DIMENSION-INDEPENDENT HARNACK INEQUALITIES FOR SUBORDINATED SEMIGROUPS

MARIA GORDINA[†], MICHAEL RÖCKNER[‡], AND FENG-YU WANG^{*),**)}

ABSTRACT. Dimension-independent Harnack inequalities are derived for a class of subordinate semigroups. In particular, for a diffusion satisfying the Bakry-Emery curvature condition, the subordinate semigroup with power α satisfies a dimension-free Harnack inequality provided $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, and it satisfies the log-Harnack inequality for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Some infinite-dimensional examples are also presented.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Proofs	5
3. Some infinite-dimensional examples	8
3.1. Stochastic porous medium equation	8
3.2. Singular stochastic semi-linear equations	9
3.3. The Ornstein–Uhlenbeck type semigroups with jumps	10
3.4. Infinite-dimensional Heisenberg groups	11
References	12

1. INTRODUCTION

By using the gradient estimate for diffusion semigroups, the following dimensionfree Harnack inequality was established in [19] for the diffusion semigroup P_t generated by $L = \Delta + Z$ on a complete Riemannian manifold M with curvature $\operatorname{Ric} - \nabla Z$ bounded below by $-K \in \mathbb{R}$

(1.1)

$$(P_t f(x))^p \leq \exp\left(\frac{pK\rho(x,y)^2}{2(p-1)(e^{2Kt}-1)}\right) P_t f^p(y), \quad t > 0, x, y \in M, f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(M),$$

where p > 1, ρ is the Riemannian distance, and $\mathcal{B}_b^+(M)$ is the class of all bounded positive measurable functions on M. This inequality has been extended and applied in the study of contractivity properties, heat kernel bounds, strong Feller properties

Date: April 15, 2010 File:12GRW.tex.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary; 60G51, 58G32. Secondary; 58J65, 60J60. Key words and phrases. Harnack inequality, log-Harnack inequality, subordination.

[†] Research was supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-0706784.

 $^{^\}ddagger$ Research was supported in part by the German Science Foundation (DFG) through CRC 701.

 $^{^{\}ast}$ Research was supported in part by WIMICS, NNSFC (10721091) and the 973-Project.

and cost-entropy properties for finite- and infinite-dimensional diffusions. In particular, using the coupling method and Girsanov transformations developed in [4], this inequality has been derived for diffusions without using curvature conditions, see e.g. [5, 6, 9, 13–15, 17, 20] and references therein. See also [1–3] for applications to the short time behavior of transition probabilities. On the other hand, however, due to absence of a chain rule for the "gradient estimate" argument and an explicit Girsanov theorem, this technique of proving a dimension independent Harnack inequalities is not applicable to pure jump processes. The main purpose of this paper is to establish such inequalities for a class of α -stable like jump processes by using subordination.

Let (E, ρ) be a Polish space with the Borel3 σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)$, and P_t the semigroup for a time-homogenous Markov process on E. Let $\{\mu_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a convolution semigroup of probability measures on $[0, \infty)$, i.e. one has $\mu_{t+s} = \mu_t * \mu_s$ for $s, t \geq 0$ and $\mu_t \to \mu_0 := \delta_0$ weakly as $t \to 0$. Thus, the Laplace transform for μ_t has the form

(1.2)
$$\int_0^\infty e^{-xs} \mu_t(ds) = e^{-tB(x)}, \text{ for any } x \ge 0, t \ge 0$$

for some Bernstein function B, see e.g. [12]. We shall study the Harnack inequality for the subordinated semigroup

(1.3)
$$P_t^B := \int_0^\infty P_s \mu_t(\mathrm{d}s), \quad t \ge 0.$$

Obviously, if P_t is generated by a negatively definite self-adjoint operator $(L, \mathcal{D}(L))$ on $L^2(\nu)$ for some σ -finite measure ν on E, then P_t^B is generated by -B(-L). In particular, if $B(x) = x^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, we shall denote the corresponding μ_t by μ_t^{α} , and P_t^B by P_t^{α} respectively.

We shall use (1.3) and a known dimension independent Harnack inequality for P_t to establish the corresponding Harnack inequality for P_t^B . For instance, suppose we know that

$$(P_t f(x))^p \leqslant \exp\left(\Phi(p, t, x, y)\right) P_t f^p(y), x, y \in E, t > 0, p > 1, f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(E)$$

for some $\Phi: (1,\infty) \times (0,\infty) \times E^2 \to [0,\infty)$. Then (1.3) implies

(1.4)

$$(P_t^B f(x))^p = \left(\int_0^\infty P_s f(x)\mu_t(\mathrm{d}s)\right)^p$$

$$\leqslant \left(\int_0^\infty (P_s f^p(y))^{1/p} \exp\left(\frac{\Phi(p, s, x, y)}{p}\right)\mu_t(\mathrm{d}s)\right)^p$$

$$\leqslant (P_t^B f^p(y)) \left(\int_0^\infty \exp\left(\frac{\Phi(p, s, x, y)}{p-1}\right)\mu_t(\mathrm{d}s)\right)^{p-1}.$$

In general, $\Phi(p, s, x, y) \to \infty$ as $s \to 0$, so we have to verify that $\exp[\Phi(p, s, x, y)/(p-1)]$ is integrable w.r.t. $\mu_t(ds)$. Similarly to (1.1), for many specific models the singularity of $\Phi(p, s, x, y)$ at s = 0 behaves like $e^{\delta/s^{\kappa}}$ for some $\delta = \delta(p, x, y) > 0, \kappa \ge 1$ (see Section 3 below for specific examples). In this case, the following results say that the Harnack inequality provided by (1.4) is valid for P_t^{α} with $\alpha > \kappa/(\kappa + 1)$.

DIMENSION-INDEPENDENT HARNACK INEQUALITIES FOR SUBORDINATED SEMIGROUPS

Theorem 1.1. Let $p > 1, \kappa > 0$ and $\alpha \in \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}, 1\right)$ be fixed. Suppose that P_t satisfies the Harnack inequality

(1.5) $(P_t f(x))^p \leq \exp\left(H(x,y)(\varepsilon+t^{-\kappa})\right) P_t f^p(y), \quad x,y \in E, f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(E), t > 0,$ for some positive measurable function H on $E \times E$ and a constant $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Then there exists a constant c > 0 depending on α and κ such that

$$(P_t^{\alpha}f(x))^p \leqslant e^{\varepsilon H(x,y)} \left(1 + \left[\exp\left(\left(\frac{cH(x,y)}{(p-1)t^{\kappa/\alpha}} \right)^{1/(1-(\alpha^{-1}-1)\kappa)} \right) - 1 \right]^{(1-(\alpha^{-1}-1)\kappa)} \right)^{p-1} P_t^{\alpha}f^p(y) \\ \leqslant 2^{p-1} \exp\left(\varepsilon H(x,y) + C_{p,\kappa,\alpha} \left(\frac{H(x,y)}{t^{\kappa/\alpha}} \right)^{1/(1-(\alpha^{-1}-1)\kappa)} \right) P_t^{\alpha}f^p(y), \quad t > 0, x, y \in E$$

holds for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_{h}^{+}(E)$, where

$$C_{p,\kappa,\alpha} = \frac{(1 - (\alpha^{-1} - 1)\kappa)c^{1/(1 - (\alpha^{-1})\kappa)}}{(p-1)^{(\alpha^{-1} - 1)\kappa/(1 - (\alpha^{-1} - 1)\kappa)}}$$

Consequently, if P_t has an invariant probability measure μ , we have that (i) for any p, q > 1,

$$\frac{\|P_t^{\alpha}\|_{p \to q}}{2^{(p-1)/p}} \leqslant \left(\int_E \frac{\mu(\mathrm{d}x)}{\left(\int_E \exp\left[-\varepsilon H(x,y) - C_{p,\kappa,\alpha} \left(\frac{H(x,y)}{t^{\kappa/\alpha}} \right)^{1/(1-(\alpha^{-1}-1)\kappa)} \right] \mu(\mathrm{d}y) \right)^{q/p}} \right)^{1/q};$$

(ii) if P_t^{α} has a transition density $p_t^{\alpha}(x,y)$ w.r.t. μ such that for any $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$

$$\int_{E} p_{t}^{\alpha}(x,y)^{2} \mu(\mathrm{d}y)$$

$$\leq 2 \left(\int_{E} \exp\left(-\varepsilon H(x,y) - C_{p,\kappa,\alpha} \left(\frac{H(x,y)}{t^{\kappa/\alpha}} \right)^{1/(1-(\alpha^{-1}-1)\kappa)} \right) \mu(\mathrm{d}y) \right)^{-1}.$$

As an application of Theorem 1.1 (ii), we have the following explicit heat kernel upper bounds for stable like processes.

Example 1.2. Let P_t be generated by $L = \Delta + Z$ on a complete Riemannian manifold such that $\operatorname{Ric} -\nabla Z \ge -K$. By (1.1), (1.5) holds for $H(x,y) = \rho(x,y)^2$ and $\kappa = 1$. So, for $\alpha \in (1/2, 1]$, Theorem 1.1 (ii) implies

$$p_{2t}^{\alpha}(x,x)\leqslant \frac{c}{\mu(\{y:\rho(x,y)\leqslant t^{1/2\alpha}\})}, \quad x\in M,\ t>0$$

for some constant c > 0. In particular, for $L = \Delta$ on \mathbb{R}^d , $\mu(dx) = dx$ and K = 0, we have

$$\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d}p_t^{\alpha}(x,y)=\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}p_t^{\alpha}(x,x)\leqslant ct^{-d/2\alpha},t>0,$$

for some constant c > 0. This is sharp due to the well known explicit bounds of heat kernels for the classical stable processes on \mathbb{R}^d .

Theorem 1.1 does not apply to $\alpha \in (0, \frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}]$, since in this case $\int_0^\infty e^{\delta/s^\kappa} \mu_t^\alpha(ds) = \infty$ for large $\delta > 0$. A more careful analysis allows us to treat the case $\alpha = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}$ under certain restrictions on x, y, t. Thus results of this type apply also to the Cauchy process.

Proposition 1.3 (The case $\alpha = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}$). Suppose that P_t satisfies the Harnack inequality (1.5) for some positive measurable function H on $E \times E$ and a constant $\varepsilon \ge 0$. Then there exists a constant C > 0 depending on κ such that

$$(P_t^{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}}f(x))^p \leqslant e^{\varepsilon H(x,y)} \left(1 + \frac{C}{\frac{e(p-1)}{H(x,y)\kappa} \left(\frac{\kappa t}{\kappa+1}\right)^{\kappa+1} - 1}\right)^{p-1} P_t^{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}} f^p(y), \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(E)$$

holds for all $t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{E}$ such that

$$e(p-1)(t\kappa)^{\kappa+1} > \kappa(\kappa+1)^{\kappa+1}H(x,y)$$

In other cases we can still prove the log-Harnack inequality. For diffusion semigroups, the known log-Harnack inequality looks like

(1.6)
$$P_t \log f(x) \leq \log P_t f(y) + H(x, y)(\varepsilon + t^{-\kappa}), x, y \in E, t > 0, f \ge 1,$$

for some positive measurable function H on $E \times E$ and some constants $\varepsilon \ge 0, \kappa \ge 1$. In many cases, one has $H(x, y) = c\rho(x, y)^2$ for a constant c > 0 and the intrinsic distance ρ induced by the diffusion (see e.g. [18]).

Theorem 1.4. If (1.6) holds, then for any $\alpha \in (0, 1]$,

$$\begin{split} P_t^{\alpha} \log f(x) &\leqslant \log P_t^{\alpha} f(y) + H(x,y) \left(\varepsilon + \log P_t^{\alpha} f(y) + H(x,y) \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\kappa\right)} \right) \right), \\ t &> 0, x, y \in E, f \geq 1. \end{split}$$

As observed in [6] and [18], the log-Harnack inequality implies an entropycost inequality for the semigroup and an entropy inequality for the corresponding transition density. Let W_H be the Wasserstein distance induced by H, i.e.

$$W_H(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mu_1, \mu_2)} \int_{E \times E} H(x, y) \pi(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y)$$

where μ_1, μ_2 are probability measures on E and $C(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ is the set of all couplings for μ_1 and μ_2 .

Corollary 1.5. Assume that (1.6) holds and let P_t have an invariant probability measure μ . Then for any $\alpha \in (0, 1]$:

(1) The entropy-cost inequality

$$\mu(((P_t^{\alpha})^*f)\log(P_t^{\alpha})^*f) \leqslant W_H(f\mu,\mu)\left(\varepsilon + \log P_t^{\alpha}f(y) + H(x,y)\left(\varepsilon + \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}\Gamma\left(\kappa\right)}\right)\right)$$
$$t > 0, f \ge 0, \mu(f) = 1$$

holds for all $\alpha \in (0,1]$, where $(P_t^{\alpha})^*$ is the adjoint of P_t^{α} in $L^2(E;\mu)$.

(2) If $H(x,y) \to 0$ as $y \to x$ holds for any $x \in E$, then P_t^{α} is strong Feller and thus has a transition density $p_t(x, y)$ w.r.t. μ on supp μ , which satisfies the entropy inequality

$$\int_{E} p_t(x,z) \log \frac{p_t(x,z)}{p_t(y,z)} \,\mu(\mathrm{d}z) \leqslant H(x,y) \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\kappa\right)}\right), \quad t > 0, x, y \in \mathrm{supp}\,\mu.$$

2. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The consequences of the desired Harnack inequality are straightforward. Indeed, (i) follows by noting that the claimed Harnack inequality implies

$$\begin{split} (P_t^{\alpha}f(x))^p \int_E \exp\Big[-\varepsilon H(x,y) - C_{p,\kappa,\alpha}\Big(\frac{H(x,y)}{t^{\kappa/\alpha}}\Big)^{1/(1-(\alpha^{-1}-1)\kappa)}\Big]\mu(\mathrm{d}y) \\ \leqslant \mu(P_t^{\alpha}f^p) = \mu^{\alpha}(f^p), \end{split}$$

which also implies (ii) by taking p = 2 and $f(z) = p_t^{\alpha}(x, z), z \in E$. Indeed, with $f = 1_A$ for a μ -null set A, this inequality implies that the associated transition probability $P_t^{\alpha}(x, \cdot)$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. μ and hence, has a density $p_t^{\alpha}(x,\cdot)$ for every $x \in E$. Then the desired upper bound for $\int_E p_t^{\alpha}(x,y)^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}y)$ follows by first applying the above inequality with p = 2 and $f(z) = p_t^{\alpha}(x, z) \wedge n$ then letting $n \to \infty$. So, it remains to prove the first assertion.

By (1.5), (1.4) holds for $\Phi(p, s, x, y) = H(x, y)(\varepsilon + s^{-\kappa})$, i.e.

(2.1)
$$(P_t^{\alpha}f(x))^p \leqslant e^{\varepsilon H(x,y)} (P_t^{\alpha}f^p(y)) \left(\int_0^{\infty} \exp\left[\frac{H(x,y)}{(p-1)s^{\kappa}}\right] \mu_t(\mathrm{d}s)\right)^{p-1}$$

So it suffices to estimate the integral $\int_0^\infty e^{\delta/s^{\kappa}} \mu_t(ds)$ for $\delta := \frac{H(x,y)}{(p-1)} > 0$.

We use the formula

$$s^{-r} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^\infty x^{r-1} e^{-xs} dx, \ r > 0$$

to obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mu_t^\alpha\left(ds\right)}{s^r} &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(r\right)} \int_0^\infty x^{r-1} e^{-xs} dx \mu_t\left(ds\right) = \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(r\right)} \int_0^\infty x^{r-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} \mu_t\left(ds\right) dx = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(r\right)} \int_0^\infty x^{r-1} e^{-tB(x)} dx \end{split}$$

In particular, for $B(x) = x^{\alpha}$ we have

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{t}^{\alpha}\left(ds\right)}{s^{r}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(r\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{r-1} e^{-tx^{\alpha}} dx = \frac{1}{\alpha\Gamma\left(r\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{\frac{r}{\alpha}-1} e^{-ty} dy = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{r}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha\Gamma\left(r\right)} t^{-\frac{r}{\alpha}}.$$

We can use the generalization of Stirling's formula giving the asymptotic behavior of the Gamma function for large r

$$\Gamma\left(r\right) = \sqrt{2\pi}r^{r-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-r+\eta(r)},$$

where

$$\eta(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(r+n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \ln\left(1+\frac{1}{r+n}\right) - 1 = \frac{\theta}{12r}, 0 < \theta < 1.$$

We apply this estimate to $\Gamma(\kappa n)$, $\Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa n}{\alpha}\right)$ and n!. Thus

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\frac{\delta}{s^{\kappa}}} \mu_{t}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{d}s) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^{n}}{n!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa n}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha\Gamma\left(\kappa n\right)} t^{-\frac{\kappa n}{\alpha}} =$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^{n}}{n!} (\kappa n)^{\kappa n \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right)} e^{-\kappa n \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right)} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\kappa n}{\alpha}} e^{\frac{\theta_{1}\alpha-\theta_{2}}{12\kappa n}} t^{-\frac{\kappa n}{\alpha}} \leqslant$$

$$(2.3) \qquad 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^{n}}{n!} (\kappa n)^{\kappa n \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right)} e^{-\kappa n \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right)} \alpha^{-\frac{\kappa n}{\alpha}} e^{\frac{\pi}{12\kappa n}} t^{-\frac{\kappa n}{\alpha}} =$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{\kappa n \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right)}}{n!} \left(\delta\left(\frac{\kappa}{e}\right)^{\kappa \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right)} \alpha^{-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}} t^{-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}\right)^{n} e^{\frac{\pi}{12\kappa n}} \leqslant$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\kappa n \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right)-n-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\delta\left(\frac{\kappa}{e}\right)^{\kappa \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right)} \alpha^{-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}} t^{-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}\right)^{n} e^{\frac{\pi}{12\kappa n}}.$$

This series converges for $\alpha > \frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}$, moreover, there is a constant c depending only on κ such that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha n}} \left(\left(\frac{\kappa}{e}\right)^{\kappa \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right)} \alpha^{-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}} t^{-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}} \right)^n e^{\frac{\alpha}{12\kappa n}} \leqslant c^n.$$

Denote

$$c(\delta,\alpha,\kappa) := 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{n\left(\kappa\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right)-1\right)} \left(c\delta t^{-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}\right)^{n},$$

then

$$\left(P_t^{\alpha}f(x)\right)^p \leqslant e^{\varepsilon H(x,y)} \left(c\left(\frac{H\left(x,y\right)}{p-1},\alpha,\kappa\right)\right)^{p-1} P_t^{\alpha}f^p(y).$$

Note that for $a>0,1\geqslant b>0$ we have the following estimate

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n^{bn}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2a)^n}{n^{bn}} \frac{1}{2^n} \leqslant \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2a)^{\frac{n}{b}}}{n^n} \frac{1}{2^n}\right)^b \leqslant \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2a)^{\frac{n}{b}}}{n!} \frac{1}{2^n}\right)^b = \left(e^{\frac{(2a)^{1/b}}{2}} - 1\right)^b,$$

where we used Jensen's inequality. Thus for any $\alpha \in \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}, 1\right)$ we use the above estimate with $b := \kappa \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + 1 \leq 1$ to see that

$$c\left(\delta,\alpha,\kappa\right) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{n\left(\kappa\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right)-1\right)} \left(c\delta t^{-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}\right)^{n} \leqslant 1 + \left(\exp\left(\frac{\left(2c\delta t^{-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)+1}}}{2}\right) - 1\right)^{\kappa\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)+1}$$

Thus we can say that there is c > 0 depending on α and κ such that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{\frac{H(x,y)}{(p-1)s^\kappa}} \mu_t^\alpha(\mathrm{d}s) \leqslant 1 + \left(\exp\left(\left(\frac{cH(x,y)}{(p-1)t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)+1}}\right) - 1\right)^{\kappa\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)+1}$$

Using the inequality

$$1 + (x - 1)^a \leqslant 2x^a$$

for any $x \ge 1$ and $0 \le a \le 1$ we see that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{\frac{\delta}{s^\kappa}} \mu_t^\alpha(\mathrm{d}s) \leqslant 2 \exp\left(\left(\kappa \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + 1\right) \left(\frac{cH\left(x, y\right)}{\left(p - 1\right)t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + 1}}\right)$$

which completes the proof.

Proof of Proposition 1.3. In the case $\alpha = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}$ the series in (2.3) converges for t > 0and $x, y \in E$ such that

(2.4)
$$e(p-1)(t\kappa)^{\kappa+1} > \kappa(\kappa+1)^{\kappa+1}H(x,y).$$

Note that for $\delta := \frac{H(x,y)}{p-1}$ the last line of (2.3) reduces to

$$1 + \sqrt{\frac{\kappa+1}{2\pi\kappa}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{\delta\kappa}{e} \left(\frac{\kappa+1}{\kappa t} \right)^{\kappa+1} \right)^n e^{\frac{1}{12(\kappa+1)n}}$$
$$\leqslant 1 + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\delta\kappa}{e} \left(\frac{\kappa+1}{\kappa t} \right)^{\kappa+1} \right)^n$$
$$= 1 + \frac{C}{\frac{e}{\delta\kappa} \left(\frac{\kappa t}{\kappa+1} \right)^{\kappa+1} - 1}.$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By (2.2) with $r = \kappa$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\mu_t^\alpha\left(ds\right)}{s^\kappa} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}}\Gamma\left(\kappa\right)}.$$

Using (1.2), (1.6) we obtain

$$\begin{split} P_t^{\alpha} \log f(x) &= \int_0^{\infty} P_s \log f(x) \mu_t^{\alpha}(\mathrm{d}s) \leqslant \int_0^{\infty} \left(\log P_s f(y) + H(x,y)(\varepsilon + s^{-\kappa}) \right) \mu_t^{\alpha}(\mathrm{d}s) \\ &= \log P_t^{\alpha} f(y) + H(x,y) \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\kappa\right)} \right). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Corollary 1.5. (1) It suffices to prove for $f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(E)$ such that $\inf f > 0$ and $\mu(f) = 1$. In this case, there exists a constant c > 0 such that $cf \ge 1$. By Theorem 1.4 for $cP_t^{\alpha}f$ in place of f, we obtain

$$P_t^{\alpha} \log(P_t^{\alpha})^* f(x) \leq \log P_t^{\alpha} (P_t^{\alpha})^* f(y) + H(x,y) \bigg(\varepsilon + \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha t^{\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\kappa\right)} \bigg).$$

Since μ is invariant for P_t^{α} and $(P_t^{\alpha})^*$, taking the integral for both sides w.r.t. $\pi \in (f\mu, \mu)$ and minimizing in π , we prove the first assertion.

(2) The strong Feller property follows from Theorem 1.4 according to [18, Proposition 2.3], while by [18, Proposition 2.4] the desired entropy inequality for the transition density is equivalent to the log-Harnack inequality for P_t^{α} provided by Theorem 1.4.

3. Some infinite-dimensional examples

As explained in Section 1, Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 hold for $\kappa = 1$ if P_t is a diffusion semigroup on a Riemannian manifold with the Ricci curvature bounded below. In this section we present some infinite dimensional examples where these theorems can be used.

3.1. Stochastic porous medium equation. Let Δ be the Dirichlet Laplace operator on a bounded interval (a, b) and W_t the cylindrical Brownian motion on $L^2((a, b); dx)$. Since the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}$ of $-\Delta$ satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-1} < \infty$, W_t is a continuous process on \mathbb{H} , the completion of $L^2((a, b); dx)$ under the inner product

$$\langle x,y\rangle:=\sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\langle x,e_i\rangle\langle y,e_i\rangle,$$

where e_i is the unit eigenfunction corresponding to λ_i for each $i \ge 1$. Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm on \mathbb{H} , and suppose r > 1. Then the following stochastic porous medium equation has a unique strong solution on \mathbb{H} for any $X_0 \in \mathbb{H}$ (see e.g. [7]):

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = \Delta X_t^r \mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W_t.$$

Let P_t be the corresponding Markov semigroup. According to [20, Remark 1.1 and Theorem 1.2], Theorem 1.1 in [20] holds for $\theta = r - 1$ and some constant $\gamma, \delta, \xi > 0$. Thus, there exist two constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ depending on r such that

$$(P_t f)^p(x) \leqslant (P_t f^p(y)) \exp\left[\frac{c_1 p \|x - y\|^{4/(1+r)}}{(p-1)(1 - e^{-c_2 t})^{(3+r)/(1+r)}}\right], \quad p > 1, t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{H}$$

holds for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_{h}^{+}(\mathbb{H})$. By [18, Proposition 2.2] for $\rho(x,y)^{2} = ||x-y||^{2/(1+r)}$, this implies the log-Harnack inequality

$$P_t \log f(x) \leq \log P_t f(x) + \frac{c_1 ||x - y||^{4/(1+r)}}{(1 - e^{-c_2 t})^{(3+r)/(1+r)}}, \ x, y \in \mathbb{H}, f \ge 1.$$

Therefore, Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 apply to P_t^{α} for

$$\kappa = \frac{r+r}{1+r}$$

and some constant ε depending on r.

3.2. Singular stochastic semi-linear equations. Let \mathbb{H} be a separable Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and W_t the cylindrical Brownian motion on \mathbb{H} . Consider the stochastic equation

(3.1)
$$dX_t = (AX_t + F(X_t))dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad X_0 \in H.$$

Let A, F and σ satisfy the following hypotheses:

(H1) $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$ is the generator of a C_0 -semigroup, $T_t = e^{tA}, t \ge 0$, on \mathbb{H} and for some $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$

(3.2)
$$\langle Ax, x \rangle \le \omega \|x\|^2, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$

(H2) σ is a bounded positively definite, self-adjoint operator on \mathbb{H} such that σ^{-1} is bounded and $\int_0^\infty \|T_t\sigma\|_{HS}^2 dt < \infty$, where $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$ denotes the norm on the space of all Hilbert–Schmidt operators on \mathbb{H} .

(H3) $F: \mathcal{D}(F) \subset \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ is an *m*-dissipative map, i.e.,

$$\langle F(x) - F(y), x - y \rangle \leq 0, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{D}(F), \ u \in F(x), \ v \in F(y),$$

("dissipativity") and

Range
$$(I - F) := \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{D}(F)} (x - F(x)) = \mathbb{H}.$$

Furthermore, $F_0(x) \in F(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{D}(F)$, is such that

$$||F_0(x)|| = \min_{y \in F(x)} ||y||.$$

Here we recall that for F as in (H3) we have that F(x) is closed, non empty and convex.

The corresponding Kolmogorov operator is then given as follows: Let $\mathcal{E}_A(H)$ denote the linear span of all real parts of functions of the form $\varphi = e^{i\langle h, \cdot \rangle}, h \in$ $D(A^*)$, where A^* denotes the adjoint operator of A, and define for any $x \in \mathcal{D}(F)$,

$$L_0\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\sigma^2 D^2 \varphi(x)\right) + \langle x, A^* D \varphi(x) \rangle + \langle F_0(x), D \varphi(x) \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H).$$

Additionally, we assume:

(H4) There exists a probability measure μ on H (equipped with its Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(H)$) such that

- $\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{i}) & \mu(\mathcal{D}(F)) = 1, \\ (\mathrm{ii}) & \int_{H} (1 + \|x\|^2)(1 + \|F_0(x)\|)\mu(dx) < \infty, \\ (\mathrm{iii}) & \int_{H} L_0 \varphi d\mu = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H). \end{array}$

By [8], the closure of $(L_0, \mathcal{E}_A(\mathbb{H}))$ in $L^1(\mathbb{H}; \mu)$ generates a Markov semigroup P_t with μ as an invariant probability measure, which is point-wisely determined on $\mathbb{H}_0 := \text{supp}\mu$. If moreover the following hypotheses holds:

- (H5) (i) $(1+\omega-A, \mathcal{D}(A))$ satisfies the weak sector condition: there exists a constant K > 0 such that
- $(3.3) \ \langle (1+\omega-A)x,y\rangle \leqslant K \langle (1+\omega-A)x,x\rangle^{1/2} \langle (1+\omega-A)y,y\rangle^{1/2}, \quad \forall \ x,y \in \mathcal{D}(A).$
 - (ii) There exists a sequence of A-invariant finite dimensional subspaces $\mathbb{H}_n \subset \mathcal{D}(A)$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{H}_n$ is dense in \mathbb{H} .

Then (see [9, Theorem 1.6])

$$(P_t f(x))^p \leq P_t f^p(y) \exp\left[\|\sigma^{-1}\|^2 \frac{p\omega \|x - y\|^2}{(p-1)(1 - e^{-2\omega t})} \right], \quad t > 0, \ x, y \in \mathbb{H}_0$$

As mentioned above, according to [18, Proposition 2.2] this implies the corresponding log-Harnack inequality. Therefore, our Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 apply to P_t^p for $\kappa = 1$.

3.3. The Ornstein–Uhlenbeck type semigroups with jumps. Consider the following stochastic differential equation driven by a Lévy process

(3.4)
$$dX_t = AX_t dt + dZ_t, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{H},$$

where A is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ on \mathbb{H} , $Z_t := \{Z_t^u, u \in \mathbb{H}\}$ is a cylindrical Lévy process with characteristic triplet (a, R, M) on some filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$, that is, for every $u \in \mathbb{H}$ and $t \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{E}\exp(\mathrm{i}\langle Z_t, u\rangle) = \exp(\mathrm{i}t\langle a, u\rangle - \frac{t}{2}\langle Ru, u\rangle - \int_{\mathbb{H}} \left[1 - \exp(\mathrm{i}\langle x, u\rangle) + \mathrm{i}\langle x, u\rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{\|x\| \leq 1\}}(x)\right], M(\mathrm{d}x)\right),$$

where $a \in \mathbb{H}$, R is a symmetric linear operator on \mathbb{H} such that

$$R_t := \int_0^t T_s R T_s^* \,\mathrm{d}s$$

is a trace class operator for each t > 0, and M is a Lévy measure on \mathbb{H} . (For simplicity, we shall write $Z_t^u = \langle Z_t, u \rangle$ for every $u \in \mathbb{H}$.) In this case, (3.4) has a unique mild solution

$$X_t = T_t x + \int_0^t T_{t-s} \mathrm{d}Z_s, t \ge 0.$$

Let

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}f(X_t), \quad x \in \mathbb{H}, \ f \in \mathbb{B}_b(\mathbb{H}).$$

 If

$$||R^{-1/2}T_tRx|| \leq \sqrt{h(t)} ||R^{1/2}x||, x \in \mathbb{H}, t \ge 0$$

holds for some positive function $h \in C([0, \infty))$. Then by [16, Theorem 1.2] (see also [17] for the diffusion case),

DIMENSION-INDEPENDENT HARNACK INEQUALITIES FOR SUBORDINATED SEMIGROURS

$$(P_t f)^{\alpha}(x) \leqslant \exp\left[\frac{\alpha \|R^{-1/2}(x-y)\|^2}{2(\alpha-1)\int_0^t h(s)^{-1} \mathrm{d}s}\right] P_t f^{\alpha}(y), \quad t > 0, x-y \in R^{1/2} \mathbb{H}$$

holds for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(\mathbb{H})$. By this and [18, Proposition 2.2] which implies the corresponding log-Harnack inequality, Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 apply to some $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $\kappa \geq 1$ if

$$\limsup_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t^{\kappa}} \int_0^t \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{h(s)} > 0.$$

3.4. Infinite-dimensional Heisenberg groups. In [10] an integrated Harnack inequality similar to (1.1) has been established for a Brownian motion on infinitedimensional Heisenberg groups modeled on an abstract Wiener space. The inequality is the consequence of the Ricci curvature bounds for both finite-dimensional approximations to these groups and the group itself, and the results established for inductive limits of finite-dimensional Lie groups in [11]. Even though the methods described in that paper are applicable to inductive and projective limits of finitedimensional Lie groups, the infinite-dimensional Heisenberg groups provide a very concrete setting. We follow the exposition in [10].

Let (W, H, μ) be an abstract Wiener space over $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{C})$, **C** be a real(complex) finite dimensional inner product space, and $\omega : W \times W \to \mathbf{C}$ be a continuous skew symmetric bilinear quadratic form on W. Further, let

(3.5)
$$\|\omega\|_{0} := \sup \{ \|\omega(w_{1}, w_{2})\|_{\mathbf{C}} : w_{1}, w_{2} \in W \text{ with } \|w_{1}\|_{W} = \|w_{2}\|_{W} = 1 \}$$

be the uniform norm on ω which is finite since ω is assumed to be continuous. We will need the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of ω which is defined as

$$\|\omega\|_{2}^{2} = \|\omega\|_{H^{*}\otimes H^{*}\otimes \mathbf{C}} := \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \|\omega(e_{i},e_{j})\|_{\mathbf{C}}^{2},$$

which is finite by Proposition 3.14 in [10].

Definition 3.1. Let \mathfrak{g} denote $W \times \mathbf{C}$ when thought of as a Lie algebra with the Lie bracket operation given by

(3.6)
$$[(A, a), (B, b)] := (0, \omega(A, B))$$

Let $G := G(\omega)$ denote $W \times \mathbf{C}$ when thought of as a group with the multiplication law given by

(3.7)
$$g_1g_2 = g_1 + g_2 + \frac{1}{2}[g_1, g_2] \text{ for any } g_1, g_2 \in G.$$

It is easily verified that \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra and G is a group. The identity of G is the zero element, $\mathbf{e} := (0, 0)$.

Notation 3.2. Let \mathfrak{g}_{CM} denote $H \times \mathbb{C}$ when viewed as a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and G_{CM} denote $H \times \mathbb{C}$ when viewed as a subgroup of $G = G(\omega)$. We will refer to \mathfrak{g}_{CM} (G_{CM}) as the **Cameron–Martin subalgebra (subgroup)** of \mathfrak{g} (G). (For explicit examples of such (W, H, \mathbb{C}, ω), see [10].)

We equip $G = \mathfrak{g} = W \times \mathbf{C}$ with the Banach space norm

(3.8)
$$\|(w,c)\|_{\mathfrak{g}} := \|w\|_{W} + \|c\|_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

and $G_{CM} = \mathfrak{g}_{CM} = H \times \mathbf{C}$ with the Hilbert space inner product,

(3.9)
$$\langle (A,a), (B,b) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}_{CM}} := \langle A, B \rangle_H + \langle a, b \rangle_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

The associate Hilbertian norm is given by

(3.10)
$$\|(A,\delta)\|_{\mathfrak{g}_{CM}} := \sqrt{\|A\|_{H}^{2} + \|\delta\|_{\mathbf{C}}^{2}}.$$

As was shown in [10, Lemma 3.3], these Banach space topologies on $W \times \mathbf{C}$ and $H \times \mathbf{C}$ make G and G_{CM} into topological groups.

Then we can define a Brownian motion on G starting at $\mathbf{e} = (0,0) \in G$ to be the process

(3.11)
$$g(t) = \left(B(t), B_0(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \omega(B(\tau), dB(\tau))\right).$$

We denote by ν_t the corresponding heat kernel measure on G. The following estimate was used in the proof of Theorem 8.1 in [10]. For any $h \in G_{CM}$, 1

(3.12)
$$\int_{G} |f(xh)| \, d\nu_t(x) \leq \|f\|_{L^p(G,\nu_t)} \exp\left(\frac{c(-k(\omega)t)(p-1)}{2t} d_{G_{CM}}^2(e,h)\right).$$

where

$$c(t) = \frac{t}{e^t - 1}$$
 for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

with the convention that c(0) = 1 and

$$k(\omega) := \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\|A\|_{H}=1} \|\omega(\cdot, A)\|_{H^{*} \otimes \mathbf{C}}^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|_{2}^{2} < \infty.$$

Equation (3.12) implies the corresponding L^p -estimates of Radon-Nikodym derivatives of ν_t relative to the left and right multiplication by elements in G_{CM} . This in turn is equivalent to the Harnack inequality (1.1) following an argument similar to Lemma D.1 in [11]

$$\left[\left(P_t f\right)(x)\right]^p \le C^p \left(P_t f^p\right)(y) \text{ for all } f \ge 0.$$

Thus we are in position to apply our results to the heat kernel measure ν_t subordinated as described in Section 1.

References

- Shigeki Aida, Uniform positivity improving property, Sobolev inequalities, and spectral gaps, J. Funct. Anal. 158 (1998), no. 1, 152–185. MR MR1641566 (2000d:60125)
- Shigeki Aida and Hiroshi Kawabi, Short time asymptotics of a certain infinite dimensional diffusion process, Stochastic analysis and related topics, VII (Kusadasi, 1998), Progr. Probab., vol. 48, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2001, pp. 77–124. MR MR1915450 (2003m:60219)
- Shigeki Aida and Tusheng Zhang, On the small time asymptotics of diffusion processes on path groups, Potential Anal. 16 (2002), no. 1, 67–78. MR MR1880348 (2003e:58052)
- Marc Arnaudon, Anton Thalmaier, and Feng-Yu Wang, Harnack inequality and heat kernel estimates on manifolds with curvature unbounded below, Bull. Sci. Math. 130 (2006), no. 3, 223–233. MR MR2215664 (2007i:58032)
- 5. _____, Gradient estimates and harnack inequalities on non-compact riemannian manifolds, to appear \mathbf{x} (2009), x.

12

DIMENSION-INDEPENDENT HARNACK INEQUALITIES FOR SUBORDINATED SEMIGROURS

- Sergey G. Bobkov, Ivan Gentil, and Michel Ledoux, Hypercontractivity of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 80 (2001), no. 7, 669–696. MR MR1846020 (2003b:47073)
- G. Da Prato, Michael Röckner, B. L. Rozovskii, and Feng-Yu Wang, Strong solutions of stochastic generalized porous media equations: existence, uniqueness, and ergodicity, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 31 (2006), no. 1-3, 277–291. MR MR2209754 (2007b:60153)
- Giuseppe Da Prato and Michael Röckner, Singular dissipative stochastic equations in Hilbert spaces, Probab. Theory Related Fields 124 (2002), no. 2, 261–303. MR MR1936019 (2003k:60151)
- Giuseppe Da Prato, Michael Röckner, and Feng-Yu Wang, Singular stochastic equations on Hilbert spaces: Harnack inequalities for their transition semigroups, J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009), no. 4, 992–1017. MR MR2535460
- Bruce K. Driver and Maria Gordina, Heat kernel analysis on infinite-dimensional Heisenberg groups, J. Funct. Anal. 255 (2008), no. 9, 2395–2461. MR MR2473262
- 11. _____, Integrated Harnack inequalities on Lie groups, Journal of Differential Geometry **3** (2009), 501–550.
- N. Jacob, Pseudo differential operators and Markov processes. Vol. I, Imperial College Press, London, 2001, Fourier analysis and semigroups. MR MR1873235 (2003a:47104)
- Wei Liu, Fine properties of stochastic evolution equations and their applications, Ph.D. thesis, Doctor-Thesis, Bielefeld University, 2009.
- Wei Liu and Feng-Yu Wang, Harnack inequality and strong Feller property for stochastic fast-diffusion equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342 (2008), no. 1, 651–662. MR MR2440828 (2009k:60137)
- 15. Shun-Xiang Ouyang, *Harnack inequalities and applications for stochastic equations*, Ph.D. thesis, Ph.D. thesis, Bielefeld University, 2009.
- 16. Shun-Xiang Ouyang, Michael Röckner, and Feng-Yu Wang, Harnack inequalities and applications for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups with jumps, preprint.
- Michael Röckner and Feng-Yu Wang, Harnack and functional inequalities for generalized Mehler semigroups, J. Funct. Anal. 203 (2003), no. 1, 237–261. MR MR1996872 (2005d:47077)
- 18. Feng-Yu Wang, Heat kernel inequalities for curvature and second fundamental form, preprint.
- Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds, Probab. Theory Related Fields 109 (1997), no. 3, 417–424. MR MR1481127 (98i:58253)
- Harnack inequality and applications for stochastic generalized porous media equations, Ann. Probab. 35 (2007), no. 4, 1333–1350. MR MR2330974 (2008e:60192)

[†] DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS, CT 06269, U.S.A. *E-mail address:* gordina@math.uconn.edu

[‡] Department of Mathematics, Bielefeld University, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany

 ‡ Departments of Mathematics and Statistics, Purdue University, 150 N. University St West Lafayette, IN 47907-2067 USA

E-mail address: roeckner@math.uni-bielefeld.de

*Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, UK E-mail address: wangfy@bnu.edu.cn

 $\ast\ast$ School of Math. Sci. & Lab. Math. Com. Sys., Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

E-mail address: wangfy@bnu.edu.cn