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#### Abstract

We study increasing triangular transformations $T$ of the $n$-dimensional cube $\Omega=[0,1]^{n}$ which transform a measure $\mu$ into a measure $\nu$, where $\mu$ and $\nu$ are absolutely continuous Borel probability measures with densities $\rho_{\mu}$ and $\rho_{\nu}$. It is shown that if there exist positive numbers $\varepsilon$ and $M$ such that $\varepsilon<\rho_{\mu}<M$, $\varepsilon<\rho_{\nu}<M$ and numbers $\alpha, \beta>1$ that such $p=\alpha \beta(n-1)^{-1}(\alpha+\beta)^{-1}>1$ and $\varrho_{\mu} \in W^{\alpha, 1}(\Omega)$, $\varrho_{\nu} \in W^{\beta, 1}(\Omega)$, where $W^{\alpha, 1}$ denotes the Sobolev class, then the transformation $T$ belongs to the class $W^{p, 1}(\Omega)$.


The so called increasing triangular transformations have been investigated in work [1]. These are transformations of the form $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $T_{1}$ is a function of $x_{1}, T_{2}$ is a function of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and so on, $T_{i}$ is a function of $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}\right)$, and $T_{i}$ is increasing in $x_{i}$. The canonical version of $T$ is described in work [1]. Since our statements do not depend on a Lebesgue version of $T$ (and do not depend on a $\mu$-version as well because $\mu$ is equivalent to Lebesgue measure), we may assume that the transformation $T$ is canonical. The main result of the paper is the following theorem.

Theorem. Let $\mu$ and $\nu$ be absolutely continuous Borel probability measures with densities $\rho_{\mu}$ and $\rho_{\nu}$ on $\Omega=[0,1]^{n}$. Let $T$ be an increasing triangular transformation that transforms the measure $\mu$ into $\nu$. Let us assume that there exist
(1) positive numbers $\varepsilon$ and $M$ such that $\varepsilon<\rho_{\mu}<M, \varepsilon<\rho_{\nu}<M$;
(2) positive numbers $\alpha, \beta>1$ such that $p_{n}=\alpha \beta(n-1)^{-1}(\alpha+\beta)^{-1}>1, \varrho_{\mu} \in W^{\alpha, 1}(\Omega)$, and $\varrho_{\nu} \in W^{\beta, 1}(\Omega)$.

Then the transformation $T$ belongs to the class $W^{p_{n}, 1}(\Omega)$.
Proof. We shall prove the statement in the case $n=2$. We recall that the Sobolev class $W^{p, r}(\Omega)$ (another notion is $H^{p, r}(\Omega)$ ) is defined as the set of functions $f \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ whose derivatives up to order $r$ are elements of $L^{p}(\Omega)$ (regarding Sobolev classes the reader is referred to [2]). In order to show that the transformation $T$ belongs to the Sobolev class we shall express its derivatives as functions of the densities of the measures $\mu$ and $\nu$. It is shown in work [1] that

$$
T_{1}(x)=F_{\nu_{1}}^{-1}\left(F_{\mu_{1}}(x)\right), \quad T_{2}(x, y)=F_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}}^{-1}\left(F_{\mu_{x}}(y)\right),
$$

where $\mu_{x}$ and $\nu_{x}$ are conditional measures on $\{x\} \times[0,1]$ (on conditional measures see [3]). In our case the conditional measures determined by the densities

$$
\rho_{\mu_{x}}(y)=\frac{\rho_{\mu}(x, y)}{\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\mu}(x, t) d t}, \quad \varrho_{\nu_{x}}(y)=\frac{\rho_{\nu}(x, y)}{\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\nu}(x, t) d t}
$$

with respect to Lebesgue measure. These densities are referred to as conditional densities. We shall denote the projections of the measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ to the interval $\{(x, 0), x \in[0,1]\}$ as $\mu_{1}$ and $\nu_{1}$. We denote by $F_{\xi}$ the distribution function of an absolutely coninuous measure $\xi$ with a positive density $\varrho_{\xi}$ defined on the interval $[0,1]$, i.e.,

$$
F_{\xi}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \rho_{\xi}(t) d t, x \in[0,1] .
$$

The function $F_{\xi}$ has an inverse function because it is strictly increasing. Thus

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{y} T_{1}(x)=0, \quad \partial_{x} T_{1}(x)=\frac{F_{\mu_{1}}^{\prime}(x)}{F_{\nu_{1}}^{\prime}\left(T_{1}(x)\right)}=\frac{\rho_{\mu_{1}}(x)}{\rho_{\nu_{1}}\left(T_{1}(x)\right)} \\
\partial_{y} T_{2}(x, y)=\frac{F_{\mu_{x}}^{\prime}(y)}{F_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}}^{\prime}\left(T_{2}(x, y)\right)}=\frac{\rho_{\mu_{x}}(y)}{\rho_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}}\left(T_{2}(x, y)\right)} \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

All the three functions are bounded because the densities $\rho_{\mu}$ and $\rho_{\nu}$ are bounded and are separated from zero and thus their conditional densities and the densities of their projections are separated from zero too. Therefore, they are integrable on $\Omega$ in any power. It only remains to prove that the function $\partial_{x} T_{2}$ belongs to $L^{p_{2}}(\Omega)$. Let the density $\rho_{\nu}$ be a smooth function. Then one has the equalities

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{x} F_{\mu_{x}}(y)=\partial_{x}\left(\frac{\int_{0}^{y} \rho_{\mu}(x, t) d t}{\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\mu}(x, t) d t}\right) \\
=\frac{\int_{0}^{y} \partial_{x} \rho_{\mu}(x, t) d t \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\mu}(x, t) d t-\int_{0}^{1} \partial_{x} \rho_{\mu}(x, t) d t \int_{0}^{y} \rho_{\mu}(x, t) d t}{\left(\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\mu}(x, t) d t\right)^{2}}, \\
\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{x} F_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}}(y)=\partial_{x}\left(\frac{\int_{0}^{y} \rho_{\nu}\left(T_{1}(x), t\right) d t}{\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\nu}\left(T_{1}(x), t\right) d t}\right) \\
=\frac{\int_{0}^{y}\left[\partial_{x} \rho_{\nu}\right]\left(T_{1}(x), t\right) T_{1}^{\prime}(x) d t \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\nu}\left(T_{1}(x), t\right) d t}{\left(\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\nu}\left(T_{1}(x), t\right) d t\right)^{2}} \\
-\frac{\int_{0}^{1}\left[\partial_{x} \rho_{\nu}\right]\left(T_{1}(x), t\right) T_{1}^{\prime}(x) d t \int_{0}^{y} \rho_{\nu}\left(T_{1}(x), t\right) d t}{\left(\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\nu}\left(T_{1}(x), t\right) d t\right)^{2}} \\
F_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}^{\prime}}^{\prime}(y)=\partial_{y}\left(\frac{\int_{0}^{y} \rho_{\nu}\left(T_{1}(x), t\right) d t}{\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\nu}\left(T_{1}(x), t\right) d t}\right)=\frac{\rho_{\nu}\left(T_{1}(x), y\right)}{\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\nu}\left(T_{1}(x), t\right) d t} .
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

The following equality holds true as well:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\partial_{x} F_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}}^{-1}\right](y)=-\frac{\left[\partial_{x} F_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}}\right]\left(F_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}}^{-1}(y)\right)}{F_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}}^{\prime}\left(F_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}}^{-1}(y)\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, suppose that $f(x, y)=F_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}}(y)$ and $\varphi(x, y)=F_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}}^{-1}(y)$. For any $x$ and $y$ we have the equality $f(x, \varphi(x, y))=y$. Differentiation in $x$ leads to the equality

$$
\partial_{x} f(x, \varphi(x, y))+\partial_{y} f(x, \varphi(x, y)) \partial_{x} \varphi(x, y)=0
$$

We obtain the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x} \varphi(x, y)=-\frac{\partial_{x} f(x, \varphi(x, y))}{\partial_{y} f(x, \varphi(x, y))} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to equality (2) in the case of smooth densities. Then we obtain the following chain of equalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x} T_{2}(x, y)=\left[\partial_{x} F_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}}^{-1}\right]\left(F_{\mu_{x}}(y)\right) \partial_{x} F_{\mu_{x}}(y)=-\frac{\left[\partial_{x} F_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}}\right]\left(T_{2}(x, y)\right)}{\left[F_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}}^{\prime}\right]\left(T_{2}(x, y)\right)} \partial_{x} F_{\mu_{x}}(y) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We get

$$
\left|\left[\partial_{x} F_{\nu_{T_{1}(x)}}\right]\left(T_{2}(x, y)\right)\right| \leq 2 \frac{\int_{0}^{1}\left|\left[\partial_{x} \rho_{\nu}\right]\left(T_{1}(x), t\right)\right| T_{1}^{\prime}(x) d t}{\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\nu}\left(T_{1}(x), t\right) d t}
$$

In addition, one has

$$
\left|\partial_{x} F_{\mu_{x}}(y)\right| \leq 2 \frac{\int_{0}^{1}\left|\partial_{x} \rho_{\mu}(x, t)\right| d t}{\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\mu}(x, t) d t}
$$

Due to the inequalities $\rho_{\nu} \geq \varepsilon$ and $\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\mu}(x, t) d t \geq \varepsilon$ for conditional density we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left|\partial_{x} T_{2}(x, y)\right| \leq 4 \frac{\int_{0}^{1}\left|\left[\partial_{x} \rho_{\nu}\right]\left(T_{1}(x), t\right)\right| T_{1}^{\prime}(x) d t \int_{0}^{1}\left|\partial_{x} \rho_{\mu}(x, t)\right| d t}{\rho_{\nu}\left(T_{1}(x)\right.}, T_{2}(x, y)\right) \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\mu}(x, t) d t \\
\quad \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\left[\partial_{x} \rho_{\nu}\right]\left(T_{1}(x), t\right)\right| T_{1}^{\prime}(x) d t \int_{0}^{1}\left|\partial_{x} \rho_{\mu}(x, t)\right| d t \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that, for any function $f \in L^{p}(\Omega)$, where $p>1$, the function $\int_{0}^{1} f(x, t) d t$ belongs to $L^{p}(\Omega)$ by Fubini's theorem. It follows by Hölder's inequality that if $f \in L^{\alpha}(\Omega)$, $g \in L^{\beta}(\Omega)$, then $f g \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ where $p=\alpha \beta(\alpha+\beta)^{-1}$. Thus to prove our statement in the case of smooth a density $\rho_{\nu}$ it is enough to show that $\left[\partial_{x} \rho_{\nu}\right]\left(T_{1}(x), y\right) T_{1}^{\prime}(x) \in L^{\beta}(\Omega)$, $\partial_{x} \rho_{\mu}(x, y) \in L^{\alpha}(\Omega)$. The hypotheses of the theorem imply that $\partial_{x} \rho_{\mu}(x, y) \in L^{\alpha}(\Omega)$. By the change of variables formula and the fact that $T_{1}^{\prime}(x) \leq M / \varepsilon$ we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\left[\partial_{x} \rho_{\nu}\right]\left(T_{1}(x), y\right) T_{1}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{\beta} d x d y=\int_{\Omega}\left|\partial_{x} \rho_{\nu}(x, y)\right|^{\beta}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)\right)^{\beta-1} d x d y \\
& \leq \frac{M^{\beta-1}}{\varepsilon^{\beta-1}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\partial_{x} \rho_{\nu}(x, y)\right|^{\beta} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

where the existence of the right hand side of the equality implies the existence of the left hand side. Thus $\partial_{x} T_{2}$ belongs to $L^{p_{2}}(\Omega)$ and we obtain the following estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{x} T_{2}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}(\Omega)} \leq C\left\|\partial_{x} \rho_{\nu}\right\|_{L^{\beta}(\Omega)}\left\|\partial_{x} \rho_{\mu}\right\|_{L^{\alpha}(\Omega)}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant which depends only on $\varepsilon$ and $M$.
From now on we do not assume that the density $\rho_{\nu}$ is smooth, but we suppose that the hypotheses of the theorem are fulfilled. There exists a sequence of smooth densities $\rho_{\nu(m)}$ convergent to $\rho_{\nu}$ in the norm of $W^{\beta, 1}$. In addition, we can choose it so that for $\rho_{\nu^{(m)}}$ the hypotheses of the theorem are fulfilled with the same $\varepsilon, M$ and $\beta$ for any $m$. Inequality (6) applied to the densities $\rho_{\nu^{(m)}}$ and the corresponding triangular transformations $T^{(m)}$ implies the boundedness of the sequence of functions $\partial_{x} T_{2}^{(m)}$ in the class $L^{p_{2}}(\Omega)$. Now to prove the theorem it is enough to show that the sequence of functions $T_{2}^{(m)}$ converges to $T_{2}$ in $L^{p_{2}}(\Omega)$. Notice that because the absolute values of $T_{2}^{(m)}$ and $T_{2}$ do not exceed 1, it is enough to establish convergence in measure. It is proved in work [1] that if a sequence of absolutely continuous probability measures $\nu_{j}$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ converges in variation to measure $\nu$, then sequence of canonical triangular transformations $T_{\mu, \nu_{j}}$ converge in measure to $T_{\mu, \nu}$ (in work [4], a generalization is obtained in the case where measure $\mu$ also vary). Because convergence of densities in $W^{\beta, 1}(\Omega)$ implies convergence of measures in the variation norm, the sequence $T_{2}^{(m)}$ converges to $T_{2}$ in $L^{p_{2}}(\Omega)$. The statement in the case $n=2$ proved.

Now we apply induction on $n$ and assume that the statement is proved if $k<n$. According to the construction of the canonical transformation $T$ (see [1]), the first $n-1$ coordinates of the transformation $T$ form the canonical transformation of the projections of the measures on the $(n-1)$-dimensional cube in the hyperplane $x_{n}=0$. We shall denote it by $S$, and the vector $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ is denoted by $x$. Obviously, the hypotheses of the theorem are fulfilled for the projections of our measures. Indeed, the densities of the projections are positive, bounded and separated from zero, their derivatives $\int_{0}^{1} \partial_{x_{i}} \rho_{\nu}\left(x, x_{n}\right) d x_{n}, \int_{0}^{1} \partial_{x_{i}} \rho_{\mu}\left(x, x_{n}\right) d x_{n}$ are integrable in necessary powers. Therefore, the components $T_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n-1$, belong to the Sobolev class $W^{p_{n-1}, 1}(\Omega)$, $p_{n-1}>p_{n}$. Thus it remains to prove the membership of $\partial_{x_{i}} T_{n}\left(x, x_{n}\right)$ in $L^{p_{n}}(\Omega)$.

We shall use the following relation for $T_{n}\left(x, x_{n}\right)$ :

$$
T_{n}\left(x, x_{n}\right)=F_{\nu_{S(x)}}^{-1}\left(F_{\mu_{x}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right),
$$

where $\mu_{x}$ and $\nu_{x}$ are conditional measures defined on the segments $\{x\} \times[0,1]$. The derivative of $T_{n}\left(x, x_{n}\right)$ in $x_{n}$ has the same form as in (1), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x_{n}} T_{n}\left(x, x_{n}\right)=\frac{\rho_{\mu_{x}}\left(x_{n}\right)}{\rho_{\nu_{S(x)}}\left(T_{n}\left(x, x_{n}\right)\right)} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence it is integrable in any power. Suppose that the density $\rho_{\nu}$ is a smooth function. Then the derivative in $x_{i}, i<n$, has the same form as in (4), i.e.,

$$
\partial_{x_{i}} T_{n}\left(x, x_{n}\right)=-\frac{\left[\partial_{x_{i}} F_{\nu_{S(x)}}\right]\left(T_{n}\left(x, x_{n}\right)\right)}{F_{\nu_{S(x)}}^{\prime}\left(T_{n}\left(x, x_{n}\right)\right)} \partial_{x_{i}} F_{\mu_{x}}\left(x_{n}\right) .
$$

Let us write out multipliers separately:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(F_{\nu_{S(x)}}^{\prime}\left(T_{n}\left(x, x_{n}\right)\right)\right)^{-1}=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\nu}(S(x), t) d t}{\rho_{\nu}\left(S(x), T_{n}\left(x, x_{n}\right)\right)} ; \\
\left.\left|\left[\partial_{x_{i}} F_{\nu_{S(x)}}\right]\left(T_{n}\left(x, x_{n}\right)\right)\right|=\left|\partial_{x_{i}}\left(\frac{\int_{0}^{y} \rho_{\nu}(S(x), t) d t}{\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\nu}(S(x), t) d t}\right)\right|_{y=T_{n}\left(x, x_{n}\right)} \right\rvert\, \\
\leq \frac{2}{\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\nu}(S(x), t) d t} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j=i}^{n-1}\left|\left[\partial_{x_{j}} \rho_{\nu}\right](S(x), t) \partial_{x_{i}} T_{j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}\right)\right| d t, \\
\left|\partial_{x_{i}} F_{\mu_{x}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right| \\
=\left|\partial_{x_{i}}\left(\frac{\int_{0}^{x_{n}} \rho_{\mu}(x, t) d t}{\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\mu}(x, t) d t}\right)\right| \leq 2 \frac{\int_{0}^{1}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} \rho_{\mu}(x, t)\right| d t}{\int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\mu}(x, t) d t} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly to inequality (5) we obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x_{i}} T_{n}\left(x, x_{n}\right) \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon^{2}} \cdot \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j=i}^{n-1}\left|\left[\partial_{x_{j}} \rho_{\nu}\right](S(x), t) \partial_{x_{i}} T_{j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}\right)\right| d t \int_{0}^{1}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} \rho_{\mu}(x, t)\right| d t \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the inductive assumption for $j=1, \ldots, n-1$ the function $\partial_{x_{i}} T_{j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}\right)$ belongs to $L^{p_{j}}(\Omega)$. In particular, for any $j$ this expression belongs to $L^{p_{n-1}}(\Omega)$. The function $\int_{0}^{1}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} \rho_{\mu}(x, t)\right| d t$ belongs to $L^{\alpha}(\Omega)$ and one has $\partial_{x_{j}} \rho_{\nu}(x, t) \in L^{\beta}(\Omega)$ for any $j$. Then by the change of variable formula (see [1, p. 7]) we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\left[\partial_{x_{j}} \rho_{\nu}\right](S(x), t)\right|^{\beta} d x d t=\int_{\Omega}\left|\partial_{x_{j}} \rho_{\nu}(x, t)\right|^{\beta} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \partial_{x_{k}} T_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) d x d t
$$

where $\varepsilon / M \leq \partial_{x_{k}} T_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \leq M / \varepsilon$ according to (7). Thus $\left[\partial_{x_{j}} \rho_{\nu}\right]$ ( $\left.S(x), t\right)$ belongs to $L^{\beta}(\Omega)$. By using Hölder's inequality we obtain that the right hand side of inequality (8) and therefore the left hand side belongs to $L^{q}(\Omega)$ where $1 / q=1 / \alpha+1 / \beta+1 / p_{n-1}$, i.e., $q=p_{n}$. In addition, the following chain of equalities holds true:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\partial_{x_{i}} T_{n}\right\|_{L^{p_{n}}(\Omega)} \leq C \max _{i \leq j \leq n-1}\left\|\partial_{x_{i}} T_{j}\right\|_{L^{p_{n-1}(\Omega)}} \max _{i \leq j \leq n-1}\left\|\partial_{x_{j}} \rho_{\nu}\right\|_{L^{\beta}(\Omega)}\left\|\partial_{x_{i}} \rho_{\mu}\right\|_{L^{\alpha}(\Omega)} \\
& \leq \leq \max _{i \leq j \leq n-1}\left\|\partial_{x_{i}} T_{j}\right\|_{L^{p_{j}}(\Omega)}\left\|\rho_{\nu}\right\|_{W^{\beta, 1}(\Omega)}\left\|\rho_{\mu}\right\|_{W^{\alpha, 1}(\Omega)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a constant depending only on $\varepsilon$ and $M$. Then by induction we can obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{x_{i}} T_{n}\right\|_{L^{p_{n}}(\Omega)} \leq C_{1}\left\|\rho_{\nu}\right\|_{W^{\beta, 1}(\Omega)}^{n-1}\left\|\rho_{\mu}\right\|_{W^{\alpha, 1}(\Omega)}^{n-1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a constant number depending only on $\varepsilon$ and $M$.
From now on we do not assume that the density $\rho_{\nu}$ is smooth. As in the case $n=2$ let us find a sequence of smooth densities $\rho_{\nu^{(m)}}$ for which the hypotheses of the theorem are fulfilled with the same $\varepsilon, M$ and $\beta$ for any $m$, and the sequence $\rho_{\nu^{(m)}}$ converges to $\rho_{\nu}$ in $W^{\beta, 1}(\Omega)$. By inequality (9) applied to the densities $\rho_{\nu^{(m)}}$ and the corresponding triangular transformations $T^{(m)}$, it is easy to show the boundedness of the sequence of functions $\partial_{x_{i}} T_{n}^{(m)}$ in the class $L^{p_{n}}(\Omega)$. The functions $T_{n}^{(m)}$ converge to $T_{n}$ in $L^{p_{n}}(\Omega)$. Hence $T_{n}$ is a limit of the sequence of functions $T_{n}^{(m)}$ in $W^{p_{n}, 1}(\Omega)$. Theorem is completely proved.
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