## Lower estimates of densities of solutions of elliptic equations for measures

Bogachev V.I., Röckner M., Shaposhnikov S.V.

The purpose of this paper is to estimate from below the decreasing rate at infinity of the density of a solution to the elliptic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{*} \mu=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to a Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, where

$$
\mathcal{L} \varphi(x)=\partial_{x_{i}}\left(a^{i j}(x) \partial_{x_{j}} \varphi(x)\right)+b^{i}(x) \partial_{x_{i}} \varphi(x),
$$

and the summation is taken over repeated indices, and the equation is understood in the following sense: a measure $\mu$ satisfies (1) if the coefficients $a^{i j}$ and $b^{i}$ are locally $\mu$-integrable and for every function $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the equality

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mathcal{L} \varphi d \mu=0
$$

is fulfilled. Throughout we assume that the matrix $A(x)=\left(a^{i j}(x)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$ is symmetric and satisfies the following condition:
(C1) for some $p>d$ the functions $a^{i j}$ belong to the class $W_{l o c}^{p, 1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and there exist numbers $m, M>0$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have

$$
m|y|^{2} \leq \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} a^{i j}(x) y_{i} y_{j} \leq M|y|^{2}
$$

If in addition to Condition (C1) we have $b^{i} \in L_{l o c}^{p}(\mu)\left(\right.$ or $b^{i} \in L_{l o c}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ ), then $\mu$ is given by a continuous density $\varrho \in W_{l o c}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ (see [1]), which we shall deal with. Equation (1) can then be rewritten as

$$
\partial_{x_{i}}\left(a^{i j} \partial_{x_{j}} \varrho\right)-\partial_{x_{i}}\left(b^{i} \varrho\right)=0,
$$

understood in the weak sense. In the case where the coefficient $b$ is locally bounded, in [2] the following estimate from below for the density $\varrho$ as $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$ was obtained (earlier in [3] more special estimates of exponential type were obtained). Let $W$ be a continuous increasing function on $[0, \infty)$ and $W(0)>0$. Suppose that $|b(x)| \leq W(|x| / \theta)$, where $\theta>1$. Then there exists a positive number $C=C(d, m, M, \theta)$ such that the continuous version of the function $\varrho$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\varrho(x) \geq \varrho(0) \exp \{-C(1+W(|x|)|x|)\}
$$

The main idea of obtaining this estimate is to apply Harnack's inequality

$$
\sup _{x \in K} \varrho(x) \leq C(K) \inf _{x \in K} \varrho(x)
$$

for compact sets $K$. For obtaining lower bounds, dependence of $C$ on the coefficients of the equation and on $K$ is investigated in [2]. However, this approach is impossible in the case of locally unbounded $b$. It turns out that without any restrictions on the growth of $b$ one can obtain estimates of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho(x) \geq e^{-f\left(c_{1}|x|+c_{2}\right)}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are some positive numbers and the function $f \in C^{2}([0, \infty))$ satisfies the conditions
(H1) $\quad f(z)>0, f^{\prime}(z)>0, f^{\prime \prime}(z)>0$ if $z>0$;
(H2) the function $e^{-f(z)}$ is convex (that is, $\left.\left(e^{-f}\right)^{\prime \prime} \geq 0\right)$ on the set $z>z_{0}$ for some $z_{0} \geq 0$ and it decreases to 0 as $z \rightarrow+\infty$.
Namely, for obtaining estimate (2) it suffices, in addition to (C1), to require the following conditions:
(C2) $\quad|b| \exp (\psi(|b|)) \in L^{p}(\mu)$, where $p>\min \{2, d\}$ and $\psi$ is a nonnegative strictly increasing continuous function mapping $[0, \infty)$ onto $[0, \infty)$ such that for some $N>0$ and all $z>0$ one has the inequality
(H3) $\quad \psi^{-1}(z) \leq N f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}(z)\right)$.
Let us give several typical examples of functions $f$ and $\psi$. Let $\delta>0$ be a given number. If $f(z)=e^{z}$, then one can take $\psi(z)=\delta \cdot z$ for $\psi$. In this case we obtain the estimate

$$
\varrho(x) \geq \exp \left(-\widetilde{c_{2}} \exp \left(\widetilde{c_{1}}|x|\right)\right)
$$

If $f(z)=z^{r /(r-1)}$ with $r>1$, then $\psi(z)=\delta \cdot z^{r}$ is suitable. Then

$$
\varrho(x) \geq \widetilde{c_{2}} \exp \left(-\widetilde{c_{1}}|x|^{r /(r-1)}\right)
$$

In the case where $d=1, A=I$ and $b=\varrho^{\prime} / \varrho$, such estimates were obtained in [4]. Deriving (2) we show on the way that the solution density is strictly positive under a condition weaker than the exponential integrability of $b$ (sufficiency of the latter condition was proved in [5]). For example, if we set $f(z)=e^{e^{z}}$ and $\psi(z)=\delta \cdot \frac{z}{|\ln z|^{\kappa}}$ for $z>2$ and $0<\kappa<1$, then we obtain a condition that is sufficient for the strict positivity but is weaker than the exponential integrability of $b$. If $d=1, A=1$ and $b=\varrho^{\prime} / \varrho$, then this new sufficient condition for positivity is close to the one obtained in [6], and the latter cannot be improved in a sense.

For a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ let $W^{q, 1}(\Omega)$ denote the Sobolev space of functions belonging to $L^{q}(\Omega)$ along with their first order generalized partial derivatives. Let $W_{0}^{q, 1}(\Omega)$ be the closure with respect to the standard Sobolev norm in $W^{q, 1}(\Omega)$ of the class of smooth functions with compact support in $\Omega$. Let $W_{l o c}^{q, 1}$ and $L_{l o c}^{q}$ denote the spaces of functions whose restrictions to every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ belong to $W^{q, 1}(B)$ and $L^{q}(B)$, respectively. Let $B(x, R)$ be the ball of radius $R$ centered at $x$. If a function $f$ is injective, then the inverse function is denoted by $f^{-1}$.

Since $\psi$ is increasing, for all $\alpha \geq e^{\psi(0)}, \beta \geq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \beta \leq \alpha \psi^{-1}(\ln \alpha)+\beta e^{\psi(\beta)} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $V=e^{f} / f^{\prime}$.
Since $\left(e^{-f}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left[\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}-f^{\prime \prime}\right] e^{-f} \geq 0$ on $\left[z_{0},+\infty\right)$, we have $V^{\prime}=\left[\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}-f^{\prime \prime}\right] e^{-f}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{-2} \geq 0$ on $\left[z_{0},+\infty\right)$. In addition, $V$ increases to $+\infty$ since the function $1 / V=f^{\prime} e^{-f}$ cannot be separated from zero on $[0,+\infty)$. It follows from conditions (H1) and (H3) that $f^{\prime}(y) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $y \rightarrow+\infty$. Therefore, there exists $y_{0}>\max \left\{z_{0}, 1\right\}$ such that $f^{\prime}(y) \geq 1$ and $V(y) \geq e^{\psi(0)}$ whenever $y>y_{0}$. Let $\tau_{0}:=\exp \left\{-f\left(\ln y_{0}\right)\right\}$. Then $0<\tau_{0}<1$. For $\tau \in\left(0, \tau_{0}\right)$ and $q \geq 0$ we put

$$
h_{q}(\tau):=-\int_{\tau}^{\tau_{0}} V^{2}\left(f^{-1}(|\ln s|)\right) \exp \left\{2 q f^{-1}(|\ln s|)\right\} d s
$$

Lemma 1. If conditions $(\mathrm{H} 1)$, (H2), (H3) are fulfilled and $\tau \in\left(0, \tau_{0}\right)$, then
(i) the inequality $V(y) \psi^{-1}(\ln V(y)) \leq N e^{f(y)}$ is fulfilled for $y>y_{0}$;
(ii) there exists a number $N_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{V(y)} \int_{y_{0}}^{y} V(s) d s \leq N_{1}, \quad y>y_{0}
$$

and, in addition,

$$
h_{q}^{2}(\tau) / h_{q}^{\prime}(\tau) \leq N_{1}^{2} \exp \left(2 q f^{-1}(|\ln \tau|)\right)
$$

According to (C2), we have $|b| \in L^{p}(\mu)$ for some $p>d$. As we have already noted, in this case $\mu$ is given by a continuous density $\varrho \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. In addition, $\|\varrho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}<\infty$ (see [3], [7]). For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we put

$$
\Lambda:=\min \left\{\tau_{0}\left(2\|\varrho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\right)^{-1}, 1\right\}, \quad \varrho_{k}=\Lambda \varrho+1 / k, \quad \xi_{k}:=f^{-1}\left(\left|\ln \varrho_{k}\right|\right)
$$

Then $\Lambda \varrho<1 / 2$ and $\varrho_{k}<\tau_{0}$ for all natural numbers $k>1 /\left(2 \tau_{0}\right)$. Hence substituting $\varrho_{k}$ in place of $\tau$ in $h_{q}$ and letting $y=\xi_{k}$ we have all assertions of Lemma 1 .

Lemma 2. Let $\mu=\varrho d x$ be a solution of equation (1), let the coefficient $a^{i j}, b^{i}$ satisfy conditions (C1), (C2), and let conditions (H1), (H2), and (H3) be fulfilled. Let $s>1, s^{\prime}=s /(s-1)$, where $2 s^{\prime} \leq p$. Suppose we are given a function $\eta \in C_{0}^{2}(Q)$, where $Q$ is a cube of the edge length 2 , and let $|\eta| \leq 1$. Then the following estimate holds:

$$
\int_{Q}|\nabla \varrho|^{2} h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2} d x \leq N_{2}\left[\int_{Q}|\nabla \eta|^{2} \exp \left\{2 q \xi_{k}\right\} d x+\left(\int_{Q} \exp \left\{2 s q \xi_{k}\right\} \eta^{2} d x\right)^{1 / s}\right]
$$

where $N_{2}$ is a number depending only on the following quantities:

$$
s, N, N_{1}, \tau_{0}, m, M, d,\|\varrho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|b|^{2 s^{\prime}} \exp \left\{2 s^{\prime} \psi(|b|)\right\} \varrho d x
$$

Proof. For every function $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1,2}(Q)$ we have

$$
\int_{Q}(A \nabla \varrho, \nabla \varphi) d x=\int_{Q}(b, \nabla \varphi) \varrho d x .
$$

Substituting $\varphi=h_{q}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{Q}(A \nabla \varrho, \nabla \varrho) h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2} d x=I+J+L \\
I=-\int_{Q} 2(A \nabla \varrho, \nabla \eta) h_{q}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta d x, \quad J=\int_{Q} 2(b, \nabla \eta) \varrho h_{q}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta d x \\
L=\int_{Q}(b, \nabla \varrho) h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2} \varrho d x .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us estimate every summand separately. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Then

$$
I \leq \varepsilon \int_{Q}|\nabla \varrho|^{2} h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2} d x+\varepsilon^{-1} M^{2} \int_{Q}|\nabla \eta|^{2} \frac{h_{q}^{2}\left(\varrho_{k}\right)}{h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right)} d x .
$$

By estimate (ii) in Lemma 1 we have

$$
I \leq \varepsilon \int_{Q}|\nabla \varrho|^{2} h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2} d x+\varepsilon^{-1}\left(M N_{1}\right)^{2} \int_{Q}|\nabla \eta|^{2} e^{2 q f^{-1}\left(\varrho_{k}\right)} d x .
$$

We estimate $J$ as follows:

$$
J \leq \int_{Q}|\nabla \eta|^{2} \frac{h_{q}^{2}\left(\varrho_{k}\right)}{h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right)} d x+\int_{Q}|b|^{2} \varrho^{2} h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2} d x .
$$

The first term is estimated in the same way as above. Let us consider the second term. By Hölder's inequality with exponents $s^{\prime}$ and $s$ we have

$$
\int_{Q}|b|^{2} \varrho^{2} h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2} d x \leq\left(\int_{Q}|b|^{2 s^{\prime}} \varrho^{2 s^{\prime}} V\left(\xi_{k}\right)^{2 s^{\prime}} \eta^{2} d x\right)^{1 / s^{\prime}}\left(\int_{Q} \exp \left\{2 q s \xi_{k}\right\} \eta^{2} d x\right)^{1 / s}
$$

Let us estimate the first factor. Inequality (3) and estimate (i) in Lemma 1 yield

$$
|b| \varrho V\left(\xi_{k}\right) \leq \varrho\left[|b| e^{\psi(|b|)}+V\left(\xi_{k}\right) \psi^{-1}\left(\ln V\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right)\right] \leq|b| e^{\psi(|b|)} \varrho+N / \Lambda .
$$

By using the inequalities $(x+y)^{2 s^{\prime}} \leq 2^{2 s^{\prime}}\left(x^{2 s^{\prime}}+y^{2 s^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\eta^{2} \leq 1$, we obtain

$$
\int_{Q}|b|^{2 s^{\prime}} \varrho^{2 s^{\prime}} V\left(\xi_{k}\right)^{2 s^{\prime}} \eta^{2} d x \leq 4^{s^{s^{\prime}}}\|\varrho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2 s^{\prime}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|b|^{2 s^{\prime}} e^{2 s^{\prime} \psi(|b|)} \varrho d x+(2 N / \Lambda)^{2 s^{\prime}}|Q| .
$$

Therefore, there exists a number $C_{1}>0$ depending only on the quantities indicated in the lemma such that

$$
J \leq C_{1}\left[\int_{Q}|\nabla \eta|^{2} e^{2 q \xi_{k}} d x+\left(\int_{Q} e^{2 s q \xi_{k}} \eta^{2} d x\right)^{1 / s}\right]
$$

It remains to estimate the term $L$. We have

$$
L \leq \varepsilon \int_{Q}|\nabla \varrho|^{2} h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2} d x+4 \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{Q}|b|^{2} \varrho^{2} h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2} d x
$$

Estimating here the second term in the same way as above, we obtain

$$
L \leq \varepsilon \int_{Q}|\nabla \varrho|^{2} h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2} d x+4 \varepsilon^{-1} C_{1}\left(\int_{Q} e^{2 s q \xi_{k}} \eta^{2} d x\right)^{1 / s}
$$

We observe that

$$
m \int_{Q}|\nabla \varrho|^{2} h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2} d x \leq \int_{Q}(A \nabla \varrho, \nabla \varrho) h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2} d x
$$

Collecting the obtained estimates and letting $\varepsilon=m / 3$ we find

$$
\int_{Q}|\nabla \varrho|^{2} h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2} d x \leq N_{2}\left[\int_{Q}|\nabla \eta|^{2} e^{2 q \xi_{k}} d x+\left(\int_{Q} e^{2 s q \xi_{k}} \eta^{2} d x\right)^{1 / s}\right]
$$

The lemma is proven.
For obtaining estimates of type (2) we shall employ Moser's iteration techniques (see [8], [9]). The proof of the following result of Moser can be found in [9, Lemma 7.21]. Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. For any integrable function $u$ we put

$$
u_{\Omega}=|\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} u d x
$$

where $|\Omega|$ is the volume of $\Omega$.
Lemma 3. Let $\Omega$ be a convex domain and let $v \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ be such that there exists $K>0$ such that, for every ball $B\left(x_{0}, R\right)$, one has the inequality

$$
\int_{\Omega \cap B\left(x_{0}, R\right)}|\nabla v| d x \leq K R^{d-1}
$$

Then there exist positive numbers $\sigma_{0}$ and $C$ depending only on $d$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\frac{\sigma}{K}\left|v-v_{\Omega}\right|\right) d x \leq C(\operatorname{diam} \Omega)^{d}
$$

where $\sigma=\sigma_{0}|\Omega|(\operatorname{diam} \Omega)^{-d}$, $\operatorname{diam} \Omega=\sup _{x, y \in \Omega}|x-y|$.
Let us fix a cub $Q$ of unit edge.
Theorem 1. Let $\mu=\varrho d x$ be a solution of equation (1), where the coefficients $a^{i j}, b^{i}$ satisfy conditions (C1), (C2) and let conditions (H1), (H2), and (H3) be fulfilled. Then there exist numbers $C>0$ and $\alpha>0$ such that for every measurable subset $E \subset Q$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in Q} \exp \left(f^{-1}(|\ln (\Lambda \varrho)|)\right) \leq C\left(\int_{E} \exp (-\alpha f(|\ln \Lambda \varrho|))\right)^{-1 / \alpha} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is defined before Lemma 1, and the numbers $C$ and $\alpha$ depend only on the following quantities:

$$
p, N, N_{1}, \tau_{0}, m, M, d,\|\varrho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|b|^{p} e^{p \psi(|b|)} \varrho d x .
$$

Proof. Let $d>2$. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$
Q=\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left[x_{i}^{0}-\frac{1}{2}, x_{i}^{0}+\frac{1}{2}\right], Q_{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left[x_{i}^{0}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, x_{i}^{0}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right] .
$$

1. We observe that

$$
\int_{Q_{0}}\left|\nabla \xi_{k}\right|^{2} \eta^{2} d x=\int_{Q_{0}}|\nabla \varrho|^{2} V^{2}\left(\xi_{k}\right) \eta^{2} d x=\int_{Q_{0}}|\nabla \varrho|^{2} h_{0}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2} d x .
$$

Let $s=p /(p-2)$. Then $s<d /(d-2)$ and $2 s^{\prime}=p$. Lemma 2 with $q=0$ gives

$$
\int_{Q_{0}}\left|\nabla \xi_{k}\right|^{2} \eta^{2} d x \leq N_{2}\left[\int_{Q_{0}}|\nabla \eta|^{2} d x+\left(\int_{Q_{0}} \eta^{2} d x\right)^{(p-2) / p}\right]
$$

Let us take two balls $B(y, r) \subset B(y, 2 r) \subset Q_{0}$. Let $\eta(x)=1$ if $x \in B(y, r)$ and $\eta(x)=0$ if $x \notin B(y, 2 r)$. Suppose also that $|\eta| \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \eta| \leq c_{1} r^{-1}$ with some constant $c_{1}$. Substituting $\eta$ in the above estimate we find

$$
\int_{B(y, r)}\left|\nabla \xi_{k}\right|^{2} d x \leq C_{0} r^{d-2}
$$

Here the number $C_{0}$ depends only on the parameters indicated in the theorem but does not depend on $y, r, k$. Therefore, for every ball $B(y, r)$, by the Cauchy-Buniakowskii inequality we obtain the estimate

$$
\int_{B(y, r)}\left|\nabla \xi_{k}\right| d x \leq\left(C_{0} \omega_{d}\right)^{1 / 2} r^{d-1}
$$

where $\omega_{d}$ is the volume of the $d$-dimensional unit ball. Applying Lemma 3 we obtain that there exist constants $\alpha>0$ and $L>0$ such that

$$
\int_{Q_{1}} \exp \left(\alpha\left|\xi_{k}-\left(\xi_{k}\right)_{Q_{1}}\right|\right) d x \leq L
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{1}} e^{\alpha \xi_{k}} d x \int_{Q_{1}} e^{-\alpha \xi_{k}} d x \leq\left(\int_{Q_{1}} \exp \left\{\alpha\left|\xi_{k}-\left(\xi_{k}\right)_{Q_{1}}\right|\right\} d x\right)^{2} \leq L^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. We observe that for all $\eta \in C_{0}^{2}\left(Q_{1}\right)$ one has the equality

$$
\int_{Q_{1}}\left|\nabla e^{q \xi_{k}}\right|^{2} \eta^{2} d x=q^{2} \int_{Q_{1}}|\nabla \varrho|^{2} V^{2}\left(\xi_{k}\right) e^{2 q \xi_{k}} \eta^{2} d x=q^{2} \int_{Q_{1}}|\nabla \varrho|^{2} h_{q}^{\prime}\left(\varrho_{k}\right) \eta^{2} d x
$$

Applying Lemma 2 with $q>0$ we obtain

$$
\int_{Q_{1}}\left|\nabla e^{q \xi_{k}}\right|^{2} \eta^{2} d x \leq q^{2} N_{2}\left[\int_{Q_{1}}|\nabla \eta|^{2} e^{2 q \xi_{k}} d x+\left(\int_{Q_{1}} e^{2 s q \xi_{k}} \eta^{2} d x\right)^{1 / s}\right]
$$

According to the Leibnitz formula $\nabla\left(e^{q \xi_{k}} \eta\right)=\eta \nabla e^{q \xi_{k}}+e^{q \xi_{k}} \nabla \eta$. Then

$$
\int_{Q_{1}}\left|\nabla\left(e^{q \xi_{k}} \eta\right)\right|^{2} d x \leq q^{2} N_{2}\left[\int_{Q_{1}}|\nabla \eta|^{2} e^{2 q \xi_{k}} d x+\left(\int_{Q_{1}} e^{2 s q \xi_{k}} \eta^{2} d x\right)^{1 / s}\right] .
$$

Suppose that a smooth function $\eta=\eta_{n}$ vanishes outside $Q_{n}$ and equals 1 on the cube $Q_{n+1}$. Let $\left|\eta_{n}\right| \leq 1$ and $\left|\nabla \eta_{n}\right| \leq c_{2} 2^{n+1}$ for some constant $c_{2}$ independent of $n$. Applying Hölder's inequality with exponents $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ we find

$$
\int_{Q_{1}}\left|\nabla\left(e^{q \xi_{k}} \eta\right)\right|^{2} d x \leq\left(q^{2}+1\right) C_{1}^{n}\left(\int_{Q_{n}} e^{2 s q \xi_{k}} d x\right)^{1 / s}
$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem we obtain

$$
\left(\int_{Q_{n+1}}\left|e^{q \xi_{k}}\right|^{2 d /(d-2)} d x\right)^{(d-2) / d} \leq\left(q^{2}+1\right) C_{2}^{n}\left(\int_{Q_{n}} e^{2 s q \xi_{k}} d x\right)^{1 / s}
$$

For any measurable set $E$ and $t \neq 0$ we put

$$
F(t, E):=\left(\int_{E} e^{t \xi_{k}} d x\right)^{1 / t}, \quad F(+\infty, E)=\sup _{x \in E} e^{\xi_{k}} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
F\left(\frac{2 q d}{d-2}, Q_{n+1}\right) \leq\left(\left(q^{2}+1\right) C_{2}\right)^{n / q} F\left(2 q s, Q_{n}\right)
$$

Set $p_{n}=2 q s$ and $p_{n+1}=d s^{-1}(d-2)^{-1} p_{n}, p_{1}=\alpha$. For $s=p /(p-2)$ we obtain $s<d /(d-2)$, $\lambda=d s^{-1}(d-2)^{-1}>1, p_{n}=\alpha \lambda^{n}, p_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$,

$$
F\left(p_{n+1}, Q_{n+1}\right) \leq C_{3}^{n \lambda^{-n}} F\left(p_{n}, Q_{n}\right)
$$

Since $0<\lambda<1$, one has $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \lambda^{-n}<\infty$. Hence there exists $C_{4}>0$ such that

$$
F\left(p_{n+1}, Q_{n+1}\right) \leq C_{3}^{\theta} F\left(\alpha, Q_{1}\right) \leq C_{4} F\left(\alpha, Q_{1}\right), \quad \theta=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \lambda^{-n}
$$

It is known that $F(+\infty, Q)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t, Q)$. Therefore, as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ we obtain

$$
F(+\infty, Q) \leq C_{4} F\left(\alpha, Q_{1}\right)
$$

According to (5) the inequality $F\left(\alpha, Q_{1}\right) \leq L^{2} F\left(-\alpha, Q_{1}\right)$ is valid. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$
\sup _{x \in Q} \exp \left(f^{-1}(|\ln (\Lambda \varrho)|)\right) \leq C_{4} L^{2}\left(\int_{Q_{1}} \exp (-\alpha f(|\ln \Lambda \varrho|))\right)^{-1 / \alpha}
$$

It remains to observe that replacing $Q_{1}$ by $E$ increases the right-hand side. Thus, (4) is proven if $d>2$. The cases $d=1$ and $d=2$ are even simpler because in the Sobolev inequality in place of the exponent $2 d(d-2)^{-1}$ one can take any $r>1$.
Theorem 2. Let $\mu=\varrho d x$ be a solution of equation (1), where the coefficients $a^{i j}$, $b^{i}$ satisfy conditions (C1), (C2) and let conditions (H1), (H2), and (H3) be fulfilled. Then there exist numbers $c_{1}>0$ and $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\varrho(x) \geq e^{-f\left(c_{1}|x|+c_{2}\right)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$

Proof. Let $u=\exp \left(\alpha f^{-1}(|\ln (\Lambda \varrho)|)\right)$, where $\alpha$ and $\Lambda$ are numbers from (4), $Q$ is an arbitrary cube of unit edge length. By Theorem 1 we obtain

$$
\sup _{x \in Q} u(x) \leq C|\Omega|^{-1} \sup _{\Omega} u(x)
$$

for every measurable set $\Omega \subset Q$. Let us fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Let $N=[|x|]+1$ and $x_{i}=i x / N$. Then $x_{0}=0, x_{N}=x$ and $\left|x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right| \leq 1$. Let $Q_{i}$ denote the cube with center at the point $x_{i}$ and unit edge parallel to the vector $x$. For every $i$ we have $x_{i-1} \in Q_{i},\left|Q_{i} \cap Q_{i-1}\right|=1 / 2$ and, therefore,

$$
\sup _{Q_{i}} u(x) \leq C\left|Q_{i} \cap Q_{i-1}\right|^{-1} \sup _{Q_{i} \cap Q_{i-1}} u(x) \leq 2 C \sup _{Q_{i-1}} u(x) .
$$

We obtain the inequality

$$
\sup _{Q_{i}} u(x) \leq 2 C \sup _{Q_{i-1}} u(x) .
$$

Applying this inequality for all $i$ starting with $i=N$, we find

$$
u(x)=u\left(x_{N}\right) \leq(2 C)^{N} \sup _{Q_{0}} u(x) \leq(2 C)^{N} \sup _{|x| \leq 2} u(x)
$$

Since $N=[|x|]+1 \leq|x|+1$, for some $\lambda_{1}>0$ and $\lambda_{2}>0$ we have

$$
u(x) \leq \exp \left(\lambda_{1}|x|+\lambda_{2}\right), x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$

Taking into account that $\varrho=\Lambda^{-1} e^{-f\left(\alpha^{-1} \ln u\right)}$ due to the estimate $\Lambda \varrho<1 / 2$ and recalling that $\Lambda^{-1} \geq 1$ and the function $f$ is increasing, we obtain the desired estimate.

We observe that this result gives lower bounds for the density of the stationary measure of the diffusion process with diffusion coefficient $\sqrt{2 A}$ and drift $b$. A similar method along with techniques from [10] can be applied in the parabolic case, which will be considered in a separate work.

Example 1. Let conditions (C1) and (C2) be fulfilled and let a number $r>1$ be given.
(i) In order to obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho(x) \geq \widetilde{c_{2}} \exp \left(-\widetilde{c_{1}}|x|^{r /(r-1)}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

it suffices to have $\exp \left(\delta|b|^{r}\right) \in L^{1}(\mu)$ with some $\delta>0$.
Indeed, the function $\psi(z)=\delta z^{r} /(2 p)$ satisfies condition (H3) with $f(z)=z^{r /(r-1)}$. There exists $C(\delta)>0$ such that $|z| \leq C(\delta) \exp \left(\delta|z|^{r} / 2\right)$. Then $\left(|b| \exp \left(\delta|b|^{r} /(2 p)\right)\right)^{p} \leq C(\delta)^{p} \exp \left(\delta|b|^{r}\right)$ and so $|b| \exp \left(\delta|b|^{r} /(2 p)\right) \in L^{p}(\mu)$, that is, condition (C2) is fulfilled.
(ii) In order to obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho(x) \geq \exp \left(-\widetilde{c_{2}} \exp \left(\widetilde{c_{1}}|x|\right)\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

it suffices to have $\exp (\delta|b|) \in L^{1}(\mu)$ with some $\delta>0$.
Indeed, whenever $0<\delta_{1}<\delta$, the functions $\psi(z)=\delta_{1} \cdot z$ and $f(z)=e^{z}$ satisfy (H3) with $N=1 / \delta_{1}$ and (C2) is fulfilled as well.
Example 2. Let $\mu=\varrho d x$ be a probability measure, $\varrho \in W_{l o c}^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Then $\mu$ obviously satisfies equation (1) with $A=I$ and $b=\nabla \varrho / \varrho$, where $b(x):=0$ if $\varrho(x)=0$. Therefore, for obtaining estimate (6) it suffices to have $\exp \left(\delta|\nabla \varrho / \varrho|^{r}\right) \in L^{1}(\mu)$ with some $\delta>0$, and estimate (7) follows from the inclusion $\exp (\delta|\nabla \varrho / \varrho|) \in L^{1}(\mu)$ with some $\delta>0$.

For $d=1$ the assertion in the last example was obtained in [4] (where in the case $r=1$ the formulation contains a minor inaccuracy: $\widetilde{c_{1}}$ is replaced by 1 ; the function $\varrho(x)=\exp (-\exp (2|x|))$ shows that one cannot get rid of $\left.\widetilde{c_{1}}\right)$. For $d>1$ and $r=1$ the assertion of the last example is given in Exercise 6.8.4 in book [11]; when our work was completed we learnt of the forthcoming paper [12], where in the situation of the same Example 2 the case $r>1$ is considered. However, the methods of [4] and [12] employ in a very essential way the fact that $b$ is of the special form $\nabla \varrho / \varrho$.
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