A TWO PARAMETERS MODEL OF RELATIVISTIC POINT INTERACTIONS IN ONE DIMENSION

J.Shabani¹ UNESCO Regional Office for Education in Africa B.P 3311 Dakar, Senegal E-mail: j.shabani@unesco.org

and

A.Vyabandi Universität Bielefeld. Fakultät fur Physik.BIBOS Universitätsstrasse 25.D-33615 Bielefeld. Germany and Institut de Mathématiques et des Sciences Physiques Université Nationale du Bénin B.P 613 Porto-Novo, Bénin E-mail: vyabandi@hotmail.com

Abstract

We introduce and study a new 2-parameters model of relativistic point interactions in one dimension formally given by

$$D_{\alpha,y} = D + \underline{\alpha}\delta(x-y); x \in \mathbb{R}, \ y > 0$$

where D is the free Dirac Hamiltonian and $\underline{\underline{\alpha}}$ is a 2×2 matrix given by

$$\underline{\underline{\alpha}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \ \alpha, \ \tilde{\alpha} \in {\rm I\!R}$$

 $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ provides a generalisation of two models of relativistic point interactions considered in [lett. Math. Phys<u>13</u>, 345-358 (1987)].

We define $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ using the theory of self adjoint extensions of symmetric closed operators in Hilbert spaces, derive its resolvent equation, analyze its spectral properties and discuss scattering theory for the pair $(D_{\underline{\alpha},y}, D)$. We also study the nonrelativistic limit corresponding to $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ which provides a new 2-parameters model of nonrelativistic point interactions in one dimension.

1 Introduction

Relativistic point interactions in one dimension have been discussed for a long time in various areas of physics, in particular in connection with the Kronig-Penney type models and Saxon-Hutner conjecture(see e.g[1-9] and references therein).

The first rigorous mathematical formulation of these interactions was given in [9] using the theory of self adjoint extensions of symmetric closed operators in Hilbert spaces.

Indeed [9] defines two models $D_{\alpha,y}$ and $T_{\beta,y}$ of relativistic point interactions which provide natural generalisation of nonrelativistic one dimensional δ -interactions of the first and the second type [10].

This paper consider a 2- parameters model $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ of relativistic point interactions in one dimension formally given by:

$$D_{\underline{\alpha},y} = D + \underline{\alpha}\delta(x-y); x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0$$

¹On leave of absence from University of Burundi, Faculty of Science, BP 2700 Bujumbura, Burundi

where D is the free Dirac Hamiltonian and $\underline{\alpha}$ is a 2 × 2 matrix of the form

$$\underline{\underline{\alpha}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0\\ 0 & \tilde{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \ \alpha, \ \tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

To the best of our knowledge, this model is new. It provides a straightforward generalisation of the models $D_{\alpha,y}$ and $T_{\beta,y}$ discussed in [9] which correspond to the special cases $\alpha \neq 0$, $\tilde{\alpha} = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$, $\tilde{\alpha} = -c^2\beta \neq 0$ respectively.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define the quantum Hamiltonian $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ following the strategy used in [11, 12] in the case of relativistic δ -sphere interactions. We also derive the resolvent equation of $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ analyse its spectral properties and carry out a systematic study of the scattering theory for the pair $(D_{\underline{\alpha},y}, D)$.

The nonrelativistic limit corresponding to $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ defines a new 2-parameters model $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$ of nonrelativistic point interactions in one dimension.

Section 3 is devoted to the study of $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$.

In forthcoming paper[13] we generalize the results of sections 2 and 3 to finitely and infinitely many relativistic point interactions as well as random interactions.

2 THE RELATIVISTIC POINT INTERACTION

A. Definition of the Hamiltonian

The quantum Hamiltonian describing a relativistic point interaction is formally given by

$$H = D + \underline{\alpha}\delta(x - y); x \in \mathbb{R}, \ y > 0 \tag{1}$$

where $\underline{\alpha}$ is a 2 × 2 matrix of the form

$$\underline{\underline{\alpha}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0\\ 0 & \tilde{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \ \alpha, \ \tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$$
⁽²⁾

and the one-dimension free Dirac operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2$ is defined by[9]

$$D = -ic\frac{d}{dx}\bigotimes\sigma_{1} + \left(\frac{c^{2}}{2}\right)\bigotimes\sigma_{3}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c^{2}}{2} & -ic\frac{d}{dx} \\ -ic\frac{d}{dx} & -\frac{c^{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathcal{D}(D) = H^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})\bigotimes\mathbb{C}^{2}$$
(3)

where

(i) $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ are Pauli matrices in \mathbb{C}^2 (ii) c is the velocity of light

(iii) $H^{m,n}(\Omega)$ is the Sobolev space of indices(m, n).

We consider the symmetric closed operator D_y defined by

$$\dot{D}_y = D,$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\dot{D}_y) = \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix} \in H^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2 | g(y\pm) = 0 \right\}.$$
(4)

The adjoint \dot{D}_y^* of \dot{D}_y reads

 $\dot{D}_y^* = D,$

$$\mathcal{D}(\dot{D}_{y}^{*}) = \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} g_{1} \\ g_{2} \end{pmatrix} \in H^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^{2} | g \in \mathrm{AC}_{loc}(\mathbb{R} - \{y\}) \right\}.$$
(5)

 $AC_{loc}(\Omega)$ denotes the set of locally absolutely continuous functions on Ω .

A straightforward computation shows that the equation

$$\dot{D}_{y}^{*}g(z) = zg(z), \quad g \in \mathcal{D}(\dot{D}_{y}^{*}), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} - \left\{ (-\infty, -\frac{c^{2}}{2}] \bigcup [\frac{c^{2}}{2}, \infty) \right\}$$
(6)

has the solutions

$$g^{(1)}(z,x) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} e^{ik'(x-y)} \\ e^{ik'(x-y)} \end{pmatrix} & x > y \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & x < y \end{cases}, \quad g^{(2)}(z,x) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & x < y \\ e^{ik'(y-x)} \\ e^{ik'(y-x)} \end{pmatrix} & x < y \end{cases}, \quad \operatorname{Im} k' > 0 \tag{7}$$

where

$$k' = \frac{1}{c}\sqrt{z^2 - \frac{c^4}{4}} \equiv k'(z).$$
(8)

Thus D_y has deficiency indices (2,2) and hence it has a four-parameter family of self-adjoint extensions. Let us now construct the self-adjoint extension corresponding to the free Dirac operator with the potentiel

$$V(x) = \underline{\underline{\alpha}}\delta(x-y), \ \underline{\underline{\alpha}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0\\ 0 & \tilde{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \ \alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(9)

assume that q satisfies the equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} D + \underline{\alpha}\delta(x-y) \end{bmatrix} g = zg, D = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c^2}{2} & -\mathrm{i}c\frac{d}{dx} \\ -\mathrm{i}c\frac{d}{dx} & -\frac{c^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$$
(10)

and the limits $g(y\pm)$ exist. Integrating Eq (10) over $(y-\epsilon, y+\epsilon)$ and taking the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ we get

$$\left(1+i\frac{\tilde{\tau}_{0}\underline{\alpha}}{2c}\right)g(y+) - \left(1-i\frac{\tilde{\tau}_{0}\underline{\alpha}}{2c}\right)g(y-) = 0$$
(11)

where

$$\tilde{\tau}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

As indicated in [11], the boundary conditions in (11) defines a self-adjoint operator of \dot{D}_y iff $\underline{\alpha} = \underline{\alpha}^+$. Consider in $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2$ the operator $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ defined by

$$D_{\underline{\alpha},y} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c^2}{2} & -ic\frac{d}{dx} \\ -ic\frac{d}{dx} & -\frac{c^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathcal{D}(D_{\underline{\alpha},y}) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{D}(\dot{D}_y^*) \left| \left(1 + i\frac{\tilde{\tau}_0\underline{\alpha}}{2c} \right) g(y+) - \left(1 - i\frac{\tilde{\tau}_0\underline{\alpha}}{2c} \right) g(y-) = 0 \right\}.$$
(13)

According to [11], the operator $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ provides the mathematical definition of the formal expression (1).

The case $\underline{\alpha} = 0$ (*i.e* $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha} = 0$) in Eq (13) yields the free Dirac Hamiltonian $D_{0,y} \equiv D$.

The case $\alpha \neq 0$, $\tilde{\alpha} = 0$ in Eq (13) yields the Hamiltonian $D_{\alpha,y}$ which describes the relativistic δ -point interaction of the first type centered at $y \in \mathbb{R}$ defined by[9].

$$D_{\alpha,y} = D,$$

$$\mathcal{D}(D_{\alpha,y}) = \{g \in H^{2,1}(\mathbb{R} - \{y\}) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2 | g_2 \in \mathrm{AC}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}), g_1 \in \mathrm{AC}_{loc}(\mathbb{R} - \{y\}); \\ g_2(y+) - g_2(y-) = -(i\alpha/c)g_1(y)\}, \quad -\infty < \alpha \le \infty.$$
(14)

The case $\alpha = 0$, $\tilde{\alpha} = -c^2 \beta \neq 0$ in Eq (13) yields the Hamiltonian $T_{\beta,y}$ which describes the relativistic δ -point interaction of the second type centered at $y \in \mathbb{R}$ defined by[9].

$$T_{\beta,y} = D,$$

$$\mathcal{D}(T_{\beta,y}) = \{g \in H^{2,1}(\mathbb{R} - \{y\}) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2 | g_2 \in \operatorname{AC}_{loc}(\mathbb{R} - \{y\}), g_1 \in \operatorname{AC}_{loc}(\mathbb{R});$$

$$g_1(y+) - g_1(y-) = i\beta cg_2(y)\}, \quad -\infty < \beta \le \infty.$$
(15)

Following [11], we note that all the results corresponding to $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ could be generalised to the model $D_{\hat{\alpha},y}$ formally given by

$$H = D + \hat{\alpha}\delta(x - y); x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0$$
(16)

where $\hat{\alpha}$ is a non diagonal 2×2 matrix with $\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\alpha}^+$.

B. The resolvent equation

From the Krein resolvent formula [14] and after a straightforward computation(see, e.g., [10]), we obtain

$$(D_{\underline{\alpha},y} - z)^{-1} = (D - z)^{-1} - \frac{1}{(2c + i\alpha\zeta)(2c + i\tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1})} \{\alpha(\overline{\tilde{f}_{k'}(.-y)}, .)f_{k'}(.-y) + \tilde{\alpha}(\overline{\tilde{g}_{k'}(.-y)}, .)g_{k'}(.-y) + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{2c}(\overline{\tilde{f}_{k'}(.-y)}, .)\hat{f}_{k'}(.-y) + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{2c}(\overline{\tilde{g}_{k'}(.-y)}, .)\hat{g}_{k'}(.-y)\}, z \in \rho(D_{\underline{\alpha},y}), \text{ Im}k' > 0$$

$$(17)$$

where $R_{k'} = (D-z)^{-1}$, $z \in \mathbb{C} - \left\{ (-\infty, -\frac{c^2}{2}] \bigcup [\frac{c^2}{2}, \infty) \right\}$ is the free Dirac resolvent with integral kernel[9]

$$R_{k'}(x-x') = \frac{i}{2c} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & \operatorname{sgn}(x-x') \\ \operatorname{sgn}(x-x') & \zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} e^{ik'|x-x'|},$$

$$\zeta = [z+\frac{c^2}{2}]/k'(z), \operatorname{Im}k'(z) \ge 0, z \in \mathbb{C}$$
(18)

and

$$f_{k'}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ \operatorname{sgn}(x) \end{pmatrix} e^{ik'|x|}, \quad \tilde{f}_{k'}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -\zeta \\ \operatorname{sgn}(x) \end{pmatrix} e^{ik'|x|},$$

$$g_{k'}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{sgn}(x) \\ \zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} e^{ik'|x|}, \quad \tilde{g}_{k'}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{sgn}(x) \\ -\zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} e^{ik'|x|},$$

$$\hat{f}_{k'}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \operatorname{sgn}(x)\zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} e^{ik'|x|}, \quad \hat{g}_{k'}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{sgn}(x)\zeta \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{ik'|x|},$$

$$z \in \mathbb{C} - \left\{ (-\infty, -\frac{c^2}{2}] \cup [\frac{c^2}{2}, \infty) \right\}, \quad \operatorname{Im} k' > 0.$$
(19)

Remark 1 : From Eq (17), a straightforward computation shows (i) As $\tilde{\alpha} \to 0$, the Hamiltonian $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ converge in the norm resolvent sense to $D_{\alpha,y}$

$$n. \lim_{\tilde{\alpha} \to 0} (D_{\underline{\alpha}, y} - z)^{-1} = (D_{\alpha, y} - z)^{-1}, \ z \in \rho(D_{\underline{\alpha}, y}) \cap \rho(D_{\alpha, y})$$
(20)

where [9]

$$(D_{\alpha,y}-z)^{-1} = (D-z)^{-1} - \frac{\alpha}{2c(2c+i\alpha\zeta)} (\overline{\tilde{f}_{k'}(.-y)}, .) f_{k'}(.-y),$$

$$z \in \rho(D_{\alpha,y}), \quad \mathrm{Im}k' > 0 \tag{21}$$

(ii) Let $\tilde{\alpha} = -\beta c^2$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then as $\alpha \to 0$, the Hamiltonian $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ converge in the norm resolvent sense to $T_{\beta,y}$

$$n.\lim_{\alpha \to 0} (D_{\underline{\alpha},y} - z)^{-1} = (T_{\beta,y} - z)^{-1}, \ z \in \rho(D_{\underline{\alpha},y}) \cap \rho(T_{\beta,y})$$
(22)

where[9]

$$(T_{\beta,y} - z)^{-1} = (D - z)^{-1} + \frac{\beta}{2(2 - i\beta c\zeta^{-1})} (\overline{\tilde{g}_{k'}(.-y)}, .)g_{k'}(.-y),$$

$$z \in \rho(T_{\beta,y}), \quad \text{Im}k' > 0.$$
(23)

The following theorem gives the additional information on the domain of $D_{\underline{\underline{\alpha}},y}$.

Theorem 2.1 : The domain $\mathcal{D}(D_{\underline{\alpha},y}), -\infty < \alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \le \infty, y \in \mathbb{R}$, consists of all elements $\psi_{\underline{\alpha}}$ of the type

$$\psi_{\underline{\alpha}}(x) = \phi_{k'}(x) - \frac{2ic}{(2c+i\alpha\zeta)(2c+i\tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1})} \{\alpha\phi_{k',1}(y)f_{k'}(x-y) + \tilde{\alpha}\phi_{k',2}(y)g_{k'}(x-y) + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{2c}\phi_{k',1}(y)\hat{f}_{k'}(x-y) + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{2c}\phi_{k',2}(y)\hat{g}_{k'}(x-y)\}, \quad x \neq y.$$
(24)

where $\phi_{k'} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{k',1} \\ \phi_{k',2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{D}(D) = H^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}) \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\operatorname{Im} k' > 0$. The decomposition (24) is unique and with $\psi_{\underline{\alpha}}$ of this form we obtain

$$(D_{\underline{\alpha},y} - z)\psi_{\underline{\alpha}} = (D - z)\phi_{k'}.$$
(25)

Let $\psi_{\underline{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{D}(D_{\underline{\alpha},y})$ and assume that $\psi_{\underline{\alpha}} = 0$ in an open set $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}\psi_{\underline{\alpha}} = 0$ in ϑ , i.e., $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ describes a local interaction.

Proof. The following relation

$$\mathcal{D}(D_{\underline{\alpha},y}) = (D_{\underline{\alpha},y} - z)^{-1} (D - z) \mathcal{D}(D)$$

$$= \{R_{k'} - \frac{1}{(2c + i\alpha\zeta)(2c + i\tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1})} [\alpha(\tilde{f}_{k'}(.-y), .)f_{k'}(.-y) + \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{g}_{k'}(.-y), .)g_{k'}(.-y) + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{2c}(\tilde{f}_{k'}(.-y), .)\hat{f}_{k'}(.-y) + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{2c}(\tilde{g}_{k'}(.-y), .)\hat{g}_{k'}(.-y)]\} (D - z) \mathcal{D}(D), \quad z \in \rho(D_{\underline{\alpha},y}), \quad \mathrm{Im}k' > 0$$
(26)

proves (24). Next let $\psi_{\underline{\alpha}} = 0$, then

$$\phi_{k'}(x) = \frac{2ic}{(2c+i\alpha)(2c+i\tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1})} \{\alpha\phi_{k',1}(y)f_{k'}(x-y) + \tilde{\alpha}\phi_{k',2}(y)g_{k'}(x-y) + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{2c}\phi_{k',1}(y)\hat{f}_{k'}(x-y) + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{2c}\phi_{k',2}(y)\hat{g}_{k'}(x-y)\}$$
(27)

and $\phi_{k'} \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$, implies $\phi_{k'} = 0$ which prove the uniqueness of (24). Relation (25) follows from

$$(D_{\underline{\alpha},y} - z)^{-1} (D - z) \phi_{k'} = \phi_{k'} - \frac{1}{(2c + i\alpha\zeta)(2c + i\tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1})} \{ \alpha(\tilde{f}_{k'}(.-y), (D - z)\phi_{k'}) f_{k'}(.-y) + \\ + \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{g}_{k'}(.-y), (D - z)\phi_{k'}) g_{k'}(.-y) + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{2c} (\tilde{f}_{k'}(.-y), (D - z)\phi_{k'}) \hat{f}_{k'}(.-y) + \\ + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{2c} (\tilde{g}_{k'}(.-y), (D - z)\phi_{k'}) \hat{g}_{k'}(.-y) \} \\ = \psi_{\underline{\alpha}}, z \in \rho(D_{\underline{\alpha},y}), \quad \mathrm{Im}k' > 0.$$

$$(28)$$

Let now prove locality. We assume first $y \notin \vartheta$. then

$$((D-z)(\alpha\phi_{k',1}(y)f_{k'}(.-y) + \tilde{\alpha}\phi_{k',2}(y)g_{k'}(.-y) + i\frac{\alpha\alpha}{2c}\phi_{k',1}(y)\hat{f}_{k'}(.-y) + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{2c}\phi_{k',1}(y)\hat{g}_{k'}(.-y)))(x) = 0$$
(29)

implies that

$$(D_{\underline{\alpha},y}\psi_{\underline{\alpha}})(x) = z\psi_{\underline{\alpha}}(x) + ((D-z)\phi_{k'})(x)$$

$$= \frac{2ic}{(2c+i\alpha\zeta)(2c+i\tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1})}((D-z)(\alpha\phi_{k',1}(y)f_{k'}(.-y) + \tilde{\alpha}\phi_{k',2}(y)g_{k'}(.-y)) + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{2c}\phi_{k',1}(y)\hat{f}_{k'}(.-y) + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{2c}\phi_{k',1}(y)\hat{g}_{k'}(.-y)))(x) = 0, \ x \in \vartheta$$

$$(30)$$

Second, if $y \in \vartheta$ then $\psi_{\underline{\alpha}}(y) = 0$ and $\phi_{k'} \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ implies $\phi_{k'} = 0$ and hence

$$(D_{\underline{\alpha},y}\psi_{\underline{\alpha}})(x) = z\psi_{\underline{\alpha}}(x) = 0, \ x \in \vartheta.$$
(31)

C. Spectral properties

The spectral properties of $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ follow from (17). For $\alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ the essential spectrum is purely absolutely continuous and coincide with $(-\infty, -\frac{c^2}{2}] \bigcup [\frac{c^2}{2}, \infty)$. The point spectrum of $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ in $[-\frac{c^2}{2}, \frac{c^2}{2}]$ contains the poles of the resolvent equation (17). Then $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ has two eigenvalues in $[-\frac{c^2}{2}, \frac{c^2}{2}]$ iff $\alpha, \tilde{\alpha} < 0$

$$\sigma_p(D_{\underline{\alpha},y}) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{c^2(4c^2 - \alpha^2)}{2(4c^2 + \alpha^2)}, \frac{c^2(\tilde{\alpha}^2 - 4c^2)}{2(4c^2 + \tilde{\alpha}^2)} \right\}, & \alpha, \tilde{\alpha} < 0 \\ \emptyset, & \alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \ge 0, \ \alpha = \tilde{\alpha} = \infty \end{cases}$$
(32)

and two resonances iff $\alpha, \tilde{\alpha} > 0$.

Following the strategy of [9], one proves that the operator $(D_{\underline{\alpha},y} - \frac{c^2}{2})$ converges in the norm resolvent sense to the Schrödinger operator $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$

$$n - \lim_{c \to \infty} (D_{\underline{\alpha}, y} - \frac{c^2}{2} - z)^{-1} = (\Delta_{\alpha, \beta, y} - z)^{-1} \bigotimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$$
(33)

where

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2},$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}) = \left\{ g \in H^{2,2}(\mathbb{R} - \{y\}) \middle| \begin{array}{l} g'(y+) - g'(y-) = \frac{\alpha}{2}[g(y+) + g(y-)], \\ g(y+) - g(y-) = \frac{\beta}{2}[g'(y+) + g'(y-)] \end{array} \right\}, \quad -\infty < \alpha, \beta \le \infty.$$
(34)

The Hamiltonian $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$ defines a new exactly solvable model of nonrelativistic point interaction. In section 2.A we will discuss the properties of the above Hamiltonian.

In particular, as $c \to \infty$, the two eigenvalues of $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ (rest energy subtracted) $(E_{\underline{\alpha},y}^{(1)} - \frac{c^2}{2})$, $(E_{\underline{\alpha},y}^{(2)} - \frac{c^2}{2})$ give their respective nonrelativistic limits

$$\lim_{c \to \infty} (E^{(1)}_{\underline{\alpha}, y} - \frac{c^2}{2}) = \lim_{c \to \infty} \left(\frac{c^2 (4c^2 - \alpha^2)}{2(4c^2 + \alpha^2)} - \frac{c^2}{2} \right)$$
$$= -\frac{\alpha^2}{4} \lim_{c \to \infty} \left[1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{4c^2} \right]^{-1}$$
$$= -\frac{\alpha^2}{4}, \tag{35}$$

$$\lim_{c \to \infty} (E^{(2)}_{\underline{\alpha}, y} - \frac{c^2}{2}) = \lim_{c \to \infty} \left(\frac{c^2 (\tilde{\alpha}^2 - 4c^2)}{2(4c^2 + \tilde{\alpha}^2)} - \frac{c^2}{2} \right) \\ = -\frac{4}{\beta^2} \lim_{c \to \infty} \left[1 + \frac{4}{\beta^2 c^2} \right]^{-1}, \quad \beta = -\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{c^2} \\ = -\frac{4}{\beta^2}.$$
(36)

In section 2.C we will show that $-\frac{\alpha^2}{4}$ and $-\frac{4}{\beta^2}$ are the two eigenvalues of $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$ [see Eq(69)].

D. Scattering theory of the pair $(D_{\underline{\alpha},y}, D)$

From (24), the scattering wave functions of $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ are defined by

$$\begin{split} \psi_{\underline{\alpha},y}(k,\sigma,x) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{e}^{ik'\sigma x} \\ \sigma\zeta^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{ik'\sigma x} \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2ic\mathrm{e}^{ik'\sigma y}}{(2c+i\alpha\zeta)(2c+i\tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1})} \left\{ \alpha \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \binom{\zeta}{1}\mathrm{e}^{ik'(x-y)}, & x > y \\ \binom{\zeta}{-1}\mathrm{e}^{ik'(y-x)}, & x < y \end{array} \right\} + \\ &+ \tilde{\alpha}\sigma\zeta^{-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \binom{1}{\zeta^{-1}}\mathrm{e}^{ik'(x-y)}, & x > y \\ \binom{-1}{\zeta^{-1}}\mathrm{e}^{ik'(y-x)}, & x < y \end{array} \right\} + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{2c} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \binom{1}{\zeta^{-1}}\mathrm{e}^{ik'(x-y)}, & x > y \\ \binom{-1}{\zeta^{-1}}\mathrm{e}^{ik'(y-x)}, & x < y \end{array} \right\} + \\ &+ i\frac{\sigma\zeta^{-1}\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{2c} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \binom{\zeta}{1}\mathrm{e}^{ik'(x-y)}, & x > y \\ \binom{-\zeta}{1}\mathrm{e}^{ik'(y-x)}, & x < y \end{array} \right\} \right\}, \\ &x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \ k' \ge 0, \ \sigma = \pm 1, -\infty < \alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \le \infty. \end{split}$$
(37)

A straightforward computations shows that $\psi_{\underline{\alpha},y}(k,\sigma)$ are eigenfunctions associated with $D_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ corresponding to left $(\sigma = +1)$ and right $(\sigma = -\overline{1})$ incidence[10].

The asymptotic forms of $\psi_{\underline{\alpha},y}$ are defined by [10, 15]

$$\psi_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z,+1,x) = \begin{cases}
\mathcal{T}_{\underline{\alpha},y}^{l}(z)\psi(z,+1,x) & \text{as} \quad x \to \infty \\
\psi(z,+1,x) + \mathcal{R}_{\underline{\alpha},y}^{l}(z)\psi(z,-1,x) & \text{as} \quad x \to -\infty, \\
\psi_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z,-1,x) &= \begin{cases}
\psi(z,-1,x) + \mathcal{R}_{\underline{\alpha},y}^{r}(z)\psi(z,+1,x) & \text{as} \quad x \to \infty, \\
\mathcal{T}_{\underline{\alpha},y}^{r}(z)\psi(z,-1,x) & \text{as} \quad x \to -\infty
\end{cases}$$
(38)

where $\psi(z, \sigma, x)$ is the solution of $D\psi = z\psi$ given by

$$\psi(z,\sigma,x) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\sigma k'x} \\ \sigma \zeta^{-1} e^{i\sigma k'x} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma = \pm 1$$
(39)

with k' and ζ are defined by (8) and (18) respectively. Then the reflection and transmission coefficients from the left ($\sigma = +1$) and the right ($\sigma = -1$) are defined by

$$\mathcal{R}^{l}_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z) = \lim_{x \to -\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{ik'x}, -\zeta e^{ik'x} \right) \left[\psi_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z, +1, x) - \begin{pmatrix} e^{ik'x} \\ \zeta^{-1} e^{ik'x} \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{r}_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z) = \lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-ik'x}, \zeta e^{-ik'x} \right) \left[\psi_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z, -1, x) - \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ik'x} \\ -\zeta^{-1} e^{-ik'x} \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{l}_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z) = \lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-ik'x}, \zeta e^{-ik'x} \right) \psi_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z, +1, x)$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{r}_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z) = \lim_{x \to -\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{ik'x}, -\zeta e^{ik'x} \right) \psi_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z, -1, x), \quad k' \ge 0, \ -\infty < \alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \le \infty, \ y \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(40)

After a straightforward computation, one obtains

Theorem 2.2 : Let $\alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the unitary on-shell scattering matrix $S_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 associated with the paire $(D_{\underline{\alpha},y}, D)$ reads

$$\mathcal{S}_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{T}_{\underline{\alpha},y}^{l}(z) & \mathcal{R}_{\underline{\alpha},y}^{r}(z) \\ \mathcal{R}_{\underline{\alpha},y}^{l}(z) & \mathcal{T}_{\underline{\alpha},y}^{r}(z) \end{bmatrix}, \quad k' \ge 0, \ -\infty < \alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \le \infty, \ y \in \mathbb{R}$$
(41)

with

$$\mathcal{T}^{l}_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z) = 1 - \frac{2ic}{\left(2c + i\alpha\zeta\right)\left(2c + i\tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1}\right)} \left(\alpha\zeta + \tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1} + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{c}\right) = \mathcal{T}^{r}_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z), \tag{42}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{l}_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z) = -\frac{2ic}{\left(2c+i\alpha\zeta\right)\left(2c+i\tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1}\right)} \left(\alpha\zeta-\tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1}\right) e^{2ik'y}$$
(43)

$$\mathcal{R}^{r}_{\underline{\underline{\alpha}},y}(z) = -\frac{2ic}{\left(2c+i\alpha\zeta\right)\left(2c+i\tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1}\right)}\left(\alpha\zeta-\tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1}\right)e^{-2ik'y} \tag{44}$$

In particular, as $c \to \infty$, the unitary on-shell scattering matrix $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{\alpha},y}(z)$ gives its nonrelativistic limit $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k)$ [see Eq(74)].

$$\lim_{c \to \infty} \mathcal{T}^{l}_{\underline{\alpha}, y}(z) = \lim_{c \to \infty} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2ic}{\left(2c + i\alpha\zeta\right)\left(2c + i\tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1}\right)} \left(\alpha\zeta + \tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1} + i\frac{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}}{c}\right) \right\}$$
(45)

Let $k^2 = z - \frac{c^2}{2}$, k > 0 and $\tilde{\alpha} = -\beta c^2$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then after a straightforward computation (45) reads

$$\lim_{c \to \infty} T^{l}_{\underline{\alpha}, y}(z) = \lim_{c \to \infty} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2i\alpha \left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right) \left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right)}{\left[2 \left(\frac{k^{4}}{c^{2}} + k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + i\alpha \left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right)\right] \left[2 \left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right) - i\beta \left(\frac{k^{4}}{c^{2}} + k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]} + \frac{2i\beta \left(\frac{k^{4}}{c^{2}} + k^{2}\right) \left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right)}{\left[2 \left(\frac{k^{4}}{c^{2}} + k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + i\alpha \left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right)\right] \left[2 \left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right) - i\beta \left(\frac{k^{4}}{c^{2}} + k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right)} - \frac{-2\alpha\beta \left(\frac{k^{4}}{c^{2}} + k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right)}{\left[2 \left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right) - i\beta \left(\frac{k^{4}}{c^{2}} + k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]} \right\}} - \frac{-2\alpha\beta \left(\frac{k^{4}}{c^{2}} + k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right)}{\left[2 \left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right) - i\beta \left(\frac{k^{4}}{c^{2}} + k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]} \right\}}$$

$$= i\frac{\left(\frac{\alpha\beta}{d^{4}} - 1\right)}{4k^{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{d^{4}} - \frac{i}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2k^{2}} - i\frac{\beta}{d^{4}}}\right)}$$

$$= \lim_{c \to \infty} T^{r}_{\underline{\alpha}, y}(z), \qquad (46)$$

$$\lim_{c \to \infty} \mathcal{R}^{l}_{\underline{\alpha}, y}(z) = \lim_{c \to \infty} \left\{ -\frac{2ic}{\left(2c + i\alpha\zeta\right)\left(2c + i\tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1}\right)} \left(\alpha\zeta - \tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1}\right) e^{2ik'y} \right\}$$

$$= \lim_{c \to \infty} \left\{ -\frac{2i\alpha\left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right)\left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right) e^{2i\left(\frac{k^{4}}{c^{2}} + k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}y}}{\left[2\left(\frac{k^{4}}{c^{2}} + k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + i\alpha\left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right)\right] \left[2\left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right) - i\beta\left(\frac{k^{4}}{c^{2}} + k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]} - \frac{2i\beta\left(\frac{k^{4}}{c^{2}} + k^{2}\right)\left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right)}{\left[2\left(\frac{k^{4}}{c^{2}} + k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + i\alpha\left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right)\right] \left[2\left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right) - i\beta\left(\frac{k^{4}}{c^{2}} + k^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\left(\frac{k^{2}}{c^{2}} + 1\right)}\right\}$$

$$= -\frac{\left(\frac{\alpha}{k} + \beta k\right)}{8k^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{4k} - \frac{i}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2k^{2}} - i\frac{\beta}{4k}\right)}e^{2iky}$$

$$(47)$$

and

$$\lim_{c \to \infty} \mathcal{R}^{r}_{\underline{\alpha}, y}(z) = \lim_{c \to \infty} \left\{ -\frac{2ic}{\left(2c + i\alpha\zeta\right)\left(2c + i\tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1}\right)} \left(\alpha\zeta - \tilde{\alpha}\zeta^{-1}\right) e^{-2ik'y} \right\}$$
$$= -\frac{\left(\frac{\alpha}{k} + \beta k\right)}{8k^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{4k} - \frac{i}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2k^{2}} - i\frac{\beta}{4k}\right)} e^{-2iky}.$$
(48)

3 THE NONRELATIVISTIC POINT INTERACTION

A. Basic properties

Consider in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ the closed and nonnegative operator \tilde{H}_y defined by

$$\tilde{H}_{y} = -\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}}
\mathcal{D}(\tilde{H}_{y}) = \{g \in H^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}) | g(y) = g'(y) = 0\}.$$
(49)

The adjoint \tilde{H}_y^* of \tilde{H}_y is defined by

$$\tilde{H}_{y}^{*} = -\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}}
 \mathcal{D}(\tilde{H}_{y}^{*}) = H^{2,2}(\mathbb{R} - \{y\}), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(50)

Hence the equation

$$\tilde{H}_y^* f(k) = k^2 f(k), \quad f(k) \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{H}_y^*), \quad k^2 \in \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathrm{Im}k > 0, \tag{51}$$

has two linearly independent solutions

$$f_1(k,x) = \begin{cases} e^{ik(x-y)}, & x > y, \\ 0, & x < y, \end{cases}, \quad f_2(k,x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x > y, \\ e^{ik(y-x)}, & x < y, \end{cases} \quad \text{Im}k > 0.$$
(52)

Therefore \tilde{H}_y has deficiency indices (2,2) and hence it has a four-parameter family of self-adjoint extensions. We consider in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ the operator $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$ defined by [Eq (34)]

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2},$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}) = \left\{ g \in H^{2,2}(\mathbb{R} - \{y\}) \middle| \begin{array}{l} g'(y+) - g'(y-) = \frac{\alpha}{2}[g(y+) + g(y-)], \\ g(y+) - g(y-) = \frac{\beta}{2}[g'(y+) + g'(y-)] \end{array} \right\}, \quad -\infty < \alpha, \beta \le \infty.$$
(53)

Let $\alpha\beta - 4 = 0$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then the integration by parts shows $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$ is symmetric and since \tilde{H}_y has deficiency indices (2,2) and the 2 boundary conditions in (53) are symmetric and linearly independent, it follows that $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$ is self -adjoint([16], Theorem XII.4.30). We will accept those α, β which satisfy the condition $\alpha\beta - 4 = 0$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

The case $\alpha = 0, \beta = 0$ in equation (53) yields the kinetic energy Hamiltonian Δ_0 in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$\Delta_0 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}, \ \mathcal{D}(\Delta_0) = H^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}).$$
(54)

The case $\alpha \neq 0, \beta = 0$ in equation (53) gives the δ -point interaction of the first type, whereas $\alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0$ leads to a δ -point interaction of the second type[10].

B. Resolvent equation

The resolvent of $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$ is given by the following theorem

Theorem 3.1 : The resolvent of $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$ is given by

$$(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y} - k^2)^{-1} = G_k + \frac{1}{2\left(\frac{\alpha}{4k} - i\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2k^2} - i\frac{\beta}{4k}\right)} \left\{ i\frac{\alpha}{2k^2} (\overline{G_k(.-y)}, .)G_k(.-y) + \frac{i\frac{\beta}{2}}{(\tilde{G}_k(.-y), .)\tilde{G}_k(.-y) + \frac{\alpha\beta}{4k}} (\overline{G_k(.-y)}, .)G_k(.-y) - \frac{\alpha\beta}{4k} (\overline{\tilde{G}_k(.-y)}, .)\tilde{\tilde{G}_k}(.-y) \right\}, \\ k^2 \in \rho(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}), \quad \text{Im}k > 0, \quad -\infty < \alpha, \beta \le \infty, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$(55)$$

with integral kernel

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y} - k^{2})^{-1}(x,x') &= i\frac{1}{2k} e^{ik|x-x'|} - \frac{1}{8k^{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{4k} - i\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{k^{2}} - i\frac{\beta}{4k}\right)} \times \\ &\times \left\{ i\frac{\alpha}{2k^{2}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e^{ik(x-y)}, & x > y \\ e^{ik(y-x)}, & x < y \end{array} \right\} \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e^{ik(x'-y)}, & x' > y \\ e^{ik(y-x')}, & x' < y \end{array} \right\} + i\frac{\beta}{2} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e^{ik(x-y)}, & x > y \\ -e^{ik(y-x)}, & x < y \end{array} \right\} \times \\ &\times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e^{ik(x'-y)}, & x' > y \\ -e^{ik(y-x')}, & x' < y \end{array} \right\} + \frac{\alpha\beta}{4k} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e^{ik(x-y)}, & x > y \\ e^{ik(y-x)}, & x < y \end{array} \right\} \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e^{ik(x'-y)}, & x' > y \\ e^{ik(y-x')}, & x' < y \end{array} \right\} - \\ &- \frac{\alpha\beta}{4k} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e^{ik(x-y)}, & x > y \\ e^{ik(y-x)}, & x < y \end{array} \right\} \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e^{ik(x'-y)}, & x' > y \\ e^{ik(y-x')}, & x' < y \end{array} \right\} \right\}, \ k^{2} \in \rho(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}), \operatorname{Im} k > 0, \ x, x' \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tag{56}$$

where

$$G_k(x-y) = \frac{i}{2k} \begin{cases} e^{ik(x-y)}, & x > y \\ e^{ik(y-x)}, & x < y, & \text{Im}k > 0 \end{cases}$$
(57)

$$\tilde{\tilde{G}}_{k}(x-y) = \frac{i}{2k} \begin{cases} e^{ik(x-y)}, & x > y \\ -e^{ik(y-x)}, & x < y, & \text{Im}k > 0. \end{cases}$$
(58)

 Proof

We use the resolvent formula

$$(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y} - k^2)^{-1} = G_k - \frac{1}{4k^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \lambda_{ij}(k) (f_j(-\bar{k}), .) f_i(k)$$
(59)

where f_j , j = 1, 2 are defined by (52). Next consider $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and define the function $g \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y})$ by

$$g(k,x) = ((\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y} - k^2)^{-1}h)(x).$$
(60)

After imposing the boundary conditions in (53), one obtain

$$\lambda(k) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{11} & \lambda_{12} \\ \lambda_{21} & \lambda_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
(61)

with

$$\lambda_{11} = \frac{1}{2\left[\frac{\alpha}{4k} - i\frac{1}{2}\right] \left[\frac{\alpha}{4k} - i\frac{1}{2}\right]} \left(i\frac{\alpha}{2k^2} + i\frac{\beta}{2}\right)$$

$$\lambda_{12} = \frac{1}{2\left[\frac{\alpha}{4k} - i\frac{1}{2}\right] \left[\frac{\alpha}{4k} - i\frac{1}{2}\right]} \left(i\frac{\alpha}{2k^2} + \frac{\alpha\beta}{2k} - i\frac{\beta}{2}\right)$$

$$\lambda_{21} = \frac{1}{2\left[\frac{\alpha}{4k} - i\frac{1}{2}\right]\left[\frac{\alpha}{4k} - i\frac{1}{2}\right]} \left(i\frac{\alpha}{2k^2} + \frac{\alpha\beta}{2k} - i\frac{\beta}{2}\right)$$

$$\lambda_{22} = \frac{1}{2\left[\frac{\alpha}{4k} - i\frac{1}{2}\right]\left[\frac{\alpha}{4k} - i\frac{1}{2}\right]} \left(i\frac{\alpha}{2k^2} + i\frac{\beta}{2}\right).$$
(62)

Inserting (62) in (59) one obtain (55).

Remark 2 : From (55) one obtain the following results

(i) As $\beta \to 0$, the Hamiltonian $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$ converge in the norm resolvent sense to $-\Delta_{\alpha,y}$

$$n. \lim_{\beta \to 0} (\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y} - z)^{-1} = (-\Delta_{\alpha,y} - z) \ z \in \rho(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}) \cap \rho(-\Delta_{\alpha,y})$$
(63)

where [10]

$$(-\Delta_{\alpha,y} - k^2)^{-1} = G_k - \frac{2\alpha k}{i\alpha + 2k} (\overline{G_k(.-y)}, .) G_k(.-y),$$

$$k^2 \in \rho(-\Delta_{\alpha,y}), \quad \text{Im}k > 0, \quad -\infty < \alpha \le \infty, \ y \in \mathbb{R}$$
(64)

with G_k defined by (57).

(*ii*) As $\alpha \to 0$, the Hamiltonian $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$ converge in the norm resolvent sense to $-\Delta_{\beta,y}$

$$n. \lim_{\alpha \to 0} (\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y} - z)^{-1} = (-\Delta_{\beta,y} - z) \ z \in \rho(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}) \cap \rho(-\Delta_{\beta,y})$$
(65)

where [10]

$$(-\Delta_{\beta,y}) - k^2)^{-1} = G_k - \frac{2\beta k^2}{2 - i\beta k} (\overline{\tilde{G}_k}(.-y), .) \tilde{\tilde{G}}_k(.-y),$$

$$k^2 \in \rho(-\Delta_{\alpha,y}), \quad \text{Im}k > 0, \quad -\infty < \beta \le \infty, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}$$
(66)

with \tilde{G}_k defined by (58). The additional information on the domain of $\Delta \alpha, \beta, y$ is given by the following theorem

Theorem 3.2 : The domain $\mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}), -\infty < \alpha, \beta \le \infty, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$, consists of all elements $\psi_{\alpha,\beta}$ of the type

$$\psi_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \varphi_k(x) + \frac{1}{2\left(\frac{\alpha}{4k} - i\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2k^2} - i\frac{\beta}{4k}\right)} \left\{ i\frac{\alpha}{2k^2}\varphi_k(y)G_k(x-y) - \frac{\beta}{2k}\varphi'(y)\tilde{\tilde{G}}_k(x-y) + \frac{\alpha\beta}{4k}\varphi_k(y)G_k(x-y) - i\frac{\alpha\beta}{4k^2}\varphi'_k(y)\tilde{\tilde{G}}_k(x-y) \right\}, \quad x \neq y.$$
(67)

where $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta_0) = H^{2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\operatorname{Im} k > 0$. The decomposition (67) is unique and with $\psi_{\alpha,\beta}$ of this form we obtain

$$(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y} - z)\psi_{\alpha,\beta} = (\Delta_0 - z)\varphi_k.$$
(68)

Let $\psi_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y})$ and assume that $\psi_{\alpha,\beta} = 0$ in an open set $\tilde{\vartheta} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}\psi_{\alpha,\beta} = 0$ in $\tilde{\vartheta}$, i.e., $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$ describes a local interaction. Proof.

Similar to the proof of theorem 2.1.

C. Spectral properties

For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, the essential spectrum of $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$ is purely absolutely continuous and coincide with $[0,\infty)$ and the singular spectrum is empty. The point spectrum of $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$ are given as the pole of the resolvent equation (55), one obtain

$$\sigma_p = \begin{cases} \left\{ -\frac{\alpha^2}{4}, -\frac{4}{\beta^2} \right\} & \alpha, \beta < 0\\ 0 & \alpha, \beta \ge 0 \end{cases}$$
(69)

For $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$ has two resonances at $k_1 = -\frac{2i}{\beta}$ and $k_2 = -\frac{i\alpha}{2}$ with resonance functions respectively given by

$$\psi_{k_1}(x) = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}(x-y)}, & x > y, \\ e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}(y-x)}, & x < y, \end{cases} \quad \alpha > 0$$
(70)

$$\psi_{k_2}(x) = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{2}{\beta}(x-y)}, & x > y, \\ -e^{\frac{2}{\beta}(y-x)}, & x < y, \end{cases} \beta > 0.$$
(71)

D. Scattering theory of the paire $(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}, \Delta_0)$

From (67) one can define the generalized function associated with $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}$ by

$$\psi_{\alpha,\beta,y} = e^{ik\sigma x} + \frac{1}{4k\left(\frac{\alpha}{4k} - i\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2k^2} - i\frac{\beta}{4k}\right)} \left\{ -\frac{\alpha}{2k^2} e^{ik\sigma y} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e^{ik(x-y)}, & x > y \\ e^{ik(y-x)}, & x < y \end{array} \right\} + \\ + \frac{\sigma\beta}{2} e^{ik\sigma y} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e^{ik(x-y)}, & x > y \\ -e^{ik(y-x)}, & x < y \end{array} \right\} + i\frac{\alpha\beta}{4k} e^{ik\sigma y} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e^{ik(x-y)}, & x > y \\ e^{ik(y-x)}, & x < y \end{array} \right\} + \\ + i\frac{\sigma\alpha\beta}{4k} e^{ik\sigma y} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e^{ik(x-y)}, & x > y \\ -e^{ik(y-x)}, & x < y \end{array} \right\} \right\}, \\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \ k > 0, \sigma = \pm 1, -\infty < \alpha, \beta \le \infty.$$

$$(72)$$

The corresponding reflection and transmission cofficients from the left ($\sigma = +1$) and the right ($\sigma = -1$) are defined by[10]

$$\mathcal{R}^{l}_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k) = \lim_{x \to -\infty} e^{ikx} \left[\psi_{\alpha,\beta,y}(z,+1,x) - e^{ikx} \right] \\
\mathcal{R}^{r}_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k) = \lim_{x \to +\infty} e^{-ikx} \left[\psi_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k,-1,x) - e^{-ikx} \right] \\
\mathcal{T}^{l}_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k) = \lim_{x \to +\infty} e^{-ikx} \psi_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k,+1,x) \\
\mathcal{T}^{r}_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k) = \lim_{x \to -\infty} e^{ikx} \psi_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k,-1,x), \quad k \ge 0, \quad -\infty < \alpha, \beta \le \infty, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(73)

After a straightforward computation, one obtain

Theorem 3.3 : Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the unitary on-shell scattering matrix $S_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 associated with the paire $(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta,y}, \Delta_0)$ reads

$$\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{T}^{l}_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k) & \mathcal{R}^{r}_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k) \\ \mathcal{R}^{l}_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k) & \mathcal{T}^{r}_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k) \end{bmatrix}, \quad k \ge 0, \ -\infty < \alpha, \beta \le \infty, \ y \in \mathbb{R}$$
(74)

with

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta,y}^{l}(k) = i \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha\beta}{4} - 1\right)}{4k^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{4k} - \frac{i}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2k^{2}} - i\frac{\beta}{4k}\right)} = \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta,y}^{r}(k),$$
(75)

$$\mathcal{R}^{l}_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k) = -\frac{\left(\frac{\alpha}{k} + \beta k\right)}{8k^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{4k} - \frac{i}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2k^{2}} - i\frac{\beta}{4k}\right)}e^{2iky}$$
(76)

$$\mathcal{R}^{r}_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k) = -\frac{\left(\frac{\alpha}{k} + \beta k\right)}{8k^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{4k} - \frac{i}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2k^{2}} - i\frac{\beta}{4k}\right)} e^{-2iky}.$$
(77)

We note that the limit $\beta \to 0$ (respectively $\alpha \to 0$) in Eq (74) give the unitary on-shell scattering matrix $S_{\alpha,y}(k)$ ($S_{\beta,y}(k)$) associated with the paire $(-\Delta_{\alpha,y}, -\Delta)$ and $(-\Delta_{\beta,y}, -\Delta)$ repectively[10]. One obtain

$$\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k) \xrightarrow[\beta \to 0]{} (2k+i\alpha)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2k & -i\alpha e^{-2iky} \\ -i\alpha e^{2iky} & 2k \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,y}(k), k \ge 0, \ -\infty < \alpha \le \infty, \ y \in \mathbb{R}, (78)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta,y}(k) \xrightarrow[\alpha \to 0]{} (2 - i\beta k)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -i\beta k e^{-2iky} \\ -i\beta k e^{2iky} & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{S}_{\beta,y}(k), k \ge 0, \ -\infty < \beta \le \infty, \ y \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(79)

Acknowledgments

V.A would like to thank Prof Blanchard, Universität Bielefeld, for his invitation to the Research Institute BIBOS and for interesting discussions.

References

- [1] S.G. DAVISON and M.STESLICKA, J.Phys <u>C2</u>, 1802(1969)
- [2] S.G. DAVISON and M.STESLICKA, Inter J Quantum Chem 4, 445(1971)
- [3] M.STESLICKA and S.G. DAVISON, Phys Rev **B1**, 1858(1970)
- [4] R.SUBRAMANIAN and K.V. BHAGWAT, J. Phys C5, 798(1972)
- [5] R.SUBRAMANIAN and K.V. BHAGWAT, Phys Stat. Sol <u>B 48</u>, 399(1971)
- [6] W.M.FAIRBAIRN, M.L. GLASSER and M. STESLICKA, M. Surf. Sci 36, 462(1973)
- [7] G.GUMBS, Phys Rev **32** A, 1208 (1985)
- [8] I.R. LAPIDUS, Am J.Phys 51, 1036 (1983)
- [9] F.GESZTESY and P.SEBA: Lett Math Phys13, 345-358(1987)
- [10] S.ALBEVERIO, F.GESZTESY, R.HOEGH-KROHN and H.HOLDEN: Solvable models in Quantum Mechanics. Texts and Monographs in Physics (Springer-verlag, Berlin 1988)
- [11] J.DITTRICH, P.EXNER and P.SEBA, J.Math.Phys <u>30</u>, 2275-2282(1989)
- [12] J. SHABANI and A. VYABANDI: Exactly Solvable Models of Relativistic δ-sphere Interactions in Quantum Mechanics preprint N⁰00-10-27, University of Bielefeld, Germany October 2000
- [13] J.SHABANI and A.VYABANDI: In preparation
- [14] N.I. AKHIEZER and I.M. GLAZMAN: Theory of Linear operators in Hilbert Space.Vol.2 (Pitman Publishing Inc. Boston, London, Melbourne, 1981).
- [15] R.G.NEWTON, J.Maths.Phys **21**, 493-505(1980)
- [16] N.DUNFORD and J.T. SCHWARTZ: Linear operators. Part II: Spectral Theory. Interscience, New York- London, 1963.