Random attractors for singular stochastic partial differential equations.

Benjamin Gess *

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Bielefeld, Germany bgess@math.uni-bielefeld.de

November 2, 2011

Abstract

The existence of random attractors for singular stochastic partial differential equations (SPDE) perturbed by general additive noise is proven. The drift is assumed only to satisfy the standard assumptions of the variational approach to SPDE with compact embeddings in the Gelfand triple and singular coercivity. For ergodic, monotone, contractive random dynamical systems it is proven that the attractor consists of a single random point. In case of real, linear multiplicative noise finite time extinction is obtained. Applications include stochastic generalized fast diffusion equations and stochastic generalized singular *p*-Laplace equations perturbed by Lévy noise with jump measure having finite first and second moments.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification AMS: Primary: 37L55, 60H15. Secondary: 37L30.

Key words : stochastic partial differential equations, stochastic fast diffusion equation, stochastic *p*-Laplace equation, random dynamical system, random attractor.

 $^{^* \}rm Supported$ by DFG-Internationales Graduiertenkolleg Stochastics and Real World Models, the SFB-701 and the BiBoS-Research Center.

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank Michael Röckner for valuable discussions and comments.

0 Introduction

The dynamical behaviour of random systems induced by stochastic (partial) differential equations has attained much interest in recent years. Especially the analysis of the long-time behaviour of such systems by means of the existence of random attractors has been intensively discussed since the foundational work in [24, 25, 43]. However, for quasilinear SPDE the existence of random attractors could so far only be proven for degenerate drifts occurring for example in porous media and degenerate p-Laplace equations. The case of singular equations requires different techniques and is solved in this paper for the first time. The existence of attractors to certain singular PDE such as singular reaction-diffusion equations has been an open problem even in the deterministic case. For related results on deterministic, degenerate PDE which are partially complemented by this paper we refer to [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 36, 45, 49, 50] and references therein.

Until recently the existence of random attractors could only be shown for concrete examples of SPDE of semilinear type, i.e. of the form

$$dX_t = (AX_t + F(X_t))dt + B(X_t)dW_t,$$

with linear main part A. The first approach to a truly quasilinear stochastic equation has been presented in [11] by proving the existence of random attractors for generalized stochastic porous media equations. This specific example has then been recovered in [30] (at least for more regular noise) as an application of a first general result providing the existence of random attractors for a class of SPDE perturbed by general noise, i.e. for equations of the form

$$dX_t = A(X_t)dt + dN_t.$$

In that paper superlinear/degenerate drifts, i.e. satisfying $_{V^*}\langle A(v), v \rangle_V \geq c ||v||_V^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \geq 2$ as well as an additional approximative coercivity condition have been considered. Regarding applications, the superlinear case corresponds to degenerate parabolicity as occurring for example in porous media equations and degenerate *p*-Laplace equations.

The results mentioned above are complemented in several ways by the present paper. First, we consider SPDE with singular drift (i.e. satisfying $_{V^*}\langle A(v),v\rangle_V \geq c \|v\|_V^{\alpha} = \frac{c}{\|v\|_V^{2-\alpha}} \|v\|^2$ with $1 < \alpha < 2$) of the form

(0.0)
$$dX_t = A(t, X_t)dt + dN_t.$$

Such equations are called singular since the coercivity coefficient $\frac{c}{\|v\|_V^{2-\alpha}}$ is singular when $\|v\|_V$ approaches 0. Second, we will not require the additional approximative coercivity condition used in [30] and thereby we are able to allow much rougher noise N_t . Third, we present an approach that allows to combine the knowledge about the existence of a random pullback attractor and the ergodicity of the associated Markovian semigroup to prove that

the random attractor consists of a single random point, which in turn is a globally stable equilibrium of the RDS.

For deterministic equations it is well known that the dynamical behaviour of systems induced by singular equations differs strongly from the one produced by superlinear/degenerate drifts. For example, while solutions to porous media equations (PME) decay to 0 at a polynomial rate (cf. [4]), finite time extinction occurs for solutions to fast diffusion equations (cf. [48] and references therein). Concerning the existence of attractors two main obstacles occur in case of singular drifts. First, it is more difficult to obtain a global control for the solutions or in other words to prove bounded absorption for the associated RDS, since the coercivity coefficient in

$$_{V^*}\langle A(v), v \rangle_V \ge \frac{c}{\|v\|_V^{2-\alpha}} \|v\|_V^2$$

degenerates for large values of v. This problem is solved by the present paper by proving new a priori estimates for singular ODE. Second, the regularizing properties of singular equations are weaker than in the degenerate case. For example, consider the stochastic singular *p*-Laplace equation (S*p*LE) with reaction term *G*

$$dX_t = \left(div(|\nabla X_t|^{\alpha - 2}\nabla X_t) + G(X_t)\right)dt + dN_t, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2.$$

In the degenerate $(\alpha > 2)$ and in the singular case $(1 < \alpha < 2)$ solutions take values in $W_0^{1,\alpha} \subseteq L^2$. While for degenerate equations this implies regularization into the invariant subspace $W_0^{1,2}$, this fails in the singular case. Therefore, a different technique to obtain attraction by a compact set is needed. Even in the deterministic case the existence of attractors to such singular equations has been an open problem.

Another prominent example of a singular SPDE is the stochastic fast diffusion equation

(SFDE)
$$dX_t = \left(\Delta(|X_t|^{\alpha-1}sgn(X_t)) + g(t)\right)dt + dN_t, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2.$$

Among other applications, SFDE are used as models for heat diffusion in plasma and for self-organized criticality [8]. Concerning the theory of self-organized criticality, in particular the convergence of arbitrary initial states to the critical state (which is a key property of systems exhibiting *self-organized* criticality) and therefore the long-time behaviour of the solutions is of importance. Some results for SFDE perturbed by linear multiplicative space-time noise have been given in [8, 9]. The physically relevant case of additive noise has not yet been considered. Application of our general result to SFDE with additive noise proves the existence of a globally stable random equilibrium. Stochastic porous media equations and SFDE have been intensively investigated in recent years (cf. e.g. [5, 6, 7, 26, 27, 28, 31, 39, 40] and references therein).

In the applications it is important to consider noise with only small spatial correlations, which corresponds to noise satisfying only low spatial regularity. While in [30] it essentially

had to be assumed $\Delta N_t \in V$ we only require $N_t \in V$ (where V is the Banach space of the Gelfand triple associated to the variational formulation of an SPDE, c.f. (A1)-(A4) below) by adopting a technique from [15]. In addition, in [30] the drift A was assumed to be weakly coercive in some additional, compactly embedded space $S \subseteq H$ (cf. [30, (H5)]). This assumption will not be needed here and thus our results apply to any singular equation of the form (0.0) fitting into the variational framework (i.e. satisfying (A1)-(A4) below) as long as the embedding $V \subseteq H$ is compact. This enables us to cover stochastic generalized singular p-Laplace equations and stochastic generalized fast diffusion equations.

The main idea is that the variational approach to SPDE is based on a regularizing property of the drift, meaning that solutions take values in the smaller space $V \subseteq H$ for almost all times. We use this property to deduce the compactness of the stochastic flow (i.e. $S(t, s; \omega)B$ is a compact set for all $B \subseteq H$ bounded), which in turn yields attraction by a compact set as soon as bounded absorption has been shown.

For deterministic dynamical systems φ on partially ordered spaces H it is well known that a monotonicity (or order-preserving) property of φ (i.e. $\varphi(t)x \ge \varphi(t)y$, for $x \ge y$) significantly simplifies the dynamics. In some recent work (cf. [3, 12, 20, 21, 42] and the references therein) such monotonicity properties have been used to study dynamical properties of RDS. In particular, in [21] it has been shown that a monotone, ergodic RDS has a weak pullback attractor consisting of a single random point. However, it had to be assumed that the cone $H_+ \subseteq H$ of nonnegative elements of H has nonempty interior, which is not satisfied by many commonly used state spaces, as for example L^p spaces. We prove that for an ergodic, monotone, contractive RDS on a partially ordered space satisfying the existence of upper bounds (i.e. for $x, y \in H$ there exists $z \in H$ with $x, y \leq z$) the random attractor consists of a single point. In contrast to the assumptions in [21], upper bounds do exist in L^p spaces as well as in $H = (H_0^1(\mathcal{O}))^*$ and thus our results can be applied to SFDE as well as to SpLE. We emphasize that the standard approach to prove single-valuedness of the random attractor for degenerate equations (cf. e.g. [11, 30]) does not apply to singular equations since singular drifts do not satisfy the required strong monotonicity conditions.

As concrete examples we consider stochastic generalized fast diffusion equations and stochastic generalized singular *p*-Laplace equations perturbed by additive noise N_t with N_t having stationary increments, càdlàg paths and sufficiently slow growth. In particular, this includes all Lévy processes with jump measure having finite first and second moments. The general results will then prove existence and compactness of an associated RDS and the existence of a random pullback attractor. In the case that N_t is an infinite dimensional Brownian Motion, we will further prove that the associated Markovian semigroup is strongly mixing and that the random attractor consists of a single point, hence is a globally stable equilibrium point.

For singular SPDE perturbed by real linear multiplicative noise, i.e. of equations of the form

(0.1)
$$dX_t = A(t, X_t)dt + \mu X_t \circ d\beta_t,$$

we show that the long-time behaviour can be described by forward random attractors (and

hence weak random attractors), where β_t is a real-valued Brownian motion and \circ is the Stratonovich stochastic integral. For the notions of forward and weak random attractors see [41]. In fact, we will show a lot more, namely finite time extinction, i.e. $\mathcal{A}(\omega) = \{0\}$ is forward-absorbing. This result is related to the model of self-organized criticality as presented in [8]. With our simplified structure of the noise, i.e. space-independent noise, we can strengthen the assertion of finite time extinction with non-zero probability proven in [8] to almost sure finite time extinction. We consider a stochastic perturbation in the Stratonovich sense, since the corresponding Itô noise causes an artificial stabilization of the random dynamics as it has been observed in [13].

In Section 1 we recall some basics on stochastic flows, RDS and random attractors. The precise assumptions and main results will be given in Section 2, while their proofs are post-poned to Section 4. In Section 3 we present the application of our general results to SFDE and SpLE.

1 Basics on stochastic flows and RDS

We now recall the basic framework of stochastic flows, RDS and random attractors. Let (H, d) be a complete separable metric space and $((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}), \{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ be a metric dynamical system, i.e. $(t, \omega) \mapsto \theta_t(\omega)$ is $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ -measurable, $\theta_0 = \text{id}, \theta_{t+s} = \theta_t \circ \theta_s$ and θ_t is \mathbb{P} -preserving, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 1.1 (Stochastic Flow). A family of maps $S(t, s; \omega) : H \to H$, $s \leq t$ is said to be a stochastic flow, if for all $\omega \in \Omega$

- (i) $S(s,s;\omega) = id_H$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (*ii*) $S(t,s;\omega)x = S(t,r;\omega)S(r,s;\omega)x$, for all $t \ge r \ge s$, $x \in H$.

A stochastic flow $S(t,s;\omega)x$ is called

- (iii) measurable if the map $\omega \to S(t,s;\omega)x$ is measurable for all $s \leq t, x \in H$
- (iv) continuous if the map $x \to S(t,s;\omega)x$ is continuous for all $s \leq t, \omega \in \Omega$
- (v) a cocycle if

$$S(t,s;\omega)x = S(t-s,0;\theta_s\omega)x,$$

for all $x \in H$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and all $t \geq s$.

Definition 1.2 (Random Dynamical System). A measurable map $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times H \to H$ satisfying

$$\begin{split} i. \ \varphi(0,\omega) &= 0 \\ ii. \ \varphi(t+s,\omega) &= \varphi(t,\theta_s\omega) \circ \varphi(s,\omega), \ \forall \omega \in \Omega, \ s,t \geq 0 \end{split}$$

is called an RDS. If $x \mapsto \varphi(t, \omega)x$ is continuous for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ then φ is a continuous RDS.

There is a close connection between RDS and cocycle stochastic flows. Let $S(t, s; \omega)$ be a cocycle stochastic flow such that $(t, \omega, x) \mapsto S(t, 0; \omega)x$ is measurable. Then $\varphi(t, \omega) :=$ $S(t, 0; \omega)$ defines an RDS. Vice versa, let $\varphi(t, \omega)$ be an RDS and define $S(t, s; \omega) := \varphi(t - s, \theta_s \omega)$. Then $S(t, s; \omega)$ is a measurable cocycle stochastic flow.

Having the notions of a stochastic flow and RDS at our disposal we can now consider their long time behaviour. In the following let $S(t, s; \omega)x$ be a stochastic flow.

Definition 1.3. A family $\{D(t, \omega)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega}$ of subsets of H is said to be

- *i.* a random closed set if it is \mathbb{P} -a.s. closed and $\omega \to d(x, D(t, \omega))$ is measurable for each $x \in H, t \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case we also call D measurable.
- ii. right lower-semicontinuous if for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $y \in D(t, \omega)$ and $t_n \downarrow t$ there is a sequence $y_n \in D(t_n, \omega)$ such that $y_n \to y$ or equivalently $d(y, D(t_n, \omega)) \to 0$.

For normed spaces H we define $||B||_H := \sup_{b \in B} ||b||_H$. The a priori bound for solutions to singular SPDE given in the proof of Theorem 2.6 will lead to collections of ω -dependent sets satisfying the following growth property:

Definition 1.4. Let H be a normed space. A family of sets $\{D(t, \omega)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega}$ is said to be of subpolynomial growth of order $\beta > 0$ if

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} \frac{\|D(t,\omega)\|_H}{|t|^\beta} = 0, \ \forall \omega \in \Omega.$$

In the following let \mathcal{D} be a system of families $\{D(t,\omega)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega}$ of subsets of H.

Definition 1.5 (Absorption & Attraction). A family of sets $\{F(t,\omega)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega}$ is said to be

i. \mathcal{D} -absorbing, if there is a set $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Omega$ of full \mathbb{P} -measure such that for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega_0$ there exists an absorption time $s_0 = s_0(\omega, D, t)$ such that

$$S(t,s;\omega)D(s,\omega) \subseteq F(t,\omega), \text{ for all } s \leq s_0$$

ii. \mathcal{D} -attracting, if there is a set $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Omega$ of full \mathbb{P} -measure such that for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega_0$

$$d(S(t,s;\omega)D(s,\omega), F(t,\omega)) \to 0, \text{ for } s \to -\infty.$$

Definition 1.6 (Compactness & Asymptotic Compactness). A stochastic flow $S(t, s; \omega)$ is called

- *i.* \mathcal{D} -asymptotically compact if there is a \mathcal{D} -attracting family $\{K(t,\omega)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega}$ of compact subsets of H.
- ii. compact if $S(t,s;\omega)B$ is a precompact subset of H for all t > s, $\omega \in \Omega$ and each bounded set $B \subseteq H$.

By [24, Lemma 2.1] we know that a continuous stochastic flow $S(t, s; \omega)$ is asymptotically compact iff it is compactly attracted by a compact set for each time $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (where the P-zero set on which attraction occurs may depend on t). Let $\{D(t, \omega)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}, \omega\in\Omega}$ be a family of subsets of H. We define the Ω -limit set by

$$\Omega(D,t;\omega) := \bigcap_{r < t} \overline{\bigcup_{\tau < r} S(t,\tau;\omega) D(\tau,\omega)}.$$

There are several stochastic generalizations of the deterministic notion of an attractor. For example, pullback attractors, forward attractors, weak attractors and measure attractors. For a comparison of some of these we refer to [41]. All of these notions coincide with the usual notion of an attractor in the deterministic case. In the sequel we will mainly work with pullback attractors and simply call them random attractors.

Definition 1.7 (Random Attractor). A family of sets $\{\mathcal{A}(t,\omega)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R},\ \omega\in\Omega}$ is called a \mathcal{D} -random attractor for $S(t,s;\omega)$ if it satisfies \mathbb{P} -a.s.

- i. $\mathcal{A}(t,\omega)$ is nonempty and compact, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- ii. \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{D} -attracting.
- iii. A is invariant under $S(t, s; \omega)$, i.e.

$$S(t,s;\omega)\mathcal{A}(s,\omega) = \mathcal{A}(t,\omega), \ \forall s \leq t.$$

With this definition we can give a sufficient condition for the existence of a random attractor (cf. [24, Theorem 2.1.]). Let $o \in H$ be some arbitrary point in H.

Theorem 1.8 (Existence of Random Attractors). Let $S(t, s; \omega)$ be a continuous, \mathcal{D} -asymptotically compact stochastic flow and let K be the corresponding \mathcal{D} -attracting family of compact subsets of H. Then

$$\mathcal{A}(t,\omega) := \begin{cases} \overline{\bigcup_{D\in\mathcal{D}} \Omega(D,t;\omega)} &, \text{ if } \omega \in \Omega_0\\ \{o\} &, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

defines a random \mathcal{D} -attractor for $S(t, s; \omega)$ and $\mathcal{A}(t, \omega) \subseteq K(t, \omega) \cap \Omega(K, t; \omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_0$ (where Ω_0 is as in Definition 1.5).

Let now $s \mapsto S(t,s;\omega)x$ be right-continuous locally uniformly in x and $S(t,s;\omega)x$ be measurable. If either

- (i) there is a countable family $\mathcal{D}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ consisting of right lower-semicontinuous random closed sets such that for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ there is a $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}_0$ satisfying $D(t, \omega) \subseteq D_0(t, \omega)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ small enough.
- (ii) $K \in \mathcal{D}$ and K is a right lower-semicontinuous random closed set,

then \mathcal{A} is a random closed set. In case of (ii), $\mathcal{A}(t,\omega) = \Omega(K,t;\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_0$.

If $S(t, s; \omega)x$ is a cocycle and either (i) holds with \mathcal{D}_0 consisting of strictly stationary sets or (ii) is satisfied with K being strictly stationary, then \mathcal{A} is strictly stationary.

If the \mathcal{D} -random attractor \mathcal{A} is contained in \mathcal{D} or \mathcal{A} is measurable and strictly stationary with $\{C \subseteq H \mid C \text{ compact}\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$, then \mathcal{A} is unique (cf. [22]). Moreover, the random \mathcal{D} -attractor \mathcal{A} constructed in Theorem 1.8 is uniquely determined as the minimal random \mathcal{D} -attractor.

The dynamical behavior of RDS can be significantly simpler if the RDS preserves a partial order structure on the state space H. For example this idea has been used in [3, 12, 20, 21, 42]. A closed, convex cone $H_+ \subseteq H$ satisfying $H_+ \cap (-H_+) = \{0\}$ defines a partial order relation on H which is compatible with the vector structure on H by defining $x \leq y$ iff $y - x \in H_+$. A cone H_+ is said to be solid if it has nonempty interior.

Definition 1.9 (Monotone RDS). Let $S \subseteq H$. An RDS φ is said to be

i. monotone on S iff for all $x \leq y, x, y \in S, t \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega$

$$\varphi(t,\omega)x \le \varphi(t,\omega)y.$$

If S = H then φ is simply called monotone.

ii. contractive iff $t \mapsto \|\varphi(t,\omega)x - \varphi(t,\omega)y\|_H$ is non-increasing for all $x, y \in H$, $\omega \in \Omega$.

2 Setup and Main Results

Let

$$V \subseteq H \equiv H^* \subseteq V^*$$

be a Gelfand triple, i.e. H is a separable Hilbert space and is identified with its dual space H^* by the Riesz isomorphism $i : H \to H^*$, V is a reflexive Banach space such that it is continuously and densely embedded into H. $_{V^*}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$ denotes the dualization between V and its dual space V^* . Let $A : \mathbb{R} \times V \times \Omega \to V^*$ be such that for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $A(\cdot, \cdot, \omega) : \mathbb{R} \times V \to V^*$ is $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(V), \mathcal{B}(V^*))$ -measurable. We extend the mapping A by 0 to all of H and assume that there are pathwise right-continuous mappings $C_1, C_2 : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}, c : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and an $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ (corresponding to the case of singular equations) such that

(A1) (Hemicontinuity) For all $v, v_1, v_2 \in V, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, the map

$$s \mapsto {}_{V^*}\langle A(t, v_1 + sv_2; \omega), v \rangle_V$$

is continuous on \mathbb{R} .

(A2) (Monotonicity) For all $v_1, v_2 \in V, t \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega$

$$2_{V^*} \langle A(t, v_1; \omega) - A(t, v_2; \omega), v_1 - v_2 \rangle_V \le C_2(t, \omega) \|v_1 - v_2\|_H^2$$

(A3) (Coercivity) There is a function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\cdot, \omega) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$2_{V^*} \langle A(t, v; \omega), v \rangle_V \le C_1(t, \omega) \|v\|_H^2 - c(t, \omega) \|v\|_V^\alpha + f(t, \omega)$$

for each $\omega \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v \in V$.

(A4) (Growth) For each $v \in V, \omega \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$||A(t,v;\omega)||_{V^*}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \le C_1(t,\omega)||v||_H^2 + C_2(t,\omega)||v||_V^{\alpha} + f(t,\omega).$$

Remark 2.1. The assumptions needed in [38] for the unique existence of a probabilistic solution to an SPDE of the form (0.0) perturbed by Wiener noise are slightly more restrictive (at least in case of additive noise). If we require in addition that A is progressively measurable, c, C_1, C_2 are non-random, f is adapted and $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; L^1(\Omega))$ then there exists a unique variational solution to such an SPDE (cf. [38, Theorem 4.2.4]).

2.1 Additive noise

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space. We consider singular SPDE perturbed by general additive noise, i.e. equations of the form

$$dX_t = A(t, X_t)dt + dN_t$$

where N_t is an \mathcal{F}_t -adapted V-valued stochastic process with stationary increments and càdlàg paths. More precisely, we assume that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ is a metric dynamical system, i.e. $(t, \omega) \mapsto \theta_t(\omega)$ is $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ -measurable, $\theta_0 = \mathrm{id}, \theta_{t+s} = \theta_t \circ \theta_s$ and θ_t is \mathbb{P} -preserving, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and (S1) (Strictly stationary increments) For all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega$:

$$N_t(\omega) - N_s(\omega) = N_{t-s}(\theta_s \omega) - N_0(\theta_s \omega).$$

(S2) (Regularity) N_t has càdlàg paths.

If A satisfies (A1) - (A4) we will prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions to (2.2) in the following sense

Definition 2.2. An *H*-valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in[s,\infty)}$ -adapted process $\{X_t\}_{t\in[s,\infty)}$ with càdlàg paths in *H* is called a solution of (2.2) if $X_{\cdot}(\omega) \in L^{\alpha}_{loc}([s,\infty);V) \cap L^{2}_{loc}([s,\infty);H)$ and

$$X_t(\omega) = x + \int_s^t A(\tau, X_\tau(\omega)) \ d\tau + N_t(\omega) - N_s(\omega)$$

holds for all $t \in [s, \infty)$, $\omega \in \Omega$.

We will prove that (2.2) generates a stochastic flow by first transforming the SPDE into a random PDE and then solving this random PDE for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$. Let $X(t,s;\omega)x$ denote a solution to (2.2) starting in x at time s. Define $\tilde{X}(t,s;\omega)x := X(t,s;\omega)x - N_t(\omega)$. Then

$$\tilde{X}(t,s;\omega)x = x - N_s(\omega) + \int_s^t A\left(r,\tilde{X}(r,s;\omega)x + N_r(\omega)\right)dr.$$

Thus, we have to solve the following random PDE

(2.3)
$$Z(t,s;\omega)x = x + \int_{s}^{t} A_{\omega}(r,Z(r,s;\omega)x)dr,$$

with $A_{\omega}(r, v) := A(r, v + N_r(\omega); \omega)$. We then define the stochastic flow associated to (2.2) by

$$S(t,s;\omega)x := Z(t,s;\omega)(x - N_s(\omega)) + N_t(\omega),$$

so that $S(\cdot, s; \omega)$ satisfies

$$S(t,s;\omega)x = x + \int_{s}^{t} A(S(r,s;\omega)x)dr + N_{t}(\omega) - N_{s}(\omega),$$

for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$ and all $t \geq s$. Hence $S(t, s; \omega)x$ solves (2.2) in the sense of Definition 2.2.

Due to the time-inhomogeneity of the drift A we cannot expect the stochastic flow to be a cocycle in general. If, however, the drift is strictly stationary, i.e. if the time-inhomogeneity is only due to the randomness of the drift the cocycle property will be obtained. In this case the stochastic flow induces an RDS associated to (2.2).

Theorem 2.3 (Generation). Assume (A1)-(A4) and (S1)-(S2). Then, the family of mappings $S(t, s; \omega)x$ is a continuous stochastic flow in H. In addition, $S(t, s; \omega)x$ is càdlàg in t and right-continuous in s locally uniformly in x. If A is $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(V) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(V^*))$ measurable then $S(t, s; \omega)x$ is a measurable stochastic flow. If $A(t, v; \omega)$ is strictly stationary, i.e. $A(t, v; \omega) = A(0, v; \theta_t \omega)$ then $S(t, s; \omega)x$ is a cocycle and hence $\varphi(t, \omega) := S(t, 0; \omega)$ is a continuous RDS.

As pointed out in the introduction, the variational approach to (S)PDE is based on a regularizing property of the drift A. This property is expressed via the coercivity assumption (A3), namely

(2.4)
$$2_{V^*} \langle A(t,v), v \rangle_V \le C_1(t) \|v\|_H^2 - c(t) \|v\|_V^\alpha + f(t).$$

Starting with an initial condition $x \in H$ the second term on the right hand side of (2.4) yields a control of the solution $X(t, s; \omega)x$ in $L^{\alpha}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; L^{\alpha}(\Omega; V))$. In particular $X(t, s; \omega)x \in V$, $dt \otimes \mathbb{P}$ almost surely. If $V \subseteq H$ is compact, we can use this regularizing effect to prove compactness of the stochastic flow $S(t, s; \omega)x$. Since our argument will be purely based on the regularizing effect due to the coercivity assumption, no further restrictions on the drift term have to be required.

(A5) Assume that the embedding $V \subseteq H$ is compact.

Theorem 2.4 (Compactness). Assume (A1)-(A5) and (S1)-(S2). Then $S(t,s;\omega)x$ is a compact stochastic flow.

In order to prove the existence of a random attractor we need to assume a growth condition on the paths of the noise.

(S3) (Growth) There is a subset $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Omega$ of full \mathbb{P} -measure such that $||N_t(\omega)||_V = o(|t|^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}})$ for $t \to -\infty$ and all $\omega \in \Omega_0$.

Let \mathcal{D}^{α} denote the system of all families $\{D(t,\omega)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R},\ \omega\in\Omega}$ of sets of subpolynomial growth of order $\frac{1}{2-\alpha}$ (cf. Definition 1.4) and let \mathcal{D}^{b} be the system of all deterministic bounded sets. Using comparison Lemmata proven in Section 4.4 we obtain

Proposition 2.5 (Bounded Absorption). Assume that (A1)-(A4) with $C_1 \equiv 0$ and c, C_2 independent of time $t, f(\cdot, \omega) = o(|\cdot|^{\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}})$ càdlàg in t and that (S1)-(S3) are satisfied. Then there is a right lower-semicontinuous family of sets $\{F(t, \omega)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega} \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$ that \mathcal{D}^{α} -absorbs the stochastic flow $S(t, s; \omega)x$. If f is measurable in ω then F is a random closed set.

Combining bounded absorption and compactness of the stochastic flow we conclude

Theorem 2.6 (Existence of Random Attractors). Assume that (A1)-(A5) with $C_1 \equiv 0$ and c, C_2 independent of time $t, f(\cdot, \omega) = o(|\cdot|^{\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}})$ càdlàg in t and that (S1)-(S3) are satisfied. Then $S(t, s; \omega)x$ admits a random \mathcal{D}^{α} -attractor $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$. If A and f are measurable then so is \mathcal{A}^{α} .

If A is strictly stationary then there is a strictly stationary \mathcal{D}^b -random attractor \mathcal{A}^b that is measurable if A is.

We will now introduce a method that allows to prove that the random attractor consists of a single point if the RDS is monotone, contractive and has an associated weak-* mean ergodic Markov semigroup (cf. Definition 2.7 below).

We denote by $\mathcal{B}(H)$ the set of all Borel measurable subsets of H, by $B_b(H)$ (resp. $C_b(H)$) the Banach space of all bounded, measurable (resp. continuous) functions on H equipped with the supremum norm and by $Lip_b(H)$ the space of all bounded Lipschitz continuous functions on H. By \mathcal{M}_1 we denote the set of all Borel probability measures on H. For a semigroup P_t on $B_b(H)$ we define the dual semigroup P_t^* on \mathcal{M}_1 by $P_t^*\mu(B) := \int_H P_t \mathbb{1}_B d\mu$, for $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. A measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1$ is said to be invariant for the semigroup P_t if $P_t^*\mu = \mu$, for all $t \geq 0$. For T > 0 and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1$ we define

$$Q^T \mu := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P_r^* \mu dr$$

and we write $Q^T(x, \cdot)$ for $\mu = \delta_x$. Recall

Definition 2.7. A semigroup P_t is called weak-* mean ergodic if there exists a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1$ such that

$$w\text{-}lim_{T\to\infty}Q^T\nu=\mu,$$

for all $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_1$ where w-lim is the limit with respect to weak convergence on \mathcal{M}_1 .

If an RDS preserves a solid partial order structure on the state space H the strong mixing property of the associated Markov semigroup $P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(\varphi(t, \cdot)x)]$ implies the existence of a weak random attractor consisting of a single random point (cf. [21]). This result is based on the assumption that the cone of nonnegative elements H_+ is solid, which is not satisfied by the cone of nonnegative functions L_+^p in L^p spaces. Assuming only the existence of upper bounds with respect to H_+ we prove that the pullback random attractor consists of a single random fixed point if the RDS is contractive and monotone. Moreover, we only assume weak-* *mean* ergodicity of the Markovian semigroup not the strong mixing property. We will require

(H') Assume that there is a cone $H_+ \subseteq H$ with induced partial order structure " \leq " and that there is a dense subset $S \subseteq H$ such that φ is monotone with respect to " \leq " on S. Further assume the existence of upper bounds with respect to " \leq " on S, i.e. that for all $x, y \in S$ there is an upper bound $z \in S$ satisfying $x, y \leq z$.

While the cone of nonnegative functions L^p_+ in L^p spaces is not solid, the existence of upper bounds as required in (H') is satisfied by L^p_+ . Moreover, for $H = (H^1_0(\mathcal{O}))^*$ the existence of an upper bound $z \in H$ for any two $x, y \in H$ is not clear, while it is obvious as soon as $x, y \in H \cap L^1(\mathcal{O})$.

Theorem 2.8. Assume (H') and let φ be a contractive RDS on H such that $P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}f(\varphi(t, \cdot)x)$ is a weak-* mean ergodic Markovian semigroup on $B_b(H)$. Then for any random compact set $K(\omega) \subseteq H$

 $diam(\varphi(t,\omega)K(\omega)) \to 0, \ \mathbb{P}-a.s.$

for $t \to \infty$. In particular, each invariant random compact set K (i.e. $\varphi(t, \omega)K(\omega) = K(\theta_t \omega)$) consists of a single random point.

Corollary 2.9. Under the assumption of Theorem 2.8 the semigroup P_t is strongly mixing in the sense that for each $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_1$ we have $P_t^* \nu \to \mu$ weakly for $t \to \infty$.

In order to apply Theorem 2.8 to concrete applications we need a criterion for weak-* mean ergodicity for singular SPDE. We will use the following assumptions in order to apply a result given in [35]:

(A') Assume that A is independent of (t, ω) , (A1)-(A5) are satisfied with $C_1 \equiv 0$ and f, c, C_2 being positive constants and that there exist c > 0, $\delta \in (0, \alpha)$ such that

$$2_{V^*} \langle A(v_1) - A(v_2), v_1 - v_2 \rangle_V \le -c \frac{\|v_1 - v_2\|_H^2}{\|v_1\|_V^\delta + \|v_2\|_V^\delta},$$

for all $v_1, v_2 \in V$.

(S') Let $W_t = N_t$ be a V-valued Wiener process.

Corollary 2.10 (Singleton Random Attractors). Assume (A'), (S'), (H'). Then the random \mathcal{D}^{b} -attractor \mathcal{A}^{b} obtained in Theorem 2.6 consists of a single random, fixed point, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}(\omega) = \{\eta(\omega)\}$$

and $\varphi_t(\omega)\eta(\omega) = \eta(\theta_t\omega).$

2.2 Real linear multiplicative noise

First we need to construct the associated RDS, which again will be defined by first transforming the SPDE into a random PDE and then solving this random PDE for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$. Let $X(t, s; \omega)x$ denote a variational solution to (0.1) starting in x at time s. Define $\mu_t := e^{-\mu\beta_t}$ and note that μ_t satisfies

$$d\mu_t = -\mu\mu_t \circ d\beta_t,$$

where \circ is the Stratonovich stochastic integral. For $\tilde{X}(t,s;\omega)x := \mu_t X(t,s;\omega)x$ we obtain

$$\tilde{X}(t,s;\omega)x = \mu_s x + \int_s^t \mu_r A(r,\mu_r^{-1}\tilde{X}(r,s;\omega)x)dr.$$

Thus, we have to solve the following random PDE

(2.5)
$$Z(t,s;\omega)x = x + \int_s^t A_\omega(r,Z(r,s;\omega)x)dr,$$

with $A_{\omega}(r, v) := \mu_r(\omega) A(r, \mu_r^{-1}(\omega) v)$. We then define the RDS associated to (0.1) by

$$S(t,s;\omega)x := \mu_t^{-1}(\omega)Z(t,s;\omega)(\mu_s(\omega)x).$$

In the following let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \theta_t)$ be the metric dynamical system associated to two-sided real valued Brownian motion (cf. [2]). As in the case of additive noise we obtain

Theorem 2.11 (Generation). Assume (A1)-(A4). Then, the family of mappings $S(t, s; \omega)x$ is a continuous stochastic flow in H. In addition, $S(t, s; \omega)x$ is continuous in t and rightcontinuous in s. If A is $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(V) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(V^*))$ -measurable then $S(t, s; \omega)x$ is a measurable stochastic flow. If $A(t, v; \omega)$ is strictly stationary then $S(t, s; \omega)x$ is a cocycle and hence $\varphi(t, \omega) := S(t, 0; \omega)$ is a continuous RDS.

Theorem 2.12. Assume that A is $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(V) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(V^*))$ -measurable, strictly stationary and satisfies (A1)-(A4). Moreover, assume that there is a function $\lambda : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and a 0 such that

$$_{V^*}\langle A(t,v;\omega),v\rangle_V \le -\lambda(\omega) \|v\|_H^p.$$

Then

 $\mathcal{A}(\omega) := \{0\}$

is forward-absorbing in the sense that for every bounded set $B \subseteq H$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$ there is an absorption time $t_0 = t_0(||B||_H, s, \omega)$ such that $\varphi(t, \omega)B \subseteq \{0\}$ for all $t \ge t_0$.

If $A(t,0;\omega) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$ then \mathcal{A} is invariant under φ and thus \mathcal{A} is a forward attractor for φ .

3 Applications

In [30, Lemma 3.1] it has been shown that for each V-valued process N_t with stationary increments and a.s. càdlàg paths there is a metric dynamical system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ and a version \tilde{N}_t on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ such that \tilde{N}_t satisfies (S1)-(S2).

Moreover, for any Lévy process N_t with Lévy characteristics (m, R, ν) (e.g. cf. [37, Corollary 4.59]) and $\int_V (||x||_V + ||x||_V^2) d\nu(x) < \infty$, we have $\frac{N_t}{|t|} \to \pm \mathbb{E}N_1$ P-almost surely for $|t| \to \infty$

(cf. [30, Lemma 3.2]). In particular $||N_t||_V = O(|t|)$ for $|t| \to \infty$ and thus (S3) is satisfied for every $\alpha \in (1,2)$. By splitting the Lévy process N_t into a Lévy process with jump measure of bounded support and a compound Poisson process as suggested in [10], the moment assumptions can be relaxed to $\int_V (||x||_V + \mathbb{1}_{B_1(0)}(x)||x||_V^2) d\nu(x) < \infty$. In case of Lévy processes on a Hilbert space $\int_V \mathbb{1}_{B_1(0)}(x)||x||_V^2 d\nu(x) < \infty$ is always satisfied and thus only finite first moment has to be assumed.

We now proceed to concrete examples of SPDE satisfying the assumptions (A1)-(A5) and (A').

3.1 Generalized Stochastic Singular *p*-Laplace Equation

Let (M, g, ν) be a *d*-dimensional weighted compact smooth Riemannian manifold equipped with Riemannian metric g, associated measure μ and $d\nu(x) := \sigma(x)d\mu(x)$ with σ being a smooth, positive function on M. Further, let $\alpha \in (1 \vee \frac{2d}{2+d}, 2)$ and $V := W_0^{1,\alpha}(M, \nu) \subseteq H :=$ $L^2(M, \nu)$. By the assumption on α , the embedding $V \subseteq H$ is well-defined and compact. We denote the inner product on $T_x M$ given by the Riemannian metric g by $(\cdot, \cdot)_x$ and the associated norm by $|\cdot|_x$. Let N_t be a V-valued process satisfying (S1)-(S3) on the metric dynamical system $(\Omega, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}, \{\theta_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{P})$. Consider the singular p-Laplace equation

(3.6)
$$dX_t = (div_{\nu}(\Phi(x, \nabla X_t, \omega)) + G(X_t, \omega) + g(t, \omega)) dt + dN_t(\omega)$$

where $\Phi: M \times TM \times \Omega \to TM$ is measurable, $\Phi(x, \cdot, \omega): T_xM \to T_xM$ is continuous and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi(x,\xi,\omega) - \Phi(x,\tilde{\xi},\omega),\xi - \tilde{\xi})_x &\leq 0\\ (\Phi(x,\xi,\omega),\xi)_x &\leq c(\omega)|\xi|_x^{\alpha} + f(\omega)\\ |\Phi(x,\xi,\omega)|_x^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} &\leq C_2(\omega)|\xi|_x^{\alpha} + f(\omega), \quad \forall x \in M, \ \xi, \tilde{\xi} \in T_x M, \ \omega \in \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

with $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ being measurable, $G: \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable with

$$\begin{aligned} |G(t,\omega) - G(s,\omega)| &\leq C_2(\omega)|t-s| \\ |G(t,\omega)|^{\frac{q}{q-1}} &\leq C_2(\omega)(1+|t|^q), \quad \forall t,s \in \mathbb{R}, \ \omega \in \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

for some $q \in (1, \alpha)$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to H$ is measurable, càdlàg in t. As an explicit example for an admissible reaction term one may consider $G(r) = \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + \varepsilon}}$.

The singular *p*-Laplace operator then maps $V \times \Omega \to V^*$ by

$$A(v,\omega)(w) = -\int_{M} (\Phi(x,\nabla v,\omega),\nabla w)_{x} d\nu(x), \quad v,w \in V, \ \omega \in \Omega.$$

We obtain

Example 3.1 (Generalized Stochastic Singular *p*-Laplace Equation). There is an associated compact stochastic flow $S(t, s; \omega)x$ to (3.6). If $g(\cdot, \omega) = o(|\cdot|^{\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}})$ then there is a measurable, random \mathcal{D}^{α} -attractor $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$.

If $g \equiv 0$ then $S(t, s; \omega)x$ is a cocycle and there is a measurable, strictly stationary random \mathcal{D}^{b} -attractor \mathcal{A}^{b} .

If $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open, bounded set, $\nu = dx$, $\Phi(\xi) = |\xi|^{\alpha-2}\xi$, N_t is a V-valued Wiener process and $G, g \equiv 0$, then the random attractor \mathcal{A}^b consists of a single random fixed point, i.e. $\mathcal{A}^b(\omega) = \{\eta(\omega)\}.$

In case of real linear multiplicative noise

$$dX_t = div_{\nu}(\Phi(x, \nabla X_t))dt + \mu X_t \circ d\beta_t,$$

the deterministic set $\mathcal{A}(\omega) = \{0\}$ forward absorbs all bounded deterministic sets and is invariant.

Proof. The proof of the properties (A1)-(A4) proceeds as in [38]. (A5) is satisfied by Sobolev embeddings and the assumption on α .

In case of the standard nonlinearity $\Phi(x,\xi) = |\xi|_x^{\alpha-2}\xi$ and $G \equiv 0$ we can check (A') as in [35, Proposition 3.2] with $\delta = 2 - \alpha < \alpha$. By monotonicity of A, φ is contractive on H.

For simplicity we now restrict to the case of open, bounded domains $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $\Phi(\xi) = |\xi|^{\alpha-2}\xi$, N_t being a Wiener process in V and $G, g \equiv 0$. In order to verify (H') we set $S = H = L^2(M)$ and $x \leq y$ for $x, y \in H$ iff $x(\xi) \leq y(\xi)$ for almost all $\xi \in M$. Existence of upper bounds is obvious. It remains to prove monotonicity of φ . We consider a non-singular approximation of the nonlinearity $\Phi(\xi) := |\xi|^{\alpha-2}\xi$ given by $\Phi^{\varepsilon}(\xi) = (|\xi|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{-(2-\alpha)}{2}} \xi$. Then

$$|\Phi(\xi) - \Phi^{\varepsilon}(\xi)| \le 2\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}$$

and as a composition of smooth functions, Φ^{ε} is a smooth function. Let $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq C^{\infty}(M)\cap H_0^1(M)$ be an orthonormal basis of $H, H_n := \operatorname{span}\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ and \mathcal{P}_n be the best-approximation by elements in H_n weighted by $\|\cdot\|_V$, i.e.

$$\|\mathcal{P}_n x - x\|_V = \inf_{v \in H_n} \|v - x\|_V, \quad \forall x \in V.$$

Then $\|\mathcal{P}_n x\|_V \leq C \|x\|_V$ and $\mathcal{P}_n x \to x$ in V for $n \to \infty$ and $x \in V$ (cf. [29]). Define $N_t^n := \mathcal{P}_n N_t$. For initial conditions $x \in C^2(M)$ classical results (cf. e.g. [32]) imply the existence of a classical solution $Z^{\varepsilon,n}$ to

$$dZ_t^{\varepsilon,n} = div \left(\Phi^{\varepsilon}(\nabla(Z_t^{\varepsilon,n} + N_t^n))\right) dt.$$

Since Φ^{ε} is differentiable we can apply the comparison result given in [33, Theorem 9.7] to obtain $Z_t^{\varepsilon,n,x} \leq Z_t^{\varepsilon,n,y}$ on $[0,T] \times M$ for any two initial conditions $x \leq y$ with $x, y \in C^2(M)$. Note

$$||A(x) - A^{\varepsilon}(x)||_{V^*} = \sup_{\|v\|_V = 1} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\Phi(\nabla x) - \Phi^{\varepsilon}(\nabla x)\right) \cdot \nabla v d\xi \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{2}}.$$

Since the operators A^{ε} satisfy uniform coercivity and growth conditions an application of Proposition 5.1 yields $Z^{\varepsilon,n} \to Z^n$ in C([0,T]; H) for $\varepsilon \to 0$. By dominated convergence we have $N^n(\omega) \to N_{\cdot}(\omega)$ in $L^{\alpha}([0,T]; V)$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. This implies $Z^n \to Z$ in C([0,T]; H). Since " \leq " is closed with respect to the *H*-norm, we obtain

$$Z_t^x \leq Z_t^y$$
, for all $t \in [0, T]$ and a.e. in M ,

for initial conditions $x \leq y, x, y \in C^2(M)$. By continuity in the initial condition this extends to all $x \leq y, x, y \in H$.

3.2 Generalized Stochastic Fast Diffusion Equation

Let (E, \mathcal{B}, m) be a finite measure space with countably generated σ -algebra \mathcal{B} and let $(L, \mathcal{D}(L))$ be a negative-definite, self-adjoint, strictly coercive (i.e. $(-Lv, v)_{L^2(m)} \ge c ||v||_{L^2(m)}^2)$ operator on $L^2(m)$. Define $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) := \mathcal{D}(\sqrt{-L})$ and $\mathcal{E}(u, v) := (\sqrt{-L}u, \sqrt{-L}v)$, for $u, v \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$, where we have set $m(fg) := \int_E fg \ dm$, for $fg \in L^1(m)$, $(f,g) := (f,g)_{L^2(m)}$ and $\|f\| := \|f\|_{L^2(m)}$. Then $(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{E})$ is a Hilbert space.

Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be measurable such that $\Phi(0, \omega) = 0, \ \Phi(\cdot, \omega) \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and

(3.7)
$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi(r,\omega) - \Phi(s,\omega))(r-s) &\geq 0\\ \Phi(r,\omega)r &\geq c(\omega)|r|^{\alpha} - f(\omega)\\ |\Phi(r,\omega)|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} &\leq C_2(\omega)|r|^{\alpha} + f(\omega), \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega, \ s \leq r, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\alpha \in (1,2)$, $c: \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $C_2: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ measurable. In particular, the standard nonlinearity $\Phi(r) := |r|^{\alpha-2}r$ is included in our general framework. We assume

(L) The embedding $\mathcal{D}(E) \subseteq L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}(m)$ is compact and dense.

This yields the Gelfand triple

$$V := L^{\alpha}(m) \subseteq H := D(\mathcal{E})^* \subseteq V^*.$$

Example 3.2. Let

- i. E be a smooth, compact Riemannian d-dimensional manifold, $\alpha \in (1 \vee \frac{2d}{d+2}, 2)$ and L be the Friedrichs extension of a symmetric, uniformly elliptic operator of second order on $L^2(m)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. For example, let L be the Dirichlet Laplacian on E.
- ii. $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open, bounded domain, $L := (-\Delta)^{\beta}$ with its standard domain and $\beta \in \frac{d}{2} \left(\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}, 1\right) \cap (0, 1].$

Then (L) is satisfied.

Let $g: \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to H$ be measurable with cádlág paths and $g(t, \omega) = o(|t|^{\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}})$ for $t \to -\infty$.

Example 3.3 (Generalized Stochastic Fast Diffusion Equation). The compact stochastic flow $S(t, s; \omega)x$ associated to the stochastic fast diffusion equation

(3.8)
$$dX_t = (L\Phi(X_t) + g(t)) dt + dN_t,$$

with N satisfying (S1)-(S3) has a measurable random \mathcal{D}^{α} -attractor $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$.

If $g \equiv 0$ then $S(t, s; \omega)x$ is a cocycle and there is a measurable, strictly stationary random \mathcal{D}^{b} -attractor \mathcal{A}^{b} .

If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open, bounded set, $L = \Delta$, $\Phi(r) = |r|^{\alpha - 2}r$, $g \equiv 0$ and N is a Wiener process in V then \mathcal{A}^b is a single random point.

For real linear multiplicative noise

$$dX_t = L\Phi(X_t)dt + \mu X_t \circ d\beta_t,$$

and nonlinearites Φ satisfying (3.7) with $f \equiv 0$, $\mathcal{A} := \{0\}$ is invariant and forward absorbs all bounded sets $B \subseteq H$.

Proof. The properties (A1)-(A4) can be proven as in [38], (A') with $\delta = 2 - \alpha$ as in [35]. (A5) is satisfied by assumption and monotonicity of A implies contractivity of $S(t, s; \omega)x$.

For simplicity we now restrict to the case of $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ being an open, bounded set. Let $L = \Delta$, $\Phi(r) = |r|^{\alpha-2}r$ and N be a Wiener process in V. Set S = V and define $H_+ \subseteq H$ to be the closed, convex cone of all nonnegative distributions in H with induced partial order structure " \leq " on H. For elements $x, y \in V \subseteq H$ we have $x \leq y$ iff $x(\xi) \leq y(\xi)$ for almost all $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$. Existence of upper bounds in S is obvious. It remains to prove monotonicity of φ . For this we consider a smooth approximation of the nonlinearity $\Phi(r) = |r|^{\alpha-2}r$ given by $\Phi^{\varepsilon}(r) = (|r|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}r$. Then $|\Phi(r) - \Phi^{\varepsilon}(r)| \leq 2\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}$. Let $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq C^{\infty}(M) \cap H_0^1(M)$ be an orthonormal basis of $H, H_n := \operatorname{span}\{e_1, ..., e_n\}, \mathcal{P}_n$ be the best-approximation by elements

in H_n weighted by $\|\cdot\|_V$ (cf. Example 3.1) and $N_t^n := \mathcal{P}_n N_t$. By classical existence results for uniformly parabolic quasilinear PDE (cf. [32]), the approximating equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}Z_t^{\varepsilon,n} = \Delta \Phi^{\varepsilon}(Z_t^{\varepsilon,n} + N_t^n)$$

has a unique classical solution for initial conditions in $C^2(E)$. By classical comparison results [33, Theorem 9.7] for two such initial conditions $x \leq y, x, y \in C^2(E)$ we obtain

$$Z_t^{\varepsilon,n,x} \leq Z_t^{\varepsilon,n,y}$$
, on $[0,T] \times E$.

We conclude the proof as in Example 3.1.

4 Proofs

4.1 Stochastic flows and RDS

First, we prove some properties of Ω -limit sets of asymptotically compact stochastic flows. Similar results have been obtained in [14].

Lemma 4.1. Let $S(t, s; \omega)$ be a continuous stochastic flow.

(i) Assume that $S(t, s; \omega)$ is *D*-asymptotically compact. Then

$$\Omega(D,t;\omega) \subseteq K(t,\omega) \cap \Omega(K,t;\omega)$$

is a compact, invariant set for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega_0$, where Ω_0 is as in Definition 1.5 and $\Omega(D, t; \omega)$ attracts D.

- (ii) If $S(t, s; \omega)x$ is a cocycle and D is strictly stationary, then $\Omega(D, t; \omega)$ is strictly stationary.
- (iii) If $\{D(t,\omega)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R},\ \omega\in\Omega}$ is a right lower-semicontinuous random closed set, $s\mapsto S(t,s;\omega)x$ is right-continuous locally uniformly in x and $S(t,s;\omega)x$ is measurable, then $\Omega(D,t;\omega)$ is a random closed set.

Proof. (i): Since $S(t, s; \omega)x$ is \mathcal{D} -asymptotically compact, there is a \mathcal{D} -attracting compact set K on some subset $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Omega$ of full \mathbb{P} -measure. Since K is \mathcal{D} -attracting we know $d(S(t, s; \omega)D(s, \omega), K(t, \omega)) \to 0$ for $s \to -\infty$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_0, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence,

$$d\left(\overline{\bigcup_{\tau \leq r} S(t,\tau;\omega)D(\tau,\omega)}, K(t,\omega)\right) \to 0$$

for $r \to -\infty$ and thus $d(\Omega(D, t; \omega), K(t, \omega)) = 0$, i.e. $\Omega(D, t; \omega) \subseteq K(t, \omega)$.

Next, we prove invariance of $\Omega(D, t; \omega)$. Let $x \in \Omega(D, s; \omega)$. There are sequences $s_n \to -\infty$, $x_n \in D(s_n, \omega)$ such that $S(s, s_n; \omega)x_n \to x$. By the flow property $S(t, s_n; \omega)x_n = S(t, s; \omega)S(s, s_n; \omega)x_n \to S(t, s; \omega)x \in \Omega(D, t; \omega)$.

Let now $z \in \Omega(D,t;\omega)$, i.e. $S(t,s_n;\omega)x_n \to z$ for some $s_n \to -\infty$ and $x_n \in D(s_n,\omega)$. By \mathcal{D} -asymptotic compactness of $S(t,s;\omega)x$ there is a subsequence $S(s,s_{n_l};\omega)x_{n_l} \to x \ni \Omega(D,s;\omega)$. Hence, $S(t,s;\omega)x = \lim_{l\to\infty} S(t,s;\omega)S(s,s_{n_l};\omega)x_{n_l} = z$.

Invariance of $\Omega(D, t; \omega)$ together with $\Omega(D, t; \omega) \subseteq K(t, \omega)$ then yields

$$\Omega(D, t; \omega) \subseteq \Omega(K, t; \omega) \cap K(t, \omega).$$

Assume $\Omega(D, t; \omega)$ does not attract D. Then there are $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\omega \in \Omega_0$ and sequences $s_n \to -\infty, x_n \in D(s_n, \omega)$ such that $d(S(t, s_n; \omega)x_n, \Omega(D, t; \omega)) \ge \varepsilon$. By asymptotic compactness we can choose a convergent subsequence $S(t, s_{n_l}; \omega)x_{n_l} \to x \ni \Omega(D, t; \omega)$ which leads to a contradiction.

(ii): To prove strict stationarity of $\Omega(D, t; \omega)$ we note

$$\Omega(D,t;\omega) = \bigcap_{r \le t} \bigcup_{\tau \le r} S(t,\tau;\omega) D(\tau,\omega) = \bigcap_{r \le t} \bigcup_{\tau \le r} S(0,\tau-t;\theta_t\omega) D(\tau-t,\theta_t\omega) = \Omega(D,0;\theta_t\omega)$$

(iii): $\Omega(D,t;\omega)$ is a countable intersection of sets of the form $\overline{\bigcup_{\tau < r} S(t,\tau;\omega)D(\tau,\omega)}$. Hence, it is enough to prove measurability of $\omega \mapsto d(x, \bigcup_{\tau < r} S(t,\tau;\omega)D(\tau,\omega))$ for all $r \le t, x \in H$. Let $\tau_n \downarrow \tau$. By right lower-semicontinuity of $D(\cdot,\omega)$ for each $y \in D(\tau,\omega)$ there is a sequence $y_n \in D(\tau_n,\omega)$ such that $y_n \to y$. Local uniform continuity of $\tau \mapsto S(t,\tau;\omega)x$ thus yields $S(t,\tau_n;\omega)y_n \to S(t,\tau;\omega)y$. Hence

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} d(x, S(t, \tau_n; \omega) D(\tau_n, \omega)) \le d(x, S(t, \tau; \omega) D(\tau, \omega))$$

and thus

$$\begin{split} \omega &\mapsto d\left(x, \bigcup_{\tau < r} S(t, \tau; \omega) D(\tau, \omega)\right) = \inf_{\tau < r} d\left(x, S(t, \tau; \omega) D(\tau, \omega)\right) \\ &= \inf_{\tau < r, \ \tau \in \mathbb{Q}} d\left(x, S(t, \tau; \omega) D(\tau, \omega)\right) \end{split}$$

Therefore it is enough to prove measurability of $\omega \mapsto d(x, S(t, \tau; \omega)D(\tau, \omega))$ for all $\tau \leq t$ which is satisfied by measurability of $S(t, \tau; \cdot)x$ and $D(\tau, \cdot)$ and by separability of H. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.8: By Lemma 4.1 we know $\mathcal{A}(t,\omega) \subseteq K(t,\omega) \cap \Omega(K,t;\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_0, t \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, \mathcal{A} is compact. Since $\Omega(D,t;\omega) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(t,\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_0$ and

 $\Omega(D,t;\omega)$ is D attracting, \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{D} -attracting. Compactness of $\bigcup_{D\in\mathcal{D}} \Omega(D,t;\omega)$ and invariance of $\Omega(D,t;\omega)x$ yield invariance of \mathcal{A} .

Let now $s \mapsto S(t, s; \omega)x$ be right-continuous locally uniformly in $x, S(t, s; \omega)x$ be measurable and (i) be satisfied. Then, by Lemma 4.1

$$\mathcal{A}(t,\omega) = \bigcup_{D_0 \in \mathcal{D}_0} \Omega(D_0, t; \omega)$$

is the closure of a countable union of random closed sets. Hence, \mathcal{A} is a random closed set. If (ii) holds, then $\Omega(K, t; \omega) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(t, \omega)$ and thus

$$\mathcal{A}(t,\omega) = \Omega(K,t;\omega),$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega_0$, which is a closed random set by Lemma 4.1.

4.2 Generation of an RDS (Theorem 2.3)

As outlined in Section 2 we construct the stochastic flow associated to (2.2) by proving the unique existence of a solution to the transformed equation (2.3) via the variational approach to (S)PDE as given in [38]. To do so we check the assumptions (H1)-(H4) in [38] for $A_{\omega}(t, v)$. For the ease of notation we suppress the ω -dependency of the coefficients occurring in the following calculations. (H1), (H2) immediately follow from (A1), (A2).

(H3): For $v \in V$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$:

(4.9)

$$2_{V^*} \langle A_{\omega}(t,v), v \rangle_V = 2_{V^*} \langle A(t,v+N_t), v+N_t \rangle_V - 2_{V^*} \langle A(t,v+N_t), N_t \rangle_V$$

$$\leq C_1(t) \|v+N_t\|_H^2 - c(t) \|v+N_t\|_V^\alpha + f(t)$$

$$+ 2 \|A(t,v+N_t)\|_{V^*} \|N_t\|_V.$$

Using Young's inequality for all $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and some C_{ε_1} we obtain

$$2\|A(t,v+N_t)\|_{V^*}\|N_t\|_{V} \le \varepsilon_1 \|A(t,v+N_t)\|_{V^*}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} + C_{\varepsilon_1}\|N_t\|_{V}^{\alpha} \le \varepsilon_1 C_1(t)\|v+N_t\|_{H}^{2} + \varepsilon_1 C_2(t)\|v+N_t\|_{V}^{\alpha} + \varepsilon_1 f(t) + C_{\varepsilon_1}\|N_t\|_{V}^{\alpha}$$

Using this in (4.9) yields

$$2_{V^*} \langle A_{\omega}(t,v), v \rangle_V \leq C_1(t) (1+\varepsilon_1) \|v+N_t\|_H^2 - (c(t)-\varepsilon_1 C_2(t)) \|v+N_t\|_V^\alpha + (1+\varepsilon_1) f(t) + C_{\varepsilon_1} \|N_t\|_V^\alpha.$$

Using

$$||v + N_t(\omega)||_V^{\alpha} \ge 2^{1-\alpha} ||v||_V^{\alpha} - ||N_t(\omega)||_V^{\alpha}$$

we obtain (for ε_1 small enough):

$$2_{V^*} \langle A_{\omega}(t,v), v \rangle_V \leq 2C_1(t)(1+\varepsilon_1) \|v\|_H^2 - (2^{1-\alpha}c(t) - \varepsilon_1 2^{1-\alpha}C_2(t)) \|v\|_V^\alpha + (1+\varepsilon_1)f(t) \\ + (C_{\varepsilon_1} + c(t) - \varepsilon_1 C_2(t)) \|N_t\|_V^\alpha + 2C_1(t)(1+\varepsilon_1) \|N_t\|_H^2.$$

which yields

(4.10)
$$2_{V^*} \langle A_{\omega}(t,v), v \rangle_V \leq \tilde{C}_1(t) \|v\|_H^2 - \tilde{c}(t) \|v\|_V^\alpha + \tilde{f}(t),$$

with

$$\tilde{f}(t) := (1 + \varepsilon_1)f(t) + (C_{\varepsilon_1} + c(t) - \varepsilon_1 C_2(t)) \|N_t\|_V^{\alpha} + 2C_1(t)(1 + \varepsilon_1) \|N_t\|_H^2.$$

By right-continuity of $N_{\cdot}(\omega)$ and by choosing ε_1 small enough we obtain (H3) for each compact interval $[S, T] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

(H4): For $v \in V$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$:

(4.11)
$$\begin{aligned} \|A_{\omega}(t,v)\|_{V^{*}}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}g &= \|A(t,v+N_{t})\|_{V^{*}}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\\ &\leq C_{1}(t)\|v+N_{t}\|_{H}^{2} + C_{2}(t)\|v+N_{t}\|_{V}^{\alpha} + f(t)\\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{1}(t)\|v\|_{H}^{2} + \tilde{C}_{2}(t)\|v\|_{V}^{\alpha} + \tilde{f}(t), \end{aligned}$$

with $\tilde{C}_1(t) := 2C_1(t), \tilde{C}_2(t) = 2^{\alpha-1}C_2(t)$ and

$$\tilde{f}(t) := f(t) + 2C_1(t) \|N_t\|_H^2 + 2^{\alpha - 1} C_2(t) \|N_t\|_V^\alpha.$$

Hence (H1) - (H4) are satisfied for A_{ω} and by [38, Theorem 4.2.4] we obtain the unique existence of a solution

$$Z(\cdot, s; \omega)x \in L^{\alpha}_{loc}([s, \infty); V) \cap C([s, \infty); H)$$

to (2.3) for all $s \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega, x \in H$. By uniqueness for (2.3) we have the flow property

$$Z(t,s;\omega)x = Z(t,r;\omega)Z(r,s;\omega)x.$$

which implies that

$$S(t,s;\omega)x := Z(t,s;\omega)(x - N_s(\omega)) + N_t(\omega)$$

defines a stochastic flow.

The continuity of $t \mapsto Z(t, s; \omega)x$ is contained in [38, Theorem 4.2.4]. Since $N_t(\omega)$ is càdlàg in t this implies that $t \mapsto S(t, s; \omega)x$ is càdlàg. Monotonicity of A_{ω} implies

$$||Z(t,s;\omega)x - Z(t,s;\omega)y||_{H}^{2} \le e^{\int_{s}^{t} C_{2}(r)dr} ||x - y||_{H}^{2}.$$

Thus $x \mapsto Z(t,s;\omega)x$ is continuous, uniformly in t,s on bounded sets. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z(t,s_1;\omega)x - Z(t,s_2;\omega)x\|_H^2 &= \|Z(t,s_2;\omega)Z(s_2,s_1;\omega)x - Z(t,s_2;\omega)x\|_H^2 \\ &\leq e^{\int_{s_2}^t C_2(r)dr} \|Z(s_2,s_1;\omega)x - x\|_H^2, \ \forall s_1 < s_2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies right-continuity of $s \mapsto Z(t, s; \omega)x$ and thus of $s \mapsto S(t, s; \omega)x$ locally uniformly in t and x.

Let now A be $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(V) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(V^*))$ -measurable. Then measurability of $Z(t, s; \omega)x$ and $S(t, s; \omega)x$ follows as in [30, Theorem 1.1].

Assume that $A(t, v; \omega)$ is strictly stationary. We note

$$Z(t,s;\omega)x = x + \int_s^t A(r, Z(r,s;\omega)x + N_r(\omega), \omega)dr$$

= $x + \int_0^{t-s} A(r, Z(r+s,s;\omega)x + N_s(\omega) - N_0(\theta_s\omega) + N_r(\theta_s\omega), \theta_s\omega)dr.$

By uniqueness for (2.3) we have

$$Z(t,s;\omega)x + N_s(\omega) - N_0(\theta_s\omega) = Z(t-s,0;\theta_s\omega)(x+N_s(\omega) - N_0(\theta_s\omega)).$$

Hence

$$S(t,s;\omega)x = Z(t,s;\omega)(x - N_s(\omega)) + N_t(\omega)$$

= $Z(t - s, 0; \theta_s \omega)(x - N_0(\theta_s \omega)) + N_t(\omega) - N_s(\omega) + N_0(\theta_s \omega)$
= $Z(t - s, 0; \theta_s \omega)(x - N_0(\theta_s \omega)) + N_{t-s}(\theta_s \omega)$
= $S(t - s, 0; \theta_s \omega)x$,

i.e. $S(t,s;\omega)x$ is a cocycle.

4.3 Compactness of the stochastic flow (Theorem 2.4)

Proof. We will first show compactness of $Z(t, s; \omega)x$. Let $\omega \in \Omega$, $B \subseteq H$ bounded, s < tand $z_n \in Z(t, s; \omega)B$, i.e. $z_n = Z(t, s; \omega)b_n$ for some sequence $b_n \in B$. We need to prove the existence of a convergent subsequence of z_n . First note that by (4.10)

$$||Z(t,s;\omega)b_n||_H^2 \le ||b_n||_H^2 + \int_s^t \tilde{C}_1(r) ||Z(r,s;\omega)b_n||_H^2 dr - \int_s^t \tilde{c}(r) ||Z(r,s;\omega)b_n||_V^\alpha dr + \int_s^t \tilde{f}(r)dr,$$

where for notational convenience we do not explicitly write the ω -dependency of the coefficients. Since $\tilde{c}(r) > 0$ is right-continuous there is a C > 0 such that

$$\int_{s}^{t} \|Z(r,s;\omega)b_{n}\|_{V}^{\alpha} dr \le C.$$

By definition $Z(\cdot, s; \omega)b_n$ satisfies

$$Z(r,s;\omega)b_n = b_n + \int_s^r A_\omega(\tau, Z(\tau,s;\omega)b_n)d\tau,$$

as an equation in V^* for all $r \ge s$. Thus $\frac{d}{dr}Z(r,s;\omega)b_n$ exists in V^* (cf. [44, Theorem 1.6., p.104]) and satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dr}Z(r,s;\omega)b_n = A_{\omega}(r,Z(r,s;\omega)b_n),$$

for almost all $r \in [s, \infty)$. For some constant C > 0 we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{s}^{t} \left\| \frac{d}{dr} Z(r,s;\omega) b_{n} \right\|_{V^{*}}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} dr &= \int_{s}^{t} \left\| A_{\omega}(r,Z(r,s;\omega) b_{n}) \right\|_{V^{*}}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} dr \\ &\leq \int_{s}^{t} \tilde{C}_{1}(r) \left\| Z(r,s;\omega) b_{n} \right\|_{H}^{2} + \tilde{C}_{2}(r) \left\| Z(r,s;\omega) b_{n} \right\|_{V}^{\alpha} + \tilde{f}(r) dr \\ &\leq \int_{s}^{t} \tilde{f}(r) dr + C < \infty, \end{split}$$

where the right hand side is independent of n. Thus $\{Z(\cdot, s; \omega)b_n\}$ is bounded in the space

$$W = \left\{ v \in L^{\alpha}([s,t];V), \frac{d}{dr}v \in L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}([s,t];V^*) \right\}$$
$$\|v\|_{W} = \|v\|_{L^{\alpha}([s,t];V)} + \left\|\frac{d}{dr}v\right\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}([s,t];V^*)}.$$

By [47, Theorem 2.1] $W \subseteq L^{\alpha}([s,t];H)$ is compact. Hence $\{Z(\cdot,s;\omega)b_n\}$ is precompact in $L^{\alpha}([s,t];H)$ and we can choose a subsequence of b_n (again denoted by b_n) and a $Z_0 \in L^{\alpha}([s,t];H)$ such that

$$Z(\cdot, s; \omega)b_n \to Z_0,$$

in $L^{\alpha}([s,t]; H)$. Hence, by choosing a further subsequence of b_n (denoting it by b_n again) we obtain

$$Z(r,s;\omega)b_n \to Z_0(r),$$

in H, for almost every $r \in [s, t]$. Choose one such $r \in [s, t]$. Then

$$Z(t,s;\omega)b_n = Z(t,r;\omega)Z(r,s;\omega)b_n \to Z(t,r;\omega)Z_0(r).$$

We have found the required convergent subsequence of $Z(t, s; \omega)b_n$. Compactness of $Z(t, s; \omega)x$ implies compactness of $S(t, s; \omega)x$.

4.4 Comparison and a priori bounds

We present a comparison result and a priori bounds for certain ordinary differential equations that are the foundation of the proof of bounded absorption (Proposition 2.5).

Lemma 4.2 (Comparison Lemma). Let $0 < \beta < 1$, $s \leq t$, $q \geq 0$, $h \in L^1([s,t])$ nonnegative and $v : [s,t] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be an absolutely continuous subsolution of

(4.12)
$$y'(r) = -h(r)y(r)^{\beta}, \ r \in [s, t],$$

with y(s) = q, i.e. for almost every $r \in [s, t]$

(4.13)
$$v'(r) \le -h(r)v(r)^{\beta}$$

and $v(s) \leq q$. Then

$$v(r) \le \left(q^{1-\beta} - (1-\beta)\int_s^r h(\tau)d\tau \lor 0\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}},$$

for all $r \in [s, t]$.

Proof. First note that since $h(r) \ge 0$, (4.12) is a monotone equation for $y(r) \ge 0$ and thus

$$y(r) := \left(q^{1-\beta} - (1-\beta)\int_{s}^{r} h(\tau)d\tau \vee 0\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}$$

is the unique absolutely continuous nonnegative solution of (4.12). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $y^{\varepsilon}(r)$ be the unique nonnegative solution to (4.12) with $y^{\varepsilon}(s) = q + \varepsilon > 0$. Define $w^{\varepsilon}(t) := y^{\varepsilon}(t) - v(t)$ and

$$\tau^{\varepsilon} := \inf\{r \in [s,t] \mid w^{\varepsilon}(r) \le 0\} \land t = \inf\{r \in [s,t] \mid y^{\varepsilon}(r) \le v(r)\} \land t$$

Since $y^{\varepsilon}(s) = q + \varepsilon > q \ge v(s)$ and y^{ε}, v are continuous, we have $\tau^{\varepsilon} > s$. If $\tau^{\varepsilon} = t$ then nothing has to be shown. Thus suppose $\tau^{\varepsilon} < t$.

Case 1: $v(\tau^{\varepsilon}) = 0$

By (4.13) $v(\cdot)$ is decreasing, hence $v(r) = 0 \le y^{\varepsilon}(r)$ for all $r \in [\tau^{\varepsilon}, t]$. Since also $v(r) \le y^{\varepsilon}(r)$ for $r \in [s, \tau^{\varepsilon})$ this implies

$$v(r) \le y^{\varepsilon}(r), \ \forall r \in [s, t].$$

Case 2: $v(\tau^{\varepsilon}) > 0$

Since $v(\cdot)$ is decreasing this implies the existence of a $\delta > 0$ such that $v(r) \ge \delta > 0$ for $r \in [s, \tau^{\varepsilon}]$. By definition of τ^{ε} , $v(r) \le y^{\varepsilon}(r)$ on $[s, \tau^{\varepsilon})$. By the mean value theorem we further have

$$(w^{\varepsilon})'(r) \ge h(r) \left(-y^{\varepsilon}(r)^{\beta} + v(r)^{\beta} \right) = -h(r)\beta\xi_r^{\beta-1}w^{\varepsilon}(r),$$

for almost every $r \in [s, t]$. We observe $\xi_r^{\beta-1} \leq \delta^{\beta-1}$ for all $r \in [s, \tau^{\varepsilon}]$. Using Gronwall's inequality for absolutely continuous functions (cf. [46, p.90])

$$w^{\varepsilon}(r) \ge \varepsilon e^{-\beta \delta^{\beta-1} \int_{s}^{r} h(\tau) d\tau}$$
, for all $r \in [s, \tau^{\varepsilon}]$.

Hence

$$w^{\varepsilon}(\tau^{\varepsilon}) \ge \varepsilon e^{-\beta \delta^{\beta-1} \int_{s}^{\tau^{\varepsilon}} h(\tau) d\tau} > 0,$$

in contradiction to $w^{\varepsilon}(\tau^{\varepsilon}) \leq 0$, by continuity and definition of τ^{ε} .

Hence, the second case does not occur and we conclude $v(r) \leq y^{\varepsilon}(r), \forall r \in [s, t]$. Since this is true for all $\varepsilon > 0$ we obtain $v(r) \leq y(r), \forall r \in [s, t]$.

Lemma 4.3 (A-priori bound). Let $0 < \beta < 1$, 0 < h, $p : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ càdlàg, $q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$ let $v(\cdot, s) : [s, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be an absolutely continuous subsolution of

(4.14)
$$y'(r,s) = -h \ y(r,s)^{\beta} + p(r), \ r \ge s$$

with y(s,s) = q(s). We assume that $p(s) = o(|s|^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}})$ and $q(s) = o(|s|^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}})$ for $s \to -\infty$, i.e. for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $s_p(\varepsilon), s_q(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |p(s)| &\leq \varepsilon |s|^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}, \text{ for all } s \leq s_p(\varepsilon), \\ q(s) &\leq \varepsilon |s|^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}, \text{ for all } s \leq s_q(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Then for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there is an $s_0 = s_0(t, s_q, h) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $R = R(t, p, s_p, h) > 0$ such that for all $s \leq s_0$

$$v(t,s) \le R(t,p,s_p,h)$$

and $R(t, p, s_p, h) = o(|t|^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}})$ for $t \to -\infty$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume $p(r) \ge \delta > 0$ (otherwise redefine $p(r) := p(r) \lor \delta$). By scaling time by $\frac{1}{h}$ we can assume h = 1.

Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $A(s) := \{r \in [s,t] \mid \frac{1}{2}v(r,s)^{\beta} \leq p(r)\}$ and $a(s) = \sup A(s) \lor s$. We first show that there exists an $s_0 = s_0(t,p,h) \leq t$ such that $A(s) \neq \emptyset$ for all $s \leq s_0$. Let $s \leq t$ such that $A(s) = \emptyset$, i.e. $\frac{1}{2}v(r,s)^{\beta} > p(r)$, for all $r \in [s,t]$. Hence, for almost every $r \in [s,t]$

$$v'(r,s) \le -v(r,s)^{\beta} + p(r) \le -\frac{1}{2}v(r,s)^{\beta}$$

By Lemma 4.2

(4.15)
$$0 < (2p(t))^{\frac{1}{\beta}} < v(t,s) \le \left(q(s)^{1-\beta} - \frac{1-\beta}{2}(t-s) \lor 0\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}.$$

For $\varepsilon := \frac{(1-\beta)}{4}$ by assumption there exists an $s_q = s_q(\varepsilon) \leq 0$ such that $q(s)^{1-\beta} \leq -\left(\frac{1-\beta}{4}\right)s$, for all $s \leq s_q$. Hence

$$\left(q(s)^{1-\beta} - \frac{1-\beta}{2}(t-s) \vee 0\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \le \left(\frac{1-\beta}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \left(\frac{s}{2} - t \vee 0\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} = 0,$$

for $s \leq s_q \wedge 2t$. Since also (4.15) holds, we conclude $s \geq s_0 := s_q \wedge 2t$. Hence, for $s \leq s_0$ we have $A(s) \neq \emptyset$.

Next we prove that there exists an $a_1 = a_1(t, s_p, h) \leq t$ such that $a_1 \leq a(s)$ for all $s \leq s_0$. Let $s \leq s_0$, thus $A(s) \neq \emptyset$. If a(s) = t then nothing is to show, thus suppose a(s) < t. By definition of a(s) and right-continuity of v, p we have

$$p(r) \le \frac{1}{2}v(r,s)^{\beta}$$
, for all $r \in [a(s),t]$.

Arguing as above we obtain

$$0 < (2p(t))^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \le v(t,s) \le \left(v((a(s),s)^{1-\beta} - \frac{1-\beta}{2}(t-a(s)) \lor 0\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}$$

Since v is continuous and p càdlàg we have $v(a(s), s) \leq (2p(a(s)-))^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$. For $\varepsilon := (\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} (\frac{1-\beta}{4})$ by assumption there exists an $s_p = s_p(\varepsilon) \leq 0$ such that $p(s)^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} \leq -(\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} (\frac{1-\beta}{4}) s$, for all $s \leq s_p$. Hence

$$\left(v((a(s),s)^{1-\beta} - \frac{1-\beta}{2}(t-a(s)) \vee 0\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \le \left(\frac{1-\beta}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \left(\frac{a(s)}{2} - t \vee 0\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} = 0,$$

if $a(s) \leq s_p \wedge 2t$. Thus, we conclude $a_1 := s_p \wedge t \wedge 2t \leq a(s)$ for all $s \leq s_0$.

Since on [a(s), t] we have $\frac{1}{2}v(r, s)^{\beta} \ge p(r)$, we conclude for almost every $r \in [a(s), t]$

$$v'(t,s) \le -v(r,s)^{\beta} + p(r) \le 0.$$

Hence

$$v(t,s) \le v(a(s),s) \le (2p(a(s)-))^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \le \sup_{r \in [a_1-1,t]} (2p(r))^{\frac{1}{\beta}} =: R(t,p,h),$$

for all $s \leq s_0$.

4.5 Bounded absorption (Proposition 2.5)

Proof. We prove \mathcal{D}^{α} -bounded absorption for $Z(t,s;\omega)x$. By (4.10) we obtain

$$2_{V^*} \langle A_{\omega}(t,v), v \rangle_V \leq -\tilde{c} \|v\|_V^{\alpha} + \tilde{f}(t),$$

with $\tilde{c} > 0$ and for some C > 0

$$\tilde{f}(t) := C \left(f(t) + \|N_t\|_V^\alpha \right).$$

By the chain-rule

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|Z(t,s;\omega)x\|_{H}^{2} &= 2 V_{V^{*}} \langle A_{\omega}(t,Z(t,s;\omega)x), Z(t,s;\omega)x \rangle_{V} dr \\ &\leq -\tilde{c}(\|Z(t,s;\omega)x\|_{H}^{2})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + \tilde{f}(t), \end{aligned}$$

for almost all $t \in [s, \infty)$. Since $||N_r||_V = o(|r|^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}})$ we know $\tilde{f}(r) = o(|r|^{\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}}) = o(|r|^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}})$ for $r \to -\infty$ and $\beta := \frac{\alpha}{2}$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_0$. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$ and $x_s(\omega) \in D_s(\omega)$. We apply Lemma 4.3 with $v(t,s) := ||Z(t,s;\omega)x_s(\omega)||_H^2$, $p(r) := \tilde{f}(r)$, $q(s) := |D_s(\omega)|^2$, which is possible since $q(s) = o(|s|^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}})$. Hence, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega_0$ there is an absorption time $s_0 = s_0(t, D, \omega)$ and an $R = R(t, \omega)$ such that

$$||Z(t,s;\omega)x_s(\omega)||_H^2 \le R(t,\omega),$$

for all $s \leq s_0$. Since s_0 only depends on $q(s) := |D_s(\omega)|^2$ this implies

$$||Z(t,s;\omega)D_s(\omega)||_H^2 \le R(t,\omega),$$

for all $s \leq s_0$, i.e. \mathcal{D}^{α} -absorption for $Z(t, s; \omega)$. This implies

$$\begin{split} \|S(t,s;\omega)D_s(\omega)\|_H &= \|Z(t,s;\omega)\left(D_s(\omega) - N_s(\omega)\right) + N_t(\omega)\|_H \\ &\leq \|Z(t,s;\omega)\left(D_s(\omega) - N_s(\omega)\right)\|_H + \|N_t(\omega)\|_H \\ &\leq \sqrt{R(t,\omega)} + \|N_t(\omega)\|_H =: \tilde{R}(t,\omega), \end{split}$$

for all $s \leq \tilde{s}_0$, i.e. \mathcal{D}^{α} -absorption for $S(t,s;\omega)$ by the family of bounded sets

$$F(t,\omega) := \begin{cases} B(0,\tilde{R}(t,\omega)) &, \ \omega \in \Omega_0\\ \{0\} &, \ \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The set F is measurable iff $\omega \mapsto R(t, \omega)$ is measurable for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By the proof of Lemma 4.3 we have

$$R(t,\omega) = \sup_{r \in [a_1(t,\omega)-1,t]} \left(2\tilde{f}(r,\omega)\right)^{\overline{\rho}}$$

with $a_1(t,\omega) = s_p \wedge t \wedge 2t$ and $s_p = s_p\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} \frac{1-\beta}{4}\right)$. Note

$$s_p(\varepsilon) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{R}_+ | \sup_{|r| \ge s} \frac{|p(r)|^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}}}{|r|} \le \varepsilon\}.$$

To prove measurability of $s_p(\varepsilon)$ for $p = \tilde{f}$ we note

$$\{s_p(\varepsilon) < c\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \sup_{|r| \ge c - \frac{1}{n}} \frac{|\tilde{f}(r, \cdot)|^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}}}{|r|} \le \varepsilon \right\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \sup_{|r| \ge c - \frac{1}{n}, r \in \mathbb{Q}} \frac{|\tilde{f}(r, \cdot)|^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}}}{|r|} \le \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Measurability of \tilde{f} thus implies measurability of $s_p(\varepsilon)$. By right-continuity and measurability of \tilde{f} the map

$$(s,\omega)\mapsto \sup_{r\in[s,t]}\left(2\tilde{f}(r,\omega)\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$$

is measurable in ω and right-continuous in s. Hence, $R(t, \omega)$ is measurable.

Right lower-semicontinuity of F is equivalent to $\limsup_{n\to\infty} R(t_n,\omega) \ge R(t,\omega)$ for each sequence $t_n \downarrow t$ which follows from right-continuity of $\tilde{f}(\cdot,\omega)$ and $a_1 = s_p \land t \land 2t$.

By Lemma 4.3 $R(t,\omega) = o(|t|^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}})$ and thus $\tilde{R}(t,\omega) = o(|t|^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}})$ for $t \to -\infty$ and $\omega \in \Omega_0$. This implies $F \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$.

4.6 Existence of random attractors (Theorem 2.6)

Proof of Theorem 2.6: We prove compact absorption for $S(t, s; \omega)$. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. By Proposition 2.5 we know that there is a \mathcal{D}^{α} absorbing set $\{F(t, \omega)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega} \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$. Let

$$K(t,\omega) := \overline{S(t,t-1;\omega)F(t-1,\omega)}$$

Since $S(t, s; \omega)$ is a compact flow, $K(t, \omega)$ is compact. Using (4.10), $f(t) = o(|t|^{\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}})$ and (S3) we observe $K \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$. Furthermore $K(t, \omega)$ is \mathcal{D}^{α} -absorbing:

$$S(t,s;\omega)D(s,\omega) = S(t,t-1;\omega)S(t-1,s;\omega)D(s,\omega)$$
$$\subseteq S(t,t-1;\omega)F(t-1,\omega) \subseteq K(t,\omega)$$

for $s \leq s_0$ and $\omega \in \Omega_0$. By Theorem 1.8 this yields the existence of a random \mathcal{D}^{α} -attractor \mathcal{A}^{α} for $S(t,s;\omega)x$ with $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha}(t,\omega) \subseteq K(t,\omega)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega_0$. In particular, $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$.

If A is measurable then $S(t, s; \omega)x$ is a measurable stochastic flow. Since $s \mapsto S(t, s; \omega)x$ is continuous locally uniformly in t and x, right lower-semicontinuity of F implies right lower-semicontinuity for K. Hence, by Theorem 1.8 \mathcal{A}^{α} is a random closed set.

Now assume A to be strictly stationary. Then $S(t, s; \omega)x$ is a cocycle. The system of all bounded deterministic sets \mathcal{D}^b satisfies condition (i) in Theorem 1.8. Hence, there is a measurable, strictly stationary random \mathcal{D}^b -attractor \mathcal{A}^b .

4.7 Singleton Random Attractors (Theorem 2.8)

The following Lemma is closely related to [21, Proposition 1]

Lemma 4.4. Let V be a Banach space with cone V_+ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(V)$. Let X, Y be $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(B))$ -measurable B-valued processes such that $X_t \geq Y_t \mathbb{P}$ -almost surely. Assume that $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(X_t) dt \rightharpoonup \mu$ and $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(Y_t) dt \rightharpoonup \mu$ weakly in $\mathcal{M}_1(B)$ where μ is a probability measure on B. Then

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}[\|X_t - Y_t\|_V \ge \delta] dt \to 0$$

for $T \to \infty$ and all $\delta > 0$. If in addition $||X_t - Y_t||_V$ is non-increasing then $X_t - Y_t \to 0$ \mathbb{P} -almost surely.

Proof. We can assume B = V by extending μ by 0 to all of V. Let $l \in V_+^*$ be a strictly positive linear functional, i.e. l(v) > 0 for $v \in V_+ \setminus \{0\}$. We first prove

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}[l(X_t - Y_t) \ge \delta] dt \to 0$$

for $T \to \infty$ and for all $\delta > 0$. It is sufficient to prove this in the case $||l||_{V^*} = 1$. Since $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(X_t) dt, \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(Y_t) dt$ are weakly convergent, by Prokhorov's Theorem for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $N_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbb{P}[\|X_t\|_V \le N]dt \wedge \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbb{P}[\|Y_t\|_V \le N]dt \ge 1-\varepsilon$$

for all $N \ge N_{\varepsilon}$ and all $T \ge 0$. Since $l(X_t) \le ||X_t||_V$ we have $\mathbb{P}[l(X_t) \le N] \ge \mathbb{P}[||X_t||_V \le N]$. Let

$$F_N(r) := \begin{cases} r & , \text{ for } |r| \le N \\ sgn(r)N & , \text{ for } |r| > N. \end{cases}$$

We observe

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}[l(X_t - Y_t) \ge \delta] dt &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}[l(X_t) - l(Y_t) \ge \delta] dt \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}[l(X_t) - l(Y_t) \ge \delta, l(X_t) \lor l(Y_t) \le N] dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}[l(X_t) - l(Y_t) \ge \delta, l(X_t) \lor l(Y_t) > N] dt \\ &\le \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}[F_N \circ l(X_t) - F_N \circ l(Y_t) \ge \delta, l(X_t) \lor l(Y_t) \le N] dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}[l(X_t) > N] dt + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}[l(Y_t) > N] dt \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{E}[F_N \circ l(X_t)] dt - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{E}[F_N \circ l(Y_t)] dt + 2\varepsilon \le 3\varepsilon, \end{split}$$

for $N \ge N_{\varepsilon}$ and all $T \ge T_{\varepsilon}$. By Prokhorov's Theorem for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can choose a compact set $\tilde{K}_{\varepsilon} \subseteq V$ such that

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}[X_t \in \tilde{K}_{\varepsilon} \text{ and } Y_t \in \tilde{K}_{\varepsilon}] dt \ge 1 - \varepsilon,$$

for all $T \ge 0$. Let $K_{\varepsilon} = \left(\tilde{K}_{\varepsilon} - \tilde{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap V_{+}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}[X_t - Y_t \in K_{\varepsilon}] dt \ge 1 - \varepsilon,$$

for all $T \ge 0$. Hence, (cf. [21, Lemma 1]) there exists a strictly positive linear functional $l \in V^*$ such that

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbb{P}[\|X_t - Y_t\|_V \ge \delta] dt \le \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\delta}{2} + C_{K_{\varepsilon},\frac{\delta}{2}}l(X_t - Y_t) \ge \delta\right] dt + \varepsilon \le 2\varepsilon,$$

for all $T \geq T_{\varepsilon}$.

Let now $||X_t - Y_t||_V$ be non-increasing. We have

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}[\|X_t - Y_t\|_V \ge \delta] dt \to 0$$

for $T \to \infty$ and all $\delta > 0$. Let $\delta > 0$. Then there is a sequence $T_n \to \infty$ such that $\mathbb{P}[||X_{T_n} - Y_{T_n}||_V \ge \delta] \to 0$. Since $||X_t - Y_t||_V$ is non-increasing this implies $X_t - Y_t \to 0$ in probability and for the same reason \mathbb{P} -almost surely.

Proof of Theorem 2.8: Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $K(\omega)$ is a random compact set, we can find a deterministic compact set K_{ε} such that

$$\mathbb{P}[K_{\varepsilon} \supseteq K] \ge 1 - \varepsilon,$$

by [23, Proposition 2.15]. By compactness of K_{ε} and density of $S \subseteq H$ there is a finite ε -net $K_1, ..., K_N \in S$ for K_{ε} (not necessarily $K_i \in K_{\varepsilon}$). Since φ is contractive we have

$$\|\varphi(t,\omega)x - \varphi(t,\omega)y\|_H \le \|x - y\|_H,$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $x, y \in H$. This implies that $\varphi(t, \omega)K_1, ..., \varphi(t, \omega)K_N$ is an ε -net for $\varphi(t, \omega)K_{\varepsilon}$. By the existence of upper bounds in S, there is an upper bound $\bar{K} \in S$ satisfying $\bar{K} \geq K_i$ for all i = 1, ..., N. Monotonicity of the RDS φ on S yields $\varphi(t, \omega)\bar{K} \geq \varphi(t, \omega)K_i$. By weak-* mean ergodicity of the associated Markovian semigroup and Lemma 4.4 this implies $\varphi(t, \omega)\bar{K} - \varphi(t, \omega)K_i \to 0$ \mathbb{P} -almost surely. Hence, for a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$ there is a $t_{\varepsilon,\omega}$ such that $\|\varphi(t, \omega)\bar{K}(\omega) - \varphi(t, \omega)K_i(\omega)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $t \geq t_{\varepsilon,\omega}$, i = 1, ..., N. We conclude

$$diam(\varphi(t,\omega)K_{\varepsilon}) = \sup\{\|\varphi(t,\omega)a - \varphi(t,\omega)b\|_{H} | a, b \in K_{\varepsilon}\} \\\leq \sup\{\|\varphi(t,\omega)K_{i} - \varphi(t,\omega)K_{j}\|_{H} | i, j = 1, ..., N\} + 2\varepsilon \\\leq 4\varepsilon,$$

for all $t \geq t_{\varepsilon,\omega}$, i.e. diam $(\varphi(t,\omega)K_{\varepsilon}) \to 0$ almost surely. For any $\delta > 0$ we conclude

$$\mathbb{P}[\operatorname{diam}(\varphi(t,\omega)K(\omega)) \ge \delta] \le \varepsilon + \mathbb{P}[\operatorname{diam}(\varphi(t,\omega)K_{\varepsilon}) \ge \delta] \le 2\varepsilon,$$

for all $t \ge t_{\varepsilon}$, i.e. diam $(\varphi(t,\omega)K(\omega)) \to 0$ in probability. By contractivity of φ , diam $(\varphi(t,\omega)K(\omega))$ is non-increasing. This implies diam $(\varphi(t,\omega)K(\omega)) \to 0$ P-almost surely.

Proof of Corollary 2.9. It is sufficient to consider $\nu = \delta_x$ for $x \in H$. Let $x \in H$, $f : H \to \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |P_t f(x) - \mu(f)| &= \left| \int_H \mathbb{E} f(\varphi(t, \cdot)x) - f(\varphi(t, \cdot)y) d\mu(y) \right| \\ &\leq \int_H \mathbb{E} |f(\varphi(t, \cdot)x) - f(\varphi(t, \cdot)y)| d\mu(y) \\ &\leq Lip(f) \int_H \mathbb{E} \|\varphi(t, \cdot)x - \varphi(t, \cdot)y\|_H d\mu(y) \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.8 we have $\|\varphi(t,\omega)x - \varphi(t,\omega)y\| \to 0$ P-almost surely for $t \to \infty$ and by contractivity $\|\varphi(t,\cdot)x - \varphi(t,\cdot)y\|_H \le \|x - y\|_H$. Since $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(H)$, dominated convergence yields

$$|P_t f(x) - \mu(f)| \to 0,$$

for $t \to \infty$.

Proof of Corollary 2.10. Let

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E} f(S(t, 0; \cdot)x) = \mathbb{E} f(\varphi(t, \cdot)x),$$

for $f \in B_b(H)$ and $x \in H$. The unique existence of variational solutions $X(t, 0; \omega)x$ is well known (cf. [38, Theorem 4.2.4]). By pathwise uniqueness of the solution, $S(t, 0; \omega)x$ and $X(t, 0; \omega)x$ are indistinguishable and thus the associated Markovian semigroups on $\mathcal{B}_b(H)$ coincide and are Feller (cf. [38, Proposition 4.3.5]). Monotonicity of the drift implies contractivity of φ . By [35, Theorem 1.3] there is a unique invariant measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1$ with $\int_H \|x\|_V^{\alpha} d\mu(x) < \infty$ and for all Lipschitz functions $F: H \to \mathbb{R}$

$$|P_t F(x) - P_t F(y)| \le \frac{CLip(F) ||x - y||_H}{\sqrt{t}} \left(1 + \frac{||x||_H}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{||y||_H}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\alpha}},$$

where Lip(F) is the Lipschitz constant of F. In order to obtain bounds on higher moments of μ we note (as in [34, Lemma 2.2])

$$\frac{1}{t}\mathbb{E}\int_{0}^{t} \|X(r,0;\cdot)0\|_{H}^{k}\|X(r,0;\cdot)0\|_{V}^{\alpha}dr \le C,$$

for all $k \ge 4, t \ge 0$. Since μ is obtained as the weak limit of $\mu_n := \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n \mathcal{L}(X(r, 0; \cdot)0) dr$ this implies

$$\int_H \|x\|_H^k \|x\|_V^\alpha d\mu(x) < \infty.$$

In particular $\mu(\|\cdot\|_{H}^{k}) < \infty$ for all $k \geq 1$. We obtain

$$|P_tF(x) - \mu(F)| \le \frac{CLip(F)(||x||_H + 1)}{\sqrt{t}} \left(1 + \frac{||x||_H^{\frac{\delta}{\alpha}}}{t^{\frac{\delta}{2\alpha}}} + \frac{1}{t^{\frac{\delta}{2\alpha}}}\right) \to 0,$$

for $t \to \infty$ which implies weak*-mean ergodicity. By Theorem 2.6 there is a measurable compact random attractor \mathcal{A} and Theorem 2.8 yields $\operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{A}(\theta_t \omega)) = \operatorname{diam}(\varphi(t, \omega)\mathcal{A}(\omega)) \to 0$. Since θ_t is \mathbb{P} preserving this implies $\operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{A}(\omega)) = 0$ almost surely, i.e. $\mathcal{A}(\omega)$ is a single point.

4.8 Finite time extinction (Theorem 2.12)

We will need the following

Lemma 4.5. Let β be a real-valued Brownian motion and $q, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\int_{s}^{t} e^{q(\beta_r - \beta_s)} dr \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \infty,$$

almost surely.

Proof. We first prove convergence in probability. By the scaling property of Brownian motion we have $q(\beta_{r+s} - \beta_s) = q\beta_r = 2\beta_{\frac{q^2}{4}r}$ in law. Hence,

$$\int_{s}^{t} e^{q(\beta_{r}-\beta_{s})} dr = \int_{0}^{t-s} e^{q(\beta_{r+s}-\beta_{s})} dr = \int_{0}^{t-s} e^{2\beta_{\frac{q^{2}}{4}r}} dr = \frac{4}{q^{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{q^{2}}{4}(t-s)} e^{2\beta_{r}},$$

in law. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove divergence of

$$A_t := \int_0^t e^{2\beta_r},$$

in probability. By [1] we know that A_t has the density function

$$\mathbb{P}[A_t \in du] = \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi u^3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cosh(\xi) e^{-\frac{\cosh(\xi)^2}{2u} - \frac{(\xi + \frac{i\pi}{2})^2}{2t}} d\xi.$$

Let K > 0. Then

$$\mathbb{P}[A_t \le K] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^K \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi u^3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \cosh(\xi) e^{-\frac{\cosh(\xi)^2}{2u} - \frac{(\xi + \frac{i\pi}{2})^2}{2t}} du d\xi.$$

Taking the absolute value and substituting $u \rightsquigarrow \frac{\cosh(\xi)}{\sqrt{u}}$ in the inner integral yields

$$\mathbb{P}[A_t \le K] \le 2e^{\frac{\pi^2}{8t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\frac{\cosh(\xi)}{\sqrt{K}}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} du d\xi.$$

Let now $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose R > 0 such that $\int_{\frac{\cosh(R)}{\sqrt{K}}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} du \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$. Then choose t so large that $\sup_{\xi \in [-R,R]} \frac{e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{16R}$ and $2e^{\frac{\pi^2}{8t}} \leq 4$. We obtain

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[A_t \le K] &= 2e^{\frac{\pi^2}{8t}} \left(\int_{-R}^R \int_{\frac{\cosh(\xi)}{\sqrt{K}}}^\infty \frac{e^{\frac{-u^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} du d\xi + \int_{[-R,R]^c} \int_{\frac{\cosh(\xi)}{\sqrt{K}}}^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} du d\xi \right) \\ &\leq 4 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{8} \int_{\frac{\cosh(1)}{\sqrt{K}}}^\infty \frac{e^{\frac{-u^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} du + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \int_{[-R,R]^c} \frac{e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} d\xi \right) \le \varepsilon, \end{split}$$

for all t sufficiently large, i.e. $\mathbb{P}[A_t \leq K] \to 0$, for $t \to \infty$. Hence, the same holds for $\int_s^t e^{q(\beta_r - \beta_s)} dr$. We conclude that for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$ there exists a t_0 (depending on ω) such that $\int_s^{t_0} e^{q(\beta_r - \beta_s)} dr > K$. Since $\int_s^t e^{q(\beta_r - \beta_s)} dr$ is increasing in t, this implies $\int_s^t e^{q(\beta_r - \beta_s)} dr > K$ for all $t \geq t_0$, i.e.

$$\int_{s}^{t} e^{q(\beta_r - \beta_s)} dr \to \infty,$$

almost surely.

Proof of Theorem 2.12. : Let $B \subseteq H$ bounded and $x \in B$. Recall that $Z(t,s;\omega)x$ satisfies

$$Z(t,s;\omega)x = x + \int_{s}^{t} \mu_{r}(\omega)A\left(r,\mu_{r}^{-1}(\omega)Z(r,s;\omega)x\right)dr.$$

In particular $Z(t,s;\omega)x$ is absolutely continuous. By the chain-rule

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|Z(t,s;\omega)x\|_{H}^{2} = \mu_{t}(\omega)^{2} V_{*} \langle A\left(t,\mu_{t}^{-1}(\omega)Z(t,s;\omega)x\right), \mu_{t}^{-1}(\omega)Z(r,s;\omega)x \rangle_{V}$$
$$\leq \lambda(\omega)\mu_{t}(\omega)^{2-p} \|Z(t,s;\omega)x\|_{H}^{p} dr,$$

for almost every $t \in [s, \infty)$. Lemma 4.2 yields

$$||Z(t,s;\omega)x||_{H}^{2} \leq \left(||x||_{H}^{2-p} - (1-\frac{p}{2})\lambda(\omega)\int_{s}^{t}\mu_{\tau}(\omega)^{2-p}d\tau \vee 0\right)^{\frac{2}{2-p}}$$

for all $t \ge s$. Since $S(t,s;\omega)x = \mu_t(\omega)Z(t,s;\omega)(\mu_s(\omega)^{-1}x)$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|S(t,s;\omega)x\|_{H}^{2} &\leq \mu_{t}(\omega)^{2} \left(\left(\|\mu_{s}(\omega)^{-1}x\|_{H}^{2-p} - (1-\frac{p}{2})\lambda(\omega) \int_{s}^{t} \mu_{\tau}(\omega)^{2-p} d\tau \right) \vee 0 \right)^{\frac{2}{2-p}} \\ &\leq \mu_{t}(\omega)^{2} \mu_{s}(\omega)^{-2} \left(\left(\|B\|_{H}^{2-p} - (1-\frac{p}{2})\lambda(\omega) \int_{s}^{t} e^{-\mu(2-p)(\beta_{\tau}(\omega) - \beta_{s}(\omega))} d\tau \right) \vee 0 \right)^{\frac{2}{2-p}} \end{split}$$

Now we can apply Lemma 4.5 to obtain $||S(t,s;\omega)x||_{H}^{2} = 0$, for $t \ge t_{0}$ and $t_{0} = t_{0}(||B||_{H},\omega,s)$ large enough.

5 Appendix

Proposition 5.1. Let $A^{\varepsilon} : [0,T] \times V \to V^*$, $\varepsilon \geq 0$ be a family of monotone operators converging pointwisely in V^* , i.e. for every $t \in [0,T]$ and $x \in V$ we have

$$||A^{\varepsilon}(t,x) - A^{0}(t,x)||_{V^{*}} \to 0,$$

for $\varepsilon \to 0$. Assume that there exists an $\alpha > 1$ such that $||A^{\varepsilon}(t,x)||_{V^*}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \leq C(||x||_V^{\alpha}+1)$ and that Y^{ε} are variational solutions to the corresponding equations

$$\frac{d}{dt}Y_t^{\varepsilon} + A^{\varepsilon}(t, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) = 0, \ (\varepsilon \ge 0)$$

satisfying the uniform bound $||Y_r^{\varepsilon}||_{L^{\alpha}([0,T];V)} \leq C$. Then

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|Y_t^{\varepsilon} - Y_t^0\|_H^2 \to 0,$$

for $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Proof. By the chain-rule

$$\begin{split} \|Y_{t}^{\varepsilon} - Y_{t}^{0}\|_{H}^{2} &= \int_{0}^{t} {}_{V^{*}} \left\langle -A^{\varepsilon}(r, Y_{r}^{\varepsilon}) + A^{0}(r, Y_{r}^{0}), Y_{r}^{\varepsilon} - Y_{r}^{0} \right\rangle_{V} dr \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} {}_{V^{*}} \left\langle -A^{\varepsilon}(r, Y_{r}^{\varepsilon}) + A^{\varepsilon}(r, Y_{r}^{0}), Y_{r}^{\varepsilon} - Y_{r}^{0} \right\rangle_{V} dr + \int_{0}^{t} {}_{V^{*}} \left\langle A^{0}(r, Y_{r}^{0}) - A^{\varepsilon}(r, Y_{r}^{0}), Y_{r}^{\varepsilon} - Y_{r}^{0} \right\rangle_{V} dr \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t} {}_{V^{*}} \left\langle A^{0}(r, Y_{r}^{0}) - A^{\varepsilon}(r, Y_{r}^{0}), Y_{r}^{\varepsilon} - Y_{r}^{0} \right\rangle_{V} dr \\ &\leq \|A^{0}(r, Y_{r}^{0}) - A^{\varepsilon}(r, Y_{r}^{0})\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}([0,T];V^{*})} \|Y^{\varepsilon} - Y^{0}\|_{L^{\alpha}([0,T];V)} \\ &\leq 2C \|A^{0}(\cdot, Y_{\cdot}^{0}) - A^{\varepsilon}(\cdot, Y_{\cdot}^{0})\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}([0,T];V^{*})}. \end{split}$$

By dominated convergence the claim follows.

References

- Larbi Alili, Hiroyuki Matsumoto, and Tomoyuki Shiraishi. On a triplet of exponential Brownian functionals. In <u>Séminaire de Probabilités</u>, XXXV, volume 1755 of <u>Lecture</u> <u>Notes in Math.</u>, pages 396–415. Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [2] Ludwig Arnold. <u>Random dynamical systems</u>. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [3] Ludwig Arnold and Igor Chueshov. Order-preserving random dynamical systems: equilibria, attractors, applications. Dynam. Stability Systems, 13(3):265–280, 1998.
- [4] Donald G. Aronson and Lambertus A. Peletier. Large time behaviour of solutions of the porous medium equation in bounded domains. <u>J. Differential Equations</u>, 39(3):378–412, 1981.
- [5] Viorel Barbu, Giuseppe Da Prato, and Michael Röckner. Existence and uniqueness of nonnegative solutions to the stochastic porous media equation. <u>Indiana Univ. Math. J.</u>, 57(1):187–211, 2008.
- [6] Viorel Barbu, Giuseppe Da Prato, and Michael Röckner. Some results on stochastic porous media equations. Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. (9), 1(1):1–15, 2008.
- [7] Viorel Barbu, Giuseppe Da Prato, and Michael Röckner. Existence of strong solutions for stochastic porous media equation under general monotonicity conditions. <u>Ann.</u> <u>Probab.</u>, 37(2):428–452, 2009.
- [8] Viorel Barbu, Giuseppe Da Prato, and Michael Röckner. Stochastic porous media equations and self-organized criticality. <u>Comm. Math. Phys.</u>, 285(3):901–923, 2009.

- [9] Viorel Barbu and Michael Röckner. Stochastic porous media equations and selforganized criticality: convergence to the critical state in all dimensions. <u>BiBoS-preprint</u>, to appear in: Comm. Math. Phys., pages 1–21, 2011.
- [10] Jean Bertoin. <u>Lévy processes</u>, volume 121 of <u>Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics</u>. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [11] Wolf-Jürgen Beyn, Benjamin Gess, Paul Lescot, and Michael Röckner. The global random attractor for a class of stochastic porous media equations. <u>Comm. Partial</u> Differential Equations, 36(3):446–469, 2011.
- [12] Feng Cao and Jifa Jiang. On the global attractivity of monotone random dynamical systems. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 138(3):891–898, 2010.
- [13] Tomás Caraballo, Hans Crauel, José A. Langa, and James C. Robinson. The effect of noise on the Chafee-Infante equation: a nonlinear case study. <u>Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.</u>, 135(2):373–382 (electronic), 2007.
- [14] Tomás Caraballo, Grzegorz Łukaszewicz, and José Real. Pullback attractors for asymptotically compact non-autonomous dynamical systems. <u>Nonlinear Anal.</u>, 64(3):484–498, 2006.
- [15] Alexandre N. Carvalho, Jan W. Cholewa, and Tomasz Dlotko. Global attractors for problems with monotone operators. <u>Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8)</u>, 2(3):693–706, 1999.
- [16] Alexandre N. Carvalho and Claudia B. Gentile. Comparison results for nonlinear parabolic equations with monotone principal part. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 259(1):319– 337, 2001.
- [17] Alexandre N. Carvalho and Cláudia B. Gentile. Asymptotic behaviour of non-linear parabolic equations with monotone principal part. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 280(2):252– 272, 2003.
- [18] Jan W. Cholewa and Tomasz Dlotko. <u>Global attractors in abstract parabolic problems</u>, volume 278 of <u>London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series</u>. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [19] Jan W. Cholewa and Anibal Rodriguez-Bernal. Extremal equilibria for monotone semigroups in ordered spaces with application to evolutionary equations. <u>J. Differential</u> Equations, 249(3):485–525, 2010.
- [20] Igor Chueshov. <u>Monotone random systems theory and applications</u>, volume 1779 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

- [21] Igor Chueshov and Michael Scheutzow. On the structure of attractors and invariant measures for a class of monotone random systems. Dyn. Syst., 19(2):127–144, 2004.
- [22] Hans Crauel. Global random attractors are uniquely determined by attracting deterministic compact sets. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4), 176:57–72, 1999.
- [23] Hans Crauel. <u>Random probability measures on Polish spaces</u>, volume 11 of <u>Stochastics</u> Monographs. Taylor & Francis, London, 2002.
- [24] Hans Crauel, Arnaud Debussche, and Franco Flandoli. Random attractors. J. Dynam. Differential Equations, 9(2):307–341, 1997.
- [25] Hans Crauel and Franco Flandoli. Attractors for random dynamical systems. <u>Probab.</u> Theory Related Fields, 100(3):365–393, 1994.
- [26] Giuseppe Da Prato and Michael Röckner. Weak solutions to stochastic porous media equations. J. Evol. Equ., 4(2):249–271, 2004.
- [27] Giuseppe Da Prato, Michael Röckner, Boris L. Rozovskii, and Feng-Yu Wang. Strong solutions of stochastic generalized porous media equations: existence, uniqueness, and ergodicity. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 31(1-3):277–291, 2006.
- [28] Benjamin Gess. Random attractors for stochastic porous media equations perturbed by space-time linear multiplicative noise. arXiv:1108.2413v1, 2011.
- [29] Benjamin Gess. Strong solutions for stochastic partial differential equations of gradient type. arXiv:1104.4243v1, 2011.
- [30] Benjamin Gess, Wei Liu, and Michael Röckner. Random attractors for a class of stochastic partial differential equations driven by general additive noise. J. Differential Equations, 251(4-5):1225 – 1253, 2011.
- [31] Jong U. Kim. On the stochastic porous medium equation. <u>J. Differential Equations</u>, 220(1):163–194, 2006.
- [32] Olga A. Ladyženskaja, Vsevolod A. Solonnikov, and Nina N. Ural'ceva. <u>Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type</u>. Translated from the Russian by S. Smith. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1967.
- [33] Gary M. Lieberman. <u>Second order parabolic differential equations</u>. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1996.
- [34] Wei Liu and Michael Röckner. SPDE in Hilbert space with locally monotone coefficients. J. Funct. Anal., 259(11):2902–2922, 2010.

- [35] Wei Liu and Jonas Tölle. Existence and uniqueness of invariant measures for stochastic evolution equations with weakly dissipative drifts. <u>Electronic Communications in</u> Probability, 16:447–457, 2011.
- [36] Yuewei Liu, Lu Yang, and Chengkui Zhong. Asymptotic regularity for p-Laplacian equation. J. Math. Phys., 51(5):052702, 7, 2010.
- [37] Szymon Peszat and Jerzy Zabczyk. <u>Stochastic partial differential equations with Lévy</u> <u>noise</u>, volume 113 of <u>Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications</u>. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007. An evolution equation approach.
- [38] Claudia Prévôt and Michael Röckner. <u>A concise course on stochastic partial differential</u> equations, volume 1905 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [39] Jiagang Ren, Michael Röckner, and Feng-Yu Wang. Stochastic generalized porous media and fast diffusion equations. J. Differential Equations, 238(1):118–152, 2007.
- [40] Michael Röckner and Feng-Yu Wang. Non-monotone stochastic generalized porous media equations. J. Differential Equations, 245(12):3898–3935, 2008.
- [41] Michael Scheutzow. Comparison of various concepts of a random attractor: a case study. Arch. Math. (Basel), 78(3):233-240, 2002.
- [42] Michael Scheutzow. Attractors for ergodic and monotone random dynamical systems. In <u>Seminar on Stochastic Analysis</u>, Random Fields and Applications V, volume 59 of Progr. Probab., pages 331–344. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008.
- [43] Björn Schmalfuss. Backward cocycle and attractors of stochastic differential equations. In V. Reitmann, T. Riedrich, and N. Koksch, editors, <u>International Seminar on Applied</u> <u>Mathematics - Nonlinear Dynamics: Attractor Approximation and Global Behavior</u>, pages 185–192. Technische Universität Dresden, 1992.
- [44] Ralph E. Showalter. <u>Monotone operators in Banach space and nonlinear partial</u> <u>differential equations</u>, volume 49 of <u>Mathematical Surveys and Monographs</u>. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [45] Shingo Takeuchi and Tomomi Yokota. Global attractors for a class of degenerate diffusion equations. <u>Electron. J. Differential Equations</u>, pages No. 76, 13 pp. (electronic), 2003.
- [46] Roger Temam. <u>Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems in mechanics and physics</u>, volume 68 of <u>Applied Mathematical Sciences</u>. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1997.
- [47] Roger Temam. <u>Navier-Stokes equations</u>. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2001. Theory and numerical analysis, Reprint of the 1984 edition.

- [48] Juan L. Vázquez. <u>The porous medium equation</u>. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007. Mathematical theory.
- [49] Bixiang Wang and Robert Jones. Asymptotic behavior of a class of non-autonomous degenerate parabolic equations. Nonlinear Anal., 72(9-10):3887–3902, 2010.
- [50] Meihua Yang, Chunyou Sun, and Chengkui Zhong. Global attractors for p-Laplacian equation. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 327(2):1130–1142, 2007.