

# SK<sub>1</sub>-LIKE FUNCTORS FOR DIVISION ALGEBRAS

R. HAZRAT

ABSTRACT. We investigate the group valued functor  $G(D) = D^*/F^*D'$  where  $D$  is a division algebra with center  $F$  and  $D'$  the commutator subgroup of  $D^*$ . We show that  $G$  has the most important functorial properties of the reduced Whitehead group  $SK_1$ . We then establish a fundamental connection between this group, its residue version and relative value group when  $D$  is a Henselian division algebra. The structure of  $G(D)$  turns out to carry significant information about the arithmetic of  $D$ . Along these lines, we employ  $G(D)$  to compute the group  $SK_1(D)$ . As an application, we obtain theorems of reduced  $K$ -theory which require heavy machinery, as simple examples of our method.

AMS Classification 16K20(19B28).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $D$  be a division algebra with center  $F$ . The non-triviality of the important group  $SK_1(D)$  is shown by V. P. Platonov who developed a so-called *Reduced K-Theory* to compute  $SK_1(D)$  for certain division algebras. The group  $SK_1(D)$  enjoys some interesting properties which distinguish it from the  $K$ -Theory functor  $K_1(D)$ . An interesting characteristic of the group  $SK_1(D)$  is its behavior under extension of the ground field. Namely for any field extension  $L/F$  one has a homomorphism  $SK_1(D) \rightarrow SK_1(D \otimes_F L)$ . On the other hand  $SK_1$  enjoys a transfer map, that is, if  $L/F$  is a finite extension, then there exist a norm homomorphism  $SK_1(D \otimes_F L) \rightarrow SK_1(D)$ . Since  $SK_1(M_n(L)) = 1$ , one can then deduce that  $SK_1$  is a torsion abelian group of bounded exponent  $i(D)$  and if the degree  $[L : F]$  is relatively prime to index of  $D$ , then  $SK_1(D) \hookrightarrow SK_1(D \otimes_F L)$ . Moreover the primary decomposition of a division algebra induces a corresponding decomposition of  $SK_1(D)$ . Furthermore in the case of a valued division algebra  $SK_1$  is stable, namely  $SK_1(D) = SK_1(\overline{D})$ , where  $D$  is unramified division algebra. (See [12] for the complete list of the properties of  $SK_1$  and [3] for the proofs).

In this note we investigate the group  $G(D) = D^*/F^*D'$  where  $D$  is a division algebra with center  $F$  and  $D'$  the commutator subgroup of  $D^*$ . We shall show that

---

*Key words and phrases.* Division ring, Valuation theory, Reduced  $K$ -theory.

$G$  enjoys most important functorial properties of the reduced Whitehead group  $SK_1$ . We show that the functor  $G$  may grow “pathologically” for an algebraic extension of the ground field whose degree is prime to the index of  $D$ . It is then shown that this functor satisfies a decomposition property analogous to one for  $SK_1(D)$ . To be more precise, we will show the following properties:

- i. For any field extension  $L/F$  one has a homomorphism  $G(D) \rightarrow G(D \otimes_F L)$ .
- ii. If  $L/F$  is a finite extension, then there exist a transfer homomorphism  $G(D \otimes_F L) \rightarrow G(D)$ . (Proposition 2.3)
- iii.  $G(D)$  is a torsion group of bounded exponent  $i(D)$  (Corollary 2.4, Lemma 2.9)
- iv. If  $[L : F]$  is relatively prime to  $i(D)$ , then  $G(D) \hookrightarrow G(D \otimes_F L)$ . (Corollary 2.5).
- v. If  $D = D_1 \otimes_F D_2 \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F D_K$  and the  $i(D_i)$  are relatively prime, then  $G(D) \simeq \coprod G(D_i)$ . (Theorem 2.8)
- vi. If  $D$  is unramified tame Henselian division algebra, then  $G(D) \simeq G(\overline{D})$ . (Theorem 3.2 i)

It turns out that there is a close connection between the group structure of  $G(D)$  and algebraic structure of  $D$ . For example in section 3, after establishing a fundamental connection between  $G(D)$ , its residue version and relative value group when  $D$  admits a Henselian valuation, we show that if  $D$  is a totally ramified division algebra, then there is a one to one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of  $F$ -subalgebras of  $D$  and the subgroups of  $G(D)$ .

We then use  $G(D)$  to compute  $SK_1(D)$  for certain division algebras. We show that if  $G(D)$  canonically coincides with the relative value group, then there is an explicit formula for the group  $SK_1(D)$  (Theorem 3.8). It turns out that some theorems and examples of reduced  $K$ -theory which require heavy machinery can all be viewed as simple examples of our case (Example 3.10, 3.11 and 3.13). Section 4 is devoted to the unitary version of the group  $G(D)$ .

We fix some notation. Let  $D$  be a division algebra over its center  $F$  with index  $i(D) = n$ . Then  $Nrd_{D/F} : D^* \rightarrow F^*$  is the reduced norm function and  $SK_1(D) = D^{(1)}/D'$  is the reduced Whitehead group where  $D^{(1)}$  is the kernel of  $Nrd_{D/F}$ . Put  $SH^0(D)$  for the cokernel of  $Nrd_{D/F}$ . we take  $\mu_n(F)$  for the group of  $n$ -th roots of unity in  $F$ , and  $Z(D')$  for the center of the group  $D'$ . Observe that  $\mu_n(F) = F^* \cap D^{(1)}$  and  $Z(D') = F^* \cap D'$ . If  $G$  is a group, denote by  $G^n$  the subgroup of  $G$  generated by the  $n$ -th powers of elements of  $G$ . Let  $\exp(G)$  stands for the exponent of the group  $G$ . If  $H$  and  $K$  are subgroups of  $G$ , denote by  $[H, K]$  the subgroup of  $G$  generated by mixed-commutators  $[h, k] = hkh^{-1}k^{-1}$ , where  $h \in H$  and  $k \in K$ . For convenience we denote  $[D^*, D^*]$  by  $D'$ . Denote by  $\det : GL_n(D)/SL_n(D) \rightarrow D^*/D'$  the Dieudonne determinant, where  $GL_n(D)$  is the general linear group and  $SL_n(D)$  is its commutator subgroup (See [3]).

## 2. FUNCTOR $G(D) = D^*/F^*D'$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the category of all central simple algebras and  $G : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Ab}$  be a covariant functor from  $\mathcal{C}$  to the category of abelian groups such that for any central simple algebra  $A$  with center  $F$ ,  $G(A) = A^*/F^*A'$ .

It is easy to observe that the functor  $G$  has the following properties:

**D1.** There is a collection of homomorphisms  $d_n : G(M_n(D)) \longrightarrow G(D)$  for each division algebra  $D$  and each positive integer  $n$  such that for each  $x \in G(D)$ ,  $d_n i_n(x) = x^n$  where  $i_n : G(D) \longrightarrow G(M_n(D))$  is the homomorphism induced by the natural embedding  $D \longrightarrow M_n(D)$  and  $d_n$  induced by Dieudonne determinant [3, §20].

**D2.** For any field  $F$ ,  $G(F)$  is trivial.

**D3.** If  $x \in \text{Ker}(G(M_n(D)) \xrightarrow{d_n} G(D))$ , where  $D$  is a division algebra and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x^n = 1$ .

On the other hand there have been other groups associated with a division ring  $D$  which have been used to study the arithmetic and algebraic structure of  $D$ . For example the square class group  $D^*/D^{*2}$  in [10] in connection with Witt ring of a division algebra or the group  $D^*/\text{Nrd}(D^*)D'$  in [1]. The following examples show that some important groups already associated to  $D$  share the three conditions above.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  with center  $F$ , then it is easy to observe that functors  $\mathfrak{S}(A) = (A^*)^2/(F^*)^2 A'$ , and  $\mathfrak{S}(A) = A^*/F^*A'_r$  where  $A'_r = \{x \in A^* | x^r \in A'\}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  also satisfy the properties **D1**, **D2** and **D3** above.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  be a central simple algebra finite over its center. The following commutative diagram with exact rows shows that  $SK_1(D) = D^{(1)}/D'$  and  $SH^0(D) = F^*/\text{Nrd}_{D/F}(D^*)$  satisfy the three conditions above,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & SK_1(D) & \longrightarrow & K_1(D) & \xrightarrow{\text{Nrd}_{D/F}} & F^* & \longrightarrow & SH^0(D) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_n & & \downarrow & & \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & SK_1(M_n(D)) & \longrightarrow & K_1(M_n(D)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Nrd}_{D/F}} & F^* & \longrightarrow & SH^0(M_n(D)) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \det & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow & & \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & SK_1(D) & \longrightarrow & K_1(D) & \xrightarrow{\text{Nrd}_{D/F}} & F^* & \longrightarrow & SH^0(D) & \longrightarrow & 1
 \end{array}$$

where  $\eta_n(x) = x^n$  for any  $x \in F^*$  and  $D$  is a division algebra with center  $F$ . Note that in order to consider  $SK_1$  and  $SH^0$  as functors, we should limit the objects of our category (See §22, §23 in [3]).

In the same way, it can be seen that  $\mathfrak{G}(A) = A^*/Nrd_{A/F}(A^*)A'$  and  $\mathfrak{G}(A) = A^*/F^*A^{(1)} \simeq Nrd(A^*)/F^{*Deg A}$  also satisfy **D1**, **D2** and **D3**.

For the rest of this section we restrict our attention to the functor  $G(A) = A^*/F^*A'$ , although the results we get can be formulated and proved *mutatis mutandis* for all the functors above.

Our primary aim in this section is to show that the functor  $G$  shares almost all important functorial properties of  $SK_1$ . Clearly the natural embedding of  $D$  in  $D \otimes_F L$  where  $L$  is a finite field extension of  $F$ , induces a group homomorphism  $\mathcal{I} : G(D) \longrightarrow G(D \otimes_F L)$ . The following proposition provides us with a homomorphism in the opposite direction.

**Proposition 2.3. (Transfer map)** *Let  $D$  be a division ring with center  $F$  and  $L$  be a finite extension of  $F$  such that  $[L : F] = m$ . Then there is a homomorphism  $\mathcal{P} : G(D \otimes_F L) \longrightarrow G(D)$  such that  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{I} = \eta_m$ , where  $\eta_m(x) = x^m$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the regular representation  $L \xrightarrow{\iota} M_m(F)$  and the corresponding sequence when we tensor over  $F$  with  $D$ :

$$D \longrightarrow D \otimes_F L \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \iota} D \otimes_F M_m(F) \longrightarrow M_m(D)$$

$$(2.1) \quad a \longmapsto a \otimes 1 \longmapsto a \otimes 1 \longmapsto aI_m$$

$$1 \otimes \ell \longmapsto 1 \otimes \iota(\ell) \longmapsto \iota(\ell).$$

Thanks to the Dieudonne determinant, there is a homomorphism  $K_1(D \otimes_F L) \rightarrow K_1(D)$  which maps the center of  $D \otimes_F L$  into the center of  $D$ . Therefore  $G(D \otimes_F L) \rightarrow G(D)$ . Again the sequence (2.1) shows that  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{I}(x) = x^m$ .  $\square$

Note that in the above proposition  $D$  could be an infinite dimensional division algebra. If  $D$  is finite dimension over its center  $F$ , then it turns out that  $G(D)$  is a torsion group.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $D$  be a division algebra of index  $n$ . Then  $G(D)$  is a torsion group of bounded exponent  $n^2 = [D : Z(D)]$ .*

*Proof.* Thanks to Proposition 2.3, for any finite field extension  $L$  of  $F = Z(D)$ , we have the sequence of homomorphisms  $G(D) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} G(D \otimes_F L) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} G(D)$ , such that  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{I}(x) = x^m$ , where  $x \in \mathfrak{G}(D)$  and  $[L : F] = m$ . Now let  $L$  be a maximal subfield of  $D$ . Since  $L$  is a splitting field for  $D$ , we get the sequence of homomorphisms  $G(D) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} G(M_n(L)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} G(D)$ . From **D2** and **D3** it follows that  $G(M_n(L))$  is a torsion group of bounded exponent  $n$ . Now the fact that for any  $x \in G(D)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{I}(x) = x^n$ , shows that  $G(D)$  is a torsion group of bounded exponent  $n^2 = [D : Z(D)]$ .  $\square$

It is now immediate that if  $A$  is a central simple algebra, then  $G(A)$  is also torsion. Later in this section we show that the bound can be reduced to  $n$ , the index of  $D$ .

The following corollary shows that the analogous result for the behavior of  $SK_1$  under extension of the ground field holds for  $G$  too. Namely, we show that  $G(D)$  embeds in  $G(D \otimes_F L)$  when the index of  $D$  and  $[L : F]$  are relatively prime.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $D$  be a division ring over its center  $F$  and  $L/F$  be a finite field extension such that  $[L : F]$  is relatively prime to the index of  $D$ . Then the canonical homomorphism  $G(D) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} G(D \otimes_F L)$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Let  $i(D) = n$  and  $[L : F] = m$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{I}(x) = 1$  for some  $x \in G(D)$ . By Proposition 2.3,  $\mathcal{PI}(x) = x^m = 1$ . But  $G(D)$  is torsion of bounded exponent  $n^2$ . Hence  $x^{n^2} = 1$ . Since  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime,  $x = 1$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

In the next section we compute the functor  $G$  for certain division algebras. But before we continue with the functorial properties of  $G$ , let us consider the case when the group  $G(D)$  is trivial. Besides **D1**, **D2** and **D3**, the functor  $G$  enjoys an additional property, namely there is a natural transformation  $\tau : K_1 \longrightarrow G$  such that,

(1) For any object  $A$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\tau_A : K_1(A) \longrightarrow G(A)$  is an epimorphism.

(2) For any division algebra  $D$  and any positive integer  $n$ , the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(M_n(D)) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & G(M_n(D)) \\ \downarrow \text{det} & & \downarrow d \\ K_1(D) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & G(D). \end{array}$$

Note that the functors of Example 2.1, or  $\mathfrak{G}(D) = Nrd_{D/F}(D^*)/F^{*i(D)}$  and  $\mathfrak{G}(A) = A^*/Nrd_{A/F}(A^*)A'$  satisfy the above property as well.

The following is almost the only known example where  $G(D)$  (and above functors) is trivial.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $D$  be a division algebra of quaternions over a real-closed field. Then  $G(D) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* For any finite field extension  $L$  of  $F = Z(D)$ , the following diagram is commutative,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(D \otimes_F L) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} & K_1(D) \\ \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \tau \\ G(D \otimes_F L) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} & G(D). \end{array}$$

Now since  $D$  is algebraically closed (See [9], Section 16), thanks to Proposition 2.3 and above diagram,  $G(D \otimes_F L) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} G(D)$  is an epimorphism. Replace  $L$  by  $\overline{F}$ , the algebraic closure of  $F$ . Because  $\overline{F}$  is a splitting field for  $D$ ,  $G(D \otimes_F \overline{F}) = G(M_2(\overline{F}))$ . We show that  $G(M_2(\overline{F}))$  is a trivial group and hence the corollary follows. Since  $\tau : K_1 \longrightarrow G$  is a natural epimorphism, there is a composite homomorphism

$$\psi : K_1(\overline{F}) = \overline{F}^* \xrightarrow{\simeq} K_1(M_2(\overline{F})) \xrightarrow{epi} G(M_2(\overline{F})).$$

Take  $x \in G(M_2(\overline{F}))$ . Since  $\overline{F}$  is algebraically closed, there exist  $y \in K_1(\overline{F}) = \overline{F}^*$  such that  $\psi(y^2) = x$ . But  $G(M_2(\overline{F}))$  is a torsion group of bounded exponent 2, hence  $x = 1$ . This shows that  $G(M_2(\overline{F}))$  is trivial and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Back to the functorial properties of  $G$ , the next step is to replace the field  $L$  in Proposition 2.3 by a division ring. The following proposition shows that the same result holds here too.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be division algebras with center  $F$  such that  $[B : F]$  is finite. Then there is a homomorphism  $\mathcal{P} : G(A \otimes_F B) \longrightarrow G(A)$  such that  $\mathcal{PI} = \eta_{[B:F]}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $[B : F] = m$ . We have the following sequence of  $F$ -algebra homomorphisms,

$$A \longrightarrow A \otimes_F B \longrightarrow A \otimes_F B \otimes_F B^{op} \longrightarrow A \otimes_F M_m(F) \longrightarrow M_m(A).$$

This implies the group homomorphism  $\mathcal{P} : G(A \otimes_F B) \longrightarrow G(M_m(A)) \xrightarrow{d} G(A)$ . The rest of the proof follows from **D1**.  $\square$

Note that in the above proposition  $A$  could be of infinite dimension over its center  $F$ . A same statement as Corollary 2.5 could be obtained here too. In particular if  $(i(A), i(B)) = 1$  then  $G(A)$  embeds in  $G(A \otimes_F B)$  and similarly for  $B$ . Employing torsion theory of groups and sequences which appeared in the above propositions, we can write the primary decomposition for  $G(D)$ . The proof follows more or less the same pattern as for  $SK_1(D)$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be division algebras with center  $F$  such that  $(i(A), i(B)) = 1$ . Then  $G(A \otimes_F B) = G(A) \times G(B)$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 2.4,  $G(A \otimes_F B)$  is a torsion group of bounded exponent  $m^2 n^2$  where  $m = i(A)$  and  $n = i(B)$ . Therefore  $G(A \otimes_F B) \simeq \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\exp(\mathcal{G}) | m^2$  and  $\exp(\mathcal{H}) | n^2$ . By Proposition 2.7, we have the sequence:

$$(2.2) \quad G(A) \xrightarrow{\phi} G(A \otimes_F B) \xrightarrow{\psi} G(A \otimes B \otimes B^{op}) \xrightarrow{\theta} G(A)$$

such that  $\theta\psi\phi = \eta_{n^2}$ . Hence  $G(A) = \eta_{n^2}\eta_{n^2}(G(A)) = \eta_{n^2}\theta\psi\phi(G(A)) \subseteq \theta\psi\eta_{n^2}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}) = \theta\psi(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq G(A)$ . This shows that  $\theta\psi|_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow G(A)$  is surjective. Next

we show that  $\theta\psi|_{\mathcal{G}}$  is injective. Considering the regular representation  $B^{op} \longrightarrow M_{n^2}(F)$ . As Proposition 2.3, we have the following sequence

$$G(A \otimes_F B) \xrightarrow{\psi} G(A \otimes B \otimes B^{op}) \xrightarrow{\psi'} G(A \otimes B \otimes M_{n^2}(F)) \xrightarrow{\theta'} G(A \otimes_F B)$$

such that  $\theta'\psi'\psi = \eta_{n^2}$ . Now if  $1 \neq w \in \mathcal{G}$ , then  $\theta'\psi'\psi(w) = \eta_{n^2}(w) = w^{n^2} \neq 1$ . Therefore  $\psi|_{\mathcal{G}}$  is injective. Rewrite the sequence (2.2) as follows:

$$G(A \otimes_F B) \xrightarrow{\psi} G(A \otimes B \otimes B^{op}) \xrightarrow{iso} G(M_{n^2}(A)) \xrightarrow{d} G(A).$$

Suppose  $x \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\theta\psi(x) = 1$ . The above sequence and **D3** shows that  $\psi(x)^{n^2} = 1$ . Since  $\psi|_{\mathcal{G}}$  is injective,  $x^{n^2} = 1$ . On the other hand because  $\exp(\mathcal{G})|_{m^2}$  then  $x^{m^2} = 1$ . Since  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime,  $x = 1$ . This shows that  $\theta\psi$  is an isomorphism and so  $G(A) \simeq \mathcal{G}$ . In the similar way it can be shown that  $G(B) \simeq \mathcal{H}$ . Therefore the proof is complete.  $\square$

Let  $A = M_m(D)$  be a central simple algebra. From Corollary 2.4 and **D3** it is immediate that  $G(A)$  is a torsion group of bounded exponent  $m[D : Z(D)]$ . The following lemma, which is interesting in its own right, will be used to reduce the bound of the group  $G(D)$ . Also we will use the lemma in Section 3 for normal subgroups of  $D^*$  which arise from a valuation on  $D$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** [5] *Let  $D$  be a division algebra over its center  $F$  with index  $n$ . Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $D^*$ . Then  $N^n \subseteq Z(N)[D^*, N]$ .*

If in the above lemma we take  $N = D^*$ , then for any  $x \in D^*$ ,  $x^n \in F^*D'$ . This in effect shows that  $G(D) = D^*/F^*D'$  is a torsion group of bounded exponent  $n$ .

In the next section we will show yet another  $SK_1$ -like property for the group  $G(D)$ . Namely  $G(D)$  satisfy the following stability,  $G(D) \simeq G(D((x)))$  where  $D((x))$  is the division ring of formal Laurent series (Corollary 3.7). We close this section by the following theorem, which shows that the group  $G(D) = D^*/F^*D'$  does not always follow the same pattern as the reduced Whitehead group  $SK_1(D)$ . Namely  $G(D)$  is not “homotopy invariant”.

**Theorem 2.10.** (J. -P. Tignol) *Let  $D$  be a division algebra over its center  $F$  with index  $n$ . Then the following sequence where  $\wp$  runs over the irreducible monic polynomials of  $F[x]$  and  $n_{\wp}$  is the index of  $D \otimes_F F[x]/\wp$ , is split exact.*

$$1 \longrightarrow G(D) \longrightarrow G(D(x)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\wp} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n/n_{\wp}\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow 1.$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 7 in [10], the sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow K_1(D) \longrightarrow K_1(D(x)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\wp} n_{\wp}/n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 1$$

which is obtained from the localization exact sequence of algebraic  $K$ -theory is split exact. Now since the group  $G(D)$  is the cokernel of the natural map  $K_1(F) \rightarrow K_1(D)$ , applying the snake lemma to the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & K_1(F) & \longrightarrow & K_1(F(x)) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\wp} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & K_1(D) & \longrightarrow & K_1(D(x)) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\wp} n_{\wp}/n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

the result follows.  $\square$

### 3. ON THE GROUP $G(D)$ OVER HENSELIAN DIVISION ALGEBRAS

In this section we assume that  $D$  is a finite dimensional division algebra over a Henselian field  $F = Z(D)$ . Recall that a valuation  $v$  on  $F$  is called *Henselian* if and only if  $v$  has a unique extension to each field algebraic over  $F$ . Therefore  $v$  has a unique extension denoted also by  $v$  to  $D$  (see [8] and [17]). Denote by  $V_D, V_F$  the valuation rings,  $M_D, M_F$  their maximal ideals, and  $\overline{D}, \overline{F}$  the residue division algebra and the residue field of  $D$  and  $F$  respectively. We let  $\Gamma_D, \Gamma_F$  denote the value groups of  $v$  on  $D$  and  $F$  respectively and  $U_D, U_F$  for the groups of units of  $V_D, V_F$  respectively. Furthermore, we assume that  $D$  is a *tame* division algebra, i.e.,  $Z(\overline{D})$  is separable over  $\overline{F}$  and  $\text{Char} \overline{F}$  does not divide  $i(D)$ . The quotient group  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_F$  is called the *relative value group* of the valuation. In this setting it turns out that  $D$  is *defectless*, namely we have  $[\overline{D} : \overline{F}][\Gamma_D : \Gamma_F] = [D : F]$ .  $D$  is said to be *unramified* over  $F$  if  $[\Gamma_D : \Gamma_F] = 1$ . At the other extreme  $D$  is said to be *totally ramified* if  $[D : F] = [\Gamma_D : \Gamma_F]$ .  $D$  is called *semiramified* if  $\overline{D}$  is a field and  $[\overline{D} : \overline{F}] = [\Gamma_D : \Gamma_F] = i(D)$ . Since the valuation is Henselian, Hensel's lemma can be used to obtain a relation between the reduced norm of  $D$  and that of its residue algebra, i.e.

$$(3.1) \quad \overline{Nrd_D(a)} = N_{Z(\overline{D})/\overline{F}} Nrd_{\overline{D}}(\overline{a})^{n/mm'},$$

where  $a \in U_D$  and  $m = i(\overline{D})$  and  $m' = [Z(\overline{D}) : \overline{F}]$  (see [4]).

For a recent account of the theory of Henselian valued division algebras see [8]. We start with the following theorem which describes a fundamental connection between the group  $G(D)$  and its residue version.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $D$  be a tame division algebra over a Henselian field  $F = Z(D)$  with index  $n$ . Let  $L/F$  be a subfield of  $D$ . Then the following sequence is exact.*

$$(3.2) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \overline{D}^*/\overline{L}^*\overline{D}' \longrightarrow D^*/L^*D' \longrightarrow \Gamma_D/\Gamma_L \longrightarrow 1.$$



*Proof.* Consider the normal subgroup  $1 + M_D$  of  $D^*$ . Thanks to Lemma 2.9, we have

$$(3.3) \quad (1 + M_D)^n \subseteq ((1 + M_D) \cap F^*)[D^*, 1 + M_D].$$

We will show that  $(1 + M_D) = (1 + M_D)^n$ . Let  $a \in 1 + M_D$ . Consider the field  $F(a)$  and  $a \in 1 + M_{F(a)}$ . Since  $F$  is a Henselian field, so is  $F(a)$ . The polynomial  $f(x) = x^n - a$  has 1 as a simple root modulo  $M_{F(a)}$ , because  $\text{Char } \overline{F(a)}$  does not divide  $n$ . Applying Hensel's lemma to the polynomial  $f(x) = x^n - a$ , we obtain an element  $b \in 1 + M_{F(a)}$  such that  $b^n = a$ . This shows that  $a \in (1 + M_D)^n$ . Thus  $1 + M_D$  is  $n$ -divisible, namely,  $(1 + M_D) = (1 + M_D)^n$ . Hence from (3.3) it follows that  $1 + M_D \subseteq (1 + M_F)D'$ . Now consider the reduction map  $U_D \longrightarrow \overline{D}^*$ . We have the following sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D}^* &\xrightarrow{\simeq} U_D/1 + M_D \xrightarrow{\text{nat.}} U_D/(1 + M_F)D' \xrightarrow{\text{nat.}} U_D/(1 + M_L)D' \xrightarrow{\text{nat.}} \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{nat.}} U_D/U_LD' \xrightarrow{\simeq} L^*U_D/L^*D'. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\psi : \overline{D}^*/(\overline{L}^*)\overline{D}' \longrightarrow L^*U_D/L^*D'$  is an isomorphism. Considering the fact that  $D^*/L^*U_D \simeq \Gamma_D/\Gamma_L$ , the theorem follows.  $\square$

Now we are ready to compute  $G(D)$  for some certain cases. The statements *i.* and *ii.* of the following theorem first appeared in [7] using results from reduced  $K$ -theory.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $D$  be a Henselian division algebra tame over its center  $F$  with index  $n$ . Then*

- i.* If  $D$  is unramified over  $F$  then  $G(D) \simeq G(\overline{D})$ .
- ii.* If  $D$  is totally ramified over  $F$  then  $G(D) = \Gamma_D/\Gamma_F$ .
- iii.* If  $D$  is semiramified and  $\overline{D}$  is cyclic over  $\overline{F}$  then the following sequence where  $N_{\overline{D}/\overline{F}}$  is the norm function, is exact.

$$1 \longrightarrow N_{\overline{D}/\overline{F}}(\overline{D}^*)/\overline{F}^{*n} \longrightarrow G(D) \longrightarrow \Gamma_D/\Gamma_F \longrightarrow 1.$$

*Proof.* *i.* Writing (3.2) for  $L = F$ , we have:

$$1 \longrightarrow \overline{D}^*/\overline{F}^*\overline{D}' \longrightarrow G(D) \longrightarrow \Gamma_D/\Gamma_F \longrightarrow 1.$$

Now if  $(D, v)$  is unramified, namely  $[\Gamma_D : \Gamma_F] = 1$ , then  $\overline{D}^*/\overline{F}^*\overline{D}' \simeq D^*/F^*D'$ . On the other hand  $Z(\overline{D}) = \overline{F}$  and  $D^* = F^*U_D$ . Therefore, for  $a, b \in D^*$ , the element  $c = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$  may be written in the form  $c = \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \in U_D$ . This shows  $\overline{D}' = \overline{D}$ , so  $G(D) \simeq G(\overline{D})$ .

ii. If  $D$  is totally ramified over  $F$  then  $\overline{D} = \overline{F}$ . Writing (3.2) for  $L = F$ , since the group  $\overline{D}^*/\overline{F}^*\overline{D}'$  is trivial  $G(D) = \Gamma_D/\Gamma_F$ .

iii. Let  $D$  be semiramified and  $\overline{D}$  be cyclic over  $\overline{F}$ . Consider the norm function  $N_{\overline{D}/\overline{F}} : \overline{D}^* \rightarrow \overline{F}^*$ . Moreover for any  $x \in U_D$ , from (3.1) it follows that,  $\overline{Nrd}_{D/F}(x) = N_{\overline{D}/\overline{F}}(\overline{x})$ . This shows that  $\overline{D}' \subseteq \text{Ker} N_{\overline{D}/\overline{F}}$ . But if  $x \in \text{Ker} N_{\overline{D}/\overline{F}}$  then by Hilbert theorem 90, there exists  $\overline{a}$  such that  $x = \overline{a}\sigma(\overline{a})^{-1}$ , where  $\sigma$  is the generator of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{F})$ . It is well known that the *fundamental homomorphism*  $D^* \rightarrow \text{Gal}(Z(\overline{D})/\overline{F})$  is surjective. Therefore  $\sigma : \overline{D} \rightarrow \overline{D}$  is of the form  $\sigma(\overline{a}) = \overline{cac}^{-1}$ , for some  $c \in D^*$ . This shows that  $x \in \overline{D}'$ . Therefore  $\text{Ker} N_{\overline{D}/\overline{F}} = \overline{D}'$ . Now it is easy to see that  $\overline{D}^*/\overline{F}^*\overline{D}' \simeq N_{\overline{D}/\overline{F}}(\overline{D}^*)/\overline{F}^{*n}$ . So thanks to (3.2),  $1 \rightarrow N_{\overline{D}/\overline{F}}(\overline{D}^*)/\overline{F}^{*n} \rightarrow G(D) \rightarrow \Gamma_D/\Gamma_F \rightarrow 1$  is exact.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** If  $\overline{D}$  is a cyclic field extension of  $\overline{F}$ , a similar proof as *iii.* above shows that  $\text{Ker} N_{\overline{D}/\overline{F}} \subseteq \overline{D}'$ . In particular it follows that  $N_{\overline{D}/\overline{F}}(\overline{D}^*)/\overline{F}^{*f} \rightarrow \overline{D}^*/\overline{F}^*\overline{D}'$ , where  $[\overline{D} : \overline{F}] = f$ , is always surjective. Therefore if  $N_{\overline{D}/\overline{F}}(\overline{D}^*)/\overline{F}^{*f} = 1$  then  $G(D) = \Gamma_D/\Gamma_F$ . This will be used in Example 3.10.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the field of complex numbers. Let  $1 \neq \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$  where  $\mathbb{R}$  is real numbers. Then by Hilbert construction (See [3], §1),  $D = \mathbb{C}((x, \sigma))$  is a division ring with center  $F = \mathbb{R}((x^2))$ . We show that  $G(D) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ .  $D$  has a natural valuation such that  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_F = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Clearly  $\overline{D} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\overline{F} = \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $N_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{R}^2$  by Theorem 3.2 *iii.*,  $G(D) = \Gamma_D/\Gamma_F = \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

**Example 3.5.** Let  $q \geq 3$  be a prime number. Take a prime number  $p \neq q$  such that  $(p-1, q) = 1$ . Consider the cyclic extension  $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}/\mathbb{F}_p$  where  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$  are fields with  $p$  and  $p^q$  elements respectively. Let  $\sigma$  be a generator of the cyclic group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^q}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ . By Hilbert construction  $D = \mathbb{F}_{p^q}((x, \sigma))$  is a division algebra with center  $F = Z(D) = \mathbb{F}_p((x^q))$  and  $i(D) = \text{ord}(\sigma) = q$ .  $D$  has a natural valuation which is tame and Henselian. It is easy to see that with this valuation  $D$  is semiramified,  $\overline{D} = \mathbb{F}_{p^q}$  and  $\overline{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ . Since  $N_{\overline{D}/\overline{F}}$  is surjective, by Theorem 3.2 *iii.*, it follows that  $G(D) = \mathbb{Z}_q$ .

There have been significant results on the structure of the relative value group in the case of a totally ramified algebra. Using Theorem 3.2 we can write interesting statements relating the group structure of  $G(D)$  to the algebraic structure of  $D$ . Recall that the group  $G(D)$  is torsion of bounded exponent  $n$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $D$  be a valued division algebra tame and totally ramified over a Henselian field  $F = Z(D)$  of index  $n$ . Then,*

i. *There is a one to one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of  $F$ -subalgebras of  $D$  and the subgroups of  $G(D)$ .*

- ii.  $\exp(G(D))$  divides the exponent of  $D$ , i.e., the order of  $[D]$  in  $Br(F)$ , the Brauer group of  $F$ .
- iii.  $D$  is a cyclic division algebra if and only if  $\exp(G(D)) = n$ .

*Proof.* The theorem follows by comparing Theorem 3.2 ii., with the results on the relative value group in the case of a totally ramified valuation (See for example [17]).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $D$  be a finite dimensional division algebra over its center  $F$ . If  $\text{Char } F \nmid i(D)$  then  $G(D) \simeq G(D((x)))$ .  $\square$*

Now we are in a position to use the group  $G(D)$  to compute  $SK_1(D)$ . The following theorem enables us to compute  $SK_1(D)$  when, roughly speaking,  $G(\overline{D})$  is trivial. Note that we do not use any results from reduced  $K$ -theory.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $D$  be a tame division algebra over a Henselian field  $F = Z(D)$  of index  $n$ .*

- i. If  $\overline{D}^* / \overline{F}^* \overline{D}' = 1$  then  $SK_1(D) = \mu_n(F) / Z(D')$ .
- ii. If  $D$  is a cyclic division algebra with a maximal cyclic extension  $L/F$  such that  $\overline{D}^* / \overline{L}^* \overline{D}' = 1$  then  $SK_1(D) = 1$ .

*Proof.* i. As the proof of Theorem 3.1 shows, we have a natural isomorphism,

$$\psi : \overline{D}^* / (\overline{F}^*) \overline{D}' \longrightarrow U_D / U_F D'.$$

Now if  $\overline{D}^* / \overline{F}^* \overline{D}' = 1$  then  $U_D = U_F D'$ . But  $D^{(1)} \subseteq U_D$ . This shows that  $D^{(1)} = \mu_n(F) D'$ . Using the fact that  $\mu_n(F) \cap D' = Z(D')$  the theorem follows.

ii. The same proof as i. shows that if  $\overline{D}^* / \overline{L}^* \overline{D}' = 1$  then  $U_D = U_L D'$ . Therefore  $D^{(1)} \subseteq U_L D'$ . Let  $x \in D^{(1)}$ . Then  $x = ld$  where  $l \in L$  and  $d \in D'$ . So  $Nrd_{D/F}(x) = N_{L/F}(l) = 1$ . Hilbert theorem 90 for the cyclic extension  $L/F$  guarantee that  $l = a\sigma(a)^{-1}$ , where  $\sigma$  is a generator of  $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ . Now the Skolem-Noether theorem implies that  $\sigma(a) = cac^{-1}$  where  $c \in D^*$ . Therefore  $l = aca^{-1}c^{-1}$ . This shows that  $D^{(1)} = D'$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.9.** Note that if  $D$  is tame and Henselian over its center  $F$  with index  $n$ , using the Hensel's lemma, it is easy to see that  $\mu_n(F) \rightarrow \mu_n(\overline{F}), a \mapsto \overline{a}$  is an isomorphism. Also using the formula (3.1), it is not difficult to show that  $Z(D') \simeq \overline{Z(D')} \simeq \overline{D'} \cap \overline{F}$ . Therefore in the above theorem the formula for the group  $SK_1(D)$  can be written as  $SK_1(D) = \mu_n(\overline{F}) / (\overline{D'} \cap \overline{F})$ .

Part i. of the above theorem shows that if  $D$  is totally ramified, then  $SK_1(D) = \mu_n(F) / Z(D')$ . This and the above remark shows that Tignol's formula for  $SK_1(D)$  is a special case of Theorem 3.8 (See [10]).

We deduce both theorems of Lipnitskii [11] which are obtained by using heavy machinery of reduced  $K$ -theory, as natural examples of the above theorem.

**Example 3.10.** For any division algebra  $D$  with center  $F = \mathbb{R}((x_1, \dots, x_m))$  where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the real numbers,  $SK_1(D)$  is trivial.

*Proof.* From number theory, it is well known that  $[D : F] = 2^s$  where  $s \leq m$ . Since the complete field  $F = \mathbb{R}((x_1, \dots, x_m))$  has a natural valuation, then  $D$  admits a valuation which is obviously tame. It is clear that  $\overline{F} = \mathbb{R}$ . Because the only division algebras over real numbers are either the quaternion  $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$  or the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers, therefore  $\overline{D} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$  or  $\overline{D} = \mathbb{C}$ . Now Corollary 2.6 and Remark 3.3 show that in either case  $\overline{D}^* / \overline{F}^* \overline{D}' = 1$ . Now by Theorem 3.8,  $SK_1(D) \simeq \mu_{i(D)}(F) / Z(D')$ . But clearly  $\mu_{i(D)}(F) = \{1, -1\}$ . Now from the Remarks 3.9 and 3.3, it follows that  $-1 \in D'$ . Thus  $SK_1(D) = 1$ .

**Example 3.11.** For any division algebra with center  $F = C((x_1, \dots, x_m))$  where  $C$  is an algebraically closed field,  $SK_1(D)$  is cyclic.

**Example 3.12.** Hilbert classical construction of division algebras. Let  $L$  be a field and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(L)$  such that  $o(\sigma) = n$ . Let  $F = \text{Fix}(\sigma)$  be the fixed field of  $\sigma$ . Hence  $\text{Gal}(L/F)$  is a cyclic group with the generator  $\sigma$ . Let  $D = L((x, \sigma))$  be the division ring of formal Laurent series. It follows that  $Z(D) = F((x^n))$  and  $i(D) = n$ .  $D$  has a natural valuation, and it is easy to see that with this valuation  $D$  is semiramified and  $L((x^n))$  is a maximal subfield of  $D$ . Now by Theorem 3.8 *ii.*,  $SK_1(D)$  is trivial.

**Example 3.13.** From Theorem 3.8 *ii.*, it is immediate that reduced Whitehead group of a division algebra over a local field is trivial.

Because most of the interesting valued division algebras arise from the iterated formal power series fields, we may consider *r-iterated Henselian division algebras*. Following Platonov in [15], we define inductively an *r-iterated Henselian field*  $F$  if its residue field  $\overline{F}$  is an  $(r-1)$ -iterated Henselian field.<sup>1</sup> Let  $(D_i, v_i), 0 \leq i \leq r-1$  be an *r* iterated Henselian division algebra ( $\overline{D_i} = D_{i+1}$ ). Let  $\Phi_i : U_{D_{i-1}} \longrightarrow D_i$  be the *i*-th natural reduction map. Then  $\Phi_i(\Phi_{i-1}(\dots(\Phi_1(a))\dots))$  is called an *i*-iterated reduction, if it is defined. Denote the *r* iterated Henselian division algebra by  $D$ , ( $D = D_0, Z(D) = F = F_0$ ). We also need the following notations in order to state the following lemma. By  $[U_D]_i$  and  $[U_F]_i$  we denote the set of all elements of  $D$  and  $F$  respectively, such that *i* iterated reduction is defined. Also by  $[1 + M_D]_i$  and  $[1 + M_F]_i$ , we denote the subsets of  $[U_D]_i$  and  $[U_F]_i$  such that the *i* iterated reduction equals one. Clearly  $[1 + M_F]_1 = 1 + M_F$ . we can write the main lemma of [6] in this setting.

**Lemma 3.14.** Let  $D$  be an *i* iterated tame division algebra of finite dimension over a Henselian field  $F = Z(D)$  with index  $n$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>See Ershov's comment in [3] on iterated valued field. Among other things, considering iterated valued field, enables us to have more insight in each step of reduction.

- i. For each  $a \in [1 + M_D]_i$  there is  $b \in [1 + M_F]_i$  such that  $ab \in D'$ .
- ii.  $[1 + M_D]_i \not\subseteq [1 + M_F]_i D'$ .

*Proof.* i. Let  $a \in [1 + M_D]_i$ . Then  $a$  is contained in a maximal subfield of  $D$ , say  $L$ . Therefore  $a \in [1 + M_L]_i$ . By lemma 3 of [15], we have  $N_{L/F}([1 + M_L]_i) = [1 + M_F]_i$ . So  $Nrd_{D/F}(a) = N_{L/F}(a) \in [1 + M_F]_i$ . Let  $t = Nrd_{D/F}(a)$ . Using an inductive argument for Hensel's lemma, we will show that there exists  $c \in [1 + M_F]_i$  such that  $c^n = t$ . Let  $s \in 1 + M_F = [1 + M_F]_1$ . Applying Hensel's lemma for  $f(x) = x^n - s$  gives  $c \in 1 + M_F$  such that  $c^n = s$ . Now it is not hard to see that  $\Phi_1([1 + M_F]_i) = [1 + M_{\overline{F}}]_{i-1}$ . Therefore  $[1 + M_F]_i/[1 + M_F]_1 \simeq [1 + M_{\overline{F}}]_{i-1}$ . Now by induction, we conclude that  $[1 + M_F]_i$  is  $n$ -divisible. Therefore exist  $c \in [1 + M_F]_i$  such that  $c^n = t$ . Now  $Nrd_{D/F}(a) = c^n$ . So  $Nrd_{D/F}(ac^{-1}) = 1$ . Hence  $ac^{-1} \in D^{(1)} \cap [1 + M_D]_i$ . Applying Platonov's generalized congruence theorem (cf. [15] and [4]), we obtain  $ac^{-1} \in D'$ . Take  $b = c^{-1}$  and the proof is complete.

ii. Applying the first part of the lemma for  $i = 1$ , in each step of reduction we have,  $1 + M_{D_i} \subseteq (1 + M_{K_i})D'_i$  where  $K_i = Z(D_i)$ . First we show that in each step of reduction,  $D'_i \not\subseteq 1 + M_{D_i}$ . Consider the groups  $\Delta = D_i^*/1 + M_{D_i}$  and  $P(D_i) = (1 + M_{K_i})D'_i/(1 + M_{D_i})$ . One can easily observe that  $P(D_i) = \Delta'$  and as Theorem 2.11 of [2] shows, the center of  $\Delta$  is  $K_i^*(1 + M_{D_i})/(1 + M_{D_i})$ . We claim that  $\Delta$  is not an abelian group, for otherwise  $U_{D_i} = U_{K_i}(1 + M_{D_i})$  which implies that  $D_i$  is totally ramified. Thus  $\overline{D'_i} = \mu_e(\overline{K_i})$ , where  $e = \exp(\Gamma_{D_i}/\Gamma_{K_i})$ , (cf. the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [17]) which leads us to a contradiction. Therefore  $D'_i$  is not in  $1 + M_{D_i}$  and  $\Delta$  is not abelian. But  $\Phi^{-1}(1 + M_{D_i}) = [1 + M_D]_{i+1}$ . If  $D' \subseteq [1 + M_D]_{i+1}$  then  $\Phi(D') \subseteq \Phi([1 + M_D]_{i+1}) = 1 + M_{D_i}$ . But  $D'_i \subseteq \Phi(D')$  so  $D'_i \subseteq 1 + M_{D_i}$  a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 3.15.** In the proof of *i.* above, we could use Lemma 2.9 and avoid the Platonov congruence theorem.

**Theorem 3.16.** Let  $D$  be an  $r$  iterated tame division algebra over a Henselian field  $F$  of index  $n$ . If there is an  $0 \leq \ell \leq r - 1$  such that  $\overline{D}_\ell/\overline{F}_\ell \overline{D'}_\ell = 1$  then  $SK_1(D) \simeq \mu_n(F)/Z(D')$ .

*Proof.* For any  $0 \leq k \leq r - 1$ , consider the  $k + 1 - th$  reduction map

$$[U_D]_{k+1} \xrightarrow{\Phi_{k+1}\Phi_k \cdots \Phi_1} \overline{D}_k^*.$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.14 *i.*, we have:

$$\overline{D}_k^* \xrightarrow{\simeq} [U_D]_{k+1}/[1 + M_D]_{k+1} \xrightarrow{nat.} [U_D]_{k+1}/[1 + M_F]_{k+1} D' \xrightarrow{nat.} [U_D]_{k+1}/[U_F]_{k+1} D'.$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{D}_k^*/\overline{F}_k^* \overline{D'_k} \xrightarrow{\simeq} [U_D]_{k+1}/[U_F]_{k+1} D'.$$

Hence if there is a  $\ell$  such that  $\overline{D_\ell}^*/\overline{F_\ell}^*\overline{D'_\ell}^* = 1$  then  $[U_F]_{\ell+1}D' = [U_D]_{\ell+1}$ . By lemma 1 in [15]  $D^{(1)} \subseteq [U_D]_{\ell+1}$  so  $D^{(1)} = \mu_n(F)D'$ . Using the fact that  $\mu_n(F) \cap D' = Z(D')$  the theorem follows.  $\square$

Considering the fact that each Henselian division algebra is a 1-iterated division algebra, we recover Theorem 3.8 from the above theorem.

#### 4. ON THE UNITARY SETTING

In this section we introduce the unitary version of the group  $G(D)$  and obtain similar results in the unitary setting. Let  $D$  be a division ring with an involution  $\tau$  over its center  $F$  with index  $n$ . Let  $S_\tau(D) = \{a \in D \mid a^\tau = a\}$  be the subspace of symmetric elements and  $\Sigma_\tau(D)$  the subgroup of  $D^*$  generated by nonzero symmetric elements. Here we concentrate on involutions of the first kind, i.e.  $\Sigma_\tau(D) \cap F^* = F^*$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $D$  be a division ring with an involution  $\tau$ . Then the group  $KU_1(D) = D^*/\Sigma_\tau(D)D'$  is called unitary Whitehead group and the  $GU(D) = \Sigma_\tau(D)D'/F^*D'$  the unitary version of  $G(D)$ .

We will prove that there is a stability theorem for  $GU(D)$  similar to one in Corollary 3.7. The first part of the following theorem was first proved by Platonov and Yanchevskii [16].

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $D$  be a finite dimensional tame and unramified division algebra with an involution of the first kind over a Henselian field  $Z(D)=F$ . Then  $KU_1(\overline{D}) \simeq KU_1(D)$  and  $GU(\overline{D}) \simeq GU(D)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the following sequence:

$$\overline{D}^* \longrightarrow U_D/1 + M_D \longrightarrow F^*U_D/F^*(1 + M_D) \longrightarrow D^*/\Sigma_\tau(D)D'.$$

Because the valuation is unramified, we have  $\Sigma_\tau(\overline{D}) = \overline{\Sigma_\tau(D) \cap U_D}$  (See [16]), and  $\overline{D}' = \overline{D}'$  (See Theorem 3.2 *i.*). Therefore we have the following isomorphism:  $\overline{D}^*/\Sigma_\tau(\overline{D})\overline{D}' \xrightarrow{\simeq} D^*/\Sigma_\tau(D)D'$ .

For the second part, consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & GU(\overline{D}) & \longrightarrow & G(\overline{D}) & \longrightarrow & KU_1(\overline{D}) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{iso.} & & \downarrow \text{iso.} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & GU(D) & \longrightarrow & G(D) & \longrightarrow & KU_1(D) \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

The two of the vertical arrows are isomorphisms, thanks to the first part of this theorem and Theorem 3.2 *i.*. Therefore the third one is also an isomorphism which completes the proof.  $\square$

If  $D$  has an involution of the first kind, then  $D((x))$  enjoys a natural involution which is induced by the one from  $D$ . Therefore if  $\text{Char} F \nmid i(D)$  then thanks to the above theorem, we have  $GU(D) \simeq GU(D((x)))$  which is a stability theorem for  $GU(D)$ .

*Acknowledgments.* I wish to thank the referee for the helpful suggestions on the exposition of the paper. I also benefited from relevant conversations with the late Oleg Izhboldin. In addition I am grateful to J. -P. Tignol for his interest in my work.

## REFERENCES

1. S. Akbari, M. Mahdavi-Hezavehi, M. G. Mahmudi, *Maximal subgroups of  $GL_1(D)$* , J. Algebra **217** (1999), no. 2, 422-433.
2. M. Chacron, *c-valuations and normal c-ordering*, Canad. J. Math. **41** (1989), 14-67.
3. P. Draxl, *Skew Field*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. Vol 81, Cambridge, Univ. Press. Cambridge, 1983.
4. Y. L. Ershov, *Henselian valuation of division rings and the group  $SK_1(D)$* , Math. USSR Sb. **45** (1983), 63-71.
5. R. Hazrat, *Wedderburn's factorization theorem, application to reduced K-theory*, To appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
6. R. Hazrat, *On central series of the multiplicative group of division rings*, To appear in Algebra Colloquium.
7. R. Hazrat, M. Mahdavi-Hezavehi, B. Mirzaii, *Reduced K-theory and the group  $G(D) = D^*/F^*D'$* , Algebraic K-theory and its Application, H. Bass, A.O.Kuku, and C. Pedrini.(Ed). World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ. (1999), 403-409.
8. B. Jacob, A. R. Wadsworth, *Division algebras over Henselian fields*, J. Algebra **128** (1990), no. 1, 126-179.
9. T. Y. Lam, *A first course in noncommutative rings*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
10. D. W. Lewis, J. -P. Tignol, *Square class groups and Witt rings of central simple algebras*, J. Algebra **154** (1993), no. 2, 360-376.
11. V. A. Lipnitskii, *On the Tannaka-Artin problem over special fields*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **17** (1976), no. 3, 639-642.
12. A. S. Merkurjev, *K-theory of simple algebras*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **58** (1995), no. 1, 65-83.
13. R. S. Pierce, *Associative Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
14. V. P. Platonov, *The Tannaka-Artin problem and reduced K-theory*, Math USSR Izv. **10** (1976), 211-243.
15. V. P. Platonov, *On reduced K-theory for n-fold Hensel fields*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **20** (1979), no. 6, 1436-1439.
16. V. P. Platonov, V. I. Yanchevskii, *Dieudonné's conjecture on the structure of unitary groups over a division ring, and hermitian K-theory*, Math. USSR Izv. **25** (1985), no. 3, 573-598.
17. J. -P. Tignol, A. R. Wadsworth, *Totally ramified valuations on finite-dimensional division algebras*, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. **302** (1987), no. 1, 223-250.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BIELEFELD, P. O. BOX 100131, 33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY.

E-mail address: rhazrat@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de