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#### Abstract

This paper has two parts. In part I, existence and uniqueness results are established for solutions of stochastic evolution equations driven both by Brownain motion and by Poisson point processes. Exponential integrability of the solution are also proved. In part II, a large deviation principle is obtained for stochastic evolution equations driven by additive Lévy noise.


AMS Subject Classification: Primary 60H15 Secondary 93E20, 35R60.

## 1 Introduction

Stochastic evolution equations and stochastic partial differential equations driven by Wiener processes have been studied by many people. There exists a great amount of literature on the subject, see, for example the monograph [DZ]. In contrast, there has not been very much study of stochastic partial differential equations driven by jump processes. However, it begun to gain attention recently. In [AWZ] we obtained existence and uniqueness for solutions of stochastic reaction diffusion equations driven by Poisson random mesasures. In $[F]$, Malliavin calculus was applied to study the absolute continuity of the law of the solutions of stochastic reaction diffusion equations driven by Poisson random measures. In [MC], a minimal solution was obtained for the stochastic heat equation driven by non-negative Lévy noise with coefficients of polynomial growth. In [ML], a weak solution is established for the stochastic heat equation driven by stable noise with coefficients of polynomial growth.

In this paper, we consider the following evolution equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
d Y_{t} & =-A Y_{t} d t+b\left(Y_{t}\right) d t+\sigma\left(Y_{t}\right) d B_{t}+\int_{X} f\left(Y_{t-}, x\right) \tilde{N}(d t, d x)  \tag{1.1}\\
Y_{0} & =h \in H \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]in the framework of a Gelfand triple :
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \subset H \cong H^{*} \subset V^{*}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $H, V$ are Hilbert spaces, $A$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup, $b, \sigma, f$ are measurable mappings from $H$ into $H$. The solutions are considered to be weak solutions (in the PDE sense) in the space $V$ and not as mild solutions in $H$ as is more common in the literature. The stochastic evolution equations of this type driven by Wiener processes were first studied by E.Pardoux in $[\mathrm{P}]$ and subsequently in $[\mathrm{KR}]$. For stochastic equations with general Hilbert space valued semimartingales replacing the Brownian motion we refer to [GK1], [GK2], and [G]. A large deviation principle for this type of stochastic evolution equations driven by Wiener process was obtained by P.Chow in [C].

The purpose of this paper is twofold. The first one is to establish the existence and uniqueness for solutions of equation (1.1). Our approach is similar to the one in [P], [GK1], [GK2], [G]. We, however, don't use Galerkin approximations. Instead, we get the solution via successive approximations. Secondly we will study the large deviation principle and exponential integrability of the solutions. We will prove that the solution is exponentially integrable both as a random variable in $D([0,1] \rightarrow H)$ and in $L^{2}([0,1] \rightarrow V)$. These estimates are of their own interest and also necessary for the study of large deviations. For the large deviation principle, we confine ourselves to the case of additive Lévy noise. The situation is quite different from the Gaussian case. If $X_{t}, t \geq 0$ is a Wiener process, the solution of the equation:

$$
d Y_{t}^{n}=-A Y_{t}^{n} d t+\frac{1}{n} d X_{t}
$$

is still Gaussian. The large deviations of $Y^{n}$ follows from the well known large deviations of Gaussian processes. However, if $X_{t}, t \geq 0$, is a Lévy process, the solution $Y^{n}$ is no longer a Lévy process. The additive noise case is already quite involved. Large deviations for stochastic evolution equations and stochastic partial differential equations have been investigated in many papers, see e.g. [CM2],[CR], [CW], [Z]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first to study large deviations for stochastic evolution equations driven by Lévy processes. Large deviations for Lévy processes on Banach spaces and large deviations for solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by Poisson measures were studied by de Acosta in [A1], [A2].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present our framework. Existence and uniqueness are proved in Section 3. In Section 4, exponential integrability is established for the solutions. Section 5 is devoted to the large deviation principle.

## 2 Framework

Let $V, H$ be two separable Hilbert spaces such that $V$ is continuously, densely imbedded in $H$. Identifying $H$ with its dual we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \subset H \cong H^{*} \subset V^{*} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V^{*}$ stands for the topological dual of $V$. Let $A$ be a bounded linear operator from $V$ to $V^{*}$ satisfying the following coercivity hypothesis: There exist constants $\alpha>0$ and $\lambda_{0} \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\langle A u, u\rangle+\lambda_{0}|u|_{H}^{2} \geq \alpha\|u\|_{V}^{2} \quad \text { for all } u \in V \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\langle A u, u\rangle=A u(u)$ denotes the action of $A u \in V^{*}$ on $u \in V$.
We remark that $A$ is generally not bounded as an operator from $H$ into $H$. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space equipped with a filtration $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}$ satisfying the usual conditions. Let $\left\{B_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ be a real-valued $\mathcal{F}_{t^{-}}$Brownian motion. $\left\{W_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ will denote an $H$-valued $\mathcal{F}_{t^{-}}$Brownian motion with covariance operator $Q$ which could be degenerate. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}(X))$ be a measurable space and $\nu(d x)$ a $\sigma$-finite measure on it. Let $p=(p(t)), t \in D_{p}$ be a stationary $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-Poisson point process on $X$ with characteristic measure $\nu$. See [IW] for the details on Poisson point processes. Denote by $N(d t, d x)$ the Poisson counting measure associated with $p$, i.e., $N(t, A)=\sum_{s \in D_{p}, s \leq t} I_{A}(p(s))$. Let $\tilde{N}(d t, d x):=N(d t, d x)-d t \nu(d x)$ be the compensated Poisson mesasure. Let $b, \sigma$ be measurable mappings from $H$ into $H$, and $f(y, x)$ a measurable mapping from $H \times X$ into $H$. For a separable Hilbert space $L$, we denote by $M^{2}([0, T], L)$ the Hilbert space of progressively measurable, square integrable, $L$-valued processes equipped with the inner product $<a, b>_{M}=$ $E\left[\int_{0}^{T}<a_{t}, b_{t}>_{L} d t\right]$. Denote by $M^{\nu, 2}([0, T] \times X, L)$ the collection of predictable mappings:

$$
g(s, x, \omega):[0, T] \times X \times \Omega \rightarrow L
$$

such that $E\left[\int_{0}^{T} \int_{X}|g(s, x, \omega)|_{L}^{2} d s \nu(d x)\right]<\infty$. Denote by $D([0, T], L)$ the space of all cadlag paths from $[0, T]$ into $L$. Consider the stochastic evolution equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
d Y_{t} & =-A Y_{t} d t+b\left(Y_{t}\right) d t+\sigma\left(Y_{t}\right) d B_{t}+\int_{X} f\left(Y_{t-}, x\right) \tilde{N}(d t, d x)  \tag{2.3}\\
Y_{0} & =h \in H \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We introduce
(H.1) There exists a constant $C<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|b(y)|_{H}^{2}+|\sigma(y)|_{H}^{2}+\int_{X}|f(y, x)|_{H}^{2} \nu(d x) \leq C\left(1+|y|_{H}^{2}\right), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in H$.
(H.2) There exists a constant $C<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& \left|b\left(y_{1}\right)-b\left(y_{2}\right)\right|_{H}^{2}+\left|\sigma\left(y_{1}\right)-\sigma\left(y_{2}\right)\right|_{H}^{2} \\
+ & \int_{X}\left|f\left(y_{1}, x\right)-f\left(y_{2}, x\right)\right|_{H}^{2} \nu(d x) \\
\leq & C\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|_{H}^{2}, \tag{2.6}
\end{array}
$$

for all $y_{1}, y_{2} \in H$.
We finish this section by two examples.
Example 2.1 Let $H=L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, and set

$$
V=H_{2}^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{2}\left(R^{d}\right) ; \nabla u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\right\} .
$$

Denote by $a(x)=\left(a_{i j}(x)\right)$ a matrix-valued function on $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ satisfying the uniform ellipticity condition:

$$
\frac{1}{c} I_{d} \leq a(x) \leq c I_{d} \quad \text { for some constant } c \in(0, \infty)
$$

Let $b(x)$ be a vector field on $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ with $b \in L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ for some $p>d$. Define

$$
A u=-\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u(x))+b(x) \cdot \nabla u(x) .
$$

Then (2.2) is fulfilled for $(H, V, A)$.
Example 2.2 Stochastic evolution equations associated with fractional Laplacian:

$$
\begin{align*}
d Y_{t} & =\Delta_{\alpha} Y_{t} d t+d L_{t},  \tag{2.7}\\
Y_{0} & =h \in H, \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta_{\alpha}$ denotes the generator of the symmetric $\alpha$-stable process in $R^{d}$, $0<\alpha \leq 2 . \Delta_{\alpha}$ is called the fractional Laplace operator. $L_{t}$ stands for a Lévy process. It is well known that the Dirichlet form associated with $\Delta_{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}(u, v)=K(d, \alpha) \iint_{R^{d} \times R^{d}} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))(v(x)-v(y))}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} d x d y, \\
& D(\mathcal{E})=\left\{u \in L^{2}\left(R^{d}\right): \quad \iint_{R^{d} \times R^{d}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} d x d y<\infty\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K(d, \alpha)=\alpha 2^{\alpha-3} \pi^{-\frac{d+2}{2}} \sin \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d+\alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$. To study equation (2.7), we choose $H=L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, and $V=D(\mathcal{E})$ with the inner product $\langle u, v\rangle=$ $\mathcal{E}(u, v)+(u, v)_{L^{2}\left(R^{d}\right)}$.
Define

$$
A u=-\Delta_{\alpha} .
$$

Then (2.2) is fulfilled for $(H, V, A)$. See [FOT] for details about the fractional Laplace operator.

## 3 Existence and uniqueness

In [GK1], [GK2] and [G] it is explained how under certain conditions results on existence and uniqueness of solutions for equations with random measures as driving term can be derived from there results. In this section we prove existence and uniqueness for solutions of our equation (1.1), however, directly by a different method.

Proposition 3.1 Let $b \in M^{2}([0, T], H), \sigma \in M^{2}([0, T], H)$ and $f \in M^{\nu, 2}([0, T] \times$ $X, H)$. There exists a unique solution $Y_{t}, t \geq 0$ to the following equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
Y \in & M^{2}([0, T], V) \cap D([0, T], H), \\
d Y_{t}= & -A Y_{t} d t+b(t, \omega) d t+\sigma(t, \omega) d B_{t} \\
& +\int_{X} f(t, x, \omega) \tilde{N}(d t, d x),  \tag{3.1}\\
Y_{0}= & h \in H . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We prove the existence in two steps.
Step 1. Assume $b \in M^{2}([0, T], V), \sigma \in M^{2}([0, T], V)$ and $f \in M^{\nu, 2}([0, T] \times$ $X, V)$. Set

$$
U_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} b(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma(s) d B_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{X} f(s, x) \tilde{N}(d s, d x)
$$

It is easy to see that $U \in M^{2}([0, T], V)$. Consider the random equation:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
d v_{t} & = & \left(-A v_{t}-A U_{t}\right) d t,  \tag{3.3}\\
v_{0} & =h .
\end{array}
$$

It is known from [L] that there exists a unique solution $v$ to equation (3.3) such that $v \in M^{2}([0, T], V) \cap C([0, T], H)$. Set $Y_{t}:=v_{t}+U_{t}$. Then $Y \in M^{2}([0, T], V) \cap D([0, T], H)$. Moreover, it solves equation (3.1).

Step 2. General case.
Choose $b_{n} \in M^{2}([0, T], V), \sigma_{n} \in M^{2}([0, T], V)$ and $f_{n} \in M^{\nu, 2}([0, T] \times$ $X, V)$ such that $b_{n} \rightarrow b, \sigma_{n} \rightarrow \sigma$ in $M^{2}([0, T], H)$ and $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ in $M^{\nu, 2}([0, T] \times$ $X, H)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Denote by $Y_{t}^{n}$ the unique solution to equation (3.1) with $b, \sigma, f$ replaced by $b_{n}, \sigma_{n}, f_{n}$. Such a $Y^{n}$ exists by step 1. By Ito's formula,
we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|_{H}^{2} \\
= & -2 \int_{0}^{t}<Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}, A\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right)>d s \\
+ & 2 \int_{0}^{t}<Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}, b_{n}(s)-b_{m}(s)>d s+2 \int_{0}^{t}<Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}, \sigma_{n}(s)-\sigma_{m}(s)>d B_{s} \\
+ & \int_{0}^{t}\left|\sigma_{n}(s)-\sigma_{m}(s)\right|_{H}^{2} d s \\
+ & \int_{0}^{t} \int_{X}\left(\left|f_{n}(s, x)-f_{m}(s, x)\right|_{H}^{2}+2<Y_{s-}^{n}-Y_{s-}^{m}, f_{n}(s, x)-f_{m}(s, x)>_{H}\right) \tilde{N}(d s, d x) \\
+ & \int_{0}^{t} \int_{X}\left|f_{n}(s, x)-f_{m}(s, x)\right|_{H}^{2} d s \nu(d x) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

In the following, $C$ will denote a generic constant whose values might change from line to line. Set

$$
M_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{X}\left(\left|f_{n}(s, x)-f_{m}(s, x)\right|_{H}^{2}+2<Y_{s-}^{n}-Y_{s-}^{m}, f_{n}(s, x)-f_{m}(s, x)>_{H}\right) \tilde{N}(d s, d x)
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[M, M]_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}=} \\
\left\{\sum_{s \in D_{p}, s \leq t}\left(\left|f_{n}(s, p(s))-f_{m}(s, p(s))\right|_{H}^{2}+2<Y_{s-}^{n}-Y_{s-}^{m}, f_{n}(s, p(s))-f_{m}(s, p(s))>_{H}\right)^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq C\left(\sum_{s \in D_{p}, s \leq t}\left|f_{n}(s, p(s))-f_{m}(s, p(s))\right|_{H}^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
+C\left(\sum_{s \in D_{p}, s \leq t}\left|Y_{s-}^{n}-Y_{s-}^{m}\right|_{H}^{2}\left|f_{n}(s, p(s))-f_{m}(s, p(s))\right|_{H}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq C \sum_{s \in D_{p}, s \leq t}\left|f_{n}(s, p(s))-f_{m}(s, p(s))\right|_{H}^{2} \\
+C \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left(\left|Y_{s-}^{n}-Y_{s-}^{m}\right|_{H}\right)\left(\sum_{s \in D_{p}, s \leq t}\left|f_{n}(s, p(s))-f_{m}(s, p(s))\right|_{H}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq C \sum_{s \in D_{p}, s \leq t}\left|f_{n}(s, p(s))-f_{m}(s, p(s))\right|_{H}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left(\left|Y_{s-}^{n}-Y_{s-}^{m}\right|_{H}^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

By Burkholder's inequality,

$$
E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|M_{s}\right|\right] \leq C E\left([M, M]_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq C E\left[\sum_{s \in D_{p}, s \leq t}\left|f_{n}(s, p(s))-f_{m}(s, p(s))\right|_{H}^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{4} E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|Y_{s-}^{n}-Y_{s-}^{m}\right|_{H}^{2}\right] \\
= & C E\left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{X}\left|f_{n}(s, x)-f_{m}(s, x)\right|_{H}^{2} d s \nu(d x)\right]+\frac{1}{4} E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|_{H}^{2}\right] . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.4) and (2.2) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|_{H}^{2}\right] \leq-\alpha E\left[\int_{0}^{t}| | Y_{s}^{n}-\left.Y_{s}^{m}\right|_{V} ^{2} d s\right] \\
+\left(\lambda_{0}+C\right) E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right]+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|b_{n}(s)-b_{m}(s)\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right] \\
+C E\left[\left(\int_{0}^{t}<Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}, \sigma_{n}(s)-\sigma_{m}(s)>_{H}^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|\sigma_{n}(s)-\sigma_{m}(s)\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right] \\
+C E\left([M, M]_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{X}\left|f_{n}(s, x)-f_{m}(s, x)\right|_{H}^{2} d s \nu(d x)\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying (3.5) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|_{H}^{2}\right] \\
\leq-\alpha E\left[\int_{0}^{t} \| Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}| |_{V}^{2} d s\right]+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right] \\
+\frac{1}{2} E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left(\left|Y_{s-}^{n}-Y_{s-}^{m}\right|_{H}^{2}\right]+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|b_{n}(s)-b_{m}(s)\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right]\right. \\
+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|\sigma_{n}(s)-\sigma_{m}(s)\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right]+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{X}\left|f_{n}(s, x)-f_{m}(s, x)\right|_{H}^{2} d s \nu(d x)\right] . \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

By Gronwall's inequality, this implies that

$$
\begin{gather*}
E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|_{H}^{2}\right] \leq C e^{C t}\left\{E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|b_{n}(s)-b_{m}(s)\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right]\right. \\
\left.+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|\sigma_{n}(s)-\sigma_{m}(s)\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right]+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{X}\left|f_{n}(s, x)-f_{m}(s, x)\right|_{H}^{2} d s \nu(d x)\right]\right\} . \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|_{H}^{2}\right]=0 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This further implies by (3.6) that $Y^{n}, n \geq 1$ is also a Cauchy sequence in $M^{2}([0, T], V)$. Let $Y_{t}, t \geq 0$ denote an element in $M^{2}([0, T], V)$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right|_{H}^{2}\right]=0,
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left\|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right\|_{V}^{2} d s\right]=0
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $Y_{t}, t \geq 0$ is a solution to equation (3.1).
Uniqueness: If $X_{t}, Y_{t}$ are two solutions to equation (3.1), then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d\left(X_{t}-Y_{t}\right)}{d t}=-A\left(X_{t}-Y_{t}\right), \\
X_{0}-Y_{0}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By the chain rule, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|X_{t}-Y_{t}\right|_{H}^{2}=-2 \int_{0}^{t}<X_{s}-Y_{s}, A\left(X_{s}-Y_{s}\right)>d s \\
& \quad \leq-\alpha \int_{0}^{t}\left\|X_{s}-Y_{s}\right\|_{V}^{2} d s+\lambda_{0} \int_{0}^{t}\left|X_{s}-Y_{s}\right|_{H}^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we obtain that $Y_{t}=X_{t}$, which completes the proof.
Theorem 3.2 Assume (H.1) and (H.2). Then there exists a unique $H$ valued progressively measurable process $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ such that
(i) $Y \in M^{2}(0, T ; V) \cap D(0, T ; H)$ for any $T>0$,
(ii) $Y_{t}=h-\int_{0}^{t} A Y_{s} d s+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(Y_{s}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(Y_{s}\right) d B_{s}+\int_{0}^{t+} \int_{X} f\left(Y_{s-}, x\right) \tilde{N}(d s, d x)$ a.s.,
(iii) $Y_{0}=h \in H$.

## Proof.

Existence of solution.
Let $Y_{t}^{0}:=h, t \geq 0$. For $n \geq 0$, define $Y^{n+1} \in M^{2}(0, T ; V) \cap D(0, T ; H)$ to be the unique solution to the following equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
d Y_{t}^{n+1}= & -A Y_{t}^{n+1} d t+b\left(Y_{t}^{n}\right) d t+\sigma\left(Y_{t}^{n}\right) d B_{t} \\
& +f\left(Y_{t-}^{n}, x\right) \tilde{N}(d t, d x),  \tag{3.9}\\
Y_{0}^{n}= & h . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution $Y^{n+1}$ of the above equation exists according to Proposition 3.1. We are going to show that $\left\{Y^{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ forms a Cauchy sequence. Using Itô's
formula, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|Y_{t}^{n+1}-Y_{t}^{n}\right|_{H}^{2} \\
& =-2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle Y_{s}^{n+1}-Y_{s}^{n}, A\left(Y_{s}^{n+1}-Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right\rangle d s \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{t}<Y_{s}^{n+1}-Y_{s}^{n}, b\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)-b\left(Y_{s}^{n-1}\right)>d s \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{t}<Y_{s}^{n+1}-Y_{s}^{n}, \sigma\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)-\sigma\left(Y_{s}^{n-1}\right)>d B_{s} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left|\sigma\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)-\sigma\left(Y_{s}^{n-1}\right)\right|_{H}^{2} d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t+} \int_{X}\left[\left|f\left(Y_{s-}^{n}, x\right)-f\left(Y_{s-}^{n-1}, x\right)\right|_{H}^{2}+2<Y_{s}^{n+1}-Y_{s}^{n}, f\left(Y_{s-}^{n}, x\right)-f\left(Y_{s-}^{n-1}, x\right)>\right] \tilde{N}(d s, d x) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{X}\left|f\left(Y_{s}^{n}, x\right)-f\left(Y_{s}^{n-1}, x\right)\right|_{H}^{2} d s \nu(d x) . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

By a similar calculation as in Proposition 3.1, it follows from (3.11) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}^{n+1}-Y_{s}^{n}\right|_{H}^{2}\right] \\
\leq-\alpha E\left[\int_{0}^{t}| | Y_{s}^{n+1}-Y_{s}^{n}| |_{V}^{2} d s\right]+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|Y_{s}^{n+1}-Y_{s}^{n}\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right] \\
+\frac{1}{2} E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}^{n+1}-Y_{s}^{n}\right|_{H}^{2}\right]+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|b\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)-b\left(Y_{s}^{n-1}\right)\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right] \\
+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|\sigma\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)-\sigma\left(Y_{s}^{n-1}\right)\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right]+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{X}\left|f\left(Y_{s}^{n}, x\right)-f\left(Y_{s}^{n-1}, x\right)\right|_{H}^{2} d s \nu(d x)\right] . \tag{3.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

By virtue of (H.1), this implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}^{n+1}-Y_{s}^{n}\right|_{H}^{2}\right] & \leq C E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|Y_{s}^{n+1}-Y_{s}^{n}\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right] \\
& +C E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{n-1}\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right] \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
g_{t}^{n}=E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{n-1}\right|_{H}^{2}\right], \quad G_{t}^{n}=\int_{0}^{t} g_{s}^{n} d s .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{t}^{n+1} \leq C G_{t}^{n+1}+C G_{t}^{n} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying above inequality by $e^{-C t}$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left(G_{t}^{n+1} e^{-C t}\right)}{d t} \leq C e^{-C t} G_{t}^{n} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{t}^{n+1} \leq C e^{C t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-C s} G_{s}^{n} d s \leq C e^{C t} t G_{t}^{n} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.14) and (3.16) we see that for a fixed $T>0$, and $t \leq T$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{t}^{n+1} \leq C^{2} e^{C t} t G_{t}^{n}+C G_{t}^{n} \leq C_{T} \int_{0}^{t} g_{s}^{n} d s \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C_{T}$. Iterating (3.17), we obtain that

$$
E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq T}\left|Y_{s}^{n+1}-Y_{s}^{n}\right|_{H}^{2}\right] \leq C \frac{\left(C_{T} T\right)^{n}}{n!}
$$

This implies that there exists $Y \in D([0, T], H)$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq T}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right|_{H}^{2}\right]=0 .
$$

In view of (3.12) we see that $Y^{n}$ also converges to $Y$ in $M^{2}(0, T ; V)$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.9) it is seen that $Y$ is a solution to equation (ii) in the statement of the theorem.

Uniqueness.
Let $X, Y$ be two solutions to (ii) in $M^{2}(0, T ; V) \cap D(0, T ; H)$. By Ito's formula, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|Y_{t}-X_{t}\right|_{H}^{2} \\
& =-2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle Y_{s}-X_{s}, A\left(Y_{s}-X_{s}\right)\right\rangle d s \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{t}<Y_{s}-X_{s}, b\left(Y_{s}\right)-b\left(X_{s}\right)>d s \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{t}<Y_{s}-X_{s}, \sigma\left(Y_{s}\right)-\sigma\left(X_{s}\right)>d B_{s} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left|\sigma\left(Y_{s}\right)-\sigma\left(X_{s}\right)\right|_{H}^{2} d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{X}\left[\left|f\left(Y_{s-}, x\right)-f\left(X_{s-}, x\right)\right|_{H}^{2}+2<Y_{s}-X_{s}, f\left(Y_{s-}, x\right)-f\left(X_{s-}, x\right)>\right] \tilde{N}(d s, d x) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{X}\left|f\left(Y_{s}, x\right)-f\left(X_{s}, x\right)\right|_{H}^{2} d s \nu(d x) . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

By virtue of (H.2), it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
E\left[\left|Y_{t}-X_{t}\right|_{H}^{2}\right] \\
\leq-\alpha E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left\|Y_{s}-X_{s}\right\|_{V}^{2} d s\right]+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|Y_{s}-X_{s}\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
+\frac{1}{2} E\left[\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}-X_{s}\right|_{H}^{2}\right]+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|b\left(Y_{s}\right)-b\left(X_{s}\right)\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right] \\
+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|\sigma\left(Y_{s}\right)-\sigma\left(X_{s}\right)\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right]+C E\left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{X}\left|f\left(Y_{s}, x\right)-f\left(X_{s}, x\right)\right|_{H}^{2} d s \nu(d x)\right] \\
\leq C E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|Y_{s}-X_{s}\right|_{H}^{2} d s\right] \tag{3.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, $X_{t}=Y_{t}$.
Next we move to a more general equation which includes terms involving also Poisson measures. Let $U$ be a set in $B(X)$ such that $\nu(X \backslash U)<\infty$. Let $g(y, x)$ be a measurable mapping from $H \times X$ into $H$. Introduce the following conditions:
(H.3) There exists a constant $C<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|b(y)|_{H}^{2}+|\sigma(y)|_{H}^{2}+\int_{U}|g(y, x)|_{H}^{2} \nu(d x) \leq C\left(1+|y|_{H}^{2}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in H$.
(H.4) There exists a constant $C<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& \left|b\left(y_{1}\right)-b\left(y_{2}\right)\right|_{H}^{2}+\left|\sigma\left(y_{1}\right)-\sigma\left(y_{2}\right)\right|_{H}^{2} \\
+ & \int_{U}\left|g\left(y_{1}, x\right)-g\left(y_{2}, x\right)\right|_{H}^{2} \nu(d x) \\
\leq & C\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|_{H}^{2} \tag{3.22}
\end{array}
$$

for all $y_{1}, y_{2} \in H$.
Consider the stochastic evolution equation:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Y_{t}=h-\int_{0}^{t} A Y_{s} d s+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(Y_{s}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(Y_{s}\right) d B_{s} \\
+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} g\left(Y_{t-}, x\right) \tilde{N}(d t, d x)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{X \backslash U} g\left(Y_{t-}, x\right) N(d t, d x) . \tag{3.23}
\end{array}
$$

Theorem 3.3 Assume (H.3) and (H.4). Then there exists a unique $H$ valued progressively measurable process $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ such that
(i) $Y \in M^{2}(0, T ; V) \cap D(0, T ; H)$ for any $T>0$,
(ii)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Y_{t}=h-\int_{0}^{t} A Y_{s} d s+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(Y_{s}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(Y_{s}\right) d B_{s} \\
+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} g\left(Y_{t-}, x\right) \tilde{N}(d t, d x)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{X \backslash U} g\left(Y_{t-}, x\right) N(d t, d x) \tag{3.24}
\end{array}
$$

(iii) $Y_{0}=h \in H$.

Proof. Having Theorem 3.2 in hand, this theorem can be proved in the same way as in the finite dimensional case (see [IW]). For completeness we sketch the proof. Let $\tau_{1}<\tau_{2}<\cdots$ be the enumeration of all elements in $D=\left\{s \in D_{p} ; \quad p(s) \in X \backslash U\right\}$. It is clear that $\tau_{n}$ is an $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$-stopping time and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n}=\infty$. First we solve the equation on the time interval $\left[0, \tau_{1}\right]$. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{t}= & h-\int_{0}^{t} A X_{s} d s+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(X_{s}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(X_{s}\right) d B_{s} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} g\left(X_{t-}, x\right) \tilde{N}(d t, d x) . \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Following the same proof as that of Theorem 3.2, it is seen that there exists a unique solution $X_{t}, t \geq 0$ to equation (3.25). Set

$$
Y_{t}^{1}=X_{t}, 0 \leq t<\tau_{1}, \quad=Y_{\tau_{1}-}+g\left(Y_{\tau_{1}-}, p\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right), t=\tau_{1} .
$$

Clearly the process $\left\{Y_{t}^{1}\right\}_{t \in\left[0, \tau_{1}\right]}$ is the unique solution to equation (3.24). Now, set $\hat{B}_{t}=B_{t+\tau_{1}}-B_{\tau_{1}}, \hat{p}(s)=p\left(s+\tau_{1}\right)$. We can construct the process $Y_{t}^{2}$ on $\left[0, \hat{\tau}_{1}\right]$ with respect to the initial value $Y_{0}^{2}=Y_{\tau_{1}}^{1}$, Brownian motion $\hat{B}$ and Poisson point process $\hat{p}$ in the same way as $Y_{t}^{1}$. Note that $\hat{\tau}_{1}$ defined with respect to $\hat{p}$ coincides with $\tau_{2}-\tau_{1}$. Define

$$
Y_{t}=Y_{t}^{1}, t \in\left[0, \tau_{1}\right], \quad=Y_{t-\tau_{1}}, t \in\left[\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right] .
$$

It is easy to see that $\left\{Y_{t}\right\}_{t \in\left[0, \tau_{2}\right]}$ is the unique solution to equation (3.24) in the interval $\left[0, \tau_{2}\right]$. Continuing this procedure successively, we get the unique solution $Y$ to equation (3.24).

## 4 Exponential integrability

(H.5) There exists a measurable function $\bar{f}$ on $X$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{y \in H}|f(y, x)|_{H} \leq \bar{f}(x), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X}(\bar{f}(x))^{2} \exp (a \bar{f}(x)) \nu(d x)<\infty, \quad \text { for all } \quad a>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this section, for simplicity we assume that $b=0, \sigma=0$ in equation (1.1). Again we denote the solution of (1.1) by $Y_{t}$.
Lemma 4.1 For $g \in C_{b}^{2}(H), M_{t}^{g}=\exp \left(g\left(Y_{t}\right)-g(h)-\int_{0}^{t} h\left(Y_{s}\right) d s\right)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-local martingale, where
$h(y)=<-A y, g^{\prime}(y)>+\int_{X}\left(\exp [g(y+f(y, x))-g(y)]-1-<g^{\prime}(y), f(y, x)>\right) \nu(d x)$.

Proof. Applying Itô's formula first to $\exp \left(g\left(Y_{t}\right)\right)$ and then to $\exp \left(g\left(Y_{t}\right)-\right.$ $g(h)) \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} h\left(Y_{s}\right) d s\right)$ proves the lemma.

Proposition 4.2 Assume (2.2) with $\lambda_{0}=0$ and also (H.5). Then for $r>0$ and any $\lambda>0$, there exists a constant $C_{\lambda}$ such that

$$
P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}\right|_{H}>r\right) \leq C_{\lambda} e^{-\left(1+\lambda r^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$

Proof. For $\lambda>0$, set $g(y)=\left(1+\lambda|y|_{H}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
g^{\prime}(y)=\lambda\left(1+\lambda|y|_{H}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} y, \\
g^{\prime \prime}(y)=-\lambda^{2}\left(1+\lambda|y|_{H}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} y \times y+\lambda\left(1+\lambda|y|_{H}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} I_{H} .
\end{gathered}
$$

where $I_{H}$ stands for the identity operator. It is easy to see that

$$
\sup _{y}\left|g^{\prime \prime}(y)\right| \leq \lambda, \quad \sup _{y}\left|g^{\prime}(y)\right| \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
<-A y, g^{\prime}(y)>=\lambda\left(1+\lambda|y|_{H}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}<-A y, y>\leq 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $y \in V$. Write $G(y)=e^{g(y)}$. By Taylor's expansion, there exists $\theta$ between 0 and 1 such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp [g(y+f(y, x))-g(y)]-1-<g^{\prime}(y), f(y, x)> \\
=e^{-g(y)}\left[G(y+f(y, x))-G(y)-G(y)<g^{\prime}(y), f(y, x)>\right] \\
=\frac{1}{2} e^{-g(y)}<G^{\prime \prime}(y+\theta f(y, x)), f(y, x) \times f(y, x)>. \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that

$$
G^{\prime \prime}(y)=G(y) g^{\prime}(y) \times g^{\prime}(y)+G(y) g^{\prime \prime}(y)
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G^{\prime \prime}(y)\right|_{L(H)} \leq \lambda G(y), \quad \text { for all } \quad y \in H \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.4),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\exp [g(y+f(y, x))-g(y)]-1-<g^{\prime}(y), f(y, x)>\right| \\
& \leq \lambda \exp (g(y+\theta f(y, x))-g(y))|f(y, x)|_{H}^{2} \\
& =\lambda \exp \left(<g^{\prime}\left(y+\theta_{1} f(y, x)\right), \theta f(y, x)>\right)|f(y, x)|_{H}^{2} \\
& \leq \lambda \exp \left(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}|f(y, x)|_{H}\right)|f(y, x)|_{H}^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Lemma 4.1, with the above choice of $g, M_{t}^{g}=\exp \left(g\left(Y_{t}\right)-g(y)-\right.$ $\left.\int_{0}^{t} h\left(Y_{s}\right) d s\right)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-local martingale, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
h(y)=<-A y, g^{\prime}(y)>+\int_{X}\left(\exp [g(y+f(y, x))-g(y)]-1-<g^{\prime}(y), f(y, x)>\right) \nu(d x) \\
\quad \leq \int_{X} \lambda \exp \left(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}|f(y, x)|_{H}\right)|f(y, x)|_{H}^{2} \nu(d x) \\
\leq \int_{X} \operatorname{\lambda exp}\left(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}|\bar{f}(x)|_{H}\right)|\bar{f}(x)|_{H}^{2} \nu(d x)=M_{\lambda}<\infty \tag{4.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}\right|_{H}>r\right)=P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} g\left(Y_{t}\right) \geq\left(1+\lambda r^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
=P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left(g\left(Y_{t}\right)-g(h)-\int_{0}^{t} h\left(Y_{s}\right) d s+g(h)+\int_{0}^{t} h\left(Y_{s}\right) d s\right) \geq\left(1+\lambda r^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
\leq P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left(g\left(Y_{t}\right)-g(h)-\int_{0}^{t} h\left(Y_{s}\right) d s\right)+g(h)+M_{\lambda} \geq\left(1+\lambda r^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
=P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left(g\left(Y_{t}\right)-g(h)-\int_{0}^{t} h\left(Y_{s}\right) d s\right) \geq\left(1+\lambda r^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-g(h)-M_{\lambda}\right) \\
\leq E\left[\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} M_{t}^{g}\right] \exp \left(-\left(1+\lambda r^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+g(h)+M_{\lambda}\right) . \tag{4.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $M_{t}^{g}$ is a non-negative local martingale (hence, a supermartingale), $E\left[\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} M_{t}^{g}\right] \leq 1$. Therefore the assertion follows with $C_{\lambda}=\exp (g(h)+$ $M_{\lambda}$ ).

Corollary 4.3 Assume (2.2) with $\lambda_{0}=0$ and also (H.5). Then for any $l>0$,

$$
E\left[\exp \left(l \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}\right|_{H}\right)\right]<\infty
$$

Proposition 4.4 Assume (2.2) with $\lambda_{0}=0$ and also (H.5). Then for any $l>0$,

$$
E\left[\exp \left(l\|Y\|_{L^{2}([0,1] \rightarrow V)}\right)\right]<\infty
$$

Proof. Let $Z_{\lambda}=\int_{0}^{1}\left(1+\lambda\left|Y_{s}\right|_{H}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|Y_{s}\right\|_{V}^{2} d s$. We first prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(Z_{\lambda}>r\right) \leq \exp \left(-\alpha \lambda r+M_{\lambda}+\left(1+\lambda|h|_{H}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{\lambda}$ is the same constant as in (4.7). For $\lambda>0$, define $g(y)=(1+$ $\left.\lambda|y|_{H}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In view of (2.2) we have

$$
<-A y, g^{\prime}(y)>=\lambda\left(1+\lambda|y|_{H}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}<-A y, y>
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq-\alpha \lambda\left(1+\lambda|y|_{H}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|y\|_{V}^{2} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the estimate in (4.7) can be strengthened as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(y) \leq-\alpha \lambda\left(1+\lambda|y|_{H}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|y\|_{V}^{2}+M_{\lambda} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M_{t}^{g}, t \geq 0$ be defined as in the proof of Proposition 4.2. By (4.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(Z_{\lambda}>r\right)=P\left(\alpha \lambda \int_{0}^{1}\left(1+\lambda\left|Y_{s}\right|_{H}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|Y_{s}\right\|_{V}^{2} d s>\alpha \lambda r\right) \\
& \leq P\left(g\left(Y_{1}\right)+\alpha \lambda \int_{0}^{1}\left(1+\lambda\left|Y_{s}\right|_{H}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|Y_{s}\right\|_{V}^{2} d s>\alpha \lambda r\right) \\
& =P\left(g\left(Y_{1}\right)-g(h)-\int_{0}^{1} h\left(Y_{s}\right) d s+g(h)+\int_{0}^{1} h\left(Y_{s}\right) d s\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\alpha \lambda \int_{0}^{1}\left(1+\lambda\left|Y_{s}\right|_{H}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|Y_{s}\right\|_{V}^{2} d s>\alpha \lambda r\right) \\
& \left.\leq P\left(g\left(Y_{1}\right)-g(h)-\int_{0}^{1} h\left(Y_{s}\right) d s\right)+g(h)+M_{\lambda}>\alpha \lambda r\right) \\
& \left.=P\left(g\left(Y_{1}\right)-g(h)-\int_{0}^{1} h\left(Y_{s}\right) d s\right)>\alpha \lambda r-g(h)-M_{\lambda}\right) \\
& \leq E\left[M_{1}^{g}\right] \exp \left(-\alpha \lambda r+g(h)+M_{\lambda}\right) \\
& \leq \exp \left(-\alpha \lambda r+g(h)+M_{\lambda}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

which proves (4.9). It is easy to see from (4.9) that for any $l>0$, one can choose $\lambda_{l}>0$ large enough so that $E\left[\exp \left(l Z_{\lambda_{l}}\right)\right]<\infty$. Now for every $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|Y\|_{L^{2}([0,1] \rightarrow V)}=\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left\|Y_{s}\right\|_{V}^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(1+\lambda\left|Y_{s}\right|_{H}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|Y_{s}\right\|_{V}^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+\lambda \sup _{0 \leq s \leq 1}\left|Y_{s}\right|_{H}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} Z_{\lambda}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda \sup _{0 \leq s \leq 1}\left|Y_{s}\right|_{H}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

By Hölder's inequality, for $l>0$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
E\left[\exp \left(l\|Y\|_{L^{2}([0,1] \rightarrow V)}\right)\right] \\
\leq E\left[\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} l Z_{\lambda}\right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} l\left(1+\lambda \sup _{0 \leq s \leq 1}\left|Y_{s}\right|_{H}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right] \\
\leq\left(E\left[\exp \left(l Z_{\lambda}\right)\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times\left(E\left[\exp \left(l\left(1+\lambda \sup _{0 \leq s \leq 1}\left|Y_{s}\right|_{H}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

According to (4.9), we can choose $\lambda$ such that $E\left[\exp \left(l Z_{\lambda}\right)\right]<\infty$. On the other hand $E\left[\exp \left(l\left(1+\lambda \sup _{0 \leq s \leq 1}\left|Y_{s}\right|_{H}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right]<\infty$ for all $\lambda>0$ according to Corollary 4.3. So we conclude that $E\left[\exp \left(l\|Y\|_{L^{2}([0,1] \rightarrow V)}\right)\right]<\infty$ proving the assertion.

## 5 Large deviations

In this section we consider the following Lévy process:

$$
L_{t}=b t+W_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{X} f(x) \tilde{N}(d s, d x)
$$

where $W$ is the $H$-valued Brownian motion introduced in Section 2, $b$ is a constant vector in $H$ and $f$ is a measurable mapping from $X$ into $H$. The following Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type stochastic evolution equation was first studied in [CM1] and subsequently by many other authors (cf. e.g. [FR]).

$$
\begin{align*}
d Y_{t} & =-A Y_{t} d t+d L_{t}  \tag{5.1}\\
Y_{0} & =h \in H \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The following Theorem can be proved similarly as in Section 3. See also [CM1].

Theorem 5.1 There exists a unique H-valued progressively measurable process $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ such that
(i) $Y \in M^{2}(0, T ; V) \cap D(0, T ; H)$ for any $T>0$,
(ii) $Y_{t}=h-\int_{0}^{t} A Y_{s} d s+L_{t}$ a.s.,
(iii) $Y_{0}=h \in H$.

To get large deviations estimates, it is natural to impose the following exponential integrability. Assume throughout this section that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X}|f(x)|_{H}^{2} \exp \left(a|f(x)|_{H}\right) \nu(d x)<\infty, \quad \text { for all } \quad a>0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the stochastic evolution equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}^{n}=x-\int_{0}^{t} A Y_{s}^{n} d s+b t+\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} W_{t}+\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{X} f(x) \tilde{N}_{n}(d s, d x) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{N}_{n}(d s, d x)$ denotes the compensated Poisson measure with intensity measure $n \nu$. The purpose is to establish a large deviation principle for the law $\mu_{n}$ of $Y_{t}^{n}, t \geq 0$ on $D([0,1] \rightarrow H)$. To this end, we first do some preparations.

For $g \in D([0,1] \rightarrow V)$, define $\phi(g) \in D([0,1] \rightarrow H) \cap L^{2}([0,1] \rightarrow V)$ as the solution to the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{t}(g)=x-\int_{0}^{t} A \phi_{s}(g) d s+g(t) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.2 The mapping $\phi$ from $D([0,1] \rightarrow V)$ into $\phi(g) \in D([0,1] \rightarrow$ $H) \cap L^{2}([0,1] \rightarrow V)$ is continuous in the topology of uniform convergence.
Proof. Let $v_{t}(g)=\phi_{t}(g)-g(t)$. it is easy to see that $v(g)$ satisfies the equation:

$$
v_{t}(g)=x-\int_{0}^{t} A v_{s}(g) d s-\int_{0}^{t} A g(s) d s
$$

It suffices to show that the mapping

$$
v(\cdot): D([0,1] \rightarrow V) \rightarrow D([0,1] \rightarrow H) \cap L^{2}([0,1] \rightarrow V)
$$

is continuous. Taking $\beta<\alpha$, where $\alpha$ is the constant in (2.2), by the chain rule and (2.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|v_{t}\left(g_{n}\right)-v_{t}(g)\right|_{H}^{2}=-2 \int_{0}^{t}<A\left(v_{s}\left(g_{n}\right)-v_{s}(g)\right), v_{s}\left(g_{n}\right)-v_{s}(g)>d s \\
& \quad-2 \int_{0}^{t}<A\left(g_{n}-g\right)(s), v_{s}\left(g_{n}\right)-v_{s}(g)>d s \\
& \leq-\alpha \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{s}\left(g_{n}\right)-v_{s}(g)\right\|_{V}^{2} d s+\lambda_{0} \int_{0}^{t}\left|v_{s}\left(g_{n}\right)-v_{s}(g)\right|_{H}^{2} d s \\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{s}\left(g_{n}\right)-v_{s}(g)\right\|_{V}\left\|A\left(g_{n}-g\right)(s)\right\|_{V^{*}} d s \\
& \leq-\alpha \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{s}\left(g_{n}\right)-v_{s}(g)\right\|_{V}^{2} d s+\lambda_{0} \int_{0}^{t}\left|v_{s}\left(g_{n}\right)-v_{s}(g)\right|_{H}^{2} d s \\
& +\beta \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{s}\left(g_{n}\right)-v_{s}(g)\right\|_{V}^{2} d s+C_{\beta} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|A\left(g_{n}-g\right)(s)\right\|_{V^{*}}^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|v_{t}\left(g_{n}\right)-v_{t}(g)\right|_{H}^{2}+(\alpha-\beta) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{s}\left(g_{n}\right)-v_{s}(g)\right\|_{V}^{2} d s \\
\leq & \lambda_{0} \int_{0}^{t}\left|v_{s}\left(g_{n}\right)-v_{s}(g)\right|_{H}^{2} d s+C_{\beta}\|A\| \int_{0}^{t}\left\|g_{n}-g(s)\right\|_{V}^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality it is easily seen that the mapping $v(\cdot)$ is continuous, which completes the proof.

For $l \in H$, define

$$
F(l)=\int_{X}[\exp (<f(x), l>)-1-<f(x), l>] \nu(d x)+<Q l, l>+<b, l>
$$

Set, for $z \in H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{*}(z)=\sup _{l \in H}[<z, l>-F(l)] . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a functional $I_{0}(\cdot)$ on $D([0,1] \rightarrow H)$ as follows: if $g \in D([0,1] \rightarrow H)$ and $g^{\prime} \in L^{1}([0,1] \rightarrow H), I_{0}(g)=\int_{0}^{1} F^{*}\left(g^{\prime}(s)\right) d s$; otherwise $I(g)=\infty$.

Lemma 5.3 Let $a>0$. Then $\mathcal{G}=\left\{\left|g^{\prime}\right| ; I_{0}(g) \leq a\right\}$ is uniformly integrable on the probability space $([0,1], \mathcal{B}, m)$, where $m$ denotes the Lebesgue measure.

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{G}$ is uniformly integrable if and only if
(i) $\mathcal{G}$ is equi-absolutely continuous, i.e., for any given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that $m(A)<\delta$ implies $\int_{A}\left|g^{\prime}\right|_{H} m(d s)<\varepsilon$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$.
(ii) $\sup _{g \in \mathcal{G}} \int_{0}^{1}\left|g^{\prime}\right|_{H} m(d s)<\infty$.

We will modify the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [A2] to get (i) and (ii). Let $a_{i}, b_{i}, i=1, \ldots n$ be any given numbers such that $0 \leq a_{1}<b_{1} \leq a_{2}<b_{2} \leq$ $\cdots \leq a_{n}<b_{n} \leq 1$. For any partition $\tau^{i}=\left\{t_{0}^{i}=a_{i}<t_{1}^{i}<\cdots<t_{i_{m}}^{i}=b_{i}\right\}$ of $\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]$ and any $\eta_{k}^{i} \in H$ with $\left|\eta_{k}^{i}\right|_{H} \leq 1$, define $\beta \in M([0,1], H)$ by

$$
\beta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{i_{m}} \eta_{k}^{i}\left(\delta_{t_{k}^{i}}-\delta_{t_{k-1}^{i}}\right),
$$

where $M([0,1], H)$ denotes the space of $H$ - valued vector measures on $([0,1], \mathcal{B})$. Let $\mu$ be the law of $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{X} f(x) \tilde{N}(d s, d x)$ on $H$. Denote the characteristic functional of $\mu$ by $\hat{\mu}$. Then,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \log \hat{\mu}(\beta(s, 1]) d s=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{i_{m}} \log \hat{\mu}\left(\eta_{k}^{i}\right)\left(t_{k}^{i}-t_{k-1}^{i}\right) .
$$

Let $\rho>0$. By the characterization of $I_{0}$ in [A2], for $g \in \mathcal{G}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho \int_{0}^{1}<g, d \beta>=\rho \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{i_{m}}<g\left(t_{k}^{i}\right)-g\left(t_{k-1}^{i}\right), \eta_{k}^{i}> \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} \log \hat{\mu}(\rho \beta(s, 1]) d s+I_{0}(g) \\
& \leq \sup _{i, k}\left|\log \hat{\mu}\left(\rho \eta_{k}^{i}\right)\right| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{i_{m}}\left(t_{k}^{i}-t_{k-1}^{i}\right)+I_{0}(g) \\
& \leq \log \left(\int_{H} \exp \left(\rho|x|_{H}\right) \mu(d x)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)+a \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking sup in (5.7) over all possible $\eta_{k}^{i} \in H$ with $\left|\eta_{k}^{i}\right|_{H} \leq 1$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{i_{m}}\left|g\left(t_{k}^{i}\right)-g\left(t_{k-1}^{i}\right)\right|_{H} \leq \rho^{-1} \log \left(\int_{H} \exp \left(\rho|x|_{H}\right) \mu(d x)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)+\rho^{-1} a \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $V(g)[a, b]$ denote the total variation of $g$ over the interval $[a, b]$. Taking sup in (5.8) over all possible partitions we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} V(g)\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{a_{i}}^{b_{i}}\left|g^{\prime}(s)\right|_{H} d s=\int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)}\left|g^{\prime}(s)\right|_{H} d s
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \rho^{-1} \log \left(\int_{H} \exp \left(\rho|x|_{H}\right) \mu(d x)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)+\rho^{-1} a \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $\varepsilon>0$, choose first $\rho_{0}$ large enough such that $\rho_{0}^{-1} a \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Set $\delta=$ $\frac{1}{3}\left[\rho_{0}^{-1} \log \left(\int_{H} \exp \left(\rho|x|_{H}\right) \mu(d x)\right)\right]^{-1} \varepsilon$. If $\cup_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \subset[0,1]$ with $m\left(\cup_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)<$ $\delta$, by (5.9) we have $\int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)}\left|g^{\prime}(s)\right|_{H} d s<\varepsilon$. for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$. This implies (i). Take particularly $a_{1}=0, b_{1}=1$ in the above proof to see that (ii) also holds.

Let $T_{t}, t \geq 0$ denote the semigroup generated by $-A$. For $g \in L^{1}([0,1] \rightarrow$ $H$ ), define the operator

$$
R g(t)=\int_{0}^{t} T_{t-s} g(s) d s, \quad t \geq 0
$$

which is the mild solution of the equation:

$$
\phi(t)=-\int_{0}^{t} A \phi(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s
$$

Proposition 5.4 Assume that $T_{t}, t>0$ are compact operators. If $\mathcal{G} \subset$ $L^{1}([0,1] \rightarrow H)$ is uniformly integrable, then $\mathcal{S}=R(\mathcal{G})$ is relatively compact in $C([0,1] \rightarrow H)$.

Proof. The proof is a modification of the proof of Proposition 8.4 in [DZ]. According to the Ascoli-Arzela theorem we need to show
(i) for every $t \in[0,1]$ the set $\{R g(t) ; g \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is relatively compact in $H$;
(ii) for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, t-s \leq \delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|R g(t)-R g(s)|_{H} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text { for all } \quad g \in \mathcal{G} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (i), fix $t \in(0,1]$ and define for $\varepsilon>0 R^{\varepsilon} g(t)=\int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon} T_{t-s} g(s) d s$. Since

$$
R^{\varepsilon} g(t)=T_{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon} T_{t-\varepsilon-s} g(s) d s
$$

and $T_{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon>0$ is compact, $\left\{R^{\varepsilon} g(t), g \in \mathcal{G}\right\}$ is relatively compact in $H$ for every $\varepsilon>0$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R^{\varepsilon} g(t)-R g(t)\right|_{H} \leq M \int_{t-\varepsilon}^{t}|g(s)|_{H} d s \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=\sup _{t \in[0,1]}| | T_{t} \|$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is uniformly integrable, (4.52) implies that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{g \in \mathcal{G}}\left|R^{\varepsilon} g(t)-R g(t)\right|_{H}=0
$$

which furthermore implies that $\{R g(t) ; g \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is also relatively compact. Let us now prove (ii). For $0 \leq t \leq t+u \leq 1$, we have

$$
|R g(t+u)-R g(t)|_{H}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\leq\left.\int_{0}^{t}\left\|T_{t+u-s}-T_{t-s}\left|\left\|\left.g(s)\right|_{H} d s+\int_{t}^{t+u}\right\| T_{t+u-s}\right|\right\| g(s)\right|_{H} d s \\
:=I_{g}^{u}+I I_{g}^{u} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By the uniform integrability of $\mathcal{G}$, it is clear that

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \sup _{g \in \mathcal{G}} I I_{g}^{u} \leq M \lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \sup _{g \in \mathcal{G}} \int_{t}^{t+u}|g(s)|_{H} d s=0 .
$$

Since the semigroup $T$. is compact, $\left\|T_{t+u-s}-T_{t-s}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ for any $t-s>0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$. By the dominated convergence theorem, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|T_{t+u-s}-T_{t-s}\right\| d s=0 \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \sup _{g \in \mathcal{G}} I_{g}^{u}=0 . \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For given $\varepsilon>0$, sine $\mathcal{G}$ is uniformly integrable, one can choose $\rho>0$ such that $2 M \int_{|g|>\rho}|g(s)|_{H} d s<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$. For the fixed $\rho>0$ above, there exists $\delta>0$ such that $u \leq \delta$ implies that

$$
\rho \int_{0}^{t}\left\|T_{t+u-s}-T_{t-s}\right\| d s \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

for all $t \in[0,1]$. Therefore if $u \leq \delta$, for all $g \in \mathcal{G}, t \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{g}^{u}=\int_{|g|>\rho}| | T_{t+u-s}-T_{t-s}\left|\left\|\left.g(s)\right|_{H} d s+\int_{|g| \leq \rho}\right\| T_{t+u-s}-T_{t-s}\right| \|\left. g(s)\right|_{H} d s \\
\leq 2 M \int_{|g|>\rho}|g(s)|_{H} d s+\rho \int_{0}^{t}\left\|T_{t+u-s}-T_{t-s}\right\| d s \\
\leq \varepsilon . \tag{5.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

This proves (ii), hence the assertion.
Theorem 5.5 Assume that A has discrete spectrum with eigenvalues

$$
0 \leq \lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{3} \leq \cdots \rightarrow+\infty
$$

and $H$-normalized eigenfunctions $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$. Suppose that the Brownian motion $W_{t}$ admits the following representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q_{i} \beta_{i}(t) e_{i}, \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{i}(t), i \geq 1$ are independent standard Brownian motions, and $q_{i}, i \geq 1$ are non-negative real numbers satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q_{i}^{2}<\infty$. Then $\left\{\mu_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$
satisfies a large deviation principle on $D([0,1] \rightarrow H)$ (equipped with the topology of uniform convergence ) with a rate functional I given for $k \in$ $D([0,1] \rightarrow H)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
I(k)= & \inf \left\{I_{0}(g) ; g \in D([0,1] \rightarrow H) \quad\right. \text { satisfying } \\
& \left.k(t)=T_{t} x+\int_{0}^{t} T_{t-s} g^{\prime}(s) d s\right\} . \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof.

Denote by $P_{m}: H \rightarrow H$ the projection operator defined by

$$
P_{m} x=\sum_{i=1}^{m}<x, e_{i}>e_{i} .
$$

As $\left\{e_{i}\right\} \subset V$, we have $\operatorname{Rang}\left(P_{m}\right) \subset V$. For any integer $m \geq 1$, introduce a mapping $\phi^{m}(\cdot)$ from $D([0,1] \rightarrow H)$ into $D([0,1] \rightarrow H)$ as follows: for $g \in D([0,1] \rightarrow H)$ define $\phi_{t}^{m}(g)$ as the soltuion of the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{t}^{m}(g)=x-\int_{0}^{t} A \phi_{s}^{m}(g) d s+P_{m} g(t) . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 5.2 the mapping $\phi^{m}(\cdot)$ is continuous. Let

$$
L_{t}^{n}=b t+\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} W_{t}+\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{X} f(x) \tilde{N}_{n}(d s, d x)
$$

Then it is easy to see that $Y_{t}^{n, m}:=\phi_{t}^{m}\left(L_{t}^{n}\right)$ is the solution to the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}^{n, m}=x-\int_{0}^{t} A Y_{s}^{n, m} d s+b^{m} t+\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} W_{t}^{m}+\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{X} f^{m}(x) \tilde{N}_{n}(d s, d x) \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{m}(x)=P_{m} f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}<f(x), e_{i}>e_{i}, W_{t}^{m}=P_{m} W_{t}$ and $b^{m}=P_{m} b$. Let $\nu_{n}$ be the law of $L^{n}$. It was proved in [A2] that $\left\{\nu_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with rate function $I_{0}$. Applying the contraction principle, we see that $\left\{Y^{n, m}\right\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle on $D([0,1] \rightarrow H)$ with a rate functional $I_{m}$ given by, for $k \in D([0,1] \rightarrow H)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{m}(k)= & \inf \left\{I_{0}(g) ; g \in D([0,1] \rightarrow H) \quad\right. \text { satisfying } \\
& \left.k(t)=T_{t} x+\int_{0}^{t} T_{t-s} P_{m} g^{\prime}(s) d s\right\} . \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

According to the generalized contraction principle Theorem 4.2 in [DZ], the theorem now follows from the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5.6 For any $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n, m}-Y_{t}^{n}\right|_{H}>\delta\right)=-\infty . \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Set $X_{t}^{n, m}=n\left(Y_{t}^{n, m}-Y_{t}\right)$. Then it can be seen that

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{t}^{n, m}= & -\int_{0}^{t} A X_{s}^{n, m} d s+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{X}\left(f^{m}(x)-f(x)\right) \tilde{N}_{n}(d s, d x) \\
& +n\left(b^{m}-b\right) t+n^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(W_{t}^{m}-W_{t}\right) \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\lambda>0$, set $g(y)=\left(1+\lambda|y|_{H}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. As in Section 4, we know that $M_{t}^{g}=$ $\exp \left(g\left(X_{t}^{n, m}\right)-g(0)-\int_{0}^{t} h\left(X_{s}^{n, m}\right) d s\right)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-local martingale, where

$$
\begin{align*}
h(y)= & n \int_{X}\left(\exp \left[g\left(y+f^{m}(x)-f(x)\right)-g(y)\right]-1-<g^{\prime}(y), f^{m}(x)-f(x)>\right) \nu(d x) \\
& -<A y, g^{\prime}(y)>+n<b^{m}-b, g^{\prime}(y)> \\
& +n \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} q_{i}^{2}<\left(g^{\prime}(y) \otimes g^{\prime}(y)+g^{\prime \prime}(y)\right) e_{i}, e_{i}> \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore (See Section 4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(y) \leq c_{\lambda, m} n \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{\lambda, m}= & \lambda \int_{X} \exp \left(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|f^{m}(x)-f(x)\right|_{H}\right)\left(\left|f^{m}(x)-f(x)\right|_{H}\right)^{2} \nu(d x) \\
& +\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|b^{m}-b\right|_{H}+2 \lambda \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} q_{i}^{2} . \tag{5.24}
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|X_{t}^{n, m}\right|_{H}>r\right)=P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} g\left(X_{t}^{n, m}\right) \geq\left(1+\lambda r^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
=P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left(g\left(X_{t}^{n, m}\right)-g(0)-\int_{0}^{t} h\left(X_{s}^{n, m}\right) d s+1+\int_{0}^{t} h\left(X_{s}^{n, m}\right) d s\right) \geq\left(1+\lambda r^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
\leq P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left(g\left(X_{t}^{n, m}\right)-g(0)-\int_{0}^{t} h\left(X_{s}^{n, m}\right) d s\right)+1+c_{\lambda, m} n \geq\left(1+\lambda r^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
=P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left(g\left(X_{t}^{n, m}\right)-g(0)-\int_{0}^{t} h\left(X_{t}^{n, m}\right) d s\right) \geq\left(1+\lambda r^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-1-c_{\lambda, m} n\right) \\
\leq E\left[\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} M_{t}^{g}\right] \exp \left(-\left(1+\lambda r^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+1+c_{\lambda, m} n\right) . \tag{5.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

This gives that

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n, m}-Y_{t}^{n}\right|_{H}>\delta\right)=P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|X_{t}^{n, m}\right|_{H}>n \delta\right) \\
\leq \exp \left(-\left(1+\lambda(n \delta)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+1+c_{\lambda, m} n\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n, m}-Y_{t}^{n}\right|_{H}>\delta\right) \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left[-\left(1+\lambda(n \delta)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+1+c_{\lambda, m} n\right] \\
& \leq-\lambda \delta+c_{\lambda, m} . \tag{5.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that by the dominated convergence theorem, for fixed $\lambda, \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} c_{\lambda, m}=$ 0 . Taking $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.26) we get that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n, m}-Y_{t}^{n}\right|_{H}>\delta\right) \leq-\lambda \delta .
$$

Let $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ to get (5.20).

For $g \in D([0,1] \rightarrow H)$, let $\phi_{t}(g), t \geq 0$, be defined as in (5.5).
Lemma 5.7 For any $r>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\left\{f ; I_{0}(f) \leq r\right\}} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\phi_{t}^{m}(f)-\phi_{t}(f)\right|=0 . \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $f \in D([0,1] \rightarrow H)$ with $I_{0}(f)<\infty$, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{t}^{m}(f)=\int_{0}^{t} T_{t-s} P_{m} f^{\prime}(s) d s=P_{m} \int_{0}^{t} T_{t-s} f^{\prime}(s) d s=P_{m} \phi_{t}(f), \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the fact that $A$ has a discrete spectrum to exchange $P_{m}$ and $T_{s}$. Since by Lemma $5.3 L_{r}:=\left\{f^{\prime} ; I_{0}(f) \leq r\right\}$ is uniformly integrable on the probability space $([0,1], \mathcal{B}, m)$, it follows from Proposition 5.4 that $\mathcal{S}=\left\{\phi(f) ; I_{0}(f) \leq r\right\}$ is relatively compact in $C([0,1] \rightarrow H)$. Therefore, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{N} \in\left\{f ; I_{0}(f) \leq r\right\}$ such that $\mathcal{S} \subset$ $\cup_{k=1}^{N} B\left(\phi\left(f_{k}\right), \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)$, where $B\left(\phi\left(f_{k}\right), \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)$ stands for the ball centered at $\phi\left(f_{k}\right)$ with radius $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ in $C([0,1] \rightarrow H)$. Since $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\phi_{t}^{m}\left(f_{k}\right)-\phi_{t}\left(f_{k}\right)\right|=0$ for every $k$, there exists $m_{0} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\phi_{t}^{m}\left(f_{k}\right)-\phi_{t}\left(f_{k}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text { for all } \quad k \leq N, m \geq m_{0} \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix any $f$ with $I_{0}(f) \leq r$. Then there is $k \leq N$ such that $\phi(f) \in B\left(\phi\left(f_{k}\right), \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)$. Hence, if $m \geq m_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\phi_{t}^{m}(f)-\phi_{t}(f)\right| \\
\leq & \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\phi_{t}^{m}(f)-P_{m} \phi_{t}\left(f_{k}\right)\right|+\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\phi_{t}^{m}\left(f_{k}\right)-\phi_{t}\left(f_{k}\right)\right| \\
& +\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\phi_{t}\left(f_{k}\right)-\phi_{t}(f)\right| \\
\leq & 2 \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\phi_{t}\left(f_{k}\right)-\phi_{t}(f)\right|+\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\phi_{t}^{m}\left(f_{k}\right)-\phi_{t}\left(f_{k}\right)\right| \\
\leq & \frac{2 \varepsilon}{3}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}=\varepsilon, \tag{5.30}
\end{align*}
$$

which proves (5.27).
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