  $G(k)/P(k) = (G/P)(k)$  is compact for the  $k$ -analytic topology,  $C$  carries the simplicial topology and  $Y$  gets the quotient-topology:  $Y_t$ .

We have  $P(k) = Z_G(T)(k) \cdot U(k)$ , where  $T$  is a maximal  $k$ -split torus of  $G$ ,  $Z_G$  its centralizer and  $U$  the unipotent radical of  $P$ ,  $W$  the corresponding Weyl group. The Bruhat-decomposition  $G(k) = P(k)WP(k) = U(k)WP(k)$  gives a more concrete description of  $Y$ . Especially for the longest element  $w_0$  of  $W$  this product-decomposition is unique; therefore we have a 1-1-correspondence between  $U(k)$  and the set  $\mathcal{C}_P$  of all chambers opposite to  $C$ , defined by  $u \mapsto u \cdot w_0 C$ . Since two opposite chambers determine an apartment (cf. [BT], 4), the set  $\mathcal{A}_P$  of all apartments containing  $C$  is also in 1-1-correspondence with  $U(k)$  and thus inherits a  $k$ -analytic structure from that of  $U(k)$ .  $\mathcal{A}_P = \{uA_o | u \in U(k)\}$ , where  $A_o$  is the apartment, defined by the opposite pair  $(C, w_0 C)$  and fixed by  $Z_G(T)(k) = P(k) \cap w_0 P(k) w_0^{-1}$ . In this setting Borel-Serre can compute the (reduced) Alexander-Spanier cohomology  $H^*(Y_t; M)$  for a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M$ , using the group  $C_c^\infty(\mathcal{A}_P; M)$  of locally constant functions with compact support on  $\mathcal{A}_P$  or  $U(k)$ :

**Proposition 1.** (= [BS], thm. 2.6)

(i)  $H^i(Y_t; M) = 0$  for  $i \neq l - 1$

(ii)  $\tilde{H}^{l-1}(Y_t; M) \simeq C_c^\infty(U(k); M)$

## 1.2 Affine or Bruhat-Tits-buildings

The affine (or euclidean) building  $X$  of  $G(k)$  (for a non-archimedean local field  $k$ ) is more conveniently defined for the simply-connected covering  $\tilde{G}$  of  $G$  in order to obtain  $X$  as a product of the buildings  $X_j$  for the almost simple factors  $G_j$  of  $\tilde{G}$  – so it is a polysimplicial complex (see [BS], 4). An important part of this paper

([BS], 5) consists of the construction of a compactification of  $X$  by adding  $Y$  as a boundary at infinity. Thereby the direct sum  $Z = X \amalg Y$  becomes a contractible compact space  $Z_t$ , inducing the natural topology on  $X$  and  $Y_t$  on  $Y$ .

Using the long exact cohomology sequence for  $Z_t \bmod Y_t$

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tilde{H}^i(Z_t; M) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^i(Y_t; M) \rightarrow H_c^{i+1}(X; M) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(Z_t; M) \rightarrow \cdots$$

where  $M$  is a module over a ring  $R$  and  $H_c^*$  denotes the cohomology with compact supports and moreover by the vanishing of  $\tilde{H}^*(Z_t; M)$  we can transfer proposition 1 to

**Proposition 2.** (*= [BS], thm. 5.6*)

- (i)  $H_c^i(X; M) = 0$  for  $i \neq l$
- (ii)  $H_c^l(X; M) \simeq \begin{cases} H^{l-1}(Y_t; M) & \text{if } l \geq 1 \\ M & \text{if } l = 0 \end{cases}$

in particular for  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $l \geq 1$ :

$$H_c^l(X; M) \simeq C_c^\infty(U(k); M)$$

**Remark:** A function  $f \in C_c^\infty(U(k); M)$  has compact support and is locally constant, so there exists a finite union of open subsets of  $U(k)$ , such that  $f$  is constant on each of them. This union corresponds to a neighbourhood of  $Y_t$  in  $Z_t$  and  $f$  is determined on its compact complement on  $X$ .

### 1.3 $S$ -arithmetic groups over function fields

Let  $F$  be a function field (i.e.  $[F : \mathbb{F}_q(t)] < \infty$ ,  $q = p^m$ ,  $p = \text{char } F$ ) with a finite non-empty set  $S$  of places of  $F$  and  $F_v$  the completion of  $F$  with respect to  $v \in S$ .

$G$  denotes a connected semi-simple algebraic  $F$ -group of rank  $r$ ,  $r_v := \text{rank}_{F_v} G$  ( $v \in S$ ),  $G_S := \prod_{v \in S} G(F_v)$ ;  $X = \prod_{v \in S} X_v$  with Bruhat-Tits-buildings  $X_v$  of  $G(F_v)$ ,  $\dim X_v = d_v = r_v$  and  $d = \dim X = \sum_{v \in S} d_v$ . Finally  $\Gamma$  is a  $S$ -arithmetic subgroup, discrete in  $G_S$ .

$G$  is called isotropic if  $r > 0$  and anisotropic if  $r = 0$ . It is well known from reduction theory ("Godement's compactness criterion"; cf. [H] or [B1]) that  $X/\Gamma$  is compact iff  $G$  is anisotropic.

For this cocompact case Borel-Serre prove (thm. 6.2 in [BS])

**Proposition 3.** *A  $S$ -arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma$  of an anisotropic connected semi-simple group  $G$  over a function field  $F$  is finitely presented and of type  $FP_\infty$  and also of type  $F_\infty$ .*

**Remarks:**

- a) More precisely they show that  $\Gamma$  has a torsion free subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  of finite index, which is of type  $FL$  and so of type  $F(P)_\infty$ , thus  $\Gamma$  inherits this properties.
- b) Moreover  $H^i(\Gamma_0; \mathbb{Z}\Gamma_0) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq d \\ H_c^d(X; \mathbb{Z}), & \text{thus free} \end{cases}$
- c) In the “number-field-case” (over a number field  $K$  instead of  $F$ ) all these results are valid for arbitrary  $S$ -arithmetic groups, i.e. also for isotropic  $G$ .

In the function-field-case Bux and Wortman proved in [BW], that  $\Gamma$  can be of type  $F_\infty$  only for anisotropic  $G$ : They give a bound for the “finiteness length” ( $\max\{n : \Gamma \text{ has type } F_n\}$ ) for isotropic groups. To obtain a sharp bound, one should restrict to absolutely almost simple groups: A simply connected semi-simple group  $G$  is the direct product of its almost simple factors  $G_i$  and so is  $\Gamma = \prod \Gamma_i$ ,  $\Gamma_i$  in  $G_i$ . For instance  $\Gamma$  can only be finitely generated if all  $\Gamma_i$  are so. In this situation they show, that  $\Gamma$  cannot be of type  $FP_d$  (so not  $F_d$ ).

## 1.4 Isotropic groups

Now assume that  $G$  is an isotropic, absolutely almost simple  $F$ -group. So there exists a minimal  $F$ -parabolic subgroup  $P$  with unipotent radical  $U$ . For each  $v \in S$  choose a minimal  $F_v$ -parabolic group  $Q_v$ , contained in  $P$  with unipotent radical  $U_v$ , such that  $U_v(F_v) \supseteq U(F_v) \supset U(F)$ .

Set  $\overline{U}_S := \prod_{v \in S} U_v(F_v) \supseteq U_S := \prod_{v \in S} U(F_v) \supset U(F)$  (the last inclusion by diagonal embedding) and  $\Gamma \subset G(F)$  is  $S$ -arithmetic. By propositions 1 and 2 we have the isomorphisms for an arbitrary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M$

$$H_c^{d_v}(X_v; M) \simeq H_c^{d_v-1}(Y_v; M) \simeq C_c^\infty(U_v(F_v); M)$$

and  $H_c^i(X_v; M) = 0$  for  $i < d_v$ , so for  $X = \prod_{v \in S} X_v$  we obtain by Künneth’s formula

**Theorem 1.** (cf. [BS], 6.6)

- a)  $H_c^i(X; M) = 0$  for  $i < d = \sum_{v \in S} \dim X_v$ ;
- b)  $H_c^d(X; M) = \bigotimes_{v \in S} H_c^{d_v}(X_v; M) \simeq C_c^\infty(\overline{U}_S; M)$

## 2 Construction of a $FP_{d-1}$ -resolution for $\Gamma$

The Bruhat-Tits-building  $X$  provides an augmented chain-complex  $C = (C_n)_{-1 \leq n \leq d}$  with  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -modules  $C_n$ , generated by the  $n$ -dimensional polysimplices of  $X$  for  $n \geq 0$  and  $C_{-1} = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $C_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_{n-1}$ . Since  $X$  is a contractible space,  $C$  has trivial reduced homology.

Following K. Brown (see [Br1]) we shall construct inductively a projective — or even free — resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by defining chain-complexes  $C'(k) = (C'(k)_n)_{-1 \leq n \leq d-1}$  with finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -modules  $C'(k)_n$ , where  $C'(k)_n = C'(k-1)_n$  for  $n \leq k-1$  and  $C'(k)_n = 0$  for  $n > k$ , beginning with  $C'_{-1} = \mathbb{Z}$  (derivation  $\partial'_n : C'_n \rightarrow C'_{n-1}$ ).

Moreover we define chain-maps  $f_k : C'(k) \rightarrow C$ , starting with  $f_{-1} = id_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $f_k$  an extension of  $f_{k-1}$ . Thereby we obtain finally a subcomplex  $C'$  of  $C$ , whose support in  $X$  is compact modulo  $\Gamma$ .

We consider the mapping-cones  $C''(k)$  for  $f_{k-1}$ , given by  $C''(k)_n := C_n \oplus C'(k-1)_{n-1}$ ,  $C''(k)_{-1} = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\partial''_n(c, c') = (\partial_n c - f_{n-1}(c'), -\partial'_{n-1}(c'))$ ,  $\partial''_{-1} = 0$ .

### 2.1 Homology and the beginning of induction

There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow C'' \rightarrow \Sigma C' \rightarrow 0, \quad (\Sigma C')_n := C'_{n-1},$$

giving rise to a long exact sequence for homology

$$(1) \dots \rightarrow H_n(C) \rightarrow H_n(C''(k)) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(C'(k-1)) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(C) \rightarrow \dots$$

Denote by  $X_0$  the set of vertices of  $X$ , then we have

$$C''(0)_0 = C_0(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \{(\Sigma z_i x_i, z') \mid x_i \in X_0; z_i, z' \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and with  $\partial_0(\Sigma z_i x_i) = \Sigma z_i$  (augmentation-map) we obtain  $\partial''_0((\Sigma z_i x_i, z')) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Sigma z_i = z'$ . Furthermore  $C''(0)_1 = C_1(X) \oplus \{0\}$ ;  $H_0(C) = 0$  implies

$$Z_0(C) = B_0(C) \simeq B_0(C''(0)) = \{\Sigma z_i x_i, 0 \mid \Sigma z_i = 0\}$$

Choose now a base point  $x_0 \in X_0$ , then  $(\Sigma z_i(x_0 - x_i), 0) \in B_0(C''(0))$  and we see that

$$H_0(C''(0)) \simeq \{((\Sigma z_i) \cdot x_0, (\Sigma z_i))\} = \{(zx_0, z) \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$$

We can give evidence of its  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -module-structure:

$$H_0(C''(0)) \simeq \{(\Sigma z_\gamma(\gamma x_0), \Sigma z_\gamma) \mid z_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}, \gamma \in \Gamma\} / \{(\Sigma z_\gamma(\gamma x_0), 0) \mid \Sigma z_\gamma = 0\}$$

Using this description, we can lift the augmentation-map  $\epsilon : \mathbb{Z}\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  to a  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -homomorphism  $\varphi_0$  of  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$  into  $Z_0(C''(0))$ , defined by  $\varphi_0(\Sigma z_\gamma \gamma) := (\Sigma z_\gamma(\gamma x_0), \Sigma z_\gamma)$ ; so  $\varphi_0$  surjects onto  $H_0(C''(0))$ .  $Z_0(C''(0))$  can be viewed as a fiber-product  $C_0 \times_{\mathbb{Z}} C'_{-1}$ , given by the maps  $\partial_0$  and  $f_{-1}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbb{Z}\Gamma & & & & \\
 \swarrow \varphi_0 & \dashrightarrow \partial'_0 & & & \\
 & & Z_0(C''(0)) & \longrightarrow & C'_{-1} = \mathbb{Z} \\
 & \searrow f_0 & \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{-1} \\
 & & C_0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & \mathbb{Z}
 \end{array}$$

According to this diagram we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 C'_0 &:= \mathbb{Z}\Gamma, & f_0 : C'_0 &\rightarrow C_0 \text{ by } f_0(\Sigma z_\gamma \gamma) := \Sigma z_\gamma(\gamma x_0) \\
 & & \partial'_0 : C'_0 &\rightarrow C'_{-1} \text{ by } \partial'_0(\Sigma z_\gamma \gamma) := \Sigma z_\gamma
 \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence we have to set  $C'''(1)_1 = C_1 \oplus C'_0$  and  $C'''(1)_0 = C_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $C'''(1)_n = C_n$  for  $n > 1$ . We confirm that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial''_0 \circ \partial'_1(c_1, c'_0) &= \partial''_0(\partial_1(c_1) - f_0(c'_0), -\partial'_0(c'_0)) \\
 &= (\partial_0 \circ \partial_1(c_1) - \partial_0 \circ f_0(c'_0) - f_{-1} \circ (-\partial'_0(c'_0)), -\partial'_1 \circ (-\partial'_0(c'_0))) \\
 &= 0, \text{ (since } \partial_0 \circ f_0 = f_{-1} \circ \partial'_0)
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover  $\partial'_1(0, -c'_0) = (-f_0(-c'_0), -\partial'_0(-c'_0)) = (\Sigma z_\gamma(\gamma x_0), \Sigma z_\gamma)$  for  $c'_0 = \Sigma z_\gamma \gamma$ , which means that  $\partial'_1 : C'''(1)_1 \rightarrow C'''(1)_0$  is surjective on  $H_0(C'''(0))$ , thus  $H_0(C'''(1)) = 0$ .

Observe that  $f_0(C_0) = f_0(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot (\Gamma x_0)$ , whose support is the subcomplex  $\Gamma \cdot x_0 =: X'_0$  of  $X$ .

For the next step consider  $H_0(C'(0)) = Z_0(C'(0)) = \{\Sigma z_\gamma \cdot \gamma \mid \Sigma z_\gamma = 0\} =: I\Gamma$ , the augmentation ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ . It is well known that  $I\Gamma$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -module iff  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated group. Now  $\Gamma$  is a  $S$ -arithmetic subgroup of  $G(F)$ ,  $G$  an absolutely almost simple algebraic  $F$ -group — what we assume from now on. Therefore  $\Gamma$  is finitely generated iff the sum of local ranks  $d \geq 2$  (see [B1] or [B3]); so we find a free module  $(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^{r_1} =: C'(1)_1$ , which surjects on  $I\Gamma = H_0(C'(0))$ . By sequence (1)  $H_0(C'(0))$  is isomorphic to  $H_1(C'''(1))$ , because  $H_1(C) = H_0(C) = 0$ . We can lift the surjection of  $C'(1)_1$  onto  $H_1(C'''(1))$  to  $Z_1(C'''(1))$ , which is by definition a fiberproduct  $C_1 \times_{C_0} Z_0(C'_0)$  with respect to the maps  $\partial_1$  and  $f_0$ . As above in the diagram we get the maps  $f_1 : C'(1)_1 \rightarrow C_1$  and

$\partial'_1 : C''(1)_1 \rightarrow C''(1)_0 = C''(0)_0$ , more concretely:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 c'_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} & c'_0 \\
 \searrow f_1 & \nearrow & \downarrow f_0 \\
 (c_1, c'_0) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & c'_0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 c_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & \partial_1(c_1) = f_0(c'_0)
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{with } \partial'_0(c'_0) = 0$$

Let us point out, that  $c_1$  is a 1-chain in  $C_1(X)$ , whose boundary is contained in  $f_0(C'_0)$ . Since  $C''(1)_1$  is finitely generated, we get finitely many elements  $c'_1, \dots, c'^{r_1}_1$ , whose supports are paths  $p_1, \dots, p_{r_1}$  in  $X$ , which generate a  $\Gamma$ -subcomplex  $X'_1$  of  $X$  with  $X'_1/\Gamma$  compact.

For each  $c'_0 \in Z_0(C''(0))$  there exists  $c_1 \in C_1$  with  $\partial_1 c_1 = f_0(c'_0)$ , since  $H_0(C) = 0$  and also  $c'_1 \in C''(1)_1$  with  $\partial'_1(c'_1) = c'_0 : H_0(C''(1)) = 0$ . Alternatively we could use  $C'''(2)_2 := C_2 \oplus C''(1)_1$  and compute  $\partial'_2$ , proving that  $H_1(C'''(2)) = 0$ , which implies by (1)  $H_0(C''(1)) = 0$ .

## 2.2 Some examples

1.  $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q[t])$  is not finitely generated, due to Nagao-Serre (see [S], II. 1.6); its Bruhat-Tits-building is a tree  $X$ , which has a half-line  $H$  as a fundamental domain mod  $\Gamma$ .  $H$  has vertices  $x_i (i \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  with stabilizers  $\Gamma_i = \text{stab}_\Gamma x_i$ , where  $\Gamma_0 = SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q), \Gamma_i = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{F}_q^* & \mathbb{F}_q[t]^i \\ 0 & \mathbb{F}_q^* \end{pmatrix}$  with  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]^i = \{p \in \mathbb{F}_q[t] \mid \deg p \leq i\}$  for  $i > 0$ .

We have  $X'_0 = \Gamma \cdot x_0$ , which contains  $\gamma_i x_0$  for  $\gamma_i \in \Gamma_i \setminus \Gamma_{i-1}$  : the shortest path  $p_i$  in  $X$ , connecting  $x_0$  with  $\gamma_i x_0$  must contain the vertex  $x_i$ . Thus the complex  $X'_1$ , generated by the  $p_i$  is not compact mod  $\Gamma$ . If  $c'_i = \gamma_i x_0 - x_0 \in C(0)'_0$  and  $c_i$  is the chain corresponding to  $p_i$  in  $C'_1$  we have  $(c_i, c'_i) \in Z_1(C'''(1))$ , which shows, that  $H_1(C'''(1))$  cannot be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -module — just as  $H_0(C''(0))$ .

2.  $\Gamma = SL_3(\mathbb{F}_q[t])$  is finitely generated: it is easy to see, that  $E = SL_3(\mathbb{F}_q) \cup \{e_{12}(p), e_{23}(q) \mid p, q \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]_1\}$  is a set of generators. A standard apartment  $A$  of its Bruhat-Tits-building  $X$  is a triangulated plane and a fundamental domain for  $X$  mod  $\Gamma$  is given by a cone  $C$  in  $A$  with vertex  $x_0$  and angle  $\frac{\pi}{3}$ . Let  $\Delta_0$  be the triangle with vertex  $x_0$  and contained in  $C$ , s.th. for each  $\gamma \in E$  we have  $\gamma\Delta_0 \cap \Delta_0 \neq \phi$  ( $\gamma$  fixes at least one vertex of  $\Delta_0$ ). This implies, that every vertex  $\gamma x_0$  is connected with  $x_0$  by a path



$p$ , that projects into  $\Delta_0$ , so  $p$  is contained in  $\Gamma\Delta_0 =: X'_1$ , which means  $X'_1/\Gamma$  is compact. In the language of chains: For each  $c' \in Z_0(C'(0))$  with  $f_0(c') \in C_0(X'_0) \subset C_0(X)$ ,  $X'_0 = \Gamma \cdot x_0$  we find  $c \in C_1(X'_1) \subset C_1(X)$  s. th.  $(c, c') \in Z_1(C''(1))$ . But these pairs generate  $H_1(C''(1))$ , since  $(c, 0) \in Z_1(C''(1))$  is a boundary by  $H_1(X) = 0$  and for  $(c_1, c'), (c_2, c') \in Z_1(C''(1))$  we have  $(c_1, c') - (c_2, c') = (c_1 - c_2, 0) \in B_1(C''(1))$ . Conclusion:  $H_1(C''(1))$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -module, because the elements  $c' = \gamma x_0 - x_0$  with  $\gamma \in E$  generate  $Z_0(C'(0))$ .

On the other side  $SL_3(\mathbb{F}_q[t])$  is not finitely presented: this is shown in [B2] by constructing an infinite series of closed paths in  $X'_1$  (or 1-cycles  $c'_n \in C'_1(1)_1$ ), which cannot be contracted in  $\Gamma C_n$  where  $C_n (n \in \mathbb{N})$  are compact subsets of  $C$  with  $\bigcup_n C_n = C$ . In the same way as in example 1 we obtain elements  $(c_n, c'_n)$  in  $Z_2(C''(2))$ , which cannot be contained in a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -module and of course are inequivalent mod  $B_2(C''(2))$  — s.th.  $H_2(C''(2))$  is not finitely generated as a  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -module and the supports of all 2-chains  $c_n$  cannot be contained in a complex  $X'_2$  with  $X'_2/\Gamma$  compact.

3.  $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q[t, t^{-1}])$  is finitely generated by the set  $E = SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \cup \{e_{12}(p) \mid p \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]_1\} \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ . An apartment  $A$  of  $X = X_1 \times X_2$  (the buildings  $X_1$  of  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q[t])$  and  $X_2$  of  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q[t^{-1}])$ ) is a plane, divided into squares. Choose  $x_0 \in A$  with  $\text{stab}_\Gamma x_0 = SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , then there exists a finite union  $Q$  of squares, containing  $x_0$ , s.th.  $\gamma Q \cap Q \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\gamma \in E$ . Then each  $\gamma x_0 \in \Gamma x_0 =: X'_0$  is connected with  $x_0$  by a path in  $\Gamma Q =: X'_1$ , so  $X'_1/\Gamma$  is compact and we conclude as in example 2, that  $H_1(C''(1))$  is generated by elements  $(c, c')$  with  $c \in C_1(X'_1)$ , so it is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -module.

On the other hand,  $\Gamma$  is not finitely presented, which was shown in [St1] in a similar way as for example 2, s. th.  $H_2(C''(2))$  cannot be finitely generated.

4.  $G = SL_2 \times SL_2$  is semi-simple, but not almost simple and  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 = SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q[t]) \times SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q[t])$  is not finitely generated, since the  $\Gamma_i$  are not and  $H_0(C'(0)) = I\Gamma_1 \times I\Gamma_2$ , isomorphic to  $H_1(C''(1))$ , is not a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -module — although the sum  $d$  of local ranks is 2!

## 2.3 Cohomology

The examples show that in order to construct a resolution with free  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -modules and a mod  $\Gamma$  finite subcomplex of  $X$ , one should prove that  $H_k(C''(k))$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -module for  $k \leq d - 1$ . Brown's construction in [Br1] uses cohomology for this purpose, but he assumes, that the given modules of chains are

projective, which is not true in our case - so we need more special arguments. We shall apply Borel-Serre's theorem (thm. 1) on cohomology with compact supports. They refer to Alexander-Spanier-cohomology, but for the polysimplicial complex  $X$  it can also be described by homomorphisms on the  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -modules  $C_n(X)$  of chains (cf [Sp1], section 19 or [Sp2], 6.9). A compact support then consists of finitely many chains in  $C_n(X)$ , just as for the more abstract complexes  $C'_n$  and  $C''_n$ .

Recall that an element of  $H^n(X; M)$  with an arbitrary coefficient-module  $M$  is given by an abelian group homomorphism  $\varphi$  on  $C_n(X)$ , which must be zero on the module  $B_n(X)$  of boundaries (since  $\delta_n\varphi := \varphi \circ \partial_{n+1}$ ) and can be modified by  $\delta_{n-1}\psi = \psi \circ \partial_n$  with  $\psi : C_{n-1}(X) \rightarrow M$ . The action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\varphi$  is given by  $(\gamma\varphi)(c) = \gamma[\varphi(\gamma^{-1}c)]$ . We are interested in finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -modules of  $\varphi$ 's; sometimes it is more convenient, assuming that the supports of generators contain only one element in each  $\Gamma$ -orbit, to consider the  $\Gamma$ -orbit  $\{\gamma\varphi \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  as a  $\Gamma$ -homomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}$  with  $\tilde{\varphi}(\gamma c) = \gamma\tilde{\varphi}(c)$ . The finite generation of the module of  $\varphi$ 's is equivalent to say, that the module of  $\Gamma$ -homomorphisms  $\tilde{\varphi}$  has compact (or finite) support mod  $\Gamma$ .

Now we construct the complexes  $C'$  and  $C''$  by induction and also the sub-complex  $X'$  of  $X$ , where  $X'/\Gamma$  is finite and  $f(C') = C(X')$ . We start induction with

$$X'_0 := \Gamma \cdot x_0 (x_0 \in X), \quad C'(0)_0 := \mathbb{Z}\Gamma, \quad f_0(\sum z_\gamma \gamma) = \sum z_\gamma (\gamma x_0).$$

Suppose now  $k \geq 1$  (I should point out, that we don't need the first step, described in 2.1, which used homology and the theorem on finite generation of  $\Gamma$ ). Define the  $\Gamma$ -homomorphism  $\varphi$

$$\varphi : C''(k)_k = C_k \times C'(k-1)_{k-1} \longrightarrow C_{k-1}, \text{ given by } \varphi(c, c') = \partial_k(c),$$

of course the support of  $\varphi$  is not compact mod  $\Gamma$ . Since  $C'(k-1)_k = 0$ , we have  $B_k(C''(k)) = B_k(C) = Z_k(C)$ , so  $\varphi$  vanishes on  $B_k(C''(k))$  and defines an element of  $H^k(C''(k); C_{k-1})$ . We can modify  $\varphi$  in its cohomology class by  $(-\pi_1 \circ \partial''_k)$ ,  $\pi_1$  the projection of  $C''(k-1)$  to its first component  $C_{k-1}$ , thus we have

$$\pi_1 \circ \partial''_k(c, c') = \pi_1(\partial_k c - f_{k-1}c', \partial'_{k-1}c') = \partial_k c - f_{k-1}c'.$$

The restriction of the class of  $\varphi$  to homology is a well-defined element  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}_\Gamma(H_k(C''(k)); C_{k-1})$ .

(Remark: In the more comfortable situation of [Br 1] one even has an isomorphism between  $H^k(C''(k); M)$  and  $\text{Hom}_\Gamma(H_k(C''(k)); M)$  for arbitrary  $M$ .) We have

$$\bar{\varphi}(c, c') = \partial_k(c) = f_{k-1}(c').$$

The image of  $\bar{\varphi}$  is  $H_{k-1}(f_{k-1}(C'(k-1)))$  since  $\partial_{k-1}(f_{k-1}c') = \partial_{k-1} \circ \partial_k(c) = 0$  and because  $H_{k-1}(C) = 0$  there exists for each cycle  $f_{k-1}(c')$  an element  $c \in C_k$  with  $\partial_k(c) = f_{k-1}(c')$ .

For isotropic groups we know, that  $X/\Gamma$  is not compact, so we have to consider a filtration of  $X$ , i.e. an ascending sequence of subcomplexes  $X(m)$  with  $X(m)/\Gamma$  compact and  $UX(m) = X$ . Denote by  $\varphi_m$  the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $C(X(m))_k \times C'(k-1)_{k-1}$ , then  $\varphi_m$  has compact support mod  $\Gamma$  (the second component  $C'(k-1)_{k-1}$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -module by induction) and defines an element of  $H_c^k(C''(k); C_{k-1})$ ; its restriction  $\bar{\varphi}_m$  may be non-trivial only for a finitely generated submodule of  $H_k(C''(k))$ .

For cohomology there exists also a long exact sequence

$$(2) \dots \rightarrow H_c^{n-1}(C; M) \rightarrow H_c^{n-1}(C'(k-1); M) \rightarrow H_c^n(C''(k); M) \rightarrow H_c^n(C; M) \rightarrow \dots$$

for arbitrary coefficient-modules  $M$ . For  $n \leq d-1$  Borel-Serre's result says, that  $H_c^n(C; M) = 0$  which implies  $H_c^k(C''(k); M) \simeq H_c^{k-1}(C'(k-1); M)$  for  $k \leq d-1$ . By induction  $C'(k-1)$  is a complex of finitely generated free  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -modules and therefore its cohomology is also finitely generated, which means that the module of the corresponding  $\Gamma$ -homomorphisms has compact support modulo  $\Gamma$ - and by the isomorphism this is also true for  $H_c^k(C''(k); M)$ .

We apply this conclusion to the  $\Gamma$ -homomorphisms  $\varphi_m$  with  $M = C_{k-1}$  and its cohomology classes: They vanish outside some  $C(X(m_0))_k$ . In particular we have  $\bar{\varphi}_m = \bar{\varphi}$  for all  $m \geq m_0$  for the restrictions to the homology  $H_k(C''(k))$ . Observe that the support of  $\partial_k: C_k \rightarrow C_{k-1}$  is of course not compact mod  $\Gamma$ , but there may be different elements  $c_1$  and  $c_2 \in C(k)$ , s.th.  $(c_1, c')$  and  $(c_2, c')$  define the same homology class, which means  $(c_1, c') - (c_2, c') \in B_k(C''(k)) \Rightarrow \partial_k c_1 = f_{k-1}c' = \partial_k c_2$ .

We summarize: For each  $c' \in Z_{k-1}(C(k-1)) = H_{k-1}(C'(k-1))$ ,  $c' \neq 0$  with  $\partial'_{k-1}c' = 0$  and  $\partial_{k-1}(f_{k-1}c') = f_{k-2}(\partial'_{k-1}c') = 0$  we find  $c \in C_k$  with  $\partial_k c = f_{k-1}c'$ , because  $H_k(C) = 0$ . Since  $\bar{\varphi}(c, c') = \partial_k c = 0$  for  $c \notin C(X(m_0))$  we must have  $c \in C(X(m_0))$  for all  $c' \in H_{k-1}(C'(k-1))$ .  $X(m_0)/\Gamma$  is compact, so there exists a set  $X'_k$  of  $k$ -dimensional polysimplices,  $X'_k \subset X(m_0)$  and  $C(X'_k)/\Gamma$  finite, which supports the first component of  $H_k(C''(k))$ .

We choose a (minimal) finite set  $\{c_1, \dots, c_r\}$  of generators for  $C(X'_k)$ . There may exist several elements  $c'$  with  $f_{k-1}c' = \partial_k c_i$ , but we may assume by induction that they are congruent mod  $\Gamma$  (even mod the finite stabilizer  $\Gamma_0$  of  $\partial_k c_i$ ). Thus we can also choose  $c'_i \in C'(k-1)_{k-1}$ , s.th.  $\{(c_i, c'_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, r\}$  is a finite set of generators for the  $\Gamma$ -module  $H_k(C''(k))$ , where  $(c, c')$  is the class of  $(c, c') \in Z_k(C''(k))$ .

Now we can define  $C'(k)_k := (\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^r$  and get the following diagram for  $\varphi_k(\sum_{i=1}^r z_{\gamma_i} \cdot \gamma_i) := \sum_{i=1}^r z_{\gamma_i} \cdot \gamma_i(c_i, c'_i) \in Z_k(C''(k))$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)^r & \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} & Z_k(C''(k)) \\
& \searrow^{f_k} & \downarrow^{pr_1} \\
& & C(X(m_0))_k \\
& & \cap \\
& & C_k
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
& \xrightarrow{\partial'_k} & Z_{k-1}(C'(k-1)) \subset C'(k-1)_{k-1} \\
& & \downarrow^{f_{k-1}} \\
& & C(X(m_0))_{k-1} \\
& & \cap \\
& & C_{k-1}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
& & \xrightarrow{pr_2} \\
& & \xrightarrow{\partial_k}
\end{array}$$

thus defining  $\partial'_k : C''(k)_k \rightarrow C'(k)_{k-1}$  and

$$f_k : C''(k)_k \rightarrow C_k.$$

Moreover we have  $C''(k+1)_{k+1} := C_{k+1} \oplus C''(k)_k$  and the  $k$ -dimensional subcomplex  $X'(k) := \bigcup_{j=1}^k X'_j$ , adding  $X'_k$ .

We also saw, that  $\partial'_k$  surjects on  $Z_{k-1}(C'(k-1))$ , which means  $H_{k-1}(C'(k)) = 0$ , so we obtained a resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by finitely generated free  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -modules up to dimension  $k$ .

## Remarks

1. Under the assumptions of [Br1], one can even show, that  $H^k(C; M) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma}(H_k(C); M)$  and if the cohomology of  $C$  preserves direct limits for the coefficient modules, Brown constructs the chain-complex  $C'$  with finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -modules.
2. For the semi-simple group  $G$  of example 4 the construction above should not work, although for  $d = 2$  the sequence (2) implies that  $H_c^1(C''(1), C_0)$  is finitely generated.

Here we have  $X'_0 = \mathbb{Z}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cdot (x_0^1, x_0^2)$  and the shortest path between  $(x_0^1, x_0^2)$  and  $(\gamma_i^1 x_0^1, x_0^2)$  must contain the vertex  $(x_i^1, x_0^2)$  (notations as in example 1 with upper index 1 or 2) s.th. the distance between  $x_0^1$  and  $\gamma_i^1 x_0^1$  goes to infinity with  $i$ -analogous in the second component. There exists a closed path in  $X = X_1 \times X_2$  with the following vertices:

$(x_0^1, x_0^2) \rightarrow (x_i^1, x_0^2) \rightarrow (\gamma_i^1 x_0^1, x_0^2) \rightarrow (\gamma_i^1 x_0^1, x_j^2) \rightarrow (x_i^1, x_j^2) \rightarrow (x_0^1, x_j^2) \rightarrow (x_0^1, x_0^2)$ . This path  $p$  (or chains, whose support is  $p$ ) is a boundary in  $X(d \geq 2)$ , therefore a homomorphism from cohomology has to vanish on  $p$ , e.g.  $\partial_1$ , which should define the isomorphism on homology. Bur for fixed  $x_i^1$  we get  $x_0^2$

arbitrary far from  $x_2^0$ , which means, that  $\partial_1$  cannot be restricted to compact supports.

We summarize our results in the following

**Proposition 4.** *a) There exists a partial resolution of  $Z$  with free  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -modules of finite rank:  $C'_{d-1} \rightarrow C'_{d-2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C'_0 \rightarrow Z$*

*b) There exists a  $(d-1)$ -dimensional subcomplex  $X' = X'(d-1)$  of  $X$  with  $H_k(X') = 0$  for  $k < d-1$ .*

Both properties imply the following finiteness theorem: Cf. for the first [Br2], VIII. 4.3 and for the second [Br3], 1.1 (observing that stabilizers in  $\Gamma$  of cells in  $X$  are finite).

**Theorem 2.** *A  $S$ -arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma$  of an absolutely almost simple algebraic group  $G$ , defined over a function field  $F$  with  $\text{rank}_F G > 0$  and  $d = \sum_{r \in S} \text{rank}_{F_v} G$  is of type  $FP_{d-1}$ .*

## Remarks:

1. For semi-simple groups we obtain type  $FP_{d'}$ , where  $d'$  is the minimal  $d$  for the simple factors.
2. Our (co)homological method cannot prove that  $\Gamma$  is also of type  $F_{d-1}$ ; but this is true, since finite presentability was shown for  $d = 3$  (see [B3]: unfortunately this proof is case-by-case and lengthy and part II of it was not published, but exists!): cf [Br2], VIII. 7.

## 3 Spherical holes in $X$ imply, that $\Gamma$ is not of type $FP_d$ .

This theorem cannot be deduced immediately from Borel-Serre's result on the top-cohomology of  $X$ . But their computations provide a natural construction of cycles. We prove that the codim 1-homology is not essentially trivial and use then Brown's criterion. An important tool is the existence of a section of apartments, which is compact modulo  $\Gamma$  — already used in [BW].

### 3.1 Homology and cohomology in the top-dimension

For  $v \in S$  let  $Y_v$  be the Tits-building and  $A$  an apartment of  $Y_v$  in  $\mathcal{A}_P$  (cf. 1.1).  $A$  is an oriented sphere and its homology  $H_{l-1}(A; \mathbb{Z})$  is generated by the cycle  $\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{lw} wC$  in the fundamental class  $[A]$ . An element  $h$  of the cohomology  $\tilde{H}^{l-1}(A; \mathbb{Z})$  can be restricted as a function to homology: The values  $h([A])$  establish the isomorphism  $\tilde{H}^{l-1}(Y_{v,t}; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq C_c^\infty(\mathcal{A}_p; \mathbb{Z})$ , the set of locally constant functions with compact support on  $\mathcal{A}_p$  (see [BS], 2.5-2.6). Roughly speaking, the cohomology may be understood as the characteristic function of supports for homology.

Recall that the standard apartment  $A_0$  is determined by a pair  $(C, C^{op})$  of opposite chambers and each  $A \in \mathcal{A}_p$  by a pair  $(C, uC^{op})$  with  $u \in U(F_v)$ , giving the 1–1–correspondence between  $\mathcal{A}_p$  and  $U(F_v)$ , s.th.  $\tilde{H}^{l-1}(Y_{v,t}; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq C_c^\infty(U(F_v); \mathbb{Z})$ . For the spherical join  $Y$  of the  $Y_v (v \in S)$  we have to consider the product  $U_S = \prod_{v \in S} U(F_v)$  and obtain  $\tilde{H}^{d-1}(Y_t; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq C_c^\infty(U_S; \mathbb{Z})$ .

The transition to affine buildings with boundary is based on the sequence (cf. 1.2)

$$0 \rightarrow Y_t \rightarrow Z_t \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$$

and its long exact sequence for cohomology shows that  $H^{l-1}(Y_t; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_c^l(X; \mathbb{Z})$ , since the cohomology of  $Z_t$  vanishes.

This isomorphism can be explained as follows: The  $(l-1)$ –cycles in  $Y_t$  are given by fundamental classes  $[\bar{A}]$  of apartments  $\bar{A} \in \mathcal{A}_P$  in  $Y_t$  and  $\bar{A}$  bounds an apartment  $A$  of  $X$ . By retraction of  $A \cup \bar{A}$  towards a center  $z_A \in A$  we can remove a collar and can consider  $[\bar{A}]$  as a  $(l-1)$ –cycle in  $X$ ; there it is the boundary of a  $l$ –chain  $c_A$ . In the top-dimension  $c_A$  is unique, its support is a cone with vertex  $z_A$  and edges, whose directions represent vertices in  $Y_t$ , defining chambers in  $\bar{A}$ . Observe that the support of  $c_A$  in  $X$  is compact. In the other direction: A given support of a  $l$ –chain  $c_A$  may be extended in different ways towards  $Y_t$ . But since the cohomology is locally constant, their extension is constant for a neighbourhood in  $Y_t$ .

### 3.2 Example: $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(0_S)$

It should be useful to see the proof at first for a simple example without too many technicalities. We choose the first example, for which the theorem was proved in 1980 by U. Stuhler, computing the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ –cohomology of  $\Gamma$ .

For  $SL_2(0_S)$  the Bruhat-Tits-building  $X$  is the product of  $s = |S|$  trees  $X_v$ , its apartments are  $\mathbb{R}^s$  with a right-angled complex. The apartments of the boundary  $Y$  are spherical joins, its chambers  $(s-1)$ –simplices. A pair of opposite minimal parabolic subgroups is  $(P^+, P^-)$ , the groups of upper and lower triangular matrices

with Levi-decompositions  $P^+ = T \ltimes U^+, P^- = T \ltimes U^-$ . The standard apartments  $A_0$  and  $\overline{A}_0$  are determined by the opposite chambers  $C$  and  $C^-$ , fixed by  $P_S^+ = \prod_{v \in S} P^+(F_v)$  and  $P_S^-$ . The torus  $T_S$  acts on  $A_0$ ; if we choose an origin  $o = (o_v)$  in  $A_0$ , we get  $A_0 = \{(x_v) = (t_v o_v) \mid (t_v) \in T_S, v \in S\}$ . If  $\alpha$  is the root of  $T$  with respect to  $P^+, \mid \alpha(t) \mid = \prod_v \mid \alpha(t_v) \mid_v$  and by abuse of notation  $\alpha(x) = \sum_v \alpha(x_v) = \sum \log \mid \alpha(t_v) \mid_v$  for  $x_v = (t_v o_v)$  is a linear weight-function on  $A_0$  and  $H = \{x \in A_0 \mid \alpha(x) = 0\}$  a hyperplane in  $A_0$ , containing  $o$ .

$SL_2(F)$  is diagonally embedded in  $SL_2(F_S) = \prod_v SL_2(F_v)$  and by the product-formula for values we see that  $T(0_S) = \{t \in T(F) \mid \mid \alpha(t) \mid = 1\}$ , so  $T(0_S)$  is by Dirichlet's unit-theorem the product of a finite group and a free abelian group of rank  $(s - 1)$ . The latter acts on  $H$  as a lattice of translations and therefore  $H/T(0_S)$  is compact. The subcomplex  $X_0 := \Gamma \cdot H$  of  $X$  is then also compact mod  $\Gamma$ . Our aim is to project apartments into  $X_0$ .

Denote by  $p_v$  resp.  $p'_v$  the vertices of  $C$  and  $C'$  and consider them as directions in  $A_0$ , given by half-lines, starting at a center  $z$ . We choose a series of centers  $z_m (m \in \mathbb{N})$  in the sector with vertex  $o$  and base  $C$ :  $\alpha[(z_m)_v] = m$  for all  $v$ .

Let  $\rho_m$  be the projection of  $Z_t = X \amalg Y_t$  into  $X_0$  with center  $z_m$ . For the restriction to  $A_0 \cup \overline{A}_0$  we obtain  $\rho_m(p_v) = \rho_m(p'_v) =: q_{v,m}$  with the following coordinates:  $\alpha[(q_{v,m})_w] = m$  for all  $w \neq v$  and  $\alpha[(q_{v,m})_v] = -(s - 1)m$ . The vertices  $q_{v,m}$  span a  $(s - 1)$ -simplex  $\Delta_m$  in  $H$  and  $\rho_m(\overline{A}_0) = \Delta_m$ .

Consider now arbitrary apartments  $uA_0 \in \mathcal{A}_p, u \in U_S$ . It is well known, that  $U_S = \prod_v U(F_v)$  is compact mod  $U(0_S)$  (see [B1], Satz 3):  $U_S = U(0_S) \cdot K, K$  compact (if the class-number of  $0_S$  is 1, one can choose for  $K$  the product  $\prod_v 0_v$  of the valuation rings). We assume that the origin  $o$  is fixed by  $K$ .

Take  $u' \in \text{stab}_{z_m} \cap U_S, u' = u \cdot k$  with  $u \in U(0_S), k = (k_v) \in K$  and suppose  $\log \mid u \mid_v = \alpha[(z_m)_v] = m$ , so  $m > \alpha[(q_{v,m})_v]$ , which implies that  $u$  fixes none of the vertices  $q_{v,m}$  — but  $u(q_{v,m}) \in \Gamma \cdot H = X_0$ .

Let us now describe the fundamental classes  $[\overline{A}_0]$  and  $u[\overline{A}_0]$ , elements of  $H_{s-1}(Y_t; \mathbb{Z})$ .  $[\overline{A}_0]$  is given by  $\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{lw} \cdot wC$ ; the Weyl-group  $W$  of  $SL_2(F_S)$  is  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^s = \{w = \prod_v w_v^{\epsilon_v} \mid \epsilon_v = 0, 1\}$ , where  $w_v$  is the involution with  $w_v[P^+(F_v)] = P^-(F_v)$ , in particular  $w_0 = \prod_v w_v$  and  $C' = w_0 C$ .

For  $u([\overline{A}_0])$  we get  $uw_v^{\epsilon_v} p_v = p_v$  for  $\epsilon = 0$  ( $U$  stabilizes  $C$ ) and  $uw_v^{\epsilon_v} p_v = up'_v$  for  $\epsilon = 1$ , so all chambers  $uwC$  have vertices from  $\{p_v\} \cup \{up'_v\}$ , especially  $uC = C$  and  $uw_0 C = uC'$ . In geometric terms: the apartments  $u\overline{A}_0$  are cross-polytopes (for  $s = 3$  octahedrons) and topologically  $(s - 1)$ -spheres.

In the last step we retract these cycles into  $X_0$  by  $\rho_m$  (observe that  $\rho_m$  is

compatible with the action of  $\Gamma$ ):  $\rho_m(p_v) = q_v = \rho_m(p'_v), \rho_m(up'_v) = uq_v$ . Thus  $\rho_m(u[\bar{A}_0])$  is a cycle  $c_m \in Z_{s-1}(X_0; \mathbb{Z})$ , whose support is a  $(s-1)$ -sphere  $S_m$ , consisting of  $2^s$   $(s-1)$ -simplices. We should point out, that different simplices are in different apartments of  $X$  with boundary in  $\mathcal{A}_p$ . Moreover these apartments contain the retraction-center  $z_m$  and therefore the cone  $C_m$  with base  $S_m$  and vertex  $z_m$ , which supports a  $s$ -chain  $\tilde{C}_m$ , whose boundary is  $c_m$ . In the top-dimension such a chain  $\tilde{C}_m$  is unique and since  $z_m \notin X_0$ , we conclude that  $c_m$  defines a non-trivial class in  $H_{s-1}(X_0, \mathbb{Z})$ . In short:  $S_m$  is a spherical hole in  $X_0$ . On the other hand  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} z_m \in C$ , which means that the cones  $C_m$  grow out of each subcomplex  $X'$  compact mod  $\Gamma : H_{s-1}(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is not essentially trivial (using a filtration  $(X_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  of  $X$  with  $X_0$  and  $X_m/\Gamma$  compact). Then Brown's criterion ([Br3], thm. 2.2) shows, that  $SL_2(0_S)$  is not of type  $F_{|S|}$ .

### 3.3 The general case

Most problems arise already for a fixed place  $v \in S$ . For the construction of spheres and cycles it seems convenient not to use complete apartments but only the links of two opposite vertices in  $Y_v$ . These links have good projections into a hyperplane  $H$  in an apartment  $A$  of  $X$ , on which an arithmetic torus acts cocompactly. The proof of the following proposition can be found in [BW], thm. 2.2 and the literature quoted there.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $Q$  be a maximal  $F$ -parabolic subgroup of  $G$ , containing a 1-dimensional  $F$ -split torus  $T_1$  with Levi-decomposition  $Q = Z_G(T_1) \rtimes R_u(Q)$ .*

a) *There exists a maximal  $F$ -torus  $T$  in  $Q$ , such that:*

(i) *The maximal  $F$ -split torus of  $T$  is  $T_1$ ;*

(ii)  *$T$  contains a maximal  $F_v$ -split torus  $T_v$  for all  $v \in S$ .*

b)  $T_S = \prod_{v \in S} T(F_v)$  acts on an apartment  $A = \prod_v A_v$  of dimension  $d = \sum_v d_v$  in  $X = \prod_v X_v$ .

c)  $T_S$  is the product of a compact group and a free abelian group of rank  $d$ , which acts on  $A$  by translations.

d)  $T(0_S)$  is discrete in  $T_S$  and is the product of a finite group and a free abelian group of rank  $\sum_v \text{rank}_{F_v}(T) - \text{rank}_F T = d - 1$  ("generalized Dirichlet-unit-theorem").

e) Fixing an origin  $o$  in  $A$ , we get a hyperplane  $H \subset A$  on which  $T(0_S)$  acts cocompactly.



We concentrate now on a fixed place  $v \in S$  and consider an apartment  $A_v \subset X_v$  with boundary  $\overline{A}_v \subset Y_v$  (for simplicity we omit the index  $v$  for the details).

$\overline{A}_v$  is determined by a pair of opposite chambers  $C$  and  $C'$ , fixed by  $F_v$ -minimal parabolic subgroups  $P$  and  $P'$ . The vertices of  $C$  and  $C'$  are stabilized by  $F_v$ -maximal parabolics, containing  $P$  resp.  $P'$ . We assume that the  $F$ -group  $Q$  of prop. 5 is one of them and denote it by  $Q_0$ , fixing  $p_0 \in C$  and its opposites by  $Q'_0$  and  $p'_0$ .

There exist several minimal parabolic groups  $P'_i$ , contained in  $Q'_0$  (their number depends on the local Weyl-group), fixing chambers  $C'_1, \dots, C'_k$ , so the union  $\bigcup_1^k C'_i$  is the star of  $p'_0$  in  $\overline{A}_v$  and the faces of the  $C'_i$ , which do not contain  $p'_0$ , establish the link  $L(p'_0)$ . On the opposite side we have the link  $L(p_0)$ .

Remember that the set of all apartments in  $\overline{A}_v$ , containing the chamber  $C$  is given by the elements of  $\overline{U}(F_v)$ , where  $\overline{U}$  is the unipotent radical of  $P$ . Set  $U_0 := R_u(Q_0)$ , then  $U_0(F_v) \leq \overline{U}(F_v)$ , since  $Q_0 \geq P$ . This is also true for the other minimal parabolics  $P_i \leq Q_0$  and if some maximal  $Q_i \geq P_i$  fixes a vertex  $p_i \in L(p_0)$ , then the unipotent radical  $U_i(F_v)$  of  $Q_i(F_v)$  has a non-trivial intersection with  $U_0(F_v)$ . An element  $u \neq 1$  from this intersection is not contained in  $U'_i(F_v)$ , where  $U'_i$  is the unipotent radical of  $Q'_i$ , fixing the vertex  $p'_i$  opposite to  $p_i$  — but then  $u$  moves  $p' \in L(p'_0)$ .

Now we turn the attention to  $A_v$ , interpreting the vertices of  $\overline{A}_v$  as directions in  $A_v$ .  $T(F_v)$  acts by translations on  $A_v$ , in particular  $T_1(F_v)$  on lines with ends  $p_0$  and  $p'_0$ . Each of the minimal parabolic subgroups  $P'_i$  determines a so-called dual root-systems  $R_i^V$  of characters on  $T(F_v)$ . Its basis consists of fundamental weights  $\omega'_{ij}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k; j = 0, \dots, d_v - 1$ ), which describe the action of  $T(F_v)$  on the unipotent radicals of maximal parabolic subgroups containing  $P'_i$ . In particular  $\omega'_0 := \omega'_{i0}$  for all  $i$  belongs to  $Q_0$ .

If we choose an origin  $o_v \in A_v$ , then every  $x \in A$  is given as  $x = t_x o_v$  with  $t_x \in T(F_v)$  and we define  $\omega'_{ij}(x) = \log |\omega'_{ij}(t_x)|_v$  as linear functions on  $A$ .

**Lemma 1.** *For  $H$  from Prop. 5  $H \cap A_v$  is a hyperplane in  $A_v$ .*

- a) *The vector  $\omega'_0$  is orthogonal to  $H \cap A_v$ .*
- b) *All half-lines in  $A_v$  with direction  $\omega'_{ij}$  — starting at a vertex on the same side of  $H \cap A_v$  as  $p_0$  — intersect  $H \cap A_v$ .*

*Proof:* a) For a sequence  $x_m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ) of vertices in  $A_v$  with  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = p'_0$  we have  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \text{vol} [\text{stab } x_m \cap U'_0(F_v)] = \infty$ . By reduction theory we know that  $(U'_0)_S = \prod_v U'_0(F_v) = U'_0(0_S) \cdot K$  with a compact set  $K$  (cf 3.2). Therefore the finite intersections  $\text{stab } x_m \cap U'_0(O_S)$  grow also for  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , so the vertices

$x_m$  cannot be congruent mod  $\Gamma$  to finitely many ones. Since  $H$  is compact mod  $\Gamma$ , the direction  $w'_0$  can not have a component in  $H \cap A_v$ .

- b) It is well known that the angles between fundamental weights are acute, if  $R^V$  is irreducible (cf [B3], 2.2). □

If we assume that the origin  $o = (o_v)_v \in \Pi A_v = A$  lies in  $H$  and observe that the linear function  $\omega'_0$  is defined on all  $A_v$ , s.th.  $\omega'_0[(x_v)_v] = \sum_v \omega'_0(x_v)$ , we obtain — using the product formula for values — an explicit description for  $H$  :  $H = \{x \in A \mid \omega'_0(x) = 0\}$ .

**Construction of spheres and cycles** in the subcomplex  $X_0 := \Gamma \cdot H$  of  $X$ , which is compact mod  $\Gamma$  — just as  $H$ . It will be enough to work in a fixed building  $X_v$  and take spherical joins in the end. In  $X_v$  we are not interested in full apartments but only in links of opposite vertices.

In the first step we project the link  $L(p'_0) \subset Y_v$  into  $H \cap A_v$ , using a series of projection-centers  $z_m$ , lying on the half-line with vertex  $o_v$  and direction  $\rho_o$  with  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} z_m = p_0$ . Then all half-lines  $h_{m,p'}$  with vertex  $z_m$  and direction  $p' \in L(p'_0)$  — or with vector  $\omega'_{ij}$  — intersect  $H \cap A_v$  in a vertex  $q_m$ . This provides a map of  $L(p'_0)$  onto the link  $L(q_{0,m})$  in  $H \cap A_v$ . In order to simplify the situation we shall not consider the whole link, but only a  $(d_v - 1)$ -simplex  $\sum_m$  with vertices from  $L(q_{0,m})$ , that will be specified in the following lemma.

In a second step we map  $\sum_m$  by an element  $u \in \text{stab } z_m \cap U_0(O_S)$  into  $X_0 \cap A_v$ . Over  $F_v$  the element  $u$  splits up into root-factors, which are needed to define further simplices connecting  $\sum_m$  and  $u(\sum_m)$ .

Thus the lemma will be an exercise on root-systems. The root-system  $R$  for  $T(F_v)$  with respect to the  $F_v$ -minimal parabolic group  $P$  has a generating set  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$  of simple roots, which contains the set  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r_0}\}$  of simple roots over  $F$ . We specialize the definition of  $Q_0$ , assuming that  $T_1 = \bigcap_{i \in \Delta - \{\alpha_1\}} (\ker \alpha_i)^0$  (where  $^0$  denotes the connected component of identity):  $Q_0 = Z_G(T) \rtimes U_0$ .

**Lemma 2.** *There exist  $F_v$ -maximal parabolic subgroups  $Q_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ;  $r = \text{rank}_{F_v} G$ ), which fix  $p_i \in L(p_0)$ , and opposites  $Q'_i$ , fixing  $p'_i \in L(p'_0)$  and root-factors  $u_j \in U_0(O_S) \cap U_\beta(F_v)$  for some positive root  $\beta \in R_+$ , also for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , such that  $u_j(p'_i) = p'_i$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $u_j(p'_j) \neq p'_j$ .*

For the **proof** we describe the roots, who contribute factors to the unipotent radicals  $U_i$  of the groups  $Q_i$  ( $i = 0, \dots, r$ ); we use a suitable order of the simple roots (see the remark afterwards).

Let  $u \in U_0(F_v)$  be the product  $u = \prod_{\beta} u_{\beta}$  with  $u_{\beta} \in U_{\beta}(F_v)$  for  $\beta \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r\}$  — so we admit no factors, where  $\beta$  has a coefficient  $> 1$ .

Now take  $Q_1$  with  $U_1 = R_u(Q_1)$ , s.th.  $U_1$  has root-factors  $U_j$  with  $j \in \{\alpha_r, \alpha_r + \alpha_{r-1}, \dots, \alpha_r + \alpha_{r-1} + \dots + \alpha_1\}$ , perhaps additional ones.

Denote the generators of the local Weyl-group  $W_v$  with  $s_i (i = 1, \dots, r)$ , s.th.  $s_i(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$  and define the groups  $Q_i$  for  $i > 1$  by  $Q_2 = s_r(Q_1)$ ,  $Q_3 = s_{r-1}(Q_2)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $Q_r = s_2(Q_{r-1})$ . We indicate briefly the roots for the unipotent radicals  $U_i$ .  $U_2 : \{-\alpha_r, \alpha_{r-1}, \alpha_{r-1} + \alpha_{r-2}, \dots\}$ ,  $U_3 : \{-\alpha_r - \alpha_{r-1}, -\alpha_{r-1}, \alpha_{r-2}, \dots\}$ ,  $\dots$ , and finally  $U_r : \{-\alpha_r - \dots - \alpha_{r-2}, \dots - \alpha_2, \alpha_1\}$ .

**Remark:** The numbering of roots is not always the usual one.  $\alpha_r$  must be a long root and for  $E_6, E_7, E_8$  the “extra” vertice must be  $\alpha_4$ .

The result is as follows: Each factor  $u_{\beta}$  from the product  $u = \prod_{\beta} u_{\beta}$  is contained in exactly one of the unipotent radicals  $U_i$  (for  $i = 1, \dots, v$ ). If we rewrite  $u = \prod_{j=1}^v u_j$ , we can assume that  $u_j \in U_j(F_v)$ , but  $u_j \notin U_i(F_v)$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Now  $u_j \notin U_i(F_v)$  implies that  $u_j \in U'_i(F_v) \subset Q'_i(F_v)$  for the opposite group, which means  $u_j(p'_i) = p'_i$  for  $i \neq j$  and since  $u_j \notin U'_j(F_v)$  also  $u_j(p'_j) \neq p'_j$ .

It remains to guarantee, that the factors  $u_j = u_{\beta}$  can be chosen in  $U_0(0_S)$ . For this purpose we have to make precise the positions of  $o_v$  and  $(z_m)_v$ . We know that  $U_0(F_v) = U(0_S) \cdot K_v$  with  $K_v$  compact, so we can suppose that  $K_v$  fixes  $o_v$ . For vertices  $x_v \in T_1(F_v) \cdot o_v$  we have  $\beta(x_v) = \alpha_1(x_v)$  for all  $\beta \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r\}$ , especially we get  $\beta(o_v) = 0$  and we define  $(z_m)_v$  by  $\alpha_1[(z_m)_v] = m = \beta[(z_m)_v]$ . An arbitrary element  $u_{\beta} \in U_{\beta}(F_v)$  can be written in the form  $u_{\beta} = u'_{\beta} \cdot k_{\beta}$  with  $u'_{\beta} \in U_0(0_S)$  and  $k_{\beta} \in K_v$  with  $\beta(u'_{\beta}) = \beta(u_{\beta})$  and we have also  $u'_{\beta}(p'_i) = p'_i$  for  $u_{\beta} = u_j, i \neq j$  and  $u'_{\beta}(p'_j) \neq p'_j$  — but not  $u'_{\beta}(p'_j) = u_{\beta}(p_j)$  in general.

The result can be transmitted to the image of projections into  $X_0 = \Gamma \cdot H$ .

**Corollary 1.** For  $u = \prod_{j=1}^r u_j \in U_0(0_S) \cap \prod_{\beta} U_{\beta}(F_v) \cap \text{stab } z_m$  and  $q_i = h_{m, p'_i} \cap H \cap A_v$  there is  $u(q_i) = u_i(q_i) \in X_0 \cap A_v$ , since  $u_j(q_i) = q_i$  for  $i \neq j$ .

**Remark:** A simple, but instructive example for this proof is  $\Gamma = SL_4(0_S)$ ,  $s = 1$  with  $r = 3$ , where  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  are vertices of a triangle in  $L(q_0)$ , cutting off the three other vertices of  $L(q_0)$ .

Now it is easy to construct spherical complexes in  $X_0 \cap A_v$ , following a similar pattern as in the example 3.2. We start with the  $(d_v - 1)$ -simplex  $\sum_m \subseteq L(q_0) \subset H \cap A_v$  with vertices  $q_1, \dots, q_{d_v} (d_v = r)$ , which come from the projection of  $L(p'_0) \subset Y_v$  towards the center  $(z_m)_v \in T_1(F_v) \cdot o_v$ . Now we use a product  $u = \prod_{j=1}^r u_j \in U_0(0_S) \cap \text{stab } z_m$  from the corollary and map  $\sum_m$  by partial products:

Set  $J = \{1, \dots, r\} = J' \dot{\cup} J''$  and  $u_{J'} := \prod_{j \in J'} u_j$ , thus  $u_{J'}$  fixes the vertices  $q_j$  with  $j \in J''$  and for  $j \in J'$  we get  $u_{J'}(q_j) = u(q_j) \in u(\sum_m) \subseteq u(L(q_0))$ . We get  $2^{d_v}$   $(d_v - 1)$ -simplices, which fit together to a topological  $(d_v - 1)$ -sphere  $S_m^{d_v-1}$ . Its faces  $U_{J'}(\sum_m)$  are contained in different apartments  $u_{J'}(A_v)$ , determined by the pair  $(C, u_{J'}(C'))$  of opposite chambers in  $Y_v$ . Moreover all these apartments contain the center  $(z_m)_v$ , so  $S_m^{d_v-1}$  can be retracted to  $(z_m)_v$ . For  $(z_m)_v$  we have  $\alpha_1[(z_m)_v] = m > 0$ , which means  $(z_m)_v \notin X_0 \cap A_v$  and implies that  $S_m^{d_v-1}$  cannot be a boundary in  $X_0 \cap X_v$  — by uniqueness in the top-dimension for killing homology: We have a spherical hole in  $X_0 \cap X_v$ .

For the combination of all places  $v \in S$  we simply have to define the spherical joins of all  $S_m^{d_v-1}$  to obtain topological  $(d-1)$ -spheres  $S_m^{d-1}$  in  $X_0$ , supporting non-trivial elements in  $H_{d-1}(X_0; \mathbb{Z})$ . For  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} z_m = p_0 \in Y$  with  $\alpha_1[(z_m)_v] = m \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $v \in S$  we have therefore shown that  $H_{d-1}(X_0; \mathbb{Z})$  is not essentially trivial.

**Theorem 3.** *A  $S$ -arithmetic subgroup of an absolutely almost simple algebraic group  $G$ , defined over a function field  $F$  with  $\text{rank}_F G > 0$  and  $d = \sum_{v \in S} \text{rank}_{F_v} G$  is not of type  $FP_d$  and so not of type  $F_d$ .*

**Remark:** The result can be extended to reductive groups, taking the minimum of the sums of local ranks for the simple factors.

## References

- [A] H. Abels: *Finiteness properties of certain arithmetic groups in the function field case*; Israel J. Math. 76 (1991), 113-128.
- [Ab] P. Abramenko: *Twin buildings and applications to  $S$ -arithmetic groups*; Springer Lectures Notes 1641 (1996).
- [B1] H. Behr: *Endliche Erzeugbarkeit arithmetischer Gruppen über Funktionenkörpern*; Inv. Math. 7 (1969), 1-32.
- [B2] H. Behr:  *$SL_3(\mathbb{F}_q[t])$  is not finitely presentable*; Proc. Symp. "Homological group theory (Durham 1977)"; London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser. 36, 213-224.
- [B3] H. Behr: *Arithmetic groups over function fields I. A complete characterization of finitely generated and finitely presented arithmetic subgroups of reductive algebraic groups*; J. Reine und Angew. Math. 495 (1998), 79-118.
- [B4] H. Behr: *Higher finiteness properties of  $S$ -arithmetic groups in the function field case I* in Groups: Topological, Combinatorial, Arithmetic Aspects. London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Series 311 (2004), 27-42.
- [BS] A. Borel, J.P. Serre: *Cohomologie d'immeubles et de groupes  $S$ -arithmétiques*, Topology 15 (1976), 211-223.
- [BT] A. Borel, J. Tits: *Groupes réductifs*; Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 27 (1965), 55-150.
- [Br1] K. Brown: *Homological criteria for finiteness*; Comm. Math. Helv. 50 (1975), 129-135.
- [Br2] K. Brown: *Cohomology of groups*; Springer GTM 87 (1982).
- [Br3] K. Brown: *Finiteness properties of groups*; Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 44(1987), 45-75.
- [BW] K.U. Bux, K. Wortman: *Finiteness properties of arithmetic groups over function fields*; Inv. Math. 167 (2007), 355-378.
- [BGW] K.U. Bux, R. Gramlich, S. Witzel: *Higher Finiteness Properties of Reductive Arithmetic Groups in Positive Characteristic: the Rank Theorem*; Preprint (2011), 51 pages.
- [H] G. Harder: *Minkowskische Reduktionstheorie über Funktionenkörpern*; Inv. Math. 7 (1969), 33-54.

- [S] J.P. Serre: *Arbres, amalgames,  $SL_2$* ; astérisque 46 (1977), ( $\simeq$  Trees; Springer 1980)
- [Sp1] E.H. Spanier: *Cohomology theory for general spaces*; Ann. of Math. 49(1948), 407-427.
- [Sp2] E.H. Spanier: *Algebraic topology*; McGraw-Hill (1966).
- [St1] U. Stuhler: *Zur Frage der endlichen Präsentierbarkeit gewisser arithmetischer Gruppen im Funktionenkörperfall*; Math. Ann. 224 (1976), 217-232.
- [St2] U. Stuhler: *Homological properties of certain arithmetic groups in the function field case*; Inv. Math. 57 (1980), 263-281.