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#### Abstract

Let $A=\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} q_{i j} D_{i j}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} b_{i j} x_{j} D_{i}$ be a possibly degenerate OrnsteinUhlenbeck operator in $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ and assume that the associated Markov semigroup has an invariant measure $\mu$. We compute the spectrum of $A$ in $L_{\mu}^{p}$ for $1 \leq p<\infty$.


Mathematics subject classification (2000): 35P05, 35J70, 35K65

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we study the spectrum of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} q_{i j} D_{i j}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} b_{i j} x_{j} D_{i}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q D^{2}\right)+\langle B x, D\rangle, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{N} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q=\left(q_{i j}\right)$ is a real, symmetric and nonnegative matrix and $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ is a non-zero real matrix. The associated Markov semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ has the following explicit representation, due to Kolmogorov

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T(t) f)(x)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi)^{N / 2}\left(\operatorname{det} Q_{t}\right)^{1 / 2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} e^{-<Q_{t}^{-1} y, y>/ 4} f\left(e^{t B} x-y\right) d y \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Q_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} e^{s B} Q e^{s B^{*}} d s
$$

[^0]and $B^{*}$ denotes the adjoint matrix of $B$, see for instance [6]. We assume that the spectrum of $B$ is contained in $\mathbf{C}^{-}=\{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}: \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)<0\}$. Moreover we require that $\operatorname{det} Q_{t}>0$ for any $t>0$ (that is, $Q_{t}$ is positive definite); this is clearly true, in particular, if $Q$ is invertible. We point out that the condition $\operatorname{det} Q_{t}>0, t>0$, is equivalent to the hypoellipticity of $A$, see [12], and it can be also expressed by saying that the kernel of $Q$ does not contain any invariant subspaces of $B^{*}$ (see [12], [13], [15], [18]).

Assuming that $\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{t}\right)>0$, in [7, section 11.2.3] it is proved that $\sigma(B) \subset \mathbf{C}^{-}$ is equivalent to the existence of an invariant measure $\mu$ for $T_{t}$, i.e. a probability measure on $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}(T(t) f)(x) d \mu(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} f(x) d \mu(x)
$$

for every $t \geq 0$ and $f \in C_{b}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, the space of all continuous and bounded functions on $\mathbf{R}^{N}$. Moreover the invariant measure $\mu$ is unique and it is given by $d \mu(x)=b(x) d x$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(x)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi)^{N / 2}\left(\operatorname{det} Q_{\infty}\right)^{1 / 2}} e^{-<Q_{\infty}^{-1} x, x>/ 4} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
Q_{\infty}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{s B} Q e^{s B^{*}} d s
$$

For more information on invariant measures we refer to [8] and [19]. It is well known that $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ extends to a strongly continuous semigroup of positive contractions in $L_{\mu}^{p}=L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}, d \mu\right)$ for every $1 \leq p<\infty$. Remark that, since $Q_{t}<Q_{\infty}$ in the sense of quadratic forms, the integral in (1.2) converges for every $f \in L_{\mu}^{p}$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$, so that the extension of $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ to $L_{\mu}^{p}$ is still given by (1.2).

Let us denote by $\left(A_{p}, D_{p}\right)$ the generator of $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in $L_{\mu}^{p}$. The main aim of this paper is the computation of the spectrum of $\left(A_{p}, D_{p}\right)$ for $1 \leq p<\infty$. If $1<p<\infty$, it is known that the spectrum is discrete and consists of eigenvalues of finite multiplicities, since the resolvent is compact, see [3]. We first prove that all the eigenfunctions are polynomials and then we arrive at a complete characterization of the spectrum, see Section 3. Our method shows that it is possible to reduce the computation of the spectrum of $A$ to that of its drift term $\langle B x, D\rangle$, no matter what the diffusion term $\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q D^{2}\right)$ is, see in particular Lemma 3.3.

As a by-product of our proof, we also show that the spectrum is independent of $p \in] 1, \infty[$ (the $p$-independence of the spectrum is however a consequence of the compactness of the resolvent, see e.g. [1]). For $p=1$ we obtain that the spectrum is completely different, see Section 4 . The spectrum in $L_{\mu}^{1}$ is the closed left half-plane and moreover every complex number with negative real part is
an eigenvalue. Let us stress that we allow $Q$ to have rank strictly less than $N$; however our main result seems to be new even in the non-degenerate case, that is when $Q$ is positive definite.

Let us mention another result of the paper. Assuming that $A$ is nondegenerate in [10] it is shown that $T_{t}$ is analytic in $L_{\mu}^{p}$ even in the infinite dimensional setting, $1<p<\infty$ (see also [6], [14] and [11]). Under our assumptions, in Section 2 we show that the semigroup $T_{t}$ is differentiable in $L_{\mu}^{p}$, for $1<p<\infty$; obviously, it is not so in $L_{\mu}^{1}$ (see also Corollary 4.2).

We remark that in the particular case $Q=I, B=-I$, it is known that the spectrum in $L_{\mu}^{2}$ consists of the negative integers and that the Hermite polynomials form a complete system of eigenfunctions. Moreover, the operator $-A_{2}$ on $L_{\mu}^{2}$ is unitarily equivalent to a Schrödinger operator $-\Delta+V$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}, d x\right)$, where $V$ is a quadratic potential (see [17] and [2]). Finally we refer to [16] for the spectrum of $A$ in $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}, d x\right)$ and in spaces of continuous functions.

Notation. If $C$ is a linear operator, we denote by $\sigma(C), P \sigma(C)$ and $\rho(C)$, the spectrum, the point-spectrum and the resolvent set of $C$, respectively. The spectral bound $s(C)$ is defined by $s(C)=\sup \{\operatorname{Re} \lambda: \lambda \in \sigma(C)\} . C_{b}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ stands for the Banach space of all real continuous and bounded functions on $\mathbf{R}^{N} . C_{0}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ is the closed subspace of $C_{b}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ of functions vanishing at infinity, $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ is the space of $C^{\infty}$-functions with compact support and $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ is the Schwartz class. $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ is the space of all polynomials of degree less than or equal to $n$. For $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}, W^{k, p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ are the usual Sobolev spaces, and we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\mu}^{k, p}=\left\{u \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{k, p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right): D^{\alpha} u \in L_{\mu}^{p} \text { for }|\alpha| \leq k\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The norm in $L_{\mu}^{p}$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{p}$. Sometimes we write $A_{p}$ for $\left(A_{p}, D_{p}\right)$. Throughout this paper $\mathbf{N}$ indicates the set of nonnegative integers and $\mathbf{C}^{-}, \mathbf{C}^{+}$ the open left and right half-planes, respectively.

## 2 Properties of $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$

In this section we collect some properties of $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and of its generator $\left(A_{p}, D_{p}\right)$ needed in the sequel.

We observe that $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ is dense in $W_{\mu}^{k, p}, 1 \leq p<\infty$. Indeed, a simple truncation argument shows that the set of $W_{\mu}^{k, p}$-functions with compact support is dense and, given $u \in W_{\mu}^{k, p}$ with compact support, the usual approximating functions $\phi_{\varepsilon} * u$ converge to $u$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, in $W^{k, p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ and hence in $W_{\mu}^{k, p}$.

As regards the domains $D_{p}$, we remark that $D_{p} \subset D_{q}$ if $p \geq q$ and $A_{p} u=A_{q} u$ for $u \in D_{p}$. If $Q$ is non-degenerate, the domain $D_{2}$ is nothing but the weighted Sobolev space $W_{\mu}^{2,2}$ and $A_{2} u=A u$ for $u \in D_{2}$ (see [14]). A similar result seems not to be known in the general case when $p \neq 2$. However, $D_{p}=W_{\mu}^{2, p}$ if $\left(A_{2}, D_{2}\right)$
is self-adjoint; this fact turns out to be equivalent to the identity $B Q=Q B^{*}$ and implies $Q$ positive definite (see [4] and [5]).

For our purposes, we only need the following simple lemma.
Lemma 2.1 Let $1 \leq p<\infty$. If $u \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ is such that $D_{i j} u \in L_{\mu}^{p}$ for $i, j=1, \ldots, N$ and $|x||D u| \in L_{\mu}^{p}$, then $u \in D_{p}$ and $A_{p} u=A u$. Moreover, the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ is a core for $\left(A_{p}, D_{p}\right)$.

Proof. Observe that $A u \in L_{\mu}^{p}$. Let $0 \leq \phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ be such that $\phi(x)=1$ if $|x| \leq 1$ and define $u_{n}(x)=\phi(x / n) u(x)$. It is easily seen, using dominated convergence, that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ and $A u_{n} \rightarrow A u$ in $L_{\mu}^{p}$. Since $u_{n} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, it is elementary to check that $\left(T(t) u_{n}-u_{n}\right) / t \rightarrow A u_{n}$ uniformly (hence in $L_{\mu}^{p}$ ) as $t \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, $u_{n} \in D_{p}$ and the equality $A u_{n}=A_{p} u_{n}$ holds. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain that $u \in D_{p}$ and that $A_{p} u=A u$, since $\left(A_{p}, D_{p}\right)$ is closed. Finally, since $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ is contained in $D_{p}$ and is $T(t)$-invariant, it is a core for $\left(A_{p}, D_{p}\right)$.

We discuss now some smoothing properties of $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$, depending upon the hypoellipticity condition $\operatorname{det} Q_{t}>0$. To this purpose, it is useful to recall that the above condition is also equivalent to the well-known Kalman rank condition

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left[Q^{1 / 2}, B Q^{1 / 2}, \ldots, B^{N-1} Q^{1 / 2}\right]=N
$$

arising in control theory (see e.g. [21]). In the above formula, the $N \times N^{2}$ matrix in the left-hand-side is obtained by writing consecutively the columns of the matrices $B^{i} Q^{1 / 2}$. Moreover, if $0 \leq m \leq N-1$ is the smallest integer such that rank $\left[Q^{1 / 2}, B Q^{1 / 2}, \ldots, B^{m} Q^{1 / 2}\right]=N$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{t}^{-1 / 2} e^{t B}\right\| \leq \frac{C}{t^{1 / 2+m}}, \quad t \in(0,1] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [20]). Of course $m=0$ if and only if $Q$ is invertible.
The following lemma is a slight modification of a result proved, in the infinitedimensional setting, in [3, Lemma 3]. We give the proof for completeness. The number $m$ which appears in the statement is that defined above.

Lemma 2.2 Let $1<p<\infty$. For every $t>0, T(t)$ maps $L_{\mu}^{p}$ into $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \cap W_{\mu}^{k, p}$ for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Moreover, there exists $C=C(k, p)>0$ such that for every $f \in L_{\mu}^{p}$ the inequality

$$
\left\|D^{\alpha} T(t) f\right\|_{p} \leq \frac{C}{t^{|\alpha|(1 / 2+m)}}\|f\|_{p}, \quad t \in(0,1)
$$

holds for every multiindex $\alpha$ with $|\alpha|=k$.

Proof. Let us fix $t>0$ and set

$$
b_{t}(x)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi)^{N / 2}\left(\operatorname{det} Q_{t}\right)^{1 / 2}} e^{-<Q_{t}^{-1} x, x>/ 4}
$$

Since $Q_{t}<Q_{\infty}$, in the sense of quadratic forms, it is easily seen that there exist $K, \varepsilon>0$ (depending upon $t$ ) such that $b_{t}(x) \leq K e^{-\varepsilon|x|^{2}} b(x)$, where $b$ (defined in (1.3)) is the density of $\mu$. It follows that one can differentiate under the integral sign in (1.2) for every $f \in L_{\mu}^{p}$ thus obtaining

$$
(D T(t) f)(x)=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} e^{t B^{*}} Q_{t}^{-1} y f\left(e^{t B} x-y\right) b_{t}(y) d y
$$

for every $x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$ and hence $T(t) f \in C^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$. By Hölder inequality and (2.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(D_{i} T(t)\right) f(x)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|\left\langle Q_{t}^{-1 / 2} e^{t B} e_{i}, Q_{t}^{-1 / 2} y\right\rangle\right|^{p^{\prime}} b_{t}(y) d y\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\left(T(t)|f|^{p}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|Q_{t}^{-1 / 2} e^{t B} e_{i}\right|\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|Q_{t}^{-1 / 2} y\right|^{p^{\prime}} b_{t}(y) d y\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\left(T(t)|f|^{p}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq C_{p} t^{-1 / 2-m}\left(\left(T(t)|f|^{p}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the thesis follows for $k=1$ raising to the power $p$ and integrating the above inequality with respect to $\mu$. The proof for $k \geq 1$ proceeds as in [14, Lemma 3.2] using the equality $D T(t) u=e^{t B^{*}} T(t) D u$, which holds for every $u \in W_{\mu}^{1, p}$. This identity is easily verified in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ and extends to $W_{\mu}^{1, p}$ by density.

The compactness of $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ for $p=2$ easily follows from the above lemma and the compactness of the imbedding of $W_{\mu}^{1,2}$ into $L_{\mu}^{2}$, see [8]. If $1<p<\infty$, the same holds by interpolation (see [3, Lemma 2]).

If $Q$ is non degenerate, the analyticity of $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in $L_{\mu}^{2}$ was proved in [10] (see also [6], [14]). From the Stein interpolation theorem it follows that $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is analytic in $L_{\mu}^{p}$ for $1<p<\infty$. On the other hand, $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is not analytic in $L_{\mu}^{2}$ (hence in $L_{\mu}^{p}$ ) if $Q$ is degenerate, see [11]. We show that in any case $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is differentiable in $L_{\mu}^{p}$, if $1<p<\infty$. To prove this we need the following lemma which is probably known (it generalises [14, Lemma 2.1]). We include the proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.3 If $1<p<\infty$, for every $h=1, \ldots, N$ the map $u \mapsto x_{h} u$ is bounded from $W_{\mu}^{1, p}$ to $L_{\mu}^{p}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that there is a constant $K_{p}$ such that for every $u \in$ $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|x_{h} u(x)\right|^{p} d \mu(x) \leq K_{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(|u(x)|^{p}+|D u(x)|^{p}\right) d \mu(x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a linear change of variables we may assume that $Q_{\infty}$ is diagonal with eigenvalues $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{N}$ and hence that

$$
b(x)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi)^{N / 2}\left(\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{N}\right)^{1 / 2}} \exp \left\{-\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}^{2} /\left(4 \mu_{i}\right)\right\} .
$$

First case, assume $p \geq 2$. If $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, then one has with $C=2 \max \left\{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{N}\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|x_{h} u(x)\right|^{p} d \mu(x) \leq-C \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}|u(x)|^{p}\left|x_{h}\right|^{p-2} x_{h} \cdot D_{h} b(x) d x \\
= & C \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(p x_{h} u(x)\left|x_{h} u(x)\right|^{p-2} D_{h} u(x)+(p-1)\left|x_{h}\right|^{p-2}|u(x)|^{p}\right) d \mu(x) \\
\leq & C_{1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|x_{h}\right|^{p-2}|u(x)|^{p} d \mu(x)+C_{2}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|x_{h} u(x)\right|^{p} d \mu(x)\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|D_{h} u(x)\right|^{p} d \mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq & \varepsilon \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|x_{h} u(x)\right|^{p} d \mu(x)+C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(|u(x)|^{p}+\left|D_{h} u(x)\right|^{p}\right) d \mu(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$, with a suitable $C_{\varepsilon}$ (in the last line we have used Young's inequality and the estimate $\left.\left|x_{h}\right|^{p-2} \leq C_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon\left|x_{h}\right|^{p}\right)$. Choosing $\varepsilon<1$ we deduce (2.2).

Let us deal with the case $1<p<2$. We proceed as before but we have to estimate in a different way the term

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|x_{h}\right|^{p-2}|u(x)|^{p} d \mu(x)
$$

To simplify the notation, take $h=N$ and write $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N-1}\right), b(x)=$ $b^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \frac{e^{-x_{N}^{2} / 4 \mu_{N}}}{\left(4 \pi \mu_{N}\right)^{1 / 2}}$, and $d \mu^{\prime}=b^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}, d \mu^{\prime \prime}=\left(4 \pi \mu_{N}\right)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left\{-x_{N}^{2} / 4 \mu_{N}\right\} d x_{N}$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left|x_{N}\right|^{p-2}|u(x)|^{p} d \mu(x)= & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N-1}} d \mu^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left|x_{N}\right|^{p-2}\left|u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right)\right|^{p} d \mu^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{N}\right) \\
= & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N-1}} d \mu^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \int_{\left|x_{N}\right| \geq 1}\left|x_{N}\right|^{p-2}\left|u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right)\right|^{p} d \mu^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{N}\right) \\
& +\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N-1}} d \mu^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \int_{-1}^{1}\left|x_{N}\right|^{p-2}\left|u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right)\right|^{p} d \mu^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{N}\right) \\
:= & J_{1}+J_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $J_{1} \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}|u(x)|^{p} d \mu(x)$. Let us estimate $J_{2}$. For every $x^{\prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}$ we have, by the Sobolev embedding $W^{1, p}(-1,1) \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}(-1,1)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1}\left|x_{N}\right|^{p-2}\left|u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right)\right|^{p} d \mu^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{N}\right) & \leq C\left(\sup _{\left|x_{N}\right| \leq 1} \mid u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right)\right)^{p} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|x_{N}\right|^{p-2} d x_{N} \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(\left|u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right)\right|^{p}+\left|D_{N} u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right)\right|^{p}\right) d x_{N} \\
& \leq C_{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\left|u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right)\right|^{p}+\left|D_{N} u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right)\right|^{p}\right) d \mu^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

whence, integrating on $\mathbf{R}^{N-1}$,

$$
J_{2} \leq C_{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(|u(x)|^{p}+|D u(x)|^{p}\right) d \mu(x),
$$

and this completes the proof.
It follows, in particular, that the map $L u=\langle B x, D u\rangle$ is bounded from $W_{\mu}^{2, p}$ into $L_{\mu}^{p}$ for $1<p<\infty$.

Proposition 2.4 For $1<p<\infty$ the semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is differentiable in $L_{\mu}^{p}$.
Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ then $T(t) f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \subset D_{p}$. From Lemmas 2.3, 2.2 it follows as in [14, Proposition 3.3] that

$$
\left\|A_{p} T(t) f\right\|_{p}=\|A T(t) f\|_{p} \leq \frac{C}{t^{2 m+1}}\|f\|_{p}, \quad 0<t \leq 1
$$

hence $A_{p} T(t)$ extends to a bounded operator in $L_{\mu}^{p}$ and the thesis follows.
We shall see that the above result is false for $p=1$, see Section 4 .

## 3 Spectrum in $L_{\mu}^{p}$ for $1<p<\infty$

In this section we assume that $1<p<\infty$. The following estimate is the main step to show that the eigenfunctions of $A_{p}$ are polynomials.

Lemma 3.1 Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ be given, with $s(B)+\varepsilon<0$. Then there exists $C=C(k, \varepsilon)$ such that for every $u \in W_{\mu}^{k, p}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|\alpha|=k}\left\|D^{\alpha} T(t) u\right\|_{p} \leq C e^{t k(s(B)+\varepsilon)} \sum_{|\alpha|=k}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{p}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $C_{1}=C_{1}(\varepsilon)$ be such that $\left\|e^{t B^{*}}\right\| \leq C_{1} e^{t(s(B)+\varepsilon)}$ and recall that $D T(t) u=e^{t B^{*}} T(t) D u$ for every $u \in W_{\mu}^{1, p}$. Since $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is contractive in $L_{\mu}^{p}$ the statement is proved for $k=1$ with $C=C_{1}$. Suppose that the statement is true for $k$ with a suitable constant $C_{k}$ and consider $u \in W_{\mu}^{k+1, p}$. Then, if $|\alpha|=k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D D^{\alpha} T(t) u\right\|_{p} & =\left\|D^{\alpha} D T(t) u\right\|_{p}=\left\|D^{\alpha} e^{t B^{*}} T(t) D u\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq C_{1} e^{t(s(B)+\varepsilon)}\left\|D^{\alpha} T(t) D u\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq C_{1} C_{k} e^{t(k+1)(s(B)+\varepsilon)}\left\|D D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that $\sigma\left(A_{p}\right) \subset\{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}: \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0\}$, since $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a semigroup of contractions in $L_{\mu}^{p}$ and that $0 \in \sigma(A)$. Moreover, every eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue 0 is constant (this holds also for $p=1$ ). In fact, if $u \in D_{p}$ and $A_{p} u=0$, then $T(t) u=u$. On the other hand (see [8, Theorem 4.2.1])

$$
T(t) u \rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} u d \mu
$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore $u$ is constant. We now show that all the eigenfunctions are polynomials.
Proposition 3.2 Suppose that $u \in D_{p}$ satisfies $A_{p} u=\lambda u$. Then $u$ is a polynomial.
Proof. Since $T(t) u=e^{\lambda t} u$, from Lemma 2.2 we deduce that $u \in W_{\mu}^{k, p} \cap \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, for every $k$. Clearly $D^{\alpha} T(t) u=e^{\lambda t} D^{\alpha} u$ for every multiindex $\alpha$. Given $\varepsilon \in$ $(0,|s(B)|)$, from Lemma 3.1 it follows that

$$
e^{t \operatorname{Re} \lambda} \sum_{|\alpha|=k}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{p} \leq C(k, \varepsilon) e^{t k(s(B)+\varepsilon)} \sum_{|\alpha|=k}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{p}
$$

and hence $D^{\alpha} u=0$ if $|\alpha||s(B)| \geq|\operatorname{Re} \lambda|$. It follows that $u$ is a polynomial of degree less than or equal to $\frac{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)}{s(B)}$. This concludes the proof.

Let us denote by

$$
L u=\langle B x, D u\rangle
$$

the drift term in (1.1). We reduce the computation of the spectrum of $A_{p}$ to that of $L$.

Lemma 3.3 The following statements are equivalent.
(i) $\lambda \in \sigma\left(A_{p}\right)$.
(ii) There exists a homogeneous polynomial $u \neq 0$ such that $L u=\lambda u$.

Proof. First we observe that $A_{p} u=A u$ if $u$ is a polynomial (see Lemma 2.1) and that both $A$ and $L$ map $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ into itself. Moreover $A=L$ on $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ and hence we may consider only polynomials of degree greater than or equal to 2 .

Suppose that (i) holds and let $u$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ such that $A_{p} u=\lambda u$, that is $\lambda u-\sum_{i, j} q_{i j} D_{i j} u-L u=0$. If $\lambda-L$ is bijective on $\mathcal{P}_{n-2}$ we can find $v \in \mathcal{P}_{n-2}$ such that $\lambda v-L v=\sum_{i, j} q_{i j} D_{i j} u$ and hence $z=u-v \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$, satisfies $\lambda z-L z=0$ and $z \neq 0$. If $\lambda-L$ is not bijective on $\mathcal{P}_{n-2}$ we consider a function $z$ in its kernel. In any case we find $0 \neq z \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ such that $\lambda z-L z=0$. To find a (nonzero) homogeneous polynomial $u$ such that $\lambda u-L u=0$ it is sufficient to observe that $L$ maps homogeneous polynomials into homogeneous polynomials so that all homogeneous addends $u$ of $z$ satisfy $\lambda u-L u=0$.

Assume now that (ii) holds with $u$ homogeneous polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$. If $\lambda-A_{p}$ is not injective on $\mathcal{P}_{n-2}$ clearly (i) is true. Otherwise we find $v \in \mathcal{P}_{n-2}$ such that $\lambda v-A v=\sum_{i, j} q_{i j} D_{i j} u$ and then $0 \neq w=u+v \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ satisfies $\lambda w-A_{p} w=0$.

We study now the equation $\gamma u-L u=0$ with $u$ polynomial, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$. If $B=-I$ this is the well-known Euler equation satisfied by all regular functions homogeneous of degree $(-\gamma)$. If we require that $u$ is a polynomial, we obtain $(-\gamma) \in \mathbf{N}$, hence all negative integers are eigenvalues of $L$ and, for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, all homogeneous polynomials of degree $n$ are eigenfunctions.

The equation with a general $B$ is much more complicated and we shall not characterise all polynomial solutions but only the values of $\gamma$ for which such a solution exists. Observe that a differentiable function $u$ satisfies $\gamma u-L u=0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(e^{t B} x\right)=e^{t \gamma} u(x) \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^{N} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u$ be a (nonzero) homogeneous polynomial of degree $n$ satisfying (3.2): in this case the same equality holds for every complex point $x \in \mathbf{C}^{N}$. Let now $M$ be a non-singular complex $N \times N$ matrix, such that $M B M^{-1}=C$, where $C$ is the canonical Jordan form of $B$. Introduce a new homogeneous polynomial $v(z)=u\left(M^{-1} z\right), z \in \mathbf{C}^{N}$, so that $u(x)=v(M x)$. Since $v\left(M e^{t B} M^{-1} z\right)=e^{t \gamma} v(z)$, we obtain that

$$
v\left(e^{t C} z\right)=e^{t \gamma} v(z), \quad z \in \mathbf{C}^{N}
$$

and we find the values of $\gamma$ for which a solution exists working with the Jordan matrix $C$. Before proving the main result of this section, we present in a particular case the argument we use in the proof. Let us suppose that $C$ consists of a unique Jordan block of size $N$ relative to an eigenvalue $\lambda$, that is

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \lambda & \cdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 1 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda
\end{array}\right)
$$

and write $C=\lambda I+R$ with $R$ nilpotent. Hence $e^{t R}$ has polynomial entries and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{t \gamma} v(z)=v\left(e^{t B} z\right)=v\left(e^{t \lambda} e^{t R} z\right)=e^{n \lambda t} v\left(e^{t R} z\right)=e^{n \lambda t} q(t, z) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q(t, z)=\sum_{|\alpha|=n} c_{\alpha}(t) z^{\alpha}$ and the $c_{\alpha}(t)$ are polynomials. Now fix $\hat{z} \neq 0$ in (3.3) such that $v(\hat{z}) \neq 0$ and look at the variable $t$. It follows that $\gamma=n \lambda$, i.e., the eigenvalues of $L$ are multiples of the (unique) eigenvalue of $B$. In the general case, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.4 Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}$ be the (distinct) eigenvalues of $B$. Then

$$
\sigma\left(A_{p}\right)=\left\{\lambda=\sum_{j=1}^{r} n_{j} \lambda_{j}: n_{j} \in \mathbf{N}\right\} .
$$

Proof. We keep the above notation (recall that $M$ is a non-singular complex $N \times N$ matrix, such that $M B M^{-1}=C$ and $C$ is the canonical Jordan form of $B$ ). Let $C_{j}$, for $j=1, \ldots r$, be the Jordan block of $C$ associated with $\lambda_{j}$ and denote by $k_{j}\left(1 \leq k_{j} \leq N, \sum_{j=1}^{r} k_{j}=N\right)$ the size of $C_{j}$. We may write $C_{j}=\lambda_{j} I+R_{j}$ where $R_{j}$ is a nilpotent matrix. Let us decompose $\mathbf{C}^{N}$ into the direct sum of the invariant subspaces corresponding to the Jordan blocks of $C$ and write $z \in \mathbf{C}^{N}$ in the form $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r}\right)$, with $z_{j} \in \mathbf{C}^{k_{j}}$.

Assume that $\gamma \in \sigma\left(A_{p}\right)$. Then, according to Lemma 3.3, there exists a nonzero homogeneous polynomial $u$ such that $L u=\gamma u$ or, in an equivalent way, $u\left(e^{t B} x\right)=$ $e^{\gamma t} u(x)$. Introducing the homogeneous polynomial $v(z)=u\left(M^{-1} z\right)$, we know that $v\left(e^{t C} z\right)=e^{t \gamma} v(z)$ for every $z \in \mathbf{C}^{N}$. Let us write $v$ in the following way:

$$
v(z)=\sum_{\left|\alpha_{1}\right|+\ldots+\left|\alpha_{r}\right|=n} c_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} z_{j}^{\alpha_{j}},
$$

and prove that $\gamma=\sum_{j} \lambda_{j}\left|\alpha_{j}\right|$, for suitable $\left(\alpha_{j}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{t \gamma} v(z)=v\left(e^{t C} z\right) & =v\left(e^{t C_{1}} z_{1}, \ldots, e^{t C_{r}} z_{r}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\left|\alpha_{1}\right|+\ldots+\left|\alpha_{r}\right|=n} c_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}} \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(e^{t C_{j}} z_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j}} \\
& =\sum_{\left|\alpha_{1}\right|+\ldots+\left|\alpha_{r}\right|=n} c_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}} e^{t\left(\lambda_{1}\left|\alpha_{1}\right|+\ldots+\lambda_{r}\left|\alpha_{r}\right|\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(e^{t R_{j}} z_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now fix $\hat{z} \neq 0$ such that $v(\hat{z}) \neq 0$ and look at the variable $t$. Since $\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(e^{t R_{j}} \hat{z}_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j}}$ is a polynomial in $t$ for any $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}\right)$, it follows that there exists some $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}\right)$ such that $\gamma=\lambda_{1}\left|\alpha_{1}\right|+\ldots+\lambda_{r}\left|\alpha_{r}\right|$. This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\sum_{j=1}^{r} n_{j} \lambda_{j}, \quad n_{j} \in \mathbf{N} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, let $\gamma=\sum_{j=1}^{r} n_{j} \lambda_{j}$, with arbitrary $n_{j} \in \mathbf{N}$. Let us write $z \in \mathbf{C}^{N}$ in the form

$$
z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r}\right)=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k_{1}}, z_{k_{1}+1}, \ldots, z_{k_{1}+k_{2}}, \ldots, z_{k_{1}+\ldots+k_{r}}\right)
$$

Consider the polynomial

$$
v(z)=z_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}} \cdot z_{k_{1}+k_{2}}^{n_{2}} \cdots z_{k_{1}+\ldots k_{r}}^{n_{r}},
$$

depending only upon the $r$ complex variables $z_{k_{1}}, z_{k_{1}+k_{2}}, \ldots, z_{k_{1}+\ldots k_{r}}$ (the last variable in each block). It is easy to verify that $v\left(e^{t C} z\right)=e^{t \gamma} v\left(e^{t R_{1}} z_{1}, \ldots, e^{t R_{r}} z_{r}\right)=$ $e^{t \gamma} v(z), z \in \mathbf{C}^{N}$. The polynomial $u(z)=v(M z), z \in \mathbf{C}^{N}$, satisfies $u\left(e^{t B} x\right)=$ $e^{t \gamma} u(x), x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$. It follows that $L u=\gamma u$ and hence $\gamma \in \sigma_{p}(A)$, by Lemma 3.3.

## 4 Spectrum in $L_{\mu}^{1}$

We show that the spectrum of $A_{1}$ is the left half-plane. In particular $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is not norm-continuous in $L_{\mu}^{1}$, hence not analytic, nor differentiable, nor compact (see [9, Ch. II, Sec. 4]).

Theorem 4.1 The spectrum of $\left(A_{1}, D_{1}\right)$ is the left half-plane $\{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}: \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq$ $0\}$. Each complex number $\lambda$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda<0$ is an eigenvalue.

Proof. Let $b$ be the density of $\mu$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure, given by (1.3), and set $h=1 / b$. Let $\Phi: L^{1}=L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}, d x\right) \rightarrow L_{\mu}^{1}$ be the isometry defined by

$$
(\Phi u)(x)=u(x) h(x), \quad u \in L^{1}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{N} .
$$

We define an operator $\left(G, D_{G}\right)$ on $L^{1}$ by $D_{G}=\Phi^{-1}\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $G=\Phi^{-1} A_{1} \Phi$. If $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, then $u \in D_{G}$ and
$G u(x)=b(x)(A(u h))(x)=A u(x)+2 b(x) \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} q_{i j} D_{i} h(x) D_{j} u(x)+b(x) u(x) A h(x)$.
A direct computation shows that

$$
2 b(x) \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} q_{i j} D_{i} h(x) D_{j} u(x)=\left\langle Q Q_{\infty}^{-1} x, D u(x)\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
b(x) A h(x) & =\left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(Q Q_{\infty}^{-1}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left\langle Q Q_{\infty}^{-1} x, Q_{\infty}^{-1} x\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle B^{*} Q_{\infty}^{-1} x, x\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(Q Q_{\infty}^{-1}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left\langle Q Q_{\infty}^{-1} x, Q_{\infty}^{-1} x\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle B Q_{\infty} Q_{\infty}^{-1} x, Q_{\infty}^{-1} x\right\rangle\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the identity $B Q_{\infty}+Q_{\infty} B^{*}=-Q$, which implies $2\left\langle B Q_{\infty} x, x\right\rangle=-\langle Q x, x\rangle$, it follows that $\frac{1}{4}\left\langle Q Q_{\infty}^{-1} x, Q_{\infty}^{-1} x\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle B Q_{\infty} Q_{\infty}^{-1} x, Q_{\infty}^{-1} x\right\rangle=0$ and hence, setting $k=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(Q Q_{\infty}^{-1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G u(x) & =A u(x)+\left\langle Q Q_{\infty}^{-1} x, D u(x)\right\rangle+k u(x) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q D^{2} u(x)\right)+\left\langle\left(B+Q Q_{\infty}^{-1}\right) x, D u(x)\right\rangle+k u(x) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q D^{2} u(x)\right)-\left\langle\left(Q_{\infty} B^{*} Q_{\infty}^{-1}\right) x, D u(x)\right\rangle+k u(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The operator $G_{0}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q D^{2}\right)-\left\langle\left(Q_{\infty} B^{*} Q_{\infty}^{-1}\right) x, D\right\rangle$, with a suitable domain $D_{G_{0}}$, is the generator of an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup in $L^{1}$. Even though an explicit description of $D_{G_{0}}$ is not known, we point out that $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ is a core of $\left(G_{0}, D_{G_{0}}\right)$ (see [16, Proposition 3.2]). The above computation shows that $G=G_{0}+k I$ on $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ and therefore $D_{G_{0}} \subset D_{G}$ and $G=G_{0}+k I$ on $D_{G_{0}}$, since $\left(G, D_{G}\right)$ is
closed. On the other hand, if $\lambda$ is sufficiently large, $\lambda-G$ is invertible on $D_{G}$ and also on $D_{G_{0}}$, because it coincides therein with $G_{0}+k I$. Therefore $D_{G}=D_{G_{0}}$.

Observe now that the identity $B+Q_{\infty} B^{*} Q_{\infty}^{-1}=-Q Q_{\infty}^{-1}$ yields $\operatorname{Tr}(B)+$ $\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q_{\infty} B^{*} Q_{\infty}^{-1}\right)=-\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q Q_{\infty}^{-1}\right)$ and hence $\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q_{\infty} B^{*} Q_{\infty}^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}(B)=-k$. Moreover $G_{0}$ satisfies the hypoellipticity condition. Indeed, if $E$ is an invariant subspace of $Q_{\infty}^{-1} B Q_{\infty}$, contained in $\operatorname{Ker}(Q)$, the equation $B Q_{\infty}+Q_{\infty} B^{*}=-Q$ easily implies that $B^{*}(E) \subset E$. It follows that $E=\{0\}$, since $A$ is hypoelliptic.

Since $\sigma\left(-Q_{\infty} B^{*} Q_{\infty}^{-1}\right)=-\sigma(B) \subset \mathbf{C}^{+}$, from [16, Theorem 4.7] it follows that the spectrum of $\left(G_{0}, D_{G_{0}}\right)$ is the half-plane

$$
\left\{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}: \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq \operatorname{Tr}\left(Q_{\infty} B^{*} Q_{\infty}^{-1}\right)=-k\right\}
$$

and that every complex number $\lambda$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda<-k$ is an eigenvalue. Since $G=G_{0}+k I$ and the spectra of $\left(A_{1}, D_{1}\right)$ and $\left(G, D_{G}\right)$ coincide, the proof is complete.

Observe that the eigenvalues associated to polynomial eigenfunctions are the same for all $p \geq 1$. In fact, assuming that the eigenfunctions are polynomials, the arguments in Section 3 can be used also for $p=1$ in order to determine the eigenvalues. However in $L_{\mu}^{1}$ there are nonpolynomial eigenfunctions and the spectrum is much larger. Moreover we have

Corollary 4.2 The semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ does not map $L_{\mu}^{1}$ into $W_{\mu}^{1,1}$, for any $t>0$.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $T\left(t_{0}\right)\left(L_{\mu}^{1}\right)$ is contained in $W_{\mu}^{1,1}$ for some $t_{0}>0$. This implies that $T(t)\left(L_{\mu}^{1}\right) \subset W_{\mu}^{1,1}$ for every $t \geq t_{0}$. Proceeding as in Lemma 2.2, we find that $T(t)\left(L_{\mu}^{1}\right) \subset C^{k}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \cap W_{\mu}^{k, 1}$ for every $k \in \mathbf{N}, t \geq k t_{0}$. Remark that Lemma 3.1 holds also if $p=1$. Arguing as in Proposition 3.2, we infer that all the eigenfunctions of $A_{1}$ are polynomials. Thus, by Lemma 3.3, we deduce that the point spectrum of $A_{1}$ is discrete. This is the desired contradiction.
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