

Transitive permutation groups: Minimal, invariable and random generation

Gareth Tracey

University of Warwick

Bielefeld, January 12th, 2017

A motivational question

How many subgroups does the symmetric group S_n have?

A motivational question

How many subgroups does the symmetric group S_n have?

For a finite group G , let $Sub(G)$ denote the set of subgroups of G .

Suppose that every subgroup of S_n can be generated by $f(n)$ elements..

A motivational question

How many subgroups does the symmetric group S_n have?

For a finite group G , let $Sub(G)$ denote the set of subgroups of G .

Suppose that every subgroup of S_n can be generated by $f(n)$ elements..

Then

$$|Sub(S_n)| \leq n!^{f(n)}$$

A motivational question

How many subgroups does the symmetric group S_n have?

For a finite group G , let $Sub(G)$ denote the set of subgroups of G .

Suppose that every subgroup of S_n can be generated by $f(n)$ elements..

Then

$$|Sub(S_n)| \leq n!^{f(n)}$$

Similarly, if X is a group-theoretical property, and $Sub_X(S_n)$ denotes the set of X -subgroups of S_n , and every X -subgroup of S_n can be generated by $f_X(n)$ elements, we have

$$|Sub_X(S_n)| \leq n!^{f_X(n)}$$

$d(G)$ for subgroups of S_n

Therefore, the question now is: For a fixed property X , what is $f_X(n)$?

$d(G)$ for subgroups of S_n

Therefore, the question now is: For a fixed property X , what is $f_X(n)$?

For a group G , let $d(G)$ denote the minimal number of elements required to generate G .

$d(G)$ for subgroups of S_n

Therefore, the question now is: For a fixed property X , what is $f_X(n)$?

For a group G , let $d(G)$ denote the minimal number of elements required to generate G .

Take $G \leq S_n$. Then

$d(G)$ for subgroups of S_n

Therefore, the question now is: For a fixed property X , what is $f_X(n)$?

For a group G , let $d(G)$ denote the minimal number of elements required to generate G .

Take $G \leq S_n$. Then

$$d(G) \leq n - 1$$

$d(G)$ for subgroups of S_n

Therefore, the question now is: For a fixed property X , what is $f_X(n)$?

For a group G , let $d(G)$ denote the minimal number of elements required to generate G .

Take $G \leq S_n$. Then

$$d(G) \leq n - \#(\text{Orbits of } G) \leq n - 1$$

The general case: G is an arbitrary subgroup of S_n

..So we have $d(G) \leq n - 1$ for $G \leq S_n$.. Can we do any better than linear in n ?

The general case: G is an arbitrary subgroup of S_n

..So we have $d(G) \leq n - 1$ for $G \leq S_n$.. Can we do any better than linear in n ?

Example:

Take n to be even, and let $G = \langle (1, 2), (3, 4), \dots, (n - 1, n) \rangle$.
Then $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n/2}$, so $d(G) = n/2$.

The general case: G is an arbitrary subgroup of S_n

..So we have $d(G) \leq n - 1$ for $G \leq S_n$.. Can we do any better than linear in n ?

Example:

Take n to be even, and let $G = \langle (1, 2), (3, 4), \dots, (n - 1, n) \rangle$.
Then $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n/2}$, so $d(G) = n/2$.

Theorem (McIver; Neumann, 1989 (CFSG))

Let G be a permutation group of degree $n \geq 2$, with $(G, n) \neq (S_3, 3)$. Then

- (i) $d(G) \leq n/2$.

The general case: G is an arbitrary subgroup of S_n

..So we have $d(G) \leq n - 1$ for $G \leq S_n$.. Can we do any better than linear in n ?

Example:

Take n to be even, and let $G = \langle (1, 2), (3, 4), \dots, (n - 1, n) \rangle$.
Then $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n/2}$, so $d(G) = n/2$.

Theorem (McIver; Neumann, 1989 (CFSG))

Let G be a permutation group of degree n , with $(G, n) \neq (S_3, 3)$.
Then

- (i) $d(G) \leq n/2$, and;
- (ii) If G is transitive and $n > 4$, $(G, n) \neq (D_8 \circ D_8, 8)$, then $d(G) < n/2$.

Transitive permutation groups

Many believed that a bound of the form $d(G) \leq (\log_2 n)^c$ should hold..

Transitive permutation groups

Many believed that a bound of the form $d(G) \leq (\log_2 n)^c$ should hold..

Example (Kovács; Newman, 1989)

There exists an absolute constant b , and a sequence of transitive permutation groups G_m of degree $n = 2^{2^m}$, such that

$$d(G_m) \rightarrow \frac{b2^{2^m}}{\sqrt{2^m}} + 2^m = \frac{bn}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}} + \log_2 n$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Transitive permutation groups

Example (Kovács; Newman, 1989)

There exists an absolute constant b , and a sequence of transitive permutation groups G_m of degree $n = 2^{2^m}$, such that

$$d(G_m) \rightarrow \frac{b2^{2^m}}{\sqrt{2^m}} + 2m = \frac{bn}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}} + \log_2 n$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem (Kovács; Newman, 1989)

Let $G \leq S_n$ be transitive and nilpotent. Then

$$d(G) = O\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}\right)$$

Transitive permutation groups

Theorem (Bryant; Kovács; Robinson, 1995)

Let $G \leq S_n$ be transitive and soluble. Then

$$d(G) = O\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}\right)$$

Transitive permutation groups

Theorem (Bryant; Kovács; Robinson, 1995)

Let $G \leq S_n$ be transitive and soluble. Then

$$d(G) = O\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}\right)$$

Theorem (Lucchini; Menegazzo; Morigi, 2000 (CFSG))

Let $G \leq S_n$ be transitive. Then

$$d(G) = O\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}\right)$$

Transitive permutation groups

Theorem (Bryant; Kovács; Robinson, 1995)

Let $G \leq S_n$ be transitive and soluble. Then

$$d(G) = O\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}\right)$$

Theorem (Lucchini; Menegazzo; Morigi, 2000 (CFSG))

Let $G \leq S_n$ be transitive. Then

$$d(G) = O\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}\right)$$

..But what about the constants involved?..

Transitive permutation groups

Example (Kovács; Newman, 1989)

There exists an absolute constant b , and a sequence of transitive permutation groups G_m of degree $n = 2^{2^m}$, such that

$$d(G_m) \rightarrow \frac{b2^{2^m}}{\sqrt{2^m}} + 2^m = \frac{bn}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}} + \log_2 n \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Transitive permutation groups

Example (Kovács; Newman, 1989)

There exists an absolute constant b , and a sequence of transitive permutation groups G_m of degree $n = 2^{2^m}$, such that

$$d(G_m) \rightarrow \frac{b2^{2^m}}{\sqrt{2^m}} + 2^m = \frac{bn}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}} + \log_2 n \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma (T., 2015)

$$b = \sqrt{2/\pi} = 0.79 \dots$$

Transitive permutation groups

Example (Kovács; Newman, 1989)

There exists an absolute constant b , and a sequence of transitive permutation groups G_m of degree $n = 2^{2m}$, such that

$$d(G_m) \rightarrow \frac{b2^{2m}}{\sqrt{2m}} + 2m = \frac{bn}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}} + \log_2 n \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma (T., 2015)

$$b = \sqrt{2/\pi} = 0.79 \dots$$

Conjecture

Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree $n \geq 2$. Then

$$d(G) \leq \frac{(b + o(1))n}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}.$$

Transitive permutation groups

Lemma (T., 2015)

$$b = \sqrt{2/\pi} = 0.79 \dots$$

Conjecture

Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree $n \geq 2$. Then

$$d(G) \leq \frac{(b + o(1))n}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}.$$

Theorem (T., 2015 (CFSG))

Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree $n \geq 2$. Then

$$d(G) \leq \frac{cn}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}$$

where $c := \sqrt{3}/2 = 0.86 \dots$

Transitive permutation groups

Theorem (T., 2015 (CFSG))

Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree $n \geq 2$. Then

$$d(G) \leq \frac{cn}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}$$

where $c := \sqrt{3}/2 = 0.86\dots$

Remark

$c = \sqrt{3}/2$ is the optimal value when $n = 8$ and $G \cong D_8 \circ D_8$.

So how many transitive subgroups in S_n ?

We can deduce that

$$|\text{Sub}_{\text{transitive}}(S_n)| \leq n!^{\frac{cn}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}}$$

So how many transitive subgroups in S_n ?

We can deduce that

$$|\text{Sub}_{\text{transitive}}(S_n)| \leq n!^{\frac{cn}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}}$$

Theorem (Lucchini; Menegazzo; Morigi, 2000 (CFSG))

There exists an absolute constant \bar{c} such that

$$|\text{Sub}_{\text{transitive}}(S_n)| \leq 2^{\frac{\bar{c}n^2}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}}$$

Back to our original question..

From the McIver-Neumann “Half n ” bound, we can also deduce that

$$|\text{Sub}(S_n)| \leq n!^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

Back to our original question..

From the McIver-Neumann “Half n ” bound, we can also deduce that

$$|\text{Sub}(S_n)| \leq n!^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

Theorem (Pyber, 1993)

Let $\text{Sub}(S_n)$ denote the number of subgroups of S_n . Then

$$|\text{Sub}(S_n)| \leq 24^{(\frac{1}{6} + o(1))n^2}$$

Back to our original question..

From the McIver-Neumann “Half n ” bound, we can also deduce that

$$|\text{Sub}(S_n)| \leq n!^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

Theorem (Pyber, 1993)

Let $\text{Sub}(S_n)$ denote the number of subgroups of S_n . Then

$$|\text{Sub}(S_n)| \leq 24^{\left(\frac{1}{6} + o(1)\right)n^2}$$

S_n contains an elementary abelian subgroup $G := \langle (1, 2), (3, 4), \dots \rangle$ of order $2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$.

Back to our original question..

From the McIver-Neumann “Half n ” bound, we can also deduce that

$$|\text{Sub}(S_n)| \leq n!^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

Theorem (Pyber, 1993)

Let $\text{Sub}(S_n)$ denote the number of subgroups of S_n . Then

$$|\text{Sub}(S_n)| \leq 24^{\left(\frac{1}{6} + o(1)\right)n^2}$$

S_n contains an elementary abelian subgroup $G := \langle (1, 2), (3, 4), \dots \rangle$ of order $2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$.

An easy counting argument shows that

$$|\text{Sub}(G)| = 2^{\left(\frac{1}{16} + o(1)\right)n^2}$$

Back to our original question..

Theorem (Pyber, 1993)

Let $Sub(S_n)$ denote the number of subgroups of S_n . Then

$$2^{(\frac{1}{16}+o(1))n^2} \leq |Sub(S_n)| \leq 24^{(\frac{1}{6}+o(1))n^2}.$$

Back to our original question..

Theorem (Pyber, 1993)

Let $\text{Sub}(S_n)$ denote the number of subgroups of S_n . Then

$$2^{(\frac{1}{16}+o(1))n^2} \leq |\text{Sub}(S_n)| \leq 24^{(\frac{1}{6}+o(1))n^2}.$$

Thus, the order of magnitude is

$$|\text{Sub}(S_n)| = 2^{(\alpha+o(1))n^2}$$

for some constant α .

Back to our original question..

Theorem (Pyber, 1993)

Let $\text{Sub}(S_n)$ denote the number of subgroups of S_n . Then

$$2^{(\frac{1}{16}+o(1))n^2} \leq |\text{Sub}(S_n)| \leq 24^{(\frac{1}{6}+o(1))n^2}.$$

Thus, the order of magnitude is

$$|\text{Sub}(S_n)| = 2^{(\alpha+o(1))n^2}$$

for some constant α .

Conjecture (Pyber, 1993)

$$|\text{Sub}(S_n)| = 2^{(\frac{1}{16}+o(1))n^2}.$$

A reduction theorem

Conjecture (Pyber, 1993)

$$|Sub(S_n)| = 2^{(\frac{1}{16} + o(1))n^2}.$$

For a constant $k \geq 1$, let $Sub_k(S_n)$ denote the set of subgroups of S_n all of whose orbits have length at most k . Jan-Christoph Schläge-Puchta proved the following reduction:

A reduction theorem

Conjecture (Pyber, 1993)

$$|Sub(S_n)| = 2^{(\frac{1}{16} + o(1))n^2}.$$

For a constant $k \geq 1$, let $Sub_k(S_n)$ denote the set of subgroups of S_n all of whose orbits have length at most k . Jan-Christoph Schläge-Puchta proved the following reduction:

Theorem (Schläge-Puchta, 2016)

Assume that

$$\max \left\{ \frac{d(G) \log_2 |G|}{n^2} : G \leq S_n \text{ transitive} \right\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (*)$$

Then $|Sub(S_n)| = |Sub_k(S_n)| 2^{o(n^2)}$, for some absolute constant k .

A reduction theorem

Conjecture (Pyber, 1993)

$$|\text{Sub}(S_n)| = 2^{(\frac{1}{16} + o(1))n^2}.$$

Theorem (Schlage-Puchta, 2016)

Assume that

$$\max \left\{ \frac{d(G) \log_2 |G|}{n^2} : G \leq S_n \text{ transitive} \right\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty (*)$$

Then $|\text{Sub}(S_n)| = |\text{Sub}_k(S_n)| 2^{o(n^2)}$, for some absolute constant k .

We remark that $\text{Sub}_k(S_n)$ consists of the subgroups of the direct products

$$S_{k_1} \times S_{k_2} \times \dots \times S_{k_t}$$

where $\sum_i k_i = n$ and each $k_i \leq k$.

Does the hypothesis hold true?

Does the hypothesis hold true?

So is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{d(G) \log_2 |G|}{n^2} : G \leq S_n \text{ transitive} \right\} = 0?$$

Does the hypothesis hold true?

So is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{d(G) \log_2 |G|}{n^2} : G \leq S_n \text{ transitive} \right\} = 0?$$

Must a “large” transitive group have a “small” number of generators?

Does the hypothesis hold true?

So is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{d(G) \log_2 |G|}{n^2} : G \leq S_n \text{ transitive} \right\} = 0?$$

Must a “large” transitive group have a “small” number of generators?

Example:

$$d(S_n) = 2, d(A_n) = 2;$$

Does the hypothesis hold true?

So is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{d(G) \log_2 |G|}{n^2} : G \leq S_n \text{ transitive} \right\} = 0?$$

Must a “large” transitive group have a “small” number of generators?

Example:

$$d(S_n) = 2, d(A_n) = 2;$$

Example:

If $G \leq S_n$ is primitive, and is not A_n or S_n then $\log_2 |G| = O(n)$ (Praeger; Saxl, 1980; Maróti, 2002), and $d(G) \leq \log_2 n$ (Holt; Roney-Dougal, 2013).

Can a large transitive group have many generators?

So is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{d(G) \log_2 |G|}{n^2} : G \leq S_n \text{ transitive} \right\} = 0?$$

Example:

The maximal imprimitive transitive subgroups of S_n are the wreath products $S_m \wr S_{\frac{n}{m}}$. All of these are 2-generated..

Can a large transitive group have many generators?

So is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{d(G) \log_2 |G|}{n^2} : G \leq S_n \text{ transitive} \right\} = 0?$$

Example:

The maximal imprimitive transitive subgroups of S_n are the wreath products $S_m \wr S_{n/m}$. All of these are 2-generated..

Example (Kovács; Newman, 1989)

There exists an absolute constant b , and a sequence of transitive permutation groups G_m of degree $n = 2^{2^m}$, such that

$$d(G_m) \rightarrow \frac{b2^{2^m}}{\sqrt{2^m}} + 2m = \frac{bn}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}} + \log_2 n$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Can a large transitive group have many generators?

So is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{d(G) \log_2 |G|}{n^2} : G \leq S_n \text{ transitive} \right\} = 0?$$

Example (Kovács; Newman, 1989)

There exists an absolute constant b , and a sequence of transitive permutation groups G_m of degree $n = 2^{2^m}$, such that

$$d(G_m) \rightarrow \frac{b2^{2^m}}{\sqrt{2^m}} + 2^m = \frac{bn}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}} + \log_2 n$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

The groups G_m have order $\sim 2^{n/4}$. Hence

$$d(G_m) \log_2 |G_m| \sim Cn^2 / \sqrt{\log_2 n}$$

Can a large transitive group have many generators?

The groups G_m have order $\sim 2^{n/4}$. Hence

$$d(G_m) \log_2 |G_m| \sim \frac{Cn^2}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}$$

for some absolute constant C .

Theorem (T., 2016 (CFSG))

Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree $n \geq 2$. Then there exists an absolute constant C such that

$$d(G) \leq \frac{Cn^2}{\log_2 |G| \sqrt{\log_2 n}}.$$

Can a large transitive group have many generators?

The groups G_m have order $\sim 2^{n/4}$. Hence

$$d(G_m) \log_2 |G_m| \sim \frac{Cn^2}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}$$

for some absolute constant C .

Theorem (T., 2016 (CFSG))

Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree $n \geq 2$. Then there exists an absolute constant C such that

$$d(G) \leq \frac{Cn^2}{\log_2 |G| \sqrt{\log_2 n}}.$$

Corollary (Schlage-Puchta, 2016 (CFSG))

$|Sub(S_n)| = |Sub_k(S_n)| 2^{o(n^2)}$ for some absolute constant k .

Minimally transitive groups

Minimally transitive groups

Definition

A transitive permutation group G is called *minimally transitive* if every proper subgroup of G is intransitive.

Minimally transitive groups

Definition

A transitive permutation group G is called *minimally transitive* if every proper subgroup of G is intransitive.

Example:

Any finite group G is minimally transitive of degree $|G|$ (via the regular action).

Minimally transitive groups

Definition

A transitive permutation group G is called *minimally transitive* if every proper subgroup of G is intransitive.

Example:

Any finite group G is minimally transitive of degree $|G|$ (via the regular action).

Example:

$G := Alt(5)$ in its action on the cosets of $\langle (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 3)(2, 4) \rangle$;

$d(G)$ for minimally transitive groups

$d(G)$ for minimally transitive groups

Question

What is the best possible upper bound of the form

$$d(G) \leq f(n)$$

on the set of minimally transitive groups G of degree n ?

$d(G)$ for minimally transitive groups

Question

What is the best possible upper bound of the form

$$d(G) \leq f(n) \left(\leq \frac{cn}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}} \right)$$

on the set of minimally transitive groups G of degree n ?

$d(G)$ for minimally transitive groups

Question

What is the best possible upper bound of the form

$$d(G) \leq f(n) \quad (\leq \log_2 n) \quad (\text{Neumann; Vaughan-Lee, 1977})$$

on the set of minimally transitive groups G of degree n ?

Minimally transitive groups: A question of Pyber

Theorem (Pyber, 1991)

Let G be a minimally transitive permutation group of degree n , which is either regular or nilpotent. Then $d(G) \leq \mu(n) + 1$.

Minimally transitive groups: A question of Pyber

Theorem (Pyber, 1991)

Let G be a minimally transitive permutation group of degree n , which is either regular or nilpotent. Then $d(G) \leq \mu(n) + 1$.

Question (Pyber, 1991)

Is it true that $d(G) \leq \mu(n) + 1$ for all minimally transitive permutation groups of degree n ?

Minimally transitive groups: A question of Pyber

Theorem (Pyber, 1991)

Let G be a minimally transitive permutation group of degree n , which is either regular or nilpotent. Then $d(G) \leq \mu(n) + 1$.

Question (Pyber, 1991)

Is it true that $d(G) \leq \mu(n) + 1$ for all minimally transitive permutation groups of degree n ?

Theorem (Lucchini, 1996)

Let G be a soluble minimally transitive permutation group of degree n . Then $d(G) \leq \mu(n) + 1$.

Minimally transitive groups: A question of Pyber

Theorem (Pyber, 1991)

Let G be a minimally transitive permutation group of degree n , which is either regular or nilpotent. Then $d(G) \leq \mu(n) + 1$.

Question (Pyber, 1991)

Is it true that $d(G) \leq \mu(n) + 1$ for all minimally transitive permutation groups of degree n ?

Theorem (Lucchini, 1996)

Let G be a soluble minimally transitive permutation group of degree n . Then $d(G) \leq \mu(n) + 1$.

Theorem (T., 2015 (CFSG))

Let G be a minimally transitive permutation group of degree n . Then $d(G) \leq \mu(n) + 1$.

The proof: first step

The proof: first step

Let G be a counterexample of minimal degree n , and let M be any nontrivial normal subgroup of G .

The proof: first step

Let G be a counterexample of minimal degree n , and let M be any nontrivial normal subgroup of G .

Also, let Ω be the set of orbits of M (so $|\Omega| < n$).

The proof: first step

Let G be a counterexample of minimal degree n , and let M be any nontrivial normal subgroup of G .

Also, let Ω be the set of orbits of M (so $|\Omega| < n$).

Then, since M is normal in G , G acts on Ω , and the following hold:

- 1 G/K acts minimally transitive on Ω , where K is the kernel of the action of G on Ω ;
- 2 $|\Omega|$ divides n .

The proof: first step

It now follows easily, from the minimality of G as a counterexample, and from the minimal transitivity of G , that

$$d(G/M) \leq \mu(|\Omega|) + 1 \leq \mu(n) + 1$$

The proof: first step

It now follows easily, from the minimality of G as a counterexample, and from the minimal transitivity of G , that

$$d(G/M) \leq \mu(|\Omega|) + 1 \leq \mu(n) + 1 < d(G)$$

The proof: first step

It now follows easily, from the minimality of G as a counterexample, and from the minimal transitivity of G , that

$$d(G/M) \leq \mu(|\Omega|) + 1 \leq \mu(n) + 1 < d(G)$$

So we have proved:

Step 1: G needs more generators than any of its proper quotients.

Finite groups which need more generators than any proper quotient

Finite groups which need more generators than any proper quotient

Let L be a finite group, with a unique minimal normal subgroup N .
If N is abelian, then assume further that N has a complement in L .

Finite groups which need more generators than any proper quotient

Let L be a finite group, with a unique minimal normal subgroup N . If N is abelian, then assume further that N has a complement in L .

For $k \geq 1$, define the following subgroup of L^k :

$$L_k := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) : Nx_i = Nx_j \text{ for all } i, j\} = \text{diag}(L^k)N^k$$

Finite groups which need more generators than any proper quotient

Let L be a finite group, with a unique minimal normal subgroup N . If N is abelian, then assume further that N has a complement in L .

For $k \geq 1$, define the following subgroup of L^k :

$$L_k := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) : Nx_i = Nx_j \text{ for all } i, j\} = \text{diag}(L^k)N^k$$

Theorem (Dalla Volta; Lucchini, 1998 (CFSG))

Let G be a finite group which needs more generators than any proper quotient. Then there exists a finite group L with a unique minimal normal subgroup N , which is either nonabelian or complemented in L , and a positive integer $k \geq 2$, such that $G \cong L_k$.

The proof of the theorem: continued

The proof of the theorem: continued

Thus

$$G \cong L_k := \text{diag}(L^k)N^k$$

for some finite group L with a unique minimal normal subgroup N , which is either nonabelian or complemented in L , and some $k \geq 2$.

The proof of the theorem: continued

Thus

$$G \cong L_k := \text{diag}(L^k)N^k$$

for some finite group L with a unique minimal normal subgroup N , which is either nonabelian or complemented in L , and some $k \geq 2$.

Step 2:

- 1 If N is abelian, then $k \leq \mu(n)$;
- 2 If N is nonabelian, then $k \leq f(N)\mu(n) + 1$, where $f(N) := r/2 + 1$ if N is a direct product of copies of $\text{Alt}(r)$, and $f(N) := 4$ otherwise.

Indices of proper subgroups in nonabelian simple groups

Lemma ((CFSG))

Let S be a nonabelian finite simple group. Then there exists a set of primes $\Gamma = \Gamma(S)$ such that

- 1 $|\Gamma| \leq f(S)$, where $f(S) = r/2 + 1$ if S is an alternating group of degree r , and $f(S) \leq 4$ otherwise;
- 2 $\pi(|S : H|)$ ($= \{p : p \text{ is a prime divisor of } |S : H|\}$) intersects Γ non-trivially for every proper subgroup H of S .

The proof of the theorem: continued

Thus

$$G \cong L_k := \text{diag}(L^k)N^k$$

for some finite group L with a unique minimal normal subgroup N , which is either nonabelian or complemented in L , and some $k \geq 2$.

Step 2:

- 1 If N is abelian, then $k \leq \mu(n)$;
- 2 If N is nonabelian, then $k \leq f(N)\mu(n) + 1$, where $f(N) := r/2 + 1$ if N is a direct product of copies of $Alt(r)$, and $f(N) := 4$ otherwise.

The proof of the theorem: continued

Thus

$$G \cong L_k := \text{diag}(L^k)N^k$$

for some finite group L with a unique minimal normal subgroup N , which is either nonabelian or complemented in L , and some $k \geq 2$.

Step 2:

- 1 If N is abelian, then $k \leq \mu(n)$;
- 2 If N is nonabelian, then $k \leq f(N)\mu(n) + 1$, where $f(N) := r/2 + 1$ if N is a direct product of copies of $Alt(r)$, and $f(N) := 4$ otherwise.

Using results of Dalla Volta and Lucchini, we can now find upper bounds for $d(L_k) > \mu(n) + 1$ in terms of k and N .

The proof of the theorem: continued

Thus

$$G \cong L_k := \text{diag}(L^k)N^k$$

for some finite group L with a unique minimal normal subgroup N , which is either nonabelian or complemented in L , and some $k \geq 2$.

Step 2:

- 1 If N is abelian, then $k \leq \mu(n)$;
- 2 If N is nonabelian, then $k \leq f(N)\mu(n) + 1$, where $f(N) := r/2 + 1$ if N is a direct product of copies of $Alt(r)$, and $f(N) := 4$ otherwise.

Using results of Dalla Volta and Lucchini, we can now find upper bounds for $d(L_k) > \mu(n) + 1$ in terms of k and N ..

This leads to lower bounds on k in terms of $\mu(n)$ and N ..

Invariable generation

Invariable generation

Definition

- (i) A subset $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t\}$ of a group G is said to *invariably generate* G if $G = \langle x_1^{g_1}, x_2^{g_2}, \dots, x_t^{g_t} \rangle$ for any t -tuple (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t) of elements of G .
- (ii) The cardinality of the smallest invariable generating set for a finite group G is denoted by $d_I(G)$.

Invariable generation

Definition

- (i) A subset $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t\}$ of a group G is said to *invariably generate* G if $G = \langle x_1^{g_1}, x_2^{g_2}, \dots, x_t^{g_t} \rangle$ for any t -tuple (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t) of elements of G .
- (ii) The cardinality of the smallest invariable generating set for a finite group G is denoted by $d_I(G)$.

Clearly $d(G) \leq d_I(G)$ in general, but the question is:

Invariable generation

Definition

- (i) A subset $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t\}$ of a group G is said to *invariably generate* G if $G = \langle x_1^{g_1}, x_2^{g_2}, \dots, x_t^{g_t} \rangle$ for any t -tuple (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t) of elements of G .
- (ii) The cardinality of the smallest invariable generating set for a finite group G is denoted by $d_I(G)$.

Clearly $d(G) \leq d_I(G)$ in general, but the question is:

Question

Pick a result of the form

“Let G be a _____ finite group. Then $d(G) \leq \dots$ ”

Does this result hold if we replace $d(G)$ by $d_I(G)$?

Invariable generation

Theorem (Kantor; Lubotzky; Shalev, 2011)

Let G be a finite nilpotent group. Any generating set for G is also an invariable generating set. In particular, $d(G) = d_I(G)$.

Invariable generation

Theorem (Kantor; Lubotzky; Shalev, 2011)

Let G be a finite nilpotent group. Any generating set for G is also an invariable generating set. In particular, $d(G) = d_I(G)$.

Theorem (Kantor; Lubotzky; Shalev, 2011)

For every positive integer n , there exists a finite group G such that $d(G) = 2$ and $d_I(G) \leq n$.

Invariable generation

Theorem (Kantor; Lubotzky; Shalev, 2011)

Let G be a finite nilpotent group. Any generating set for G is also an invariable generating set. In particular, $d(G) = d_I(G)$.

Theorem (Kantor; Lubotzky; Shalev, 2011)

For every positive integer n , there exists a finite group G such that $d(G) = 2$ and $d_I(G) \leq n$.

Also...

Theorem (Guralnick; Malle, 2011 and Kantor; Lubotzky; Shalev, 2011 (CFSG))

Let G be a nonabelian finite simple group. Then $d_I(G) = 2$.

$d_l(G)$ for permutation groups

$d_l(G)$ for permutation groups

Theorem (McIver; Neumann, 1989 (CFSG))

Let G be a permutation group of degree n . Then $d(G) \leq n/2$, except when $n = 3$ and $G \cong S_3$.

$d_l(G)$ for permutation groups

Theorem (McIver; Neumann, 1989 (CFSG))

Let G be a permutation group of degree n . Then $d(G) \leq n/2$, except when $n = 3$ and $G \cong S_3$.

Theorem (Detomi; Lucchini, 2014 (CFSG))

Let G be a permutation group of degree n . Then $d_l(G) \leq n/2$, except when $n = 3$ and $G \cong S_3$.

$d_l(G)$ for permutation groups

Theorem (McIver; Neumann, 1989 (CFSG))

Let G be a permutation group of degree n . Then $d(G) \leq n/2$, except when $n = 3$ and $G \cong S_3$.

Theorem (Detomi; Lucchini, 2014 (CFSG))

Let G be a permutation group of degree n . Then $d_l(G) \leq n/2$, except when $n = 3$ and $G \cong S_3$.

Problem

Let G be a permutation group of degree n . Prove that $d_l(G) \leq n - 1$ (or indeed that $d_l(G) = O(n)$) without using CFSG or the O'Nan Scott Theorem.

$d_l(G)$ for transitive permutation groups

$d_l(G)$ for transitive permutation groups

Theorem (Kovács; Newman, 1989; Bryant; Kovács; Robinson, 1995; Lucchini, 2000 (CFSG))

Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree $n \geq 2$. Then

$$d(G) \leq \frac{cn}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}, \text{ for some absolute constant } c.$$

$d_I(G)$ for transitive permutation groups

Theorem (Kovács; Newman, 1989; Bryant; Kovács; Robinson, 1995; Lucchini, 2000 (CFSG))

Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree $n \geq 2$. Then $d(G) \leq \frac{cn}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}$, for some absolute constant c .

Theorem (T., 2016 (CFSG))

Let G be a transitive permutation group of degree $n \geq 2$. Then $d_I(G) \leq \frac{cn}{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}$, where $c := \sqrt{3}/2$.

$d_l(G)$ for minimally transitive permutation groups

Theorem (T., 2015 (CFSG))

*Let G be a minimally transitive permutation group of degree n .
Then $d(G) \leq \mu(n) + 1$.*

$d_l(G)$ for minimally transitive permutation groups

Theorem (T., 2015 (CFSG))

Let G be a minimally transitive permutation group of degree n .
Then $d(G) \leq \mu(n) + 1$.

Question

Let G be a minimally transitive permutation group of degree $n \geq 2$. Is $d_l(G) \leq \mu(n) + 1$?

$d_l(G)$ for completely reducible linear groups

Theorem (Kovács; Robinson, 1989 (CFSG))

Let \mathbb{F} be a field, and let $G \leq GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ be finite and completely reducible. Then $d(G) \leq \frac{3}{2}n$.

$d_l(G)$ for completely reducible linear groups

Theorem (Kovács; Robinson, 1989 (CFSG))

Let \mathbb{F} be a field, and let $G \leq GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ be finite and completely reducible. Then $d(G) \leq \frac{3}{2}n$.

Theorem (Holt; Roney-Dougal, 2013 (CFSG))

Let \mathbb{F} be a field, and let $G \leq GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ be finite and completely reducible. If \mathbb{F} does not contain a primitive fourth root of unity then $d(G) \leq n$. Furthermore, if $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$ then $d(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ (apart from one infinite family of exceptions $B_n \leq GL_2(2)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ where $d(B_n) = \frac{n}{2} + 1$).

$d_I(G)$ for completely reducible linear groups

Theorem (Kovács; Robinson, 1989 (CFSG))

Let \mathbb{F} be a field, and let $G \leq GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ be finite and completely reducible. Then $d(G) \leq \frac{3}{2}n$.

Theorem (T., 2015 (CFSG))

Let \mathbb{F} be a field, and let $G \leq GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ be finite and completely reducible. Then

(i) $d_I(G) \leq \frac{3}{2}n$.

$d_I(G)$ for completely reducible linear groups

Theorem (Holt; Roney-Dougal, 2013 (CFSG))

Let \mathbb{F} be a field, and let $G \leq GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ be finite and completely reducible. If \mathbb{F} does not contain a primitive fourth root of unity then $d(G) \leq n$. Furthermore, if $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$ then $d(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ (apart from one infinite family of exceptions B_n where $d(B_n) = \frac{n}{2} + 1$).

Theorem (T., 2015 (CFSG))

Let \mathbb{F} be a field, and let $G \leq GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ be finite and completely reducible. Then

- (i) $d_I(G) \leq \frac{3}{2}n$;
- (ii) If $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$ then $d_I(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ (apart from one infinite family of exceptions $B_n \leq GL_2(2)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ where $d_I(B_n) = \frac{n}{2} + 1$, and when $G = Sp_4(2) \cong S_6$, where $d_I(G) = 3$).

$d_I(G)$ for completely reducible linear groups

Theorem (Holt; Roney-Dougal, 2013 (CFSG))

Let \mathbb{F} be a field, and let $G \leq GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ be finite and completely reducible. If \mathbb{F} does not contain a primitive fourth root of unity then $d(G) \leq n$. Furthermore, if $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$ then $d(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ (apart from one infinite family of exceptions B_n where $d(B_n) = \frac{n}{2} + 1$).

Theorem (T., 2015 (CFSG))

Let \mathbb{F} be a field, and let $G \leq GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ be finite and completely reducible. Then

- (i) $d_I(G) \leq \frac{3}{2}n$;
- (ii) If $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$ then $d_I(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ (apart from one infinite family of exceptions $B_n \leq GL_2(2)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ where $d_I(B_n) = \frac{n}{2} + 1$, and when $G = Sp_4(2) \cong S_6$, where $d_I(G) = 3$), and;
- (iii) If $|\mathbb{F}| = 3$ then $d_I(G) \leq n$.