## Addendum to : "Stochastic nonlinear diffusion equations with singular diffusivity "

## Viorel Barbu<sup>\*</sup> Giuseppe Da Prato<sup>†</sup> Michael Röckner<sup>‡</sup>

**Abstract** In this addendum, we improve the results of the article [V. Barbu, G. Da Prato, M. Rockner, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 41(2009), pp.1106-1120) on existence and uniqueness of solutions to stochastic nonlinear diffusion equations and complete them with a new result on finite time extinction of the solution. Also, some technical points are clarified and a misleading conclusion is corrected.

**Keywords:** stochastic, bounded variation, Wiener process. **AMS Subject Classification:** 60H15, 35K55.

Consider the stochastic singular diffusion equation in  $H = L^2(\mathcal{O})$ 

(1) 
$$dX = \operatorname{div} \operatorname{sgn}(\nabla(X))dt + XdW \quad \text{in } (0,\infty) \times \mathcal{O}, \\ X = 0 \quad \text{on } (0,\infty) \times \partial \mathcal{O}, X(0) = x \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O},$$

where  $\mathcal{O}$  is a bounded and open domain of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and W(t) is a Wiener process of the form  $W(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k e_k \beta_k(t), \{\beta_k\}$  is a sequence of independent realvalued Brownian motions on a filtered probability space  $\{\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t>0}, \mathbb{P}\}$ and  $\{e_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $H = L^2(\mathcal{O})$ . The multi-valued function  $u \to \operatorname{sgn} u$  from  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is defined by

$$\operatorname{sgn} u = \frac{u}{|u|_d} \text{ for } u \neq 0; \qquad \operatorname{sgn} 0 = \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^d; |v|_d \le 1 \},$$

<sup>\*</sup>Octav Mayer Institute of Mathematics (Romanian Academy), 700506 Iași, Romania. †Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, 56126, Pisa, Italy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany.

where  $|\cdot|_d$  is the Euclidean norm of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

Equation (1) is not well posed in the sense of the classical Ito integral but only in a generalized (variational) sense to be recalled below ([3]). Let  $BV(\mathcal{O})$  be the space of functions u with bounded variations on  $\mathcal{O}$ , that is (see [1]))

$$\|Du\| = \sup\left\{\int_{\mathcal{O}} u \operatorname{div} \varphi \, d\xi; \ \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}^d), |\varphi|_{\infty} \le 1\right\} < \infty.$$

Consider the function  $\phi: L^2(\mathcal{O}) \to \overline{\mathbb{R}} = ]-\infty, +\infty]$  defined by

$$\phi(u) = \begin{cases} \|Du\| + \int_{\partial \mathcal{O}} |\gamma_0(u)| d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} & \text{if } u \in BV(\mathcal{O}) \cap L^2(\mathcal{O}), \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\gamma_0$  is the trace operator on the boundary of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Equivalently,

$$\phi(u) = \|D\widetilde{u}\|$$
 if  $\widetilde{u} \in BV(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ;  $+\infty$  otherwise,

where  $\widetilde{u}$  is the extension of u by zero outside  $\mathcal{O}$ .

The function  $\phi$  is lower-semicontinuous on  $L^2(\mathcal{O})$  and, as a matter of fact, it is the closure in  $L^1(\mathcal{O})$  of the norm of the Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,1}(\mathcal{O})$ . For this reason, we may interpret  $\phi(u) < \infty$  as a Dirichlet boundary condition.

**Definition 1** Let  $0 < T < \infty$  and let  $x \in L^2(\mathcal{O})$ . A stochastic process  $X : [0,T] \to L^2(\mathcal{O})$  is said to be a variational solution (or strong solution) to (1), if the following conditions hold.

- (i) X is  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted and has  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. continuous sample paths in  $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ , X(0) = x.
- (ii)  $X \in C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega; L^2(\mathcal{O}))) \cap L^1((0,T) \times \Omega; BV(\mathcal{O}))), \phi(X) \in L^1((0,T) \times \Omega).$
- (iii) For all  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  adapted processes  $G \in L^2(0,T; L^2(\Omega; L^2(\mathcal{O})))$  and  $Z \in C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega, L^2(\mathcal{O}))), \phi(Z) \in L^1(0,T;\Omega)$  solving the equation

(2) 
$$dZ(t) + G(t)dt = Z(t)dW(t), t \in [0,T], Z(0) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, L^2(\mathcal{O})),$$

we have

(3)  

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}|X(t) - Z(t)|_{2}^{2} + \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t} \phi(X(\tau)) d\tau$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}|x - Z(0)|_{2}^{2} + \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t} \phi(Z(\tau)) d\tau$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{k}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathcal{O}} (e_{k}(X(\tau) - Z(\tau)))^{2} d\xi d\tau$$

$$+ \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t} \langle X(\tau) - Z(\tau), G(\tau) \rangle d\tau, t \in [0, T].$$

Here,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the pairing in duality with the pivot space  $L^2(\mathcal{O})$  and  $|\cdot|_2$  is its norm.

The definition of a variational (strong) solution to (1) with additive noise is completely similar except that the quadratic term from the right hand side of (3) is missing and the inequality is taken  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.

It should be said that this definition of a strong solution was given in [3] for d = 1, 2, but with a different function  $\phi$ , namely, for

$$\phi_0(u) = \begin{cases} \|Du\| & \text{if } u \in BV(\mathcal{O}), \ \gamma_0(u) = 0, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Though  $\phi_0$  is not l.s.c in  $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ , its l.s.c. closure is just  $\phi$ , and so the definitions are equivalent. It is true however that  $\phi_0(u) < +\infty$  does not mean that  $u \in BV_0(\mathcal{O})$  as was erroneously claimed in [3]). As regards existence for (1) we have:

**Theorem 2** Assume that  $d \ge 1$  and

(4) 
$$C^* = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k^2 |e_k|_{\infty}^2 < +\infty$$

and that  $x \in L^2(\mathcal{O})$ . Then, there is a unique variational solution  $X \in L^2(\Omega; C([0,T]; L^2(\mathcal{O})))$  to (1) such that

(5) 
$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \mathbb{E} \{ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |X(t) - X_{\lambda}(t)|_2 \} = 0, \ \forall T > 0,$$

where  $X_{\lambda} \in L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; L^2(\mathcal{O})))$  is the solution to the equation

(6)  

$$dX_{\lambda} - (I + \lambda A)^{-1} (\operatorname{div} \psi_{\lambda} (\nabla (I + \lambda A)^{-1} X_{\lambda})) dt = X_{\lambda} dW_{t}$$

$$in \ (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{O},$$

$$X_{\lambda}(0) = x.$$

Here,  $A = -\Delta$ ,  $D(A) = H_0^1(\mathcal{O}) \cap H^2(\mathcal{O})$  and  $\psi_{\lambda}$  is the Yosida approximation of the sgn-multivalued function.

The existence part of Theorem 2 was established in [3] for d = 1, 2, but the proof is exactly the same for a general d. (The choice of d = 1, 2 in [3] was dictated by the inclusion of  $BV(\mathcal{O})$  into  $L^2(\mathcal{O})$  for p = 1, 2 but this is not essential for the existence and uniqueness proof.) As regards the uniqueness of the solution X, it was established in [3] only for (1) with additive noise, but it was recently proved for the case of multiplicative noise as in [5]. It is claimed in [3] that  $X(t) \in BV^0(\mathcal{O}) = \{u \in BV(\mathcal{O}); \gamma_0(u) = 0\}$  as a consequence of the fact that

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \phi((1+\lambda A)^{-1}X_\lambda(t))dt \le C, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

which implies by lower semicontinuity  $\phi(X) \in L^1((0,T) \times \Omega)$ . As mentioned earlier, this is false and Theorem 2 is the correct formulation while the proof is exactly the same as in [3].

Equation (1) with the Neumann boundary condition  $\nabla X \cdot \vec{n} = 0$  can be similarly treated by taking in Definition 1 the functional  $\phi = \phi_N : L^2(\mathcal{O}) \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ,

$$\phi_N(u) = \|Du\|$$
 if  $u \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap BV(\mathcal{O}), +\infty$  otherwise.

Also, the periodic boundary conditions  $X(t, \xi + \pi) \equiv X(t, \xi)$  can be incorporated into Definition 1 by a suitably chosen function  $\phi$ . (See, e.g., [6].)

A striking feature of solutions to singular nonlinear diffusion stochastic equations is the extinction in finite time with positive probability. (See [4])

**Theorem 3** Let d = 1, 2 and let X be the variational solution to (1) given by Theorem 2. Let  $\tau = \inf\{t; |X(t)|_2 = 0\}$ . Then, we have

(7) 
$$\mathbb{P}[\tau \le t] \ge 1 - \rho^{-1} \left( \int_0^t e^{-C^* s} ds \right)^{-1} s |x|_2, \ \forall t \ge 0,$$

where  $\rho = \sup\{|y|_2/|y|_{W_0^{1,1}(\mathcal{O})}; y \in W_0^{1,1}(\mathcal{O})\}$  and  $C^*$  is as in (4).

**Proof.** Let  $X_{\lambda}$  be the solution to (6) and  $\widetilde{X}_{\lambda} = (I + \lambda A)^{-1} X_{\lambda}$ . We note that

(8) 
$$dX_{\lambda} - (I + \lambda A)^{-1} \text{div } \psi_{\lambda}(\nabla X_{\lambda}) dt = X_{\lambda} dW.$$

We apply Itô's formula to  $|X_{\lambda}|_2^2$  and subsequently for  $\varepsilon > 0$  to the function  $\varphi(r) = (r + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}, r \in \mathbb{R}$ , and obtain

(9)  
$$d\varphi_{\varepsilon}(|X_{\lambda}(t)|_{2}^{2}) + \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\psi_{\lambda}(\nabla\widetilde{X}_{\lambda})\cdot\nabla\widetilde{X}_{\lambda}d\xi\right)(|X_{\lambda}|_{2}^{2}+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dt$$
$$\leq C^{*}|X_{\lambda}(t)|_{2}^{2}(|X_{\lambda}(t)|_{2}^{2}+\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dt$$
$$+2\left\langle X_{\lambda}(t)dW(t),\varphi_{\varepsilon}'(|X_{\lambda}(t)|_{2}^{2})X_{\lambda}(t)\right)\right\rangle_{2}.$$

Recalling that, by the Sobolev embedding theorem for  $d \ge 1$ 

$$|\nabla y|_{L^1(\mathcal{O})} \ge \rho |y|_{\frac{d}{d-1}}, \quad \forall y \in W_0^{1,1}(\mathcal{O})$$

and that  $\psi_{\lambda}(r) \cdot r \ge |r|_d^2, \forall r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we get by (9) that

$$d\varphi_{\varepsilon}(|X_{\lambda}(t))|_{2}^{2} + \rho |\widetilde{X}_{\lambda}(t)|_{2}(|X_{\lambda}(t)|_{2} + \varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dt$$
  
$$\leq C^{*}|X_{\lambda}(t)|_{2}dt + \langle X_{\lambda}(t)dW(t), X_{\lambda}(t)\rangle_{2}(|X_{\lambda}(t)|_{2}^{2} + \varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Integrating from s to t and letting first  $\lambda$  and then  $\varepsilon$  tend to zero, we obtain  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. for all  $0 \le s \le t$ 

(10)  
$$e^{-C^{*}t}|X(t)|_{2} + \rho \int_{s}^{t} \mathbb{1}_{[|X(\theta)|_{2}>0]} e^{-C^{*}\theta} d\theta$$
$$\leq e^{-C^{*}s}|X(s)|_{2} + \int_{s}^{t} \mathbb{1}_{[|X(\theta)|_{2}>0]} e^{-C^{*}\theta}|X(\theta)|_{2}^{-1} \langle X(\theta), dW(\theta) \rangle_{2}$$

In particular, this implies that the process  $t \to e^{-\theta^* t} |X(t)|_2$  is an  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -supermartingale and, therefore,

$$|X(t)|_2 = 0$$
 for  $t \ge \tau = \inf\{t \ge 0; |X(t)|_2 = 0\}.$ 

If we take expectation and set s = 0, we see that

$$e^{-C^*t}\mathbb{E}|X(t)|_2 + \rho \int_0^t e^{-C^*\theta} \mathbb{P}[\tau > \theta] d\theta \le |x|_2, \ \forall t > 0.$$

This yields

$$\mathbb{P}[\tau > t] \le \left(\rho \int_0^t e^{-C^*\theta} d\theta\right)^{-1} |x|_2, \ \forall \lambda > 0$$

as claimed. This completes the proof.

**Remark 4** In particular, taking in (4)  $\mu_k = 0$  for all k, implying  $C^* = 0$ , we have  $\tau \leq |x|_d/\rho$  and recover the deterministic case for d = 1, 2 (see [2].) As in deterministic case that is for  $C^* = 0$  there is an analogous extinction result for all dimensions  $d \geq 1$  also in the stochastic case. The proof, however, is much more involved than the above and would go beyond the scope of this Addendum. It will be contained instead in a forthcomong paper which is in preparation.

## References

- [1] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, D. Pallara, *Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems*, Oxford Universityt Press, New York, 2000.
- [2] F. Andreu, V. Caselles, J. Díaz, J. Mazón, Some qualitative properties for the total variation flow, J. Funct. Anal., 188 (2002), 516-547.
- [3] V. Barbu, G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, Stochastic nonlinear diffusion equations with singular diffusivity, SIAM J. Math. Anal., vol. 41, 3 (20009), 1106-1120.
- [4] V. Barbu, G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, Stochastic porous media equations and self-organized criticality, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 285 (2009), 901-923.
- [5] B. Gess, J.M. Tölle, Multivalued singular stochastic evolution inclusion, http:arxiv.org/ads/112.5672
- [6] Y. Giga, R. Kobayashi, On constrained equations with singular diffusivity, *Methods and Appl. Analysis*, vol. 10, 2 (2003), 253-278.