

Connecting paracontractivity and convergence of products

W.-J. Beyn and L. Elsner
Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Bielefeld
Postfach 100131
33501 Bielefeld
FRG

October 8, 1996

Abstract

In [2] the LCP-property of a finite set Σ of square complex matrices was introduced and studied. Σ is an LCP-set if all left infinite products formed from matrices in Σ are convergent. It had been shown earlier in [3] that a set Σ paracontracting with respect to a fixed norm is an LCP-set. Here we prove a converse statement: If Σ is an LCP-set with a continuous limit function then there exists a norm such that all matrices in Σ are paracontracting with respect to this norm.

In addition we introduce the stronger property of l-paracontractivity. It is shown that common l-paracontractivity of a set of matrices has a simple characterization. It turns out that in the above mentioned converse statement the norm can be chosen such that all matrices are l-paracontracting.

It is shown that for Σ consisting of two projectors the LCP-property is equivalent to l-paracontractivity, even without requiring continuity.

1 Introduction

In the investigation of chaotic iteration procedures for linear consistent systems matrices which are paracontracting with respect to some vector norm play an important role. It was shown in [3], that if A_1, \dots, A_m are finitely many $k \times k$ complex matrices which are paracontracting with respect to the

same norm, then for any sequence d_i , $1 \leq d_i \leq m$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and any x_0 the sequence

$$x_i = A_{d_i} x_{i-1} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

is convergent. In particular $A^{(d)} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_{d_i} \dots A_{d_1}$ exists for all sequences $\{d_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} = d$. Hence those sets are examples of sets of matrices all infinite products of which converge. Such sets have been studied in [2]. Following [2], we call them LCP-sets.

In this note we investigate the question of necessity. As our main result we show that under the additional assumption that the mapping

$$d = \{d_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \rightarrow A^{(d)} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_{d_i} A_{d_{i-1}} \dots A_{d_1} \quad (2)$$

is continuous (which is equivalent to the set of fixed points of A_i being the same for all $1 \leq i \leq m$), an LCP-set is necessarily paracontracting with respect to some norm. In this sense paracontractivity is equivalent to the LCP-property. We will show in addition that continuity implies even the stronger property of l-paracontractiveness.

In the last section we consider the case $m = 2$. It is shown that for two projectors the equivalence of LCP-property and l-paracontractivity holds even without continuity.

Some parts of this paper are contained in [7].

2 Notations and known results

Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote a vector norm in C^k . A $k \times k$ matrix P is **paracontracting** with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, if for all x

$$Px \neq x \Leftrightarrow \|Px\| < \|x\|. \quad (3)$$

We denote by $\mathcal{N}(\|\cdot\|)$ the set of all $k \times k$ matrices paracontracting w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|$. We call P **l-paracontracting** w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|$, if there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\|Px\| \leq \|x\| - \gamma \|Px - x\| \quad (4)$$

holds for all $x \in C^k$ and denote this set of matrices by $\mathcal{N}_\gamma(\|\cdot\|)$. Obviously

$$\mathcal{N}_\gamma(\|\cdot\|) \subset \mathcal{N}(\|\cdot\|). \quad (5)$$

The example of an orthogonal projection $P, P \neq I, P \neq 0$ which is paracontracting w.r.t. the Euclidean vector norm but never 1-paracontracting shows that in (5) equality does not hold in general.

For a bounded set $\Sigma = \Sigma_1$ of complex $k \times k$ - matrices define $\Sigma_0 = \{I\}$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$\Sigma_n = \{M_1 M_2 \dots M_n : M_i \in \Sigma\},$$

the set of all products of matrices in Σ of length n . Let $\Sigma = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ be finite. For $d = (d_1, d_2, \dots) \in \{1, \dots, m\}^N$, i.e. $1 \leq d_i \leq m$ for $i \in N$ define

$$A^{(d)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{d_n} A_{d_{n-1}} \dots A_{d_1}, \quad (6)$$

if the limit exists. Σ is an LCP-set (left-convergent-product), if for all $d \in \{1, \dots, m\}^N$ the limit $A^{(d)}$ exists. The function $d \rightarrow A^{(d)}$ mapping $\{1, \dots, m\}^N$ into the space of $k \times k$ - matrices is called the **limit function**.

We note in passing that in [2] also the right-convergent-product property (RCP) was introduced. For convenience we restrict our considerations to the left convergence case. Introducing in $\{1, \dots, m\}^N$ the metric

$$\text{dist}(d, d') = m^{-r} \quad r \text{ smallest index such that } d_r \neq d'_r,$$

we define the concept of a **continuous limit function** in the standard way. Σ is **product bounded**, if there exists $\Delta > 0$ such that

$$\|A\| \leq \Delta \quad \text{for all } A \in \Sigma_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Here $\| \cdot \|$ denotes any matrix norm. Obviously this concept is independent of the norm. G. Schechtman has proved that LCP-sets are product bounded (see [1, Theorem I]). We have the following statement.

Lemma 1 *For a set Σ of $k \times k$ - matrices the following are equivalent:*

- (i) Σ is product bounded.
- (ii) \exists vector norm $\| \cdot \|$ such that $\|Ax\| \leq \|x\|$ for all $A \in \Sigma, x \in C^k$.
- (iii) \exists multiplicative matrix norm $\| \cdot \|$ such that $\|A\| \leq 1$ for all $A \in \Sigma$.

Proof As (ii) \implies (iii) (the operator norm is multiplicative) and (iii) \implies (i) are obvious, only (i) \implies (ii) has to be shown.

For some vector norm ν define the norm

$$\|x\| = \sup_{n \geq 0} \{ \sup_{A \in \Sigma_n} \nu(Ax) \} \quad (7)$$

which is finite by (i). Then $\|Ax\| \leq \|x\|$ for all $A \in \Sigma$. \square

Remark: This result could also be derived from [5]. For a given matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$ and bounded Σ let

$$\hat{\rho}_n = \hat{\rho}_n(\Sigma) = \max\{\|A\|, A \in \Sigma_n\}$$

and

$$\hat{\rho} = \hat{\rho}(\Sigma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\rho}_n^{1/n}. \quad (8)$$

$\hat{\rho}$ is called the joint spectral radius of Σ . It has been introduced in [5] for general bounded sets in a normed algebra. In [5] and in [2] the limit is replaced by \limsup , however, it is implicitly shown in [2] (see there (3.12)), that the limit exists.

We give a characterization of $\hat{\rho}(\Sigma)$, which can be found essentially in [5].

Lemma 2 *For any bounded set Σ of $k \times k$ - matrices*

$$\hat{\rho}(\Sigma) = \inf_{\nu} \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \nu(A). \quad (9)$$

Proof For any $\epsilon > 0$ the set

$$\Sigma_\epsilon = \left\{ \frac{1}{\hat{\rho} + \epsilon} A, A \in \Sigma \right\}$$

is product bounded, as for any $B \in (\Sigma_\epsilon)_n$

$$\|B\| \leq \frac{1}{(\hat{\rho} + \epsilon)^n} \hat{\rho}_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence by Lemma 1, (2) there exists a norm ν_ϵ such that

$$\nu_\epsilon\left(\frac{A}{\hat{\rho} + \epsilon} x\right) \leq \nu_\epsilon(x) \quad \text{for all } A \in \Sigma, x \in C^k.$$

and therefore

$$\nu_\epsilon(Ax) \leq (\hat{\rho}(\Sigma) + \epsilon)\nu_\epsilon(x) \quad \text{for all } A \in \Sigma, x \in C^k.$$

\square

In the following the subspaces

$$M_i = \{x : A_i x = x\} = N(I - A_i) \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

play a fundamental role. If Σ has the LCP-property, then in particular $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_i^n$ exist, and hence

$$C^k = N(I - A_i) \oplus R(I - A_i) \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

The same holds if $A_i \in \mathcal{N}(\|\cdot\|)$ for some norm, see [3] and [4]. This is not surprising in view of the following result, which is just a restatement of the Theorem in [3].

Theorem 3 *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{N}(\|\cdot\|)$ for some vector norm $\|\cdot\|$, Σ finite. Then Σ has the LCP-property.*

We finish this section by pointing out that if in addition $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{N}_\gamma(\|\cdot\|)$ for some positive γ then the proof of Theorem 3 is very simple. This is outlined below. It is a consequence of the following characterization of l-paracontractivity of the set Σ .

Let $\Sigma = \{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of matrices, not necessarily finite. Let $d = (d_1, \dots, d_r) \in I^r$, ν a vector norm. Define

$$\nu_d(x) = \nu(x_r) + \sum_{k=1}^r \nu(x_k - x_{k-1}) \quad (10)$$

where the vectors x_i are defined as in (1) and $x = x_0$. Then obviously, for any $i \in I$ and $d' = (i, d_1, \dots, d_r)$

$$\nu_d(A_i x) = \nu_{d'}(x) - \nu(A_i x - x). \quad (11)$$

We define now

$$\nu_*(x) = \sup\{\nu_d(x) : d \text{ finite}\} \quad (12)$$

This is a vector norm provided that $\nu_*(x) < \infty$ for all x .

Theorem 4 *For a set of $k \times k$ - matrices $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ t.f.a.e.*

(i) *There exists a norm ν and a positive γ such that*

$$A_i \in \mathcal{N}_\gamma(\nu) \quad \text{for all } i \in I.$$

(ii) *There exists a vector norm μ such that*

$$\mu_*(x) < \infty \quad \text{for all } x \in C^k$$

(iii) For all vector norms μ

$$\mu_*(x) < \infty \quad \text{for all } x \in C^k$$

,

Proof We show $(i) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i)$.
Assume that (i) holds. Then from

$$\nu(A_i x - x) \leq \gamma^{-1} \{\nu(x) - \nu(A_i x)\} \quad \forall i \in I, \forall x \quad (13)$$

we have, using the notation in (10) and assuming (w.l.o.g.) $\gamma \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_d(x) &\leq \nu(x_r) + \gamma^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^r (\nu(x_{k-1}) - \nu(x_k)) \\ &= \nu(x_r) + \gamma^{-1} \{\nu(x) - \nu(x_r)\} \\ &\leq \gamma^{-1} \nu(x). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

If μ is a fixed vector norm, then due to the compatibility of any two norms we have a constant κ such that $\mu(x) \leq \kappa \nu(x)$ and hence also $\mu_d(x) \leq \kappa \nu_d(x)$. (14) gives that $\mu_*(x)$ exists, hence we have (iii).

Obviously (iii) implies (ii).

Now we assume (ii). From (11) we have

$$\mu_*(A_i x) \leq \mu_*(x) - \mu(A_i x - x) \leq \mu_*(x) - \gamma \mu_*(A_i x - x) \quad (15)$$

where we have chosen γ such that $\mu(\xi) \geq \gamma \mu_*(\xi)$ for all ξ . Hence (i) holds with $\nu = \mu_*$.

□

We indicate now the easy proof of the fact that a finite set $\Sigma = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\gamma(\nu)$ has the LCP-property. It suffices to show that for any x_0 and any $d = (d_1, d_2, \dots) \in \{1, \dots, m\}^N$ the sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ defined by (1) is convergent. By Theorem 4 we have $\nu_*(x_0) < \infty$, hence the sequence $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \nu(x_i - x_{i-1})$ is convergent. This implies that the sequence of the x_i 's is a Cauchy sequence.

3 Main result

It is tempting to conjecture that the converse statement of Theorem 3 also holds, namely that if Σ is an LCP-set, then there exists a vector norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{N}(\|\cdot\|)$. We were unable to decide this question in general.

However, the converse is true if Σ is an LCP-set with a continuous limit function. More precisely, the following holds:

Theorem 5 *Let $\Sigma = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ be a finite set of $k \times k$ - matrices and $M_i = N(I - A_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) Σ has the LCP-property and for $i, j = 1, \dots, m$

$$M_i = M_j.$$

(ii) Σ has the LCP-property with continuous limit function.

(iii) There exists a vector norm $\| \cdot \|$ in C^k and a positive γ such that $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{N}_\gamma(\| \cdot \|)$ and for $i, j = 1, \dots, m$

$$M_i = M_j.$$

(iv) There exists a vector norm $\| \cdot \|$ in C^k such that $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{N}(\| \cdot \|)$ and for $i, j = 1, \dots, m$

$$M_i = M_j.$$

Proof We will show (i) \implies (ii) \implies (iii) \implies (iv) \implies (i) .

To prove (i) \implies (ii), we are going to show that

$$\|A^{(d)} - A^{(d')}\| \leq (2 + \Delta)\|A_{(r)} - A^{(d)}\| \quad (16)$$

where $\| \cdot \|$ is a fixed operator norm, $(d), (d') \in \{1, \dots, m\}^N$, $d_i = d'_i$ for $i \leq r$ and Δ the bound in the definition of product boundedness. Here we use the fact that by [1] Σ is product bounded. Also we use the notation

$$A_{(r)} = A_{d_r} A_{d_{r-1}} \dots A_{d_1}, \quad A'_{(s)} = A_{d'_s} \dots A_{d'_1}.$$

Let $M_0 = N(I - A_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ the common pointwise invariant subspace of the matrices A_i .

If $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ occurs infinitely often in the sequence d_1, d_2, \dots , then by the usual reasoning

$$A_i A^{(d)} = A^{(d)}$$

and hence all columns of $A^{(d)}$ are in M_0 . Hence $A_j A^{(d)} = A^{(d)}$ for all $A_j \in \Sigma$. This implies the relation

$$A'_{(r+s)} - A_{(r)} = (A_{d'_{r+s}} \dots A_{d'_{r+1}} - I)(A_{(r)} - A^{(d)}) \quad s > 0$$

and hence $\|A'_{(r+s)} - A_{(r)}\| \leq (1 + \Delta)\|A_{(r)} - A^{(d)}\|$. Taking $s \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\|A^{(d')} - A_{(r)}\| \leq (1 + \Delta)\|A_{(r)} - A^{(d)}\|,$$

from which (16) follows. This implies continuity: Given $\epsilon > 0$, as $A_{(r)} \rightarrow A^{(d)}$, there exists r_0 such that

$$\|A_{(r_0)} - A^{(d)}\| \leq (2 + \Delta)^{-1}\epsilon.$$

Now, if (d') is such that

$$\text{dist}(d, d') \leq m^{-r_0-1}$$

then $d_i = d'_i$ for $i \leq r_0$ and hence by (16)

$$\|A^{(d')} - A^{(d)}\| \leq (2 + \Delta)\|A_{(r_0)} - A^{(d)}\| \leq \epsilon.$$

We remark that this step is not directly contained in [2], we used however tools and ideas from this paper.

Finally we show $(ii) \implies (iii)$.

Assume that (ii) holds. By Theorem 4.2 in [2] the subspaces M_i are the same for $i = 1, \dots, m$. By a similarity transformation, i.e.

$$\Sigma \rightarrow S^{-1}\Sigma S = \{S^{-1}A_i S : i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

which does not change the properties involved, we can assume that M_i is spanned by the first r unit vectors e_1, \dots, e_r so that for $i = 1, \dots, m$

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & C_i \\ 0 & \tilde{A}_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Obviously $\tilde{\Sigma} = \{\tilde{A}_1, \dots, \tilde{A}_m\}$ has the LCP-property also and its limit function is identically zero. Otherwise if $\tilde{A}^{(d)} \neq 0$, for some $d \in \{1, \dots, m\}^N$ we would have $\tilde{A}_r \tilde{A}^{(d)} = \tilde{A}^{(d)}$ for at least one r and \tilde{A}_r would have 1 as an eigenvalue. This contradicts our assumptions. But then, from Theorem 4.1 in [2], it follows that $\hat{\rho}(\tilde{\Sigma}) < 1$. We select some q in $(\hat{\rho}(\tilde{\Sigma}), 1)$. By Lemma 2 we find a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on C^{k-r} such that

$$\|\tilde{A}_i x\| \leq q\|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in C^{k-r} \quad \text{and all } i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (17)$$

Denoting by $\|\cdot\|_2$ the Euclidean norm in C^r , we introduce for positive ϵ the following vector norm in C^k :

$$\mu_\epsilon(x) = \mu_\epsilon \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon \|x_1\|_2 + \|x_2\|.$$

Then we observe

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\epsilon(A_i x) &= \mu_\epsilon \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + C_i x_2 \\ \tilde{A}_i x_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \epsilon \|x_1 + C_i x_2\|_2 + \|\tilde{A}_i x_2\| \\ &\leq \epsilon \|x_1\|_2 + (\epsilon \|C_i\| + q) \|x_2\| \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $\|C_i\| = \max \left\{ \frac{\|C_i x\|_2}{\|x\|}, x \in C^{k-r} \right\}$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\tilde{q} = \max_i (\epsilon \|C_i\| + q) < 1$ and let $\gamma = (1 - \tilde{q}) / (1 + \tilde{q})$. Then we get after some manipulations using (17) and (18) the inequality

$$\mu_\epsilon(A_i x) \leq \mu_\epsilon(x) - \gamma \mu_\epsilon(A_i x - x).$$

Hence $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{N}_\gamma(\mu_\epsilon)$ and (iii) is proved.

(iii) \implies (iv) is trivial, while (iv) \implies (i) is Theorem 3.

□

4 Final remarks

The conjecture at the beginning of the previous section is unsolved even in the case $m = 2$. A related result has been proved in [6]:

Theorem 6 For $\Sigma = \{A_1, A_2\}$ the following are equivalent.

(i) Σ is an LCP-set.

(ii) (a) there exist a vector norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that

$$\|A_i x\| \leq \|x\|, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad \text{for all } x \in C^k$$

$$\|A_1 A_2 x\| = \|x\| \implies A_1 x = A_2 x = x.$$

(b) For $i = 1, 2$ if λ is an eigenvalue of A_i , $|\lambda| = 1$, then $\lambda = 1$.

Notice that here we have finitely many conditions characterising the LCP-property. Nevertheless (ii) seems not to imply paracontractivity of Σ . In the case of two projectors $P_i, i = 1, 2$, not necessarily orthogonal, the conjecture can be proved.

Theorem 7 *Let $P_i, i = 1, 2$ be projectors, i.e. $P_i^2 = P_i, i = 1, 2$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) $\{P_1, P_2\}$ is an LCP-set.
- (ii) There exists a vector norm $\|\cdot\|$ and a positive γ such that

$$\{P_1, P_2\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\gamma(\|\cdot\|)$$

.

The proof is given after the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 8 *Let A, B be complex $k \times k$ -matrices such that*

- (i) B is convergent, i.e. the powers of B converge, and
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} AB^n = 0$.

Then there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for any norm $\|\cdot\|$

$$\|AB^n\| \leq C\alpha^n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

with $C > 0$ a constant depending on the norm.

Proof By eventually changing the basis accordingly, we have by (i) that B is of the form

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & B_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\alpha = \|B_0\| < 1$ for a suitable norm. Here r is the dimension of $N(I - B)$ and we assume $r > 0$. Otherwise nothing has to be proved. Partitioning $A = (A_1, A_2)$, where A_1 contains the first r columns of A , we get $AB^n = (A_1, A_2B_0^n)$, and we see from (ii) that $A_1 = 0$. But then clearly

$$\|AB^n\| = \|(0, A_2B_0^n)\| \leq C\alpha^n$$

for a suitable C . \square

Proof of Theorem 7. Obviously we need only to show the implication (i) \implies (ii).

Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote a vector norm satisfying $\|P_i x\| \leq \|x\|, i = 1, 2, x \in C^k$ (See Lemma 1, (ii)) and define for $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(x) &= \|(P_1 - I)(P_2 P_1)^n x\| \\ b_n(x) &= \|(P_2 - I)P_1(P_2 P_1)^n x\| \\ c_n(x) &= \|(P_2 - I)(P_1 P_2)^n x\| \\ d_n(x) &= \|(P_1 - I)P_2(P_1 P_2)^n x\| \end{aligned}$$

By (i) the sequence

$$x_0 = x, x_{2i+1} = P_1 x_{2i}, x_{2i+2} = P_2 x_{2i+1}, i = 0, \dots$$

is convergent, which gives that $a_n(x) = \|x_{2n+1} - x_{2n}\| \rightarrow 0$ and $b_n(x) = \|x_{2n+2} - x_{2n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$. The analogous result holds for c_n and d_n . Similarly we prove that the matrices $P_1 P_2$ and $P_2 P_1$ are convergent. Hence by the previous Lemma $r_n(x) \leq C \alpha^n$ for suitable $C > 0, \alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $r = a, b, c, d$. This shows that the following expression

$$\|x\|_* = \|x\| + \max(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n(x) + b_n(x)), \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (c_n(x) + d_n(x)))$$

is finite, and it is easy to see that $\|x\|_* = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$. Hence it is a norm in C^k . (This is essentially the same construction as in (12), but in this special case we can give a closed expression for the norm). By some simple manipulations we get

$$\|P_1 x\|_* \leq \|x\|_* - a_0(x) = \|x\|_* - \|P_1 x - x\|$$

and the same result for P_2 . As there is a $\gamma > 0$ satisfying $\|x\| \geq \gamma \|x\|_*$ we see that $\{P_1, P_2\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\gamma(\|\cdot\|_*)$. \square

References

- [1] Marc A. Berger and Yang Wang *Bounded Semigroups of Matrices* Linear Algebra Appl., 166, pp. 21–27 (1992)
- [2] I. Daubechies and J.C. Lagarias *Sets of Matrices All Infinite Products of Which Converge* Linear Algebra Appl., 161, pp. 227–263(1992)
- [3] L. Elsner, I. Koltracht and M. Neumann *On the Convergence of Asynchronous Paracontractions with Applications to Tomographic Reconstruction from Incomplete Data* Linear Algebra Appl., 130, pp. 65–82,(1990)

- [4] S. Nelson and M. Neumann *Generalization of the Projection Method with Applications to SOR Method for Hermitian Positive Semidefinite Linear Systems* Numer. Math.,51, pp. 123–141, (1987)
- [5] G.-C. Rota and W.G. Strang *A Note on the Joint Spectral Radius* Indagationes, 22, pp. 379–381, (1960)
- [6] L. Elsner and S. Friedland *Norm Conditions for Convergence of infinite products* Preprint 95 - 004, Sonderforschungsbereich 343 "Diskrete Strukturen in der Mathematik", Universität Bielefeld, 1995
- [7] L. Elsner *Infinite products and paracontracting matrices* Preprint 93-058, Sonderforschungsbereich 343 "Diskrete Strukturen in der Mathematik", Universität Bielefeld, 1993.