Tame Minimal Non-Polynomial Growth Simply Connected Algebras

Rainer Nörenberg (Bielefeld) and Andrzej Skowroński (Toruń)

1 Introduction

By Drozd's Tame and Wild Theorem [8] the class of finite dimensional algebras (associative, with identity) over an algebraically closed field may be divided into two disjoint classes. One class consists of the tame algebras, for which the indecomposable modules occur in each dimension d in a finite number of discrete and a finite number of one-parameter families. The second class is formed by the wild algebras whose representation theory is as complicated as the study of finite dimensional vector spaces together with two non-commuting endomorphisms, for which the classification of the indecomposable finite dimensional modules is a well-known difficult problem. Hence we can hope to classify the modules only for tame algebras. Among the tame algebras we may distinguish the class of polynomial growth algebras A for which there exist integers m (depending on A) such that, in each dimension d, the indecomposable A-modules occur in a finite number of discrete and at most d^m one-parameter families.

Frequently, applying covering techniques, we may reduce the representation theory of a given tame (respectively, polynomial growth) algebra to that of the corresponding simply connected algebra. Recently, the class of polynomial growth simply connected algebras has been extensively investigated. In particular, a rather complete representation theory of polynomial growth strongly simply connected algebras has been established by the second author in [21]. One of the important open problems is to extend this theory to arbitrary simply connected algebras of polynomial growth. We are especially interested in criteria for a simply connected algebra to be of

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification 16G60,16G20,16G70

polynomial growth. This leads to the study of tame simply connected algebras which are minimally not of polynomial growth (they themselves are not of polynomial growth but every proper convex subcategory is).

The main aim of this article is to introduce and classify (by quivers and relations) a class of tame minimal non-polynomial growth simply connected algebras, which we call (generalized) pg-critical algebras. Moreover we describe basic properties of pg-critical algebras. In particular, we prove that the Euler (respectively Tits) quadratic form of any pg-critical algebra A is positive semi-definite of corank 2 and the eigenvalues of the Coxeter transformation of A are roots of unity. We also describe completely the structure of non-regular connected components of the Auslander-Reiten quivers of pg-critical algebras.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we fix the notations and recall the definitions needed. In section 3 we introduce the polynomial growth critical algebras and classify them by quivers and relations. In particular, we prove that all such algebras are simply connected. In section 4 we determine the tilting classes of polynomial growth critical algebras and show that the Euler form of any such algebra is positive semi-definite with radical of rank 2. Section 5 is devoted to the spectral properties of polynomial growth critical algebras. We determine the Coxeter polynomial of any polynomial growth critical algebra and show that the spectral radius of its Coxeter matrix is 1. In the final section 6 we investigate the module category of polynomial growth critical algebras. Here, we describe the structure of all non-regular components of their Auslander Reiten quivers and discuss the behaviour of non-regular components in the category of indecomposable modules.

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the Polish Scientific Grand KBN No. 2 PO3A 020 08 and the Sonderforschungsbereich 343 (Universität Bielefeld).

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this article, K will denote a fixed algebraically closed field. By an algebra is meant an associative finite-dimensional K-algebra with an identity, which we shall assume to be basic and connected. An algebra A can be written as a bound quiver algebra $A \cong KQ/I$ where $Q = Q_A$ is the quiver of A and I is an admissible ideal in the path algebra KQ of Q. Equivalently, an algebra $A = KQ/I$ may be considered as a K-category whose object

class is the set of vertices of Q, and the set of morphisms $A(x,y)$ from x to y is the quotient of the K-space $KQ(x,y)$ of all K-linear combinations of paths in Q from x to y modulo the subspace $I(x,y) = I \cap KQ(x,y)$. An algebra A with Q_A having no oriented cycle is said to be triangular. A full subcategory C of A is said to be convex if any path in Q_A with source and target in Q_C lies entirely in Q_C. Following [1] a triangular algebra A is called simply connected if, for any presentation $A \cong KQ/I$ of A as a bound quiver algebra, The fundamental group $\Pi_1(Q,I)$ of (Q,I) is trivial. Moreover, following [20] an algebra A is said to be strongly simply connected if every convex subcategory of A is simply connected. It was shown in [20] that a triangular algebra is strongly simply connected if and only if every convex subalgebra C of A has the separation condition of Bautista, Larrion and Salmeron [3]. For example, if Q_A is a tree, then A is strongly simply connected.

For an algebra A, we denote by mod$A$ the category of finite-dimensional right A-modules and by ind$A$ its full subcategory consisting of the indecomposable modules. We shall denote by Γ_A the Auslander-Reiten quiver of A and by $\tau_A = \mathrm{DTr}$ and $\tau_A^- = \mathrm{TrD}$ the Auslander-Reiten translations. We shall agree to identify an indecomposable A-module with the vertex of Γ_A corresponding to it. For each vertex i of Q_A we denote by $S_A(i)$ the simple A-module having K at the vertex i, by $P_A(i)$ the projective cover of $S_A(i)$, and by $I_A(i)$ the injective envelope of of $S_A(i)$. For a module M in mod$A$ we shall denote by $\underline{\dim} M$ the dimension vector $(\dim_K M(i))_{i \text{ vertex in } Q_A}$. The support supp$M$ of a module M in mod$A$ is the full subcategory of A given by all vertices i of Q_A such that $M(i) \neq 0$.

Let A be an algebra and $K[X]$ the polynomial algebra in one variable. Following [8] A is said to be tame if, for each dimension d, there exists a finite number of $K[X]$–A–bimodules M_i, $1 \leq i \leq n_d$ which are finitely generated and free as left $K[X]$-modules, such that all but a finite number of isomorphism classes of indecomposable right A-modules od dimension d are of the form $K[X]/(X-\lambda) \otimes_{K[X]} M_i$ for some $\lambda \in K$ and some i. Let $\mu_A(d)$ be the least number of $K[X]$–A–bimodules satisfying the above conditions. Then A is said to be of polynomial growth if there is a positive integer m such that $\mu_A(d) \leq d^m$ for any $d \geq 1$ [19]. Examples of polynomial growth algebras are tilted algebras of Euclidean type and tubular algebras [15].

Let $A = KQ/I$ be a triangular algebra. Denote by $K_0(A)$ the Grothendieck group of A. Then $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}^n$, where n is the number of vertices of Q. The Euler quadratic form $\mathcal{X}_A$ od A is the integral quadratic form on

$K_0(A)$ such that

$$\mathcal{X}_A(\underline{\dim} X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^i \dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_A(X,X)$$

for any module X in mod$A$ (see [15, (2.4)]). If gl.dim$A \leq 2$ then $\mathcal{X}_A$ coincides with the Tits form q_A of A, defined for $x = (x_i)_? i \in Q_0 \in K_0(A)$ as follows:

$$q_A(x) = \sum_{i \in Q_0} x_i^2 - \sum_{(i \to j) \in Q_1} x_i x_j + \sum_{i,j \in Q_0} r_{i,j} x_i x_j$$

where Q_0 and Q_1 are the sets of vertices and arrows of Q respectively and $r_{i,j}$ is the cardinality of $L \cap I(i,j)$ for a minimal set of generators $L \subseteq \bigcup_{i,j \in Q_0} I(i,j)$ of the ideal I (see [4]).

For the basic background for the representation theory of algebras we refer to [15].

3 Tame minimal non-polynomial growth algebras

In this section we give a complete description of an class of tame minimal non-polynomial growth algebras by quivers and relations. For an algebra $A = kQ/I$, generators of I are usually called relations. In our bound quivers, a dashed line indicates a relation being the sum of all paths from the starting point to the end point.

Recall that a concealed algebra is of concealed type Δ if it is an algebra C of the form $C = \operatorname{End}_H(T)$ where H is a hereditary algebra of type Δ and T is a preprojective tilting H - module. We know from [5], [10] there is only one family of concealed algebras of type $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n, n \geq 1$, given by the quivers



and four families of concealed algebras of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_n, n \geq 4$, given by the following quivers and relations:



where the number of vertices is equal to $n+1$ and $\bullet\!\!-\!\!\bullet$ means $\bullet\!\!\rightarrow\!\!\bullet$ or $\bullet\!\!\leftarrow\!\!\bullet$. It is known that if C is a concealed algebra of Euclidian type then Γ_C consists of a preprojective component $\mathcal{P}$, a preinjective component $\mathcal{Q}$ and a $\mathbb{P}_1(K)$–family $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\mathbb{P}_1(K)}$ of stable tubes. It is shown in [15, (4.9)] that an algebra B is a tilted algebra $\mathrm{End}_H(T)$ where H is a hereditary algebra of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_n$ and T a tilting H–module without preinjective (respectively, preprojective) direct summands if and only if B is a tubular extension (respectively, coextension) of tubular type $(2,2,n-2)$ of a concealed algebra C of type $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_m$ or $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_m$, $m \leq n$. In the case where B is a tubular extension of C (of type $(2,2,n-2)$), Γ_B consists of a preprojective component $\mathcal{P}'$ (being the preprojective component of Γ_C), a preinjective component $\mathcal{Q}'$ having a complete slice of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_n$, and a $\mathbb{P}_1(K)$ family $\mathcal{T}' = (\mathcal{T}'_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\mathbb{P}_1(K)}$ of ray tubes. Two tubes in $\mathcal{T}'$ have 2 rays, one has $n-2$ rays, and the remaining ones are stable tubes of rank 1 (homogeneous tubes). We have the dual structure for Γ_B in the case when B is a tubular coextension of C (of type $(2,2,n-2)$). Finally we note that any representation-infinite tilted algebra of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_n$ is of one of the above types.

The main objective of this article is to investigate the following class of algebras. By a *polynomial growth critical algebra*, shortly *pg-critical algebra*, we mean an algebra A satisfying the following conditions:

(i) A is of one of the forms:

$$B[M] = \begin{bmatrix} K & M \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}, B[N,t] = \begin{bmatrix} K & K & \cdots & K & K & K & N \\ & K & \cdots & K & K & K & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & K & K & K & 0 \\ & 0 & & & K & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & K & 0 \\ & & & & & & B \end{bmatrix}$$

where B is a representation-infinite tilted algebra of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_n$ with a complete slice in the preinjective component, M (respectively, N) is an indecomposable regular module of regular length 2 (respectively, regular length 1) lying in a tube of Γ_B with $n-2$ rays, and $t+1$, $(t \geq 2)$ is the number of objects of $B[N,t]$ which are not in B.

(ii) Every proper convex subcategory of A is of polynomial growth.

The following proposition motivates the name pg-critical algebra.

PROPOSITION 3.1 *Let A be a pg-critical algebra, Then A is tame but not of polynomial growth.*

Proof. We may assume that A is of the form $B[M]$ or $B[N,t]$. If $A = B[M]$ then the claim follows from [14] and [17].

In the case when $A = B[N,t]$, applying the APR-tilting module [2] induced by one of the simple projecive A-modules, we get an algebra of type $B'[M']$ for a tubular extension of B' of a tilted algebra of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{n+t}$ and a regular indecomposable B' module M' lying in the tube of $\Gamma_{B'}$ having $n+t-2$ rays.

We note, that the use of the term "pg-critical algebra" in the present paper slightly deviates from its use in an earlier publication by the authors [12]. Here, we consider a more general class of algebras. Observe also that in the above definition of a pg-critical algebra both conditions (i) and (ii) are essential. Indeed, if Λ is an algebra given by following quiver and relations



then Λ satisfies (i) but not (ii), as the convex subcategory of Λ formed by all vertices except a is still not of polynomial growth. The algebra Γ given by the following quiver and relations



is tame (see [14, (3.9)]) with all proper convex subcategories representation-finite (hence of polynomial growth) but does not satisfy (i).

In order to save space in the theorem below and to make the list below more accessible we write down only the possible frames. Given such a frame, we allow the following admissible operations:

(i) Replacing each subgraph

by



or



(ii) Choice of arbitrary orientations in non-oriented edges.

(iii) Constructing the opposite algebra.

THEOREM 3.2 *An algebra A is pg-critical if and only if it is ontained from a frame in the following list by admissible operations:*




(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)


(10)
(11)
(12)
(13)
(14)
(15)

(16)



(17)



(18)



(19)



(20)




(21)
(22)
(23)
(24)
(25)
(26)
(27)
(28)

(29)



(30)



(31)



Here a dotted line indicates a zero-relation along a path of length 2.

Proof. Let B be a representation-infinite tilted algebra of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_n$, $n \geq 4$, with a complete slice in its preinjective component. Then B can be obtained from a concealed algebra C (of type $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_m$ or type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_m$, $m \leq n$) by adding branches $L_1, \ldots, L_r$ in the extension vertices $\omega_1, \ldots \omega_r$ of a multiple one-point extension $C[E_1][E_2] \ldots [E_r]$ of C by pairwise non-isomorphic simple regular C-modules $E_1, \ldots E_r$. In this process we create two tubes with 2 rays, one tube with $n-2$ rays and the remaining tubes (of rank 1) are not changed (see [15, section 4]). Moreover, if C is of type $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_m$, then B contains a convex, tilted subcategory $\bar{C}$ of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_s$, $m < s \leq n$, isomorphic to one of the following:





In this case, the structure of indecomposable regular $\bar{C}$-modules of regular length at most 2 is well known. In the case when C is of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_m$, the indecomposable regular C-modules of regular length at most 2 are completely described in [12].

Let A now be an algebra of one of the forms $B[M]$ or $B[N,t]$, where $t \geq 2$ and M (respectively, N) is an indecomposable regular B module of regular length 2 (respectively, regular length 1) lying in a tube with $n-2$ rays. Then a direct analysis shows that every proper convex subcategory of B is of polynomial growth is and only if A is a minimal non-polynomial growth algebra of one of the forms:

$$D(U) = \begin{bmatrix} K & U \\ 0 & \bar{C} \end{bmatrix},\ E(V) = \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 & V \\ 0 & K & V \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{C} \end{bmatrix},\ F(V) = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda & V^r \\ 0 & \bar{C} \end{bmatrix},\ \text{or}$$

$$G(V) = \begin{bmatrix} K & K & K & \dots & K & W \\ & K & K & \dots & K & V \\ & & K & \dots & K & V \\ & & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & 0 & & & K & V \\ & & & & & \bar{C} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\bar{C} = C$ if C is of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_m$, U is an indecomposable regular $\bar{C}$-module of regular length 2 lying in a tube with $s-2$ rays, V is a simple regular $\bar{C}$-module lying in a tube with $s-2$ rays, W is direct successor of V (in $\Gamma_{\bar{C}}$), Λ is given by one of the following quivers



(possibly $w = a$, but no loop) and such that $F(N)(x, y) = N(y) \otimes_K \Lambda(x, w)$ for any object x in Λ and any object y in $\bar{C}$. Therefore it remains to describe the bound quivers of minimal non-polynomial growth algebras of the above types $D(U)$, $E(V)$, $F(V)$, $G(V)$ and their duals.

The strongly simply connected algebras of the form $D(U)$, $E(V)$, $F(V)$, and their duals, have been described by the authors in [12]. All such algebras are minimal non-polynomial growth, hence pg-critical, and appear in the families (1) – (16). Further, a direct analysis of the remaining possibilities, using the known structure of the indecomposable regular $\bar{C}$-modules of regular length at most 2, leads to A to be given by one of the frames (1) – (31) or by one of the following forms:

(r1)



(r2)



(r3)



(r4)



(r5) (r6)



The above algebras (r1) – (r6) contain a proper convex subcategory of one of the forms

(s1): (s2):



which are not of polynomial growth. Indeed, the universal Galois coverings of these algebras (with infinite cyclic group) admit convex subcategories given by pg-critical trees. Therefore, applying Proposition 3.1 and the properties of the associated push down functors [9], we infer that (s1) and (s2) are not of polynomial growth. Hence the algebras (r1) – (r6) are not pg-critical. This finishes the proof.

COROLLARY 3.3 *Let A be a pg-critical algebra. Then*

(i) *A is simply connected.*

(ii) $\mathrm{gl.dim} A = 2$.

(iii) *A^{op} is pg-critical.*

Proof. This follows from the shape of the frames (1) – (31) an the fact that the algebras $B[M]$ and $B[N,t]$, defining the pg-critical algebras, have global dimension 2.

It is shown in [21] that a strongly simply connected algebra A is of polynomial growth if and only if A does not contain a convex subcategory which is hypercritical of pg-critical. The hypercritical algebras (being the preprojective tilts of minimal wild hereditary tree algebras) have been classified

by quivers and relations (cf. [22]). This together with the corollary below gives a handy criterion for a strongly simply connected algebra to be of polynomial growth.

COROLLARY 3.4 *Let A be an algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *A is tame minimal non-polynomial growth strongly simply connected.*

(ii) *A is strongly simply connected pg-critical.*

(iii) *A is obtained from one of the frames (1) – (16) by admissible operations.*

Proof. This follows form Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 4.1 in [21].

Following [7] a triangular algebra A is called completely separating, if it is schurian (ie. $\dim_K(P_A(x), P_A(y)) \leq 1$ for all vertices x and y of Q_A.) and every convex subcategory of A has the separation property. We then get the following consequence of the above corollary:

COROLLARY 3.5 *Let A be a completely separating algebra. Then A is A tame minimal of non-polynomial growth if and only if A is obtained from one of the frames (1) – (11) by applying admissible operations.*

4 The tilting classes of pg-critical algebras

Recall that the Euler form $\mathcal{X}_A$ of an algebra A is called positive semi-definite if $\mathcal{X}_A(z) \geq 0$ for all $z \in K_0(A)$. In this case, the radical $\mathrm{rad}\mathcal{X}_A$ of $\mathcal{X}_A$ is the set of all $z \in K_0(A)$ satisfying $\mathcal{X}_A(z) = 0$. Moreover, then $\mathrm{rad}\mathcal{X}_A$ is a subgroup of $K_0(A)$ and its rank is said to be the radical rank of $\mathcal{X}_A$.

For every $n \geq 4$ denote by Λ_n the following pg-critical algebra of type (13):



which we call a canonical pg-critical algebra. Since gl.dim $\Lambda_n = 2$, for $x \in K_0(\Lambda_n) = \mathbb{Z}^{n+2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda_n}(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} x_i^2 - \sum_{i=3}^{n-2} x_i x_{i+1} \\
&\quad -x_1x_3 - x_2x_3 - x_1x_{n+2} - x_2x_{n+2} - x_{n-1}x_n - x_{n-1}x_{n+1} \\
&\quad -x_nx_{n+2} - x_{n+1}x_{n+2} + x_3x_{n+2} + x_{n-1}x_{n+2} \\
&= (x_1 - \tfrac{1}{2}x_3 - \tfrac{1}{2}x_{n+2})^2 + (x_2 - \tfrac{1}{2}x_3 - \tfrac{1}{2}x_{n+2})^2 \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=3}^{n-2}(x_i - x_{i+1})^2 \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2}(x_{n-1} - x_n - x_{n+1} + x_{n+2})^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_n - x_{n+1})^2
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda_n}$ is positive semi-definite and $\mathrm{rad}\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda_n}$ is the free abelian group subgroup of $K_0(\Lambda_n)$ generated by the vectors $h_\infty = (1,1,0,0,\ldots,0,0,1,1,2)$ and $h = (1,1,1,1,\ldots,1,1,1,1,1)$.

Observe that h_∞ is the positive generator of $\mathrm{rad}\mathcal{X}_{H_\infty}$ where H_∞ is the tame hereditary convex subcategory of Λ_n given by the vertices $1, 2, n, n+1$, $n+2$. Further, consider also the tame hereditary convex subcategory H_0 of Λ_n given by the vertices $1, 2, 3, \ldots, n-1, n, n+1$, and the positive generator $h_0 = (1,1,2,2,\ldots,2,2,1,1,0)$ of $\mathrm{rad}\mathcal{X}_{H_0}$. Then $2h = h_0 + h_\infty$, and hence h_0 and h_∞ generate a subgroup of $\mathrm{rad}\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda_n}$ of index 2.

We say that an algebra Λ can be obtained from an algebra Γ by a sequence of tilts if there is a finite sequence of algebras $\Gamma = \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_{r+1} = \Lambda$ and tilting Γ_i-modules T_i, $0 \leq i \leq r$, such that, for each i, Γ_{i+1} is isomorphic to $\mathrm{End}_{\Gamma_i}(T_i)$.

The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 4.1 *Let A be a pg-critical algebra and n be the rank of $K_0(A)$. Then*

(i) *Λ_{n-2} can be obtained from A by a sequence of tilts.*

(ii) *A can be obtained from Λ_{n-2} by a sequence of tilts.*

(iii) *$\mathcal{X}_A$ is positive semi-definite with radical rank 2.*

In order to prove the theorem we need the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 4.2 *Let H be a hereditary algebra of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_n$, $n \geq 4$, T a tilting H-module without non-zero preinjective direct summands, and $B = \mathrm{End}_H(T)$. Let M be an indecomposable regular B-module of regular length 2 lying in a tube of Γ_B with $n-2$ rays, let R be the indecomposable regular H-module of regular length 2 such that $M = \mathrm{Hom}_H(T, R)$, and let Λ be the one-point extension $\Lambda = H[R]$ of H by R, say with the extension vertex w. Then $T' = T \oplus P_\Lambda(w)$ is a tilting Λ-module and $\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(T')$ is isomorphic to the one-point extension $B[M]$ of B by M.*

Proof. Observe that the number of pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands of T' is equal to the rank of the Grothendieck group $K_0(\Lambda) \cong K_0(H) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $\mathrm{pd}_\Lambda T' \leq 1$, because $\mathrm{pd}_\Lambda T = \mathrm{pd}_H T \leq 1$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Ext}^1_\Lambda(T', T') = 0$ since $\mathrm{Ext}^1_\Lambda(T, T) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_H(T, T) = 0$, $P_\Lambda(w)$ is projective, and $\mathrm{Ext}^1_\Lambda(T, P_\Lambda(w)) = Ext^1_H(T, R) = 0$, because R belongs to the torsion class of the tilting theory in mod$H$ determined by T. Finally we get:

$$\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(T') \cong \begin{pmatrix} K & \mathrm{Hom}_H(T, R) \\ 0 & \mathrm{Hom}_H(T, T) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} = B[M]$$

LEMMA 4.3 *Let H be a hereditary algebra of Euclidean type and R_1, R_2 two indecomposable regular H-modules lying in the same τ_H-orbit of Γ_H, say $R_2 = \tau_H^{-m} R_1$ for some $m \geq 0$. Consider the one-point extensions $\Lambda_1 = H[R_1]$ and $\Lambda_2 = H[R_2]$, say with the extension vertices w_1 and w_2, respectively. Then $T = \tau_H^{-m} H \oplus P_{\Lambda_2}(w_2)$ is a tilting Λ_2-module and $\mathrm{End}_{\Lambda_2}(T) \cong \Lambda_1$.*

Proof.
Clearly, $\mathrm{pd}_{\Lambda_2}(T) \leq 1$ and T has the correct number of pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands. Moreover, $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_2}(\tau_H^{-m} H, \tau_H^{-m} H) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_H(H, H) = 0$, $P_{\Lambda_2}(w_2)$ is projective and $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_2}(\tau_H^{-m} H, P_{\Lambda_2}(w_2)) \cong \mathrm{D}\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\Lambda_2}(P_{\Lambda_2}(w_2), \tau_{\Lambda_2}(\tau_H^{-m} H)) \cong \mathrm{D}\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\Lambda_2}(P_{\Lambda_2}(w_2), \tau_H(\tau_H^{-m} H)) = 0$. Further, $R_1 = \mathrm{Hom}_H(H, R_1) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_H(\tau_H^{-m} H, \tau_H^{-m} R_1) = \mathrm{Hom}_H(\tau_H^{-m} H, R_2) = \mathrm{Hom}_H(\tau_H^{-m} H, P_{\Lambda_2}(w_2))$.

Therefore, $\mathrm{End}_{\Lambda_2}(T) \cong \begin{pmatrix} K & R_1 \\ 0 & H \end{pmatrix} = \Lambda_1$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1

(i): First observe that if A is of type $B[N, t]$ then applying the APR-tilting module [2] associated to one of the two simple projective A-modules

which are not B-modules, we get a pg-critical algebra of type $B'[M']$. Hence we may assume that A is of type $B[M]$. Now applying Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 we infer that after one or two tilts we may pass to an algebra $H[R]$ where H is the hereditary algebra given by the quiver



and R is an arbitrary indecomposable regular H-module of regular length 2 lying in a tube of Γ_H of rank $n-2$. For $n \geq 5$ we have only one tube of rank $n-2$ in Γ_H and this contains the following indecomposable module of regular length 2:



Taking this module as R we get that $H[R]$ is isomorphic to Λ_n.

For $n = 4$, Γ_H has three tubes of rank 2, which contain the following indecomposable modules of regular length 2:



Taking any of these modules as R we get that $H[R]$ is isomorphic to Λ_4. This proves (i).

(ii): From Corollary 3.3 we know that A^{op} also is a pg-critical algebra. Further, by (i), there exists a sequence of algebras $A^{\mathrm{op}} = \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_{r+1} = \Lambda_{n-2}$ and tilting Γ_i-modules T_i such that $\Gamma_{i+1} = \mathrm{End}_{\Gamma_i}(T_i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$. Then each T_i is also a tilting $\Gamma_{i+1}^{\mathrm{op}}$-module and $\Gamma_i^{\mathrm{op}} = \mathrm{End}_{\Gamma_{i+1}^{\mathrm{op}}}(T_i)$. Therefore we get a sequence of tilts $\Lambda_{n-2} = \Lambda_{n-2}^{\mathrm{op}} = \Gamma_{r+1}^{\mathrm{op}}, \Gamma_r^{\mathrm{op}}, \ldots, \Gamma_1^{\mathrm{op}}, \Gamma_0^{\mathrm{op}} = (A^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{op}} = A$ leading from Λ_{n-2} to A.

(iii): Since A can be obtained from Λ_{n-2} by a sequence of tilts, the forms $\mathcal{X}_A$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda_{n-2}}$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-congruent. (cf. [15, (4.1)(7)]). Hence $\mathcal{X}_A$ is positive semi-definite with radical rank 2,, by the above description of the properties of $\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda_{n-2}}$.

5 The Coxeter matrix

Let A be a triangular algebra and $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ a complete set of pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable A-modules. The Cartan matrix C_A of A is the $n \times n$-matrix whose (i,j)-entry is given by $\dim_K \mathrm{Hom}_A(P_i, P_j)$. Then C_A is invertible over $\mathbb{Z}$ and we get a symmetrisable bilinear form $(-,-)_A$ on $K_0(A)$, given by $(x,y)_A = \frac{1}{2}x(C_A^{-1} + C_A^{-T})y^T$, such that $\mathcal{X}_A(x) = (x,x)_A$. Further, the matrix $\Phi_A = -C_A^{-T}C_A$ is called the Coxeter matrix of A. The characteristic polynomial of Φ_A is called the Coxeter polynomial of A. Note also, that, for $\mathcal{X}_A$ positive semi-definite, we have $\{x \in K_0(A) | \mathcal{X}_A(x) = 0\} = \{x \in K_0(A) | x\Phi_A = x\}$.

THEOREM 5.1 *Let A be a pg-critical algebra and n the rank of $K_0(A)$. Then*

(i) $\Phi_A^{2(n-5)}$ *is the identity matrix.*

(ii) *The Coxeter polynomial of A is of the form $(T^{n-5}+1)(T-1)^2(T+1)^3$.*

In particular, the spectral radius of Φ_A is 1.

Proof. It follows from section 4 that A is tiltig-cotilting equivalent to an algebra $\Lambda = \Lambda_m$, $m \geq 4$. Then, by [15, (4.1)(7)], there exists an invertible matrix Ψ such that $\Phi_A = \Psi\Phi_\Lambda\Psi^{-1}$. Therefore is suffices to prove the claim for Λ. This can be done by elementary calculation. In fact, the Cartan Matrix C_{Λ_m} is by definition given by

$$C_{\Lambda_m} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|cccccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline & & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & 0 & & & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ & 0 & & & & 0 & & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right]$$

Its inverse $C_{\Lambda_m}^{-1}$, depending on m, is, for $m = 4$:

$$C_{\Lambda_4}^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 2 \\ \hline & & & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ & 0 & & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right]$$

For $m > 4$:

$$C_{\Lambda_m}^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|cccccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline & & & 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 & -1 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & 0 & & & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ & 0 & & & & 0 & & & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right]$$

Thus the Coxter matrix $\Phi_{\Lambda_m} = -C_{\Lambda_m}^{-T} C_{\Lambda_m}$ is, for $m = 4$:

$$\Phi_{\Lambda_4} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -2 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{array}\right]$$

And for $m > 4$:

$$\Phi_{\Lambda_m} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccccc|ccc}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & \cdots & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & & \cdots & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & & \cdots & & -1 & -1 & -1 & -2 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & & \cdots & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & & -1 & -2 & -1 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right]$$

Now (i) is a matter of direct verification, while (ii) follows by induction and expansion, using the $(m-1)^{\text{st}}$ row, of the correspondig determinant

$$\left|\begin{array}{ccc|ccccc|ccc}
T & -1 & -1 & -1 & & \cdots & & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & T & -1 & -1 & & \cdots & & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & T+1 & 1 & & \cdots & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline
-1 & -1 & -1 & T & & \cdots & & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & T & \cdots & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & -1 & T & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 & -1 & T & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 & -1 & -1 & T & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & T
\end{array}\right| .$$

6 The Auslander-Reiten quiver

The main aim of this section is to describe the structure of non-regular components of the Auslander Reiten quiver of a pg-critical algebra. Moreover, we give a view on the structure of the category of indecomposable modules over such an algebra.

Let A be a pg-critical algebra. Then A is of one of the forms $B[M]$ or $B[N,t]$ for some representation-infinite algebra B of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_n$ having a complete slice in the preinjective component, an indecomposable regular module M (respectively, N) of regular length 2 (respectively, regular length 1) lying in a tube of Γ_B with $n-2$ rays, and $t \geq 2$. If $A = B[M]$ we put

$B_0 = B$ and denote by w the extension vertex of $A = B_0[M]$. Assume now $A = B[N,t]$. Then the quiver Q_A of A consists of Q_B and the quiver



and N is the restriction of $P_A(w)$ to B. Denote by B_0 the convex subcategory of A given by all objects of A except a. Then B_0 is a tilted algebra of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{n+t}$ having a complete slice in the preinjective component, containing B as a convex subcategory, and A is the one-point coextension of B_0 by the injective module $I_{B_0}(c)$. In both cases, B_0 is a tubular extension of tubular type $(2,2,r)$, with $r = n$ or $r + n + t$, of its unique tame concealed convex subcategory C_0 (of type $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_m$ or $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_m$). It follows from [15, (4.9)], that Γ_{B_0} consists of a preprojective component $\mathcal{P}_0$, formed by the indecomposable preprojective C_0-modules, a $\mathbb{P}_1(K)$-family $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\lambda)}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}_1(K) = K \cap \{\infty\}$, of pairwise orthogonal ray tubes, and a preinjective component $\mathcal{I}_0$, containing all indecomposable injective B_0-modules. In $(\mathcal{T}_0^{(\lambda)})_{\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_1(K)}$ two tubes have 2 rays, one has $r-2$ rays, and the remaining ones are homogeneous (stable tubes of rank 1). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{T}_0^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{T}_0^{(1)}$ are tubes with 2 rays and $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$ is the tube with $r-2$ rays containing a module which is a direct predecessor (if $A = B[M]$) or direct successor (if $A = B[N,t]$) of $P_A(a)$ in Γ_A.

Since A^{op} is also pg-critical, by Corollary 3.3, we conclude that A is also of one of the forms

$$[M']B' = \begin{bmatrix} B' & \mathrm{D}(M') \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}, [t', N']B' = \begin{bmatrix} B' & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mathrm{D}(N') \\ & K & 0 & K & \cdots & K & K \\ & & K & K & \cdots & K & K \\ & & & K & \cdots & K & K \\ & & 0 & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & & & K & K \\ & & & & & & K \end{bmatrix}$$

where B' is a representation-infinite tilted algebra of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{n'}$ with a complete slice in the preprojective component, M' (respectively, N') is an indecomposable regular B'-module of regular length 2 (respectively, 1) lying in

a tube of $\Gamma_{B'}$ with $n'-2$ corays, $t+1$ ($t \geq 2$) is the number of objects of $[t', N']B'$ which are not in B'.

If $A = [M']B'$ we put $B_\infty = B'$ and denote by a' the coextension vertex of $A = [M']B_\infty$. Assume now, that $A = [t', N']B'$. Then the quiver Q_A of A consists of $Q_{B'}$ and the quiver



and N' is the restriction of $I_A(w')$ to B'. Denote by B_∞ the convex subcategory of A given by all objects of A except a'. Then B_∞ is a tilted algebra of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{n'+t'}$ having a complete slice in the preprojective component, containing B' as a convex subcategory, and A' is the one-point coextension of B_∞ by the projective module $P_{B_\infty}(c')$. In both cases, B_∞ is a tubular coextension of tubular type $(2, 2, r)$, with $r = n'$ or $r = n' + t'$, of its unique tame concealed convex subcategory C_∞ (of type $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{m'}$ or $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{m'}$).

It follows from [15, (4.9)] that Γ_{B_∞} consists of a preinjective component $\mathcal{Q}_\infty$, formed by the indecomposable preinjective C_∞-modules, a $\mathbb{P}_1(K)$-family $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\lambda)}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}_1(K)$, of pairwise orthogonal coray tubes, and a preprojective component $\mathcal{P}'_\infty$ containing all indecomposable projective B_∞-modules. In $(\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\lambda)})_{\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_1(K)}$ two tubes have 2 corays, one has $r-2$ corays and the remaining ones are homogeneous. We may assume that $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(0)}$, $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(1)}$ are tubes with 2 corays and $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\infty)}$ is the tube with $r-2$ corays containing a module which is a direct successor (if $A = [M']B'$) or a direct predecessor (if $A = [t', N']B'$) of $I_A(a')$ in Γ_A.

Further, denote by $\mathcal{T}_0$ the tubular K-family $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\lambda)}$, $\lambda \in K$, by $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ the tubular K-family $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\lambda)}$, $\lambda \in K$, by $\mathcal{Q}_0$ the class of indecomposable A-modules whose restrictions to B_0 have no non-zero direct summands from $\mathcal{P}_0$ and $\mathcal{T}_0$, and by $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ the class of indecomposable A-modules whose restrictions to B_∞ have no non-zero direct summands from $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\infty$. Finally, denote by Δ

the following quiver of type $\mathbf{D}_\infty$:



THEOREM 6.1 *Let A be a pg-critical algebra. Then*

(i) $\Gamma_A = \mathcal{P}_0 \vee \mathcal{T}_0 \vee \mathcal{Q}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty \vee \mathcal{T}_\infty \vee \mathcal{Q}_\infty$
where $\mathcal{Q}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty$ is a disjoint union of regular components and one non-regular component $\mathcal{C}$, and the ordering from left to right indicates that there are non-zero maps (in mod$A$*) only from any of these families to itself or to the families to its right.*

(ii) *The regular components in $\mathcal{Q}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty$ consist entirely of modules whose restrictions to B_0 have non-zero preinjective direct summands and whose restrictions to B_∞ have non-zero preprojective direct summands.*

(iii) *The component $\mathcal{C}$ has the following properties*

a) *$\mathcal{C}$ contains all modules of $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$ and $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\infty)}$.*

b) *The stable part of $\mathcal{C}$ is of th form $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{A}_\infty$.*

c) *$\mathcal{C}$ admits a full translation subquiver $\mathcal{R} = (-\mathbb{N})\Delta$ which is closed under successors in $\mathcal{C}$ and consists of modules whose restrictions to B_0 are direct sums of modules from $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$ and whose restrictions to B_∞ are direct sums of preprojective modules.*

d) *$\mathcal{C}$ admits a full translation subquiver $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{N}\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}$ which is closed under predecessors in $\mathcal{C}$ and consists of modules whose restrictions to B_0 are direct sums of preinjective modules and whose restrictions to B_∞ are direct sums of modules from $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\infty)}$.*

e) $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}) = 0$ *and* $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$.

Proof. Assume first, that $A = B[N, t]$. Then, in the above notation, $A_A = P' \oplus S_A(a)$. Consider the APR-tilting A-module $T = P' \oplus \tau_A^- S_A(a)$ and the algebra A' obtained from A by reversing the arrow $c \longrightarrow a$ to $c \longleftarrow a$. Then $A' = \mathrm{End}_A(T)$ is a pg-critical algebra of the form $B_0[P_{B_0}(c)]$. Moreover, by [2], the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_A(T, -) : \mathrm{mod}A \longrightarrow \mathrm{mod}A'$ induces an equivalence

between the full subcategory of mod$A$ formed by all modules without direct summands isomorphic to $S_A(a)$ and the full subcategory of modA' formed by all modules without direct summands isomorphic to $S_{A'}(a)$. Moreover, $\Gamma_{A'}$ is obtained from Γ_A by replacing

by



respectively. Therefore, in order to prove the theorem, we may assume that A is of the form $B[M]$. We identify the objects of mod$B[M]$ = mod$A$ with the triples (V, X, φ) where V is a (finite dimensional) vector space over K, X an object of mod$B$ and $\varphi : V \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_B(M, X)$ is a K-linear map. Then the B-modules X are the triples $(0, X, 0)$. Moreover, a $B[M]$-homomorphism $(V, X, \varphi) \longrightarrow (W, Y, \psi)$ consists of a pair (α, f), where $\alpha : V \longrightarrow W$ is a K-linear map, $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ a B-homomorphism, and $\psi\alpha = \mathrm{Hom}_B(M, f)\varphi$. It is known that if $0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \longrightarrow 0$ is an Auslander-Reiten sequence in mod$B$ then in mod$B[M]$ we have an Auslander-Reiten sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (|X|, X, 1_{|X|}) \xrightarrow{(1_{|X|}, f)} (|X|, Y, |f|) \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0$$

where $|X| = \mathrm{Hom}_B(M, X)$, $|f| = \mathrm{Hom}_B(M, f)$ (cf. [15, (2.5)(6)]). Since in our situation $\mathrm{Hom}_B(M, \mathcal{P}_0 \vee \mathcal{T}_0) = 0$, we conclude that $\Gamma_A = \mathcal{P}_0 \vee \mathcal{T}_0 \vee \mathcal{Q}_0$. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_0$ the connected component of Γ_A containing $P_A(a)$. Observe, that the restriction of the vector space category $\mathrm{Hom}_B(M, \mathrm{mod}B)$ to the tube $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$ is the K-linear category of the partially ordered set given by the following full translation subquiver



of $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$ given by the corresponding two parallel rays of $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$. We get the indecomposable A-modules

$$\bar{X}_i = (|X_i|, X_i, 1_{X_i}), \bar{Y}_i = (|Y_i|, Y_i, 1_{Y_i}), i \geq 1$$

where $|X_i| = |Y_i| = K$. Clearly $P_A(a) = (|X_0|, X_0, 1_{X_0})$. Moreover, since $\mathrm{Hom}_B(M, X_i)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_B(M, Y_i)$ are, for $i \geq j$, orthogonal objects of $\mathrm{Hom}_B(M, \mathrm{mod}B)$, we get (cf. [16, (2.4)]) the indecomposable A-modules

$$Z_{i,j} = (K, X_i \oplus Y_j, \Delta_{i,j}) \qquad , i \geq j,$$

where $\Delta_{i,j} : \mathrm{Hom}_B(M, X_i \oplus Y_j) = K^2$ are the diagonal maps. Applying the above formula for Auslander-Reiten sequences in $\mathrm{mod}B[M]$ with the right terms being B-modules, and calculating the corresponding cokernels, we infer that $\mathcal{C}_0$ has a full translation subquiver $\mathcal{R}$ of the form



formed by the modules X_0, $P_A(a)$, $X_i, \bar{X}_i$, for $i \geq 1$, $Y_j, j \geq 1$, and $Z_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i \leq j$. Obviously $\mathcal{R} \cong (-\mathbb{N})\Delta$ and is closed under successors in $\mathcal{C}_0$.

Consider also the modules $U_i = \tau_B^- Y_i$, $\imath \geq 1$. Observe that, if $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$ has only two rays, then $U_{i+1} = X_i$ for $i \geq 0$. Calculating the Auslander-Reiten sequences with right terms U_i, $i \geq 1$, we conclude, that $\mathcal{C}_0$ admits a full translation subquiver of the form



Moreover, the Auslander-Reiten sequences in mod$B$ with right terms in $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$ but different from the modules Y_i, U_i, $i \geq 1$ are Auslander-Reiten sequences in mod$B[M]$ = mod$A$. Hence all rays of $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$ except the one containing the modules Y_i, $i \geq 1$, form infinite sectional paths also in $\mathcal{C}_0$. This also shows that $\mathcal{C}_0$ contains all indecomposable projective A-modules which do not belong to $\mathcal{P}_0 \vee \mathcal{T}_0$. Further, the stable part of of $\mathcal{C}_0$ is then isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{A}_\infty$. Finally observe, that, if L is an indecomposable A-module whose restriction to B_0 is a direct sum of modules from $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$, then L belongs to $\mathcal{C}_0$. This is clear, if L is a B-module. Suppose L is not a B-module. Then $L = (V, Z, \varphi)$ for some vector space V, $Z \in$ mod$B$, and $\varphi : V \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_B(M, Z)$. By our assumption, $V \neq 0$, $\varphi \neq 0$ and Z is a direct sum of modules of the form X_i, $i \geq 0$ and Y_j, $j \geq 1$. Then the structure of indecomposable representations of partially ordered sets of width 2 (cf. [16, (2.4)]) implies that L is one of the modules $P_A(a) = \bar{X}_0$, $\bar{X}_i$, $\bar{Y}_i$, $i \geq 1$, or $Z_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i \leq j$, and we are done.

Applying dual arguments for the one-point coextension (extension) leading from B_∞ to A, we infer that $\Gamma_A = \mathcal{P}_\infty \vee \mathcal{T}_\infty \vee \mathcal{Q}_\infty$. Therefore

$$(*) \qquad \Gamma_A = \mathcal{P}_0 \vee \mathcal{T}_0 \vee \mathcal{Q}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty \vee \mathcal{T}_\infty \vee \mathcal{Q}_\infty.$$

Further, the connected component, say $\mathcal{C}_\infty$, of Γ_A containing the module $I_A(a')$ admits a full translation subquiver $\mathcal{L}$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}$

and closed under predecessors in $\mathcal{C}_\infty$. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ contains all indecomposable A-modules whose restriction to B_∞ are direct sums of modules from $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\infty)}$. All corays of $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\infty)}$ except one (whose modules are distributed in $\mathcal{L}$) are infinite sectional paths in $\mathcal{C}_\infty$. Finally, $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ contains all indecomposable injective A-modules which do not belong to $\mathcal{T}_\infty \vee \mathcal{Q}_\infty$, and the stable part of $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{A}_\infty$.

It follows from the above considerations and the decomposition $(*)$ that $\mathcal{R}$ consists of modules whose restrictions to B_∞ are direct sums of preprojective B_∞-modules and the restrictions to B_0 are direct sums of modules form $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$. Similarly, $\mathcal{L}$ consists of modules restrictions to B_0 are direct sums of preinjective B_0-modules and the restrictions to B_∞ are direct sums of modules from $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\infty)}$. In particular $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$. Moreover, the regular components of $\mathcal{Q}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty$ consist entirely of modules whose restrictions to B_0 have non-zero preinjective direct summands and the restrictions to B_∞ have non-zero preprojective direct summands. Consequently, in order to complete the proof, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{C}_\infty$. For this we have several cases to consider, depending on the shape of the quiver of A. We will not go through all these cases in detail, but rather discuss one example, which essentially contains all situations that occur in a complete analysis.

Consider the following algebra A of type (16):



Then A is of the form $A = B_0[M]$ where B_0 is the full subcategory created by all objects except w, and $M = P_{B_0}(x_k)/P_{B_0}(x_{k+2})$. Further, in the above notation, $Y_0 = P_{B_0}(x_k)/P_{B_0}(x_{k+1}) = P_A(x_k)/P_A(x_{k+1})$. Also, A is of the form $A = [M']B_\infty$ where B_∞ is the the full subcategory created by all objects except w'. There are essentially three cases, depending on k.

In case $k > 2$, any of the simple modules $S_A(x_j)$, $i < j < 2$ lies in $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$ as well as in $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\infty)}$, and thus in $\mathcal{C}_0 \cap \mathcal{C}_\infty$.

For $k \leq 2$, consider the module

$L = \tau_B^- Y_0 = P_{B_0}(x_{k-1})/P_{B_0}(x_k) = P_A(x_{k-1})/P_A(x_k)$.

Then L lies in $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$, thus in $\mathcal{C}_0$ and $\tau_A L = P_A(w)/P_A(x_{k+1})$.

In case $k = 2$, $\tau_A L = P_A(w)/P_A(x_3)$ lies in $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\infty)}$, thus in $\mathcal{C}_\infty$. So $\mathcal{C}_0$ and $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ are connected to each other, thus coincide.

In case $k = 1$, $\tau_A L = P_A(w)/P_A(x_2)$ is neither a module over B_0 nor over B_∞. However $\tau_A^2 L = P_A(x_1)/(P_A(x_0) \amalg P_A(x_{-1})$ again lies in $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_\infty$, so that $\mathcal{C}_0$ and $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ coincide. This completes the proof.

Recall, that the component quiver Σ_Λ of an algebra Λ is defined as follows [18]: the vertices of Σ_Λ are the connected components of Γ_A, and two components $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are connected in Σ_Λ by an arrow $\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ if $\mathrm{rad}^\infty(X, Y) \neq 0$ for some modules $X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{E}$. Here, $\mathrm{rad}^\infty(X, Y)$ denotes the intersection of all powers $\mathrm{rad}^i(X, Y)$ of the radical $\mathrm{rad}(X, Y)$.

From Theorem 6.1 we get the following information on the component quiver of a pg-critical algebra.

COROLLARY 6.2 *Let A be a pg-critical algebra. Then, in the above notation, the following statements hold:*

(i) *$\mathcal{P}_0$ is an unique source of Σ_A and there are arrows from $\mathcal{P}_0$ to all remaining vertices of Σ_A.*

(ii) *$\mathcal{Q}_\infty$ is an unique sink of Σ_A and there are arrows from all remaining vertices of Σ_A to $\mathcal{Q}_\infty$.*

(iii) *For each $\lambda \in K$, there are arrows in Σ_A from $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\lambda)}$ to all components of $(\mathcal{Q}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty) \vee \mathcal{T}_\infty \vee \mathcal{Q}_\infty$, and $\mathcal{P}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_0^{(\lambda)}$ is an unique arrow with target $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\lambda)}$.*

(iv) *For each $\lambda \in K$, there are arrows in Σ_A from all components of $\mathcal{P}_0 \vee \mathcal{T}_0 \vee (\mathcal{Q}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty)$ to $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\lambda)}$, and $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\lambda)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\infty$ is an unique arrow with source in $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\lambda)}$.*

(v) *for each regular component $\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathcal{Q}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty$, there are arrows $\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ in Σ_A.*

(vi) *Σ_A admits a loop $\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. We know that there are at most finitely many indecomposable B_0-modules (respectively, B_∞-modules) whose restrictions to $\mathcal{C}_0$ (respectively, $\mathcal{C}_\infty$) are zero. The statements (i) – (iv) follow from Theorem 4.1 an the facts that $(\mathcal{T}_0^{(\lambda)})_{\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_1 K}$ separates $\mathcal{P}_0$ from $\mathcal{I}_0$ in $\mathrm{ind}B_0$, and $(\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\lambda)})_{\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_1 K}$ separates $\mathcal{P}'_\infty$ from $\mathcal{Q}_\infty$ in $\mathrm{ind}B_\infty$. Moreover (vi) follows from $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ an the

fact that $\mathcal{C}$ has no oriented cycles. Take now an arbitrary regular component $\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathcal{Q}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty$. For (v) it is enough to show, that $\mathrm{Hom}_A(P_A(a), X) \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_A(Y, I_A(a)) \neq 0$ for some modules X and Y in $\mathcal{D}$. Suppose that $\mathrm{Hom}_A(P_A(a), X) = 0$ for all X in $\mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathcal{D}$ consists of B_0 modules which, by Theorem 6.1, must be preinjective. But then $\mathcal{D}$ is not not regular, a contradiction. We get a similar contradiction, assuming $\mathrm{Hom}_A(Y, I_A(a)) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{D}$. This finishes the proof.

It follows from the decomposition $\Gamma_A = \mathcal{P}_0 \vee \mathcal{T}_0 \vee \mathcal{Q}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty \vee \mathcal{T}_\infty \vee \mathcal{Q}_\infty$ that $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathrm{D}(A), \mathcal{P}_0 \vee \mathcal{T}_0) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathcal{T}_\infty \vee \mathcal{Q}_\infty, A) = 0$. Hence $\mathrm{pd}_A X \leq 1$ for all modules X in $\mathcal{P}_0 \vee \mathcal{T}_0)$ and $\mathrm{id}_A Y \leq 1$ for all modules Y in $\mathcal{T}_\infty \vee \mathcal{Q}_\infty$ (cf [15, (2.4)]). We also have the following information on the homological behaviour of the nonregular component $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{Q}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty$.

COROLLARY 6.3 *Let A be a pg-critical algebra. Then*

(i) $\mathrm{pd}_A X \leq 1$ *for all modules X in $\mathcal{R}$.*

(ii) $\mathrm{id}_A Y \leq 1$ *for all modules Y in $\mathcal{L}$.*

(iii) *There are infinitely many indecomposable modules Z in $\mathcal{C}$ with* $\mathrm{pd}_A Z = 2$ *and* $\mathrm{id}_A Z = 2$.

Proof. (i): From the structure of $\mathcal{C}$ described in Theorem 6.1 we know that if X belongs to $\mathcal{R}$ then the restriction of $\tau_A X$ to B_0 is a direct sum of modules from $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$. On the other hand, the restriction of any indecomposable injective A-module to B_0 is a direct sum of indecomposable preinjective B_0-modules. Hence $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathrm{D}(A), \tau_A X) = 0$, and so $\mathrm{pd}_A X \leq 1$.

The proof of (ii) is dual.

(iii): It follows from the proof of Theorem 6.1 that $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathrm{D}(A), \tau_A \bar{Y}_i) \neq 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Hence $\mathrm{pd}_A \bar{Y}_i) = 2$ for all $i \geq 1$. On the other hand, there is an infinite sequence $1 < i_1 < i_2 < \ldots$ such that, for each $s \geq 1$, there is a sectional path $Y_{i_s} \longrightarrow L_{i_s} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow R$, with R an indecomposable projective B_0-module (if A=B[M] and M is directing) or the radical of $P_A(a)$. Moreover, $L_{i_s} = \tau_A^{-1} \bar{Y}_{i_{s-1}}$ for any $s \geq 1$. Hence $\mathrm{Hom}(\tau_A^{-1} \bar{Y}_{i_{s-1}}, A) = 0$ for $s \geq 1$, as the composition of irreducible maps forming a sectional path is non-zero. Consequently, $\mathrm{id}_A \bar{Y}_{i_{s-1}} = 2$. We have then an infinite family $\bar{Y}_{i_{s-1}}$, $s \geq 1$, of modules in $\mathcal{C}$ with both projective and injective dimension equal to 2.

We shall now present an example illustrating the above considerations.

EXAMPLE 6.4 Let a be the algebra given by the bound quiver



Let $B_0 = C_0$ be the convex subcategory of A given by all objects of A except 7. Then B_0 is a concealed algebra of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_5$ and A is a one-point extension $B_0[M]$ of B_0 by an indecomposable regular B_0-module lying in the following stable tube $\mathcal{T}_0^{(\infty)}$ of rank 3 in Γ_{B_0},



where we represent a module by its dimension vector in $K_0(B_0)$ and the vertical lines have to be identified in order to obtain a tube. Hence A is a pg-critical algebra. Observe also that $A = [M']B_\infty$ where B_∞ is the convex subcategory of A given by all vertices except 6, and M' is given by the dimension vector $\begin{smallmatrix} & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & \\ & 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}$ in $K_0(B_\infty)$. Further, B_∞ is a tubular one-point coextension of the concealed convex convex subcategory given by the objects 1, 2, 3, 4, and 7, and its unique tube $\mathcal{T}_\infty^{(\infty)}$ with 3 corays is of the form



where again the modules are represented by their dimension vectors in $K_0(B_\infty)$, and the vertical lines have to be identified in order to obtain a (coray) tube. Then

$$\Gamma_A = \mathcal{P}_0 \vee \mathcal{T}_0 \vee \mathcal{Q}_0 \vee \mathcal{P}_\infty \vee \mathcal{T}_\infty \vee \mathcal{Q}_\infty$$

where $\mathcal{P}_0$ is the preprojective component of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_5$, $\mathcal{T}_0$ (respectively, $\mathcal{T}_\infty$) consists of the two stable tubes of rank 2 and the homogeneous tubes, $\mathcal{Q}_\infty$ is a preinjective component of type $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_4$, and the nonregular component $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{Q}_0 \vee \mathcal{P}_\infty$ is of the form



We end the paper with an example showing the possible shapes of regular

components of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of a pg-critical algebra.

EXAMPLE 6.5 Let A be the pg-critical algebra given by the bound quiver



Then A admits an automorphism group G of order 2 generated by the twist g such that $g(1) = 1$, $g(2) = 3$, $g(3) = 2$, $g(4) = 4$, $g(5) = 6$, $g(6) = 5$, $g(7) = 7$, $g(8) = 9$, and $g(9) = 8$. Assume that the characteristic of K is not equal to 2. The the twisted group algebra $B = A[G]$ (in the sense of [13]) is given by the following bound quiver.



Hence, B is a string (special biserial) algebra and the regular components in Γ_B are of the form $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{A}_\infty^\infty$ and $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{A}_\infty/(\tau)$ (cf. [6]). Then by applying [11] or [13] we get that the regular components of Γ_A are of the form $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{A}_\infty^\infty$, $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{D}_\infty$, $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{A}_\infty/(\tau)$ and $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{A}_\infty/(\tau^2)$. In fact, there are infinitely many regular components in Γ_A of each of these types.

References

[1] I. Assem and A. Skowroński, On some classes of simply connected algebras. Proc. London Math. Soc. 56 (1988), 417–450.

[2] M. Auslander, M. I. Platzek and I. Reiten, Coxeter functors without diagrams, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 250 (1979), 1 – 46.

[3] R. Bautista, F. Larrion and L. Salmeron, On simply connected algebras, J. London Math. Soc. 27 (1983), 212-220.

[4] K. Bongartz, Algebras and quadratic forms, J. London Math. Soc. 28 (1983), 461 – 469.

[5] K. Bongartz, Critical simply connected algebras, Manuscr. Math. 46 (1984), 117–136.

[6] M.C.R. Butler and C.M. Ringel, Auslander-Reiten seuqences with few middle terms and applications to string algebras, Comm. Algebra 15 (1997), 145 – 179.

[7] P. Dräxler, Completely separating algebras, J. Algebra 165 (1994), 550–565.

[8] Y. A. Drozd, Tame and wild matrix problems, in: Representation Theory II, Lecture Notes in Mathmatics 832, (Springer, 1980) 242 – 258.

[9] P. Gabriel, The universal cover of a representation-finite algebra, in: Representations of Algebras, Lecture Notes in Math. 903, (Springer, 1981), 68 – 105.

[10] D. Happel and D. Vossieck, Minimal algebras of infinite representation type with preprojective component, Manusc. Math. 42 (1983), 221–243.

[11] S.M. Khoroshkin, Irreducible representations of Lorentz groups, Funk. Analiz Proloz. 15 (1981), 50–60.

[12] R. Nörenberg and A. Skowroński, Tame minimal non-polynomial growth strongly simply connected algenbras, in: Representations of Algebras, CMS Conf. Proc. Vol. 18 (AMS 1996), 519 – 538.

[13] I. Reiten ans Ch. Riedtmann, Skew group algebras in the representation theory of artin algebras, J. Algebra 92 (1985), 224-282.

[14] C. M. Ringel, Tame algebras, in: Representation Theory I, Lecture Notes in Math. 831, (Springer, 1980), 137 – 287.

[15] C. M. Ringel, Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms, Lecture Notes in Math. 1099, (Springer,1984).

[16] D. Simson, Linear representations of partially ordered sets and vector space categories, Algebra Logic Apll. 4 (Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam 1992).

[17] D. Simson, Triangles of modules and non-polynomial growth, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 321, Série I (1995), 33 – 38.

[18] A. Skowroński, Cycles in module catagories, in: Finite Dimensional Algebras and Related Topics, NATO ASI Series, Series C, vol. 424 (Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht 1994), 309 – 345.

[19] A. Skowroński, Group algebras of polynomial growth, Manusc. Math. 59 (1987), 499 – 516.

[20] A. Skowroński, Simply connected algebras and Hochschild cohomologies, in: Representations of Algebras, CMS Conf. Proc., Vol. 14 (1993), 431–447.

[21] A. Skowroński, Tame simply connected algebras of polynomial growth, Compositio Math., in press.

[22] L. Unger, The concealed algebras of minimal wild herditary algebras, Bayreuther Mathematische Schriften 31 (1990), 145–154.

Rainer Nörenberg, Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, POB 100131, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany,
e-mail: noerenbg@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de

Andrzej Skowroński, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Nicholas Copernicus University, Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland,
e-mail: skowron@mat.uni.torun.pl