

# VOLUME GROWTH, COMPARISON THEOREM AND ESCAPE RATE OF DIFFUSION PROCESS

SHUNXIANG OUYANG

ABSTRACT. We study the escape rate of diffusion process with two approaches. We first give an upper rate function for the diffusion process associated with a symmetric, strongly local regular Dirichlet form. The upper rate function is in terms of the volume growth of the underlying state space. The method is due to Hsu and Qin [Ann. Probab., 38(4), 2010] where an upper rate function was given for Brownian motion on Riemannian manifold. In the second part, we prove a comparison theorem and give an upper rate function for diffusion process on Riemannian manifold in terms of the upper rate function for the solution process of a one dimensional stochastic differential equation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X_t, t \geq 0$ , be a diffusion process over some metric space  $E$ . Let  $\partial$  be the extra point in the one-point compactification of  $E$ . Usually  $\partial$  is regarded as the cemetery of the process. For every  $x \in E$ , let  $\mathbb{P}_x$  denote the law of  $X_t$  starting from  $x$ . Let  $\rho$  be a nonnegative function on  $E$  such that  $\rho(x)$  tends to infinity as  $x$  wanders out to  $\partial$ . If there is a non-decreasing positive function  $R(t)$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\rho(X_t) \leq R(t) \text{ for all sufficiently large } t) = 1,$$

then we call  $R(t)$  an upper rate function or an upper radius for  $X_t$  with respect to  $\rho$ . It is an upper bound of the escape rate of  $X_t$ .

As an example, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $(1 + \varepsilon)\sqrt{2t \log \log t}$  is an upper rate function for the Brownian motion  $B_t$  on a Euclidean space with respect to the Euclidean metric. This follows easily from the celebrated Khinchin law of iterated logarithm which asserts that

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B_t|}{\sqrt{2t \log \log t}} = 1 \quad (1.1)$$

almost surely.

The aim of this paper is to study upper rate functions for diffusion processes. Note that it is a classical question to ask how fast a diffusion process wanders out to the cemetery. For instance, [8, 10, 17, 19, 20, 21] studied the asymptotic behavior of

---

*Date:* October 15, 2013.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58J65, 60J60, 31C25 .

*Key words and phrases.* Escape rate, diffusion process, Dirichlet form, volume growth, comparison theorem.

Supported by SFB 701 of the German Research Council.

diffusion process. In particular, [13, 14, 15] studied the escape rate of Brownian motion on Riemannian manifold.

A related problem is the conservativeness of diffusion process, see e.g. [12, 23, 26, 27] and references therein. It is clear that if we get a finite upper bound of the escape rate of the process, then it follows immediately that the process is conservative.

Intuitively, if a process gets much free space to live on then it can run to the cemetery faster. So it is natural to characterize escape rate in terms of volume growth of the underlying state space. Indeed, [14, 15] obtained upper rate functions for Brownian motions on Riemannian manifolds in terms of the volume growth of concentric balls.

It is well known that local Dirichlet form is an appropriate frame to unify and extend results for Brownian motion on a complete Riemannian manifold. For instance, it is in this spirit that [3] and [24] generalized the results in [13] and [12] respectively. The first aim of this paper is to extend the main result in [15] from the Riemannian setting to the framework of Dirichlet form. More precisely, we give an upper rate function with respect to a nonnegative function  $\rho$  on the state space for the symmetric diffusion process associated with a regular, local and symmetric Dirichlet form (cf. Theorem 2.2). The upper rate function is in terms of the volume growth of the underlying state space and the growth of the energy density  $\Gamma(\rho, \rho)$  of the function  $\rho$ .

It is canonical to take  $\rho$  as the intrinsic metric associated with the Dirichlet form. Then, under some topological condition, the energy density  $\Gamma(\rho, \rho)$  is bounded above by 1 and hence the volume growth of the metric ball in the state space is the the unique condition. Note that the volume of a metric ball involves exactly two of the most essential ingredients of Dirichlet space, i.e. the measure on the state space and the metric induced intrinsically from the Dirichlet form. However, without additional geometric condition, one cannot always expect to get optimal upper rate function in terms of the volume growth condition only. For example, for Brownian motion on Euclidean space, using volume growth condition only, we can obtain upper rate function of order  $\sqrt{t \log t}$  which is rougher than the consequence induced by the iterated logarithm law (1.1).

So in the second part of this paper, we turn to consider geometric condition for the diffusion process with infinitesimal generator  $L$  on a Riemannian manifold  $M$ . Let  $\rho_o$  be the distance function with respect to some fixed point  $o$  on  $M$ . Suppose that there is a measurable function  $\theta$  on  $[0, +\infty)$  such that

$$L\rho_o \leq \theta(\rho_o)$$

on  $M \setminus \text{cut}(o)$ . Here  $\text{cut}(o)$  is the cut locus of  $o$ . Under this condition, we establish a comparison theorem (cf. Theorem 4.1) to compare the radial process of the diffusion process with respect to a scaled Brownian motion with drift  $\theta$ . Then upper rate function for the diffusion process on manifold is controlled by the upper rate function for the solution process of a one dimensional stochastic differential equation.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we extend the main result in [15]. Then in Sections 3 we give some examples to illustrate how to apply the extended

result. In Section 4 we prove a comparison theorem for escape rate. In Section 5 we study briefly upper rate functions for one dimensional Itô diffusion processes.

## 2. ESCAPE RATE OF DIRICHLET PROCESS

Consider a measure space  $(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , where  $E$  is a locally compact separable Hausdorff space, and  $\mu$  is a positive Radon measure on  $E$  with full support. Let  $\mathbb{H} = L^2(E, \mu)$  be the Hilbert space of square integrable (with respect to  $\mu$ ) extended real valued functions on  $E$  endowed with the usual inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and norm  $\| \cdot \|_2$ . Let  $C(E)$  be the space of continuous functions on  $E$  and  $C_0(E)$  the space of all continuous functions with compact support on  $E$ . Let  $\partial$  be the extra point in the one-point compactification of  $E$ :  $E \cup \partial$  is compact.

We consider a symmetric regular and strongly local Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  with definition domain  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ . Let us recall these notions shortly (see [9] for more details). We call  $\mathcal{E}$  symmetric if  $\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \mathcal{E}(g, f)$  for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ . The Dirichlet form  $\mathcal{E}$  is called regular if it possesses a core in  $\mathcal{F}$ . A core is subset of  $\mathcal{F} \cap C_0(E)$  that is dense in  $C_0(E)$  for the uniform norm and dense in  $\mathcal{F}$  for the norm  $\sqrt{\|f\|_2^2 + \mathcal{E}(f, f)}$ .  $\mathcal{E}$  is called strongly local if  $\mathcal{E}(f, g) = 0$  for every  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$  with compact supports satisfying the condition that  $g$  is constant on a neighborhood of the support of  $f$ . A regular Dirichlet form is strongly local if and only if both the jumping measure and the killing measure of the Dirichlet form vanish.

It is well known that (cf. [6, 9]) for any  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ , there is a signed Radon measure  $\mu_{\langle f, g \rangle}$ , the energy measure of  $f$  and  $g$  on  $E$ , such that

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \int_E d\mu_{\langle f, g \rangle}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Obviously,  $\mu_{\langle f, g \rangle}$  is a positive semidefinite symmetric bilinear form in  $f, g$  and it enjoys locality property. Hence the definition domain  $\mathcal{F}$  of the energy measure can be extended to  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{loc}}$ . Here  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{loc}}$  is the set of all  $\mu$ -measurable functions  $f$  on  $E$  for which on every relatively compact open set  $\Omega \subset E$ , there exists a function  $f' \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f = f'$   $\mu$ -a.s. on  $\Omega$ .

We shall assume the following assumption.

**Assumption 2.1.** Suppose that there is a nonnegative function  $\rho$  on  $E$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $\rho \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{loc}} \cap C(E)$ .
- (2)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial} \rho(x) = +\infty$ .
- (3) For any  $r > 0$ , the ball  $B(r) := B_\rho(r) := \{x \in E: \rho(x) \leq r\}$  is compact.
- (4) The energy measure  $\mu_{\langle \rho, \rho \rangle}$  of  $\rho$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ .

When the energy measure  $\mu_{\langle \rho, \rho \rangle}$  of  $\rho$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , we set

$$\Gamma(\rho, \rho) = \frac{d\mu_{\langle \rho, \rho \rangle}}{d\mu}$$

and call it the energy density of  $\rho$  with respect to  $\mu$ . For any  $r > 0$ , let

$$\lambda(r) := \lambda_\rho(r) := \sup_{y \in B(r)} \Gamma(\rho, \rho)(y).$$

It describes the growth of the energy density  $\Gamma(\rho, \rho)$ .

Associated with the Dirichlet space  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ , there is a canonical diffusion process  $(\mathcal{P}(E), X_t, 0 \leq t < \zeta, \mathbb{P}_x)$ . Here  $\mathcal{P}(E)$  is the path space over  $E$  (i.e., all continuous real valued functions on  $[0, \zeta)$ ),  $X_t$  is the coordinate process defined via  $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$  for all  $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(E)$  and  $0 \leq t < \zeta$ ,  $\zeta$  is the life time of  $X_t$ , and  $\mathbb{P}_x$  is the law of  $X_t$  with initial point  $x \in E$ . Recall that  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is called conservative if

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\zeta = \infty) = 1$$

for all  $x \in E$ .

Now we are ready to state the following result on the escape rate of  $X_t$ . As indicated in Example 3.1, this is a generalization of [15, Theorem 4.1].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose that there is a nonnegative function  $\rho$  on  $E$  satisfying Assumption 2.1. Let  $x \in E$  and  $\psi$  be a function on  $[0, +\infty)$  determined by*

$$t = \int_2^{\psi(t)} \frac{r}{\lambda(r) (\log \mu(B(r)) + \log \log r)} dr. \quad (2.1)$$

*Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $\psi(Ct)$  is an upper rate function for  $X_t$  with respect to  $\rho$ , that is*

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\rho(X_t) \leq \psi(Ct) \text{ for all } t \text{ sufficiently large}) = 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Consequently, we have the following criterion for conservativeness of the diffusion process.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $\rho$  be a nonnegative function on  $E$  satisfying Assumption 2.1. If*

$$\int_2^\infty \frac{r}{\lambda(r) (\log \mu(B(r)) + \log \log r)} dr = \infty, \quad (2.3)$$

*then the Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is conservative.*

There is a canonical choice of the function  $\rho$  which satisfies Assumption 2.1. Let

$$d(x, y) := \sup\{f(x) - f(y) : f \in \mathcal{F} \cap C_0(E), d\mu_{\langle f, f \rangle} \leq d\mu \text{ on } E\}$$

for every  $x, y \in E$ . Here  $d\mu_{\langle f, f \rangle} \leq d\mu$  means that the energy measure  $d\mu_{\langle f, f \rangle}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the reference measure  $\mu$  and the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\frac{d\mu_{\langle f, f \rangle}}{d\mu} \leq 1$$

$\mu$ -a.s. on  $E$ .

The function  $d$  is called intrinsic metric. We refer to [4, 5, 23, 24, 25] etc. for the details. For any  $x \in E$ , let

$$\rho_x(z) := d(z, x) \quad \text{for all } z \in E.$$

We need the following assumption such that  $\rho_x$  satisfies Assumption 2.1.

**Assumption 2.4.** The intrinsic metric  $d$  is a complete metric on  $E$  and the topology induced by  $d$  is equivalent to the original topology on  $E$ .

By Assumption 2.4, we have (see [23, Lemma 1'])  $\rho_x \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{loc}} \cap C(E)$  and

$$\Gamma(\rho_x, \rho_x) \leq 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover,  $B_{\rho_x}(r)$  is compact for every  $r > 0$ . So Assumption 2.1 holds with  $\lambda(r) \leq 1$ . Thus Theorem 2.2 implies the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Suppose that Assumption 2.4 holds. Let  $x \in E$  and  $\psi$  be a function on  $[0, +\infty)$  determined by*

$$t = \int_2^{\psi(t)} \frac{r}{\log \mu(B_{\rho_x}(r)) + \log \log r} dr. \quad (2.5)$$

*Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $\psi(Ct)$  is an upper rate function for  $X_t$  with respect to  $\rho_x$ . That is,*

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\rho_x(X_t) \leq \psi(Ct) \text{ for all } t \text{ sufficiently large}) = 1. \quad (2.6)$$

**Remark 2.6.** In terms of the intrinsic metric, Corollary 2.3 naturally gives a probabilistic proof of Sturm's conservativeness test [23, Theorem 4]:  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is conservative if for some  $x \in E$ ,

$$\int_2^\infty \frac{r}{\log \mu(B_{\rho_x}(r))} dr = \infty. \quad (2.7)$$

Note that in this case the extra term  $\log \log r$  appeared in (2.3) can be dropped (see [15, Lemma 3.1] for a proof).

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 2.2, let us explain the idea of the proof.

Let  $\{R_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of strictly increasing radii to be determined later such that  $R_n \uparrow +\infty$  as  $n \uparrow +\infty$  and set  $B_n := B(R_n)$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose that the process starts from points in  $B_1$ . Consider the first exit time of  $X_t$  from  $B_n$ :

$$\tau_n := \inf\{t > 0: X_t \notin B_n\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

For every  $n \geq 1$ , it is clear that at the stopping time  $\tau_n$ , the process first reaches the boundary  $\partial B_n$ . Let  $\tau_0 = 0$ . Then for every  $n \geq 1$ , the difference  $\tau_n - \tau_{n-1}$ , provided that  $\tau_{n-1} < +\infty$  almost surely, is the crossing time of the process from  $\partial B_{n-1}$  to  $\partial B_n$ .

Suppose that we have a sequence of time steps  $\{t_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_n - \tau_{n-1} \leq t_n) < \infty.$$

Then by the Borel-Cantelli's lemma, the probability that the events  $\{\tau_n - \tau_{n-1} \leq t_n\}$  happen infinitely often is 0. So, for all large enough  $n$  we have

$$\tau_n - \tau_{n-1} > t_n, \quad \mathbb{P}_x\text{-a.s.}$$

Roughly speaking, it implies

$$T_n := \sum_{k=1}^n t_k < \tau_n, \quad \mathbb{P}_x\text{-a.s.}$$

It indicates that almost surely the process stays in  $B_n$  before time  $T_n$ . This connection will give us an upper rate function for  $X_t$  after some manipulation.

Now the problem is reduced to estimates of the crossing times  $\tau_n - \tau_{n-1}$ . To get the estimates, analytic and probabilistic approaches are used in [14] and [15] respectively. The main idea in [15] is to use Lyons-Zheng's decomposition ([18]). This goes back to [26, 27] where conservativeness of general symmetric diffusion process was studied. Note that some additional geometric condition is required by the analytic approach in [14].

In this paper we adopt the procedure in [15]. Let us first define some notation. For any compact set  $K \subset E$ , set

$$\mathbb{P}_K = \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \int_K \mathbb{P}_z \mu(dz) \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}^K(f, g) = \int_K \Gamma(f, g) d\mu, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{F}.$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{E}^K$  is closed on  $L^2(X, \mathbb{1}_K \mu)$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}^K$  be the domain of the closure of  $\mathcal{E}^K$ . Then  $(\mathcal{E}^K, \mathcal{F}^K)$  is a strongly local, regular, symmetric and conservative Dirichlet form on  $L^2(K, \mathbb{1}_K \mu)$ .

As remarked in [15], to use the Lyons-Zheng's decomposition, we have to consider the following events

$$A_n := \{\tau_n - \tau_{n-1} \leq t_n, \tau_n \leq T_n\}, \quad n \geq 1$$

instead of the events  $\{\tau_n - \tau_{n-1} \leq t_n\}, n \geq 1$ .

The estimate in the following lemma is crucial for the proof of Theorem 2.2.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $R_0 = 0$  and  $r_n := R_n - R_{n-1}$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . Then for every  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}_{B_1}(A_n) \leq \frac{16}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\mu(B_n)}{\mu(B_1)} \frac{T_n \sqrt{\lambda(R_n)}}{\sqrt{t_n r_n}} \exp\left(-\frac{r_n^2}{8\lambda(R_n)t_n}\right). \quad (2.9)$$

*Proof.* Set  $\rho_t := \rho(X_t)$ ,  $\tau_0 = 0$  and  $\tau_n := \inf\{t > 0: X_t \notin B_n\}$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . For every  $r > 0$ , let  $\mathbb{M}^r = (X_t, \mathbb{P}_x^r)$  be the diffusion processes corresponding to  $(\mathcal{E}^{B(r)}, \mathcal{F}^{B(r)})$ .

Analogous to (2.8), we define for every compact set  $K \subset B(r) \subset E$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_K^r = \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \int_K \mathbb{P}_z^r \mu(dz). \quad (2.10)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}_{B_1}(A_n) &= \mathbb{P}_{B_1}(\tau_n - \tau_{n-1} \leq t_n, \tau_n \leq T_n) \\
 &\leq \mathbb{P}_{B_1} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_n} (\rho_{\tau_{n-1}+s} - \rho_{\tau_{n-1}}) \geq r_n, \tau_n \leq T_n \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{\mu(B_{n-1})}{\mu(B_1)} \mathbb{P}_{B_{n-1}} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_n} (\rho_{\tau_{n-1}+s} - \rho_{\tau_{n-1}}) \geq r_n, \tau_n \leq T_n \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{\mu(B_{n-1})}{\mu(B_1)} \mathbb{P}_{B_{n-1}}^{R_n} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_n} (\rho_{\tau_{n-1}+s} - \rho_{\tau_{n-1}}) \geq r_n, \tau_n \leq T_n \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{\mu(B_n)}{\mu(B_1)} \mathbb{P}_{B_n}^{R_n} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_n} (\rho_{\tau_{n-1}+s} - \rho_{\tau_{n-1}}) \geq r_n, \tau_n \leq T_n \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Since the diffusion process  $\mathbb{M}^n$  is conservative, by Lyons-Zheng's decomposition (see [18]) we have

$$\rho_t - \rho_0 = \frac{1}{2}M_t - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{M}_{T_n} - \tilde{M}_{T_n-t}), \quad \mathbb{P}_{B_n}^{R_n}\text{-a.s.} \tag{2.12}$$

Here  $M_t$  is a martingale additive functional of finite energy (see the notation in [9]) and

$$\tilde{M}_t = M_t(r_{T_n}),$$

where  $r_{T_n}$  is the time reverse operator at  $T_n$  defined via

$$X_t(r_{T_n}) = X_{T_n-t}.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_s : 0 \leq s \leq t) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_t = \sigma(X_s : T_n - t \leq s \leq T_n).$$

Then  $(M_t, \mathbb{P}_{B_n}^{R_n})$  is a  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -martingale, while  $(\tilde{M}_t, \mathbb{P}_{B_n}^{R_n})$  is a  $\mathcal{G}_t$ -martingale.

As discussed in [15], by (2.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_n} (\rho_{\tau_{n-1}+s} - \rho_{\tau_{n-1}}) \geq r_n, \tau_n \leq T_n \right\} \\
 &\subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{T_n}{t_n} \rfloor + 1} \left\{ \sup_{|s| \leq t_n} |\rho_{kt_n+s} - \rho_{kt_n}| \geq \frac{r_n}{2} \right\} \\
 &\subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{T_n}{t_n} \rfloor + 1} \left( \left\{ \sup_{|s| \leq t_n} |M_{kt_n+s} - M_{kt_n}| \geq \frac{r_n}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ \sup_{|s| \leq t_n} |\tilde{M}_{kt_n+s} - \tilde{M}_{kt_n}| \geq \frac{r_n}{2} \right\} \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

From the symmetry of  $M_t$ , we have the time reversibility: For any  $\mathcal{F}_{T_n}$ -measurable function  $F$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{B_n}^{R_n}(F(r_{T_n})) = \mathbb{E}_{B_n}^{R_n}(F).$$

Here  $\mathbb{E}_{B_n}^{R_n}$  is the expectation with respect to  $\mathbb{P}_{B_n}^{R_n}$ . Hence for every  $1 \leq k \leq \left\lceil \frac{T_n}{t_n} \right\rceil + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{B_n}^{R_n} \left( \sup_{|s| \leq t_n} |M_{kt_n+s} - M_{kt_n}| \geq \frac{r_n}{2} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_{B_n}^{R_n} \left( \sup_{|s| \leq t_n} |\tilde{M}_{kt_n+s} - \tilde{M}_{kt_n}| \geq \frac{r_n}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Therefore, it follows from (2.11), (2.13) and (2.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{B_1}(\tau_n - \tau_{n-1} \leq t_n, \tau_n \leq T_n) \\ & \leq 2 \frac{\mu(B_n)}{\mu(B_1)} \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lceil \frac{T_n}{t_n} \right\rceil + 1} \mathbb{P}_{B_n}^{R_n} \left( \sup_{|s| \leq t_n} |M_{kt_n+s} - M_{kt_n}| \geq \frac{r_n}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

So it remains to estimate the probability

$$\mathbb{P}_{B_n}^{R_n} \left( \sup_{|s| \leq t_n} |M_{kt_n+s} - M_{kt_n}| \geq \frac{r_n}{2} \right)$$

for every  $1 \leq k \leq \left\lceil \frac{T_n}{t_n} \right\rceil + 1$ .

Note that continuous martingale  $M_t - M_0$  is a time change of one dimensional standard Brownian motion  $B(t)$  with respect to  $\mathbb{P}_{B_n}^{R_n}$ . That is, for every  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$M_t - M_0 = B(\langle M \rangle_t) = B \left( \int_0^t \Gamma(\rho, \rho)(X_u) du \right).$$

Since  $\Gamma(\rho, \rho) \leq \lambda(R_n)$  on  $B_n$ , we have for every  $1 \leq k \leq \left\lceil \frac{T_n}{t_n} \right\rceil + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{B_n}^{R_n} \left( \sup_{|s| \leq t_n} |M_{kt_n+s} - M_{kt_n}| \geq \frac{r_n}{2} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_{B_n}^{R_n} \left( \sup_{|s| \leq t_n} \left| B \left( \int_{kt_n}^{kt_n+s} \Gamma(\rho, \rho)(X_u) du \right) \right| \geq \frac{r_n}{2} \right) \\ & \leq 2 \mathbb{P}_{B_n}^{R_n} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_n} |B(\lambda(R_n)s)| \geq \frac{r_n}{2} \right) \\ & \leq 4 \mathbb{P}_{B_n}^{R_n} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda(R_n)t_n}} B(\lambda(R_n)t_n) \geq \frac{r_n}{2\sqrt{\lambda(R_n)t_n}} \right) \\ &= 4 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\frac{r_n}{2\sqrt{\lambda(R_n)t_n}}}^{\infty} \exp \left( -\frac{x^2}{2} \right) dx \\ & \leq \frac{8}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda(R_n)t_n}}{r_n} \exp \left( -\frac{r_n^2}{8\lambda(R_n)t_n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$



Here we have used the following simple inequality

$$\int_a^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) dx \leq \frac{1}{a} \exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{2}\right), \quad a > 0.$$

Now (2.9) follows immediately from (2.15) and (2.16). Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

Now let us consider how to choose  $R_n$  and  $t_n$  properly.

By  $\sqrt{x} < e^x$  for all  $x > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t_n}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{16\lambda(R_n)}}{r_n} \exp\left(\frac{r_n^2}{16\lambda(R_n)t_n}\right).$$

So it follows from (2.9) that

$$\mathbb{P}_{B_1}(A_n) \leq \frac{64}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\mu(B_1)} \frac{T_n \lambda(R_n)}{r_n^2} \exp\left(\log \mu(B_n) - \frac{r_n^2}{16\lambda(R_n)t_n}\right). \quad (2.17)$$

In order to absorb the coefficient of the exponential part, it is natural to take

$$t_n = \frac{r_n^2}{32\lambda(R_n)(\log \mu(B_n) + h(R_n))},$$

where  $h$  is an increasing function to be determined later. By (2.17) we get

$$\mathbb{P}_{B_1}(A_n) \leq \frac{64}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\mu(B_1)} \frac{T_n \lambda(R_n)}{r_n^2} \exp(-\log \mu(B_n) - 2h(R_n)). \quad (2.18)$$

Suppose that  $r_n$  is increasing in  $n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n t_k = \frac{1}{32\lambda(R_n)(\log \mu(B_n) + h(R_n))} \sum_{k=1}^n r_k^2 \\ &\leq \frac{r_n}{32\lambda(R_n)(\log \mu(B_n) + h(R_n))} \sum_{k=1}^n r_k \\ &= \frac{R_n r_n}{32\lambda(R_n)(\log \mu(B_n) + h(R_n))}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above inequality into (2.18), we get

$$\mathbb{P}_{B_1}(A_n) \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\mu(B_1)} \frac{1}{\log \mu(B_n) + h(R_n)} \frac{R_n}{r_n} \exp(-2h(R_n)). \quad (2.19)$$

To obtain  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{P}_{B_1}(A_n) < \infty$  it suffices to take the Radii  $R_n$  and the function  $h$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{R_n}{r_n} \exp(-2h(R_n)) < \infty. \quad (2.20)$$

Let  $R_n = 2^n c$  for some large enough constant  $c > 0$  such that  $x \in B_1$ . Since  $R_n/r_n = 2$ , (2.20) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \exp(-2h(2^n c)) < \infty.$$

Evidently it is sufficient to take  $h(r) = \log \log r$  since in this case we have

$$\exp(-2h(2^n c)) = (n \log 2 + \log c)^{-2} \approx \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* According the discussion above, by taking  $R_n = 2^n c$  for some large enough constant  $c > 0$  and

$$t_n = \frac{r_n^2}{32\lambda(R_n)(\log \mu(B_n) + \log \log R_n)}, \quad (2.21)$$

we get  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{B_1}(A_n) < \infty$ . As shown in [15, Lemma 2.1], there exists a constant  $T_{-1} \geq 0$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\tau_n \geq T_n - T_{-1}, \quad \mathbb{P}_{B_1}\text{-a.s.}$$

Hence

$$\sup_{t \leq T_n - T_{-1}} \rho_t \leq 2^n c, \quad \mathbb{P}_{B_1}\text{-a.s.} \quad (2.22)$$

Note that

$$r_n^2 = \frac{1}{4} R_n (R_{n+1} - R_n).$$

By (2.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n t_k = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{R_k (R_{k+1} - R_k)}{128\lambda(R_k)(\log \mu(B_k) + \log \log R_k)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{256} \int_{R_1}^{R_{n+1}} \frac{r}{\lambda(r)(\log \mu(r) + \log \log r)} dr. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Let

$$\phi(R) = \int_{2c}^R \frac{r}{\lambda(r)(\log \mu(B(r)) + \log \log r)} dr. \quad (2.24)$$

Then (2.23) implies

$$T_n \geq \frac{1}{256} \phi(2^{n+1}c). \quad (2.25)$$

For every  $R \geq 2c$ , let  $n(R)$  be the positive integer such that

$$2^{n(R)}c < R \leq 2^{n(R)+1}c. \quad (2.26)$$

As  $\phi$  is increasing, by (2.25) and (2.26) we have

$$\frac{1}{256} \phi(R) \leq \frac{1}{256} \phi(2^{n(R)+1}c) \leq T_{n(R)}.$$

Therefore, by (2.22) and (2.26), for all  $R \geq 2c$

$$\sup_{t \leq \frac{1}{256} \phi(R) - T_{-1}} \rho_t \leq 2^{n(R)}c < R, \quad \mathbb{P}_{B_1}\text{-a.s.} \quad (2.27)$$

Let  $\tilde{\psi}$  be the inverse function of  $\phi$ . Then (2.27) implies

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \rho_t \leq \tilde{\psi}(256(T + T_{-1})), \quad \mathbb{P}_{B_1}\text{-a.s.} \quad (2.28)$$

for large enough  $T > 0$ . Consequently, in terms of  $\psi$ , we have for sufficiently large  $T > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \rho_t \leq \psi(512T), \quad \mathbb{P}_{B_1}\text{-a.s.} \quad (2.29)$$

Now we arrive at the conclusion that

$$\mathbb{P}_{B_1}(H) = 1,$$

where

$$H = \{\rho(X_t) \leq \psi(Ct) \text{ for all } t \text{ sufficiently large}\}.$$

This means that the function  $\psi$  is an upper rate function for  $X_t$  starting from points with uniform distribution on the ball  $B_1$ . The theorem will be proved by showing that  $\psi$  is also an upper rate function for  $X_t$  starting from every single point in  $B_1$ .

By Markov property of  $X_t$ , we have for every  $z \in E$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_z(\mathbb{1}_H \circ \theta_t | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{E}_{X_t} \mathbb{1}_H, \quad (2.30)$$

where  $\theta_t$  is the shift operator on  $\mathcal{P}(E)$  defined by

$$(\theta_t \omega)(s) = \omega(t + s), \quad \omega \in \mathcal{P}(E).$$

Obviously we have  $\mathbb{1}_H \circ \theta_t = \mathbb{1}_H$ . Hence from (2.30) we get

$$\mathbb{E}_z(\mathbb{1}_H | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{E}_{X_t} \mathbb{1}_H. \quad (2.31)$$

Let

$$h(z) := \mathbb{P}_z(H) = \mathbb{E}_z \mathbb{1}_H$$

for all  $z \in E$ . By (2.31) and the definition of  $h$ , we obtain

$$P_t h(z) = \mathbb{E}_z h(X_t) = \mathbb{E}_z(\mathbb{E}_{X_t} \mathbb{1}_H) = \mathbb{E}_z(\mathbb{E}_z(\mathbb{1}_H | \mathcal{F}_t)).$$

Note that  $\mathbb{E}_z(\mathbb{E}_z(\mathbb{1}_H | \mathcal{F}_t)) = \mathbb{E}_z \mathbb{1}_H = h(z)$ . So we have

$$P_t h(z) = h(z)$$

for all  $z \in E$ . This proves that  $h$  is a  $L$ -harmonic function on  $E$  (i.e. solution of  $Lu = 0$ , cf. [23]), where  $L$  is the infinitesimal generator associated with  $X_t$ . By Liouville theorem (cf. [23]), the function  $h$  is constant on  $B_1$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{B_1}(H) = \frac{1}{\mu(B_1)} \int_{B_1} \mathbb{P}_z(H) d\mu = \frac{1}{\mu(B_1)} \int_{B_1} h(z) \mu(dz) = 1.$$

Therefore we must have  $\mathbb{P}_z(H) = h(z) = 1$  for every  $z \in B_1$ . In particular, we get (2.6) and hence the proof is now complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 2.3.* From (2.6), we have for any  $x \in E$ , there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all sufficiently large  $T > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \rho_t \leq \psi(CT), \quad \mathbb{P}_x\text{-a.s.}$$

Hence we have for any sufficiently large  $T > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_x(T < \zeta) = \mathbb{P}_x\left(\sup_{t \leq T} \rho_t < \infty\right) = 1.$$

This proves that the process is conservative.  $\square$

### 3. EXAMPLES OF ESCAPE RATE OF DIRICHLET PROCESS

**Example 3.1.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a  $n$ -dimensional complete connected Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric  $g$ . Let  $\Delta$  and  $\nabla$  be the Laplace-Beltrami operator and gradient operator on  $M$  respectively. Denote the inner product in the tangent space  $T_x M$  at  $x \in M$  by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x := g_x(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Let  $d$  be the Riemannian distance function on  $M$  and  $\rho_o(\cdot) = d(o, \cdot)$  the distance function on  $M$  with respect to some fixed point  $o \in M$ . Let  $B(r) := \{x \in M : \rho_o(x) \leq r\}$  be the ball with center  $o$  and radius  $r > 0$ . Let  $\text{vol}(dx)$  be the volume element of the manifold  $M$ , and  $TM$  the bundle of tangent space of  $M$ .

Let  $A: TM \rightarrow TM$  be a strictly positive definite mapping and  $V$  a smooth function on  $M$ . Set  $\mu(dx) = \exp(V(x))\text{vol}(dx)$ . Due to the integration by parts formula,

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \int_M \langle A\nabla f, \nabla g \rangle \mu(dx), \quad f, g \in C_0^1(M),$$

defines a closable Markovian form on  $L^2(M, \mu(dx))$ . Its closure  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is a strongly local, regular, conservative and symmetric Dirichlet form on  $L^2(M, \mu(dx))$ .

In the case when  $A$  is the identity operator on  $TM$  and  $V$  vanishes,  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is the classical Dirichlet form. The associated intrinsic metric coincides with the Riemannian distance and Assumption 2.1 holds for  $\rho_o$ . The corresponding diffusion process is the Brownian motion  $B_t$  on  $M$ . By Corollary 2.3, there exists some constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\psi(Ct)$  is an upper rate function for  $B_t$  with respect to  $\rho_o$ , where  $\psi$  is given by

$$t = \int_2^{\psi(t)} \frac{r}{\log \text{vol}(B(r)) + \log \log r} dr. \quad (3.1)$$

This shows that Corollary 2.3 covers [15, Theorem 4.1].

In the case when  $A$  is the identity operator and  $V \neq 0$ , the associated infinitesimal generator of the Dirichlet form is given by the diffusion operator  $L = \Delta + \nabla V$  on  $C_0^\infty(M)$ . The intrinsic metric is still the Riemannian distance. Hence, there is some constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\psi(Ct)$  is an upper rate function with respect to  $\rho_o$  for the associated diffusion process, where  $\psi$  is given by

$$t = \int_2^{\psi(t)} \frac{r}{\log \mu(B(r)) + \log \log r} dr. \quad (3.2)$$

For the general case, let

$$\lambda(r) := \sup_{y \in B(r)} \langle A\nabla \rho_o, \nabla \rho_o \rangle(y).$$

By Theorem 2.2, there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\psi(Ct)$  is an upper rate function with respect to  $\rho_o$ , where  $\psi(t)$  is given by

$$t = \int_2^{\psi(t)} \frac{r}{\lambda(r) (\log \mu(B(r)) + \log \log r)} dr. \quad (3.3)$$

In particular, if we have

$$\langle A\nabla\rho_o, \nabla\rho_o \rangle \leq c\rho_o^\gamma$$

for some positive constants  $c$  and  $\gamma$ , then there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\psi(Ct)$  is an upper rate function, where  $\psi$  is given by

$$t = \int_2^{\psi(t)} \frac{r^{1-\gamma}}{\log \mu(B(r)) + \log \log r} dr. \quad (3.4)$$

**Example 3.2.** Let  $U = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < l\}$  be the Euclidean ball with center the origin and radius  $l > 0$ . Let  $\Xi, \Phi$  be continuous positive functions on  $[0, \infty)$ . Set

$$\xi(x) = \Xi(|x|), \quad \phi(x) = \Phi(|x|), \quad x \in U$$

and  $d\mu(x) = \phi^2 \xi^2 dx$ . Consider the Markovian form  $(\mathcal{E}, C_0^\infty(U))$  with

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \int_U \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle \xi^{-2} d\mu$$

on the Hilbert space  $L^2(U, d\mu)$ .

The intrinsic metric  $d$  is given by

$$d(x, 0) = \int_0^{|x|} \Xi(s) ds, \quad x \in U.$$

Suppose that

$$\int_0^l \Xi(s) ds = \infty.$$

Clearly the form  $(\mathcal{E}, C_0^\infty(U))$  is closable and Assumption 2.4 holds. Hence  $(U, d)$  is complete. The volume of the ball

$$B(0, r) = \{x \in U : d(x, 0) \leq r\} = \left\{ x \in U : \int_0^{|x|} \Xi(s) ds \leq r \right\}$$

with center 0 and radius  $r > 0$  is given by

$$\mu(B(0, r)) = \int_{B(0, r)} \phi^2 \xi^2 dx = \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \int_0^{s^*(r)} \Phi^2(s) \Xi^2(s) s^{n-1} ds,$$

where  $s^*(r)$  is determined by

$$\int_0^{s^*(r)} \Xi(s) ds = r,$$

and  $\text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  is the volume of the standard sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$ .

Suppose that  $l = +\infty$  (i.e.  $U = \mathbb{R}^n$ ) and

$$\Phi(s) \approx s^a, \quad \Xi(s) \approx s^b$$

with  $a, b > 0$ . Here for any two functions  $f$  and  $g$ ,  $f \approx g$  means that there exists a constants  $C(f, g) > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{C(f, g)}g \leq f \leq C(f, g)g.$$

Then for any  $x \in U$  we have

$$d(x, 0) = \int_0^{|x|} \Xi(s) ds \approx |x|^{1+b}.$$

Hence

$$s^*(r) \approx r^{\frac{1}{1+b}}.$$

So

$$\mu(B(0, r)) \approx \int_0^{s^*(r)} s^{2(a+b)+n-1} ds \approx (s^*(r))^{2(a+b)+n} \approx r^{\frac{2(a+b)+n}{1+b}}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists some constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(d(X_t, 0) \leq C\sqrt{t \log t} \text{ for all } t \text{ sufficiently large}) = 1.$$

In terms of the Euclidean metric, it implies that there exists some constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(|X_t| \leq C_1(t \log t)^{\frac{1}{2(1+b)}} \text{ for all } t \text{ sufficiently large}) = 1.$$

**Example 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a symmetric bilinear form on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} dx, \quad f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where for each  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ,  $a_{ij}$  is a locally integrable measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $(a_{ij})_{n \times n}$  is symmetric, locally uniformly elliptic and for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \approx a(x) \|\xi\|^2 \tag{3.5}$$

for some positive continuous function  $a(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

It is well known that  $(\mathcal{E}, C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$  is closable (see [9, Section 3.1]) and its closure  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is a strongly local, regular, conservative and symmetric Dirichlet form on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ .

Define a distance function  $d$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$d(x, y) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 a^{-1/2}(\gamma(s)) |\gamma'(s)| ds : \gamma \in C^1([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^n), \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y \right\}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Essentially  $d$  is proportional to the intrinsic metric. For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $\rho_x(y) = d(x, y)$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Set for all  $r > 0$ ,  $B_{\rho_x}(r) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \rho_x(y) \leq r\}$ . It is clear that  $\rho_x$  satisfies Assumption 2.1. Moreover, we have for all  $r > 0$ ,

$$\lambda(r) = \sup_{y \in B_{\rho_x}(r)} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(y) \frac{\partial \rho_x}{\partial x_i}(y) \frac{\partial \rho_x}{\partial x_j}(y) \approx 1.$$

By Corollary 2.5, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\psi(Ct)$  is an upper rate function with respect to  $\rho_x$  for the associated diffusion process  $X_t$ , where  $\psi$  is given by

$$t = \int_2^{\psi(t)} \frac{r}{\log \text{vol}(B_{\rho_x}(r)) + \log \log r} dr. \quad (3.6)$$

Here  $\text{vol}(B)$  is the volume of Borel set  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

**Example 3.4.** We proceed to consider Example 3.3. Suppose that the function  $a(x)$  in (3.5) is radial, i.e. there exists some strictly positive function  $\tilde{a}$  on  $[0, \infty)$  such that

$$a(x) = \tilde{a}(|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then we have

$$\rho_x(y) \approx \tilde{\rho}(|x - y|), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where

$$\tilde{\rho}(s) = \int_0^s \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}(u)}} du, \quad s \in [0, \infty). \quad (3.7)$$

So there exists some constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\rho_x(X_t) \leq C\psi(Ct) \text{ for all } t \text{ sufficiently large}) = 1, \quad (3.8)$$

where by (3.6),  $\psi$  can be represented as

$$t = \int_2^{\psi(t)} \frac{r}{n \log[\tilde{\rho}^{-1}(r)] + \log \log r} dr. \quad (3.9)$$

Let

$$\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\rho}^{-1} \circ \psi. \quad (3.10)$$

We have

$$\mathbb{P}_x(|X_t| \leq C_1 \tilde{\psi}(C_1 t) \text{ for all } t \text{ sufficiently large}) = 1 \quad (3.11)$$

for some constant  $C_1 > 0$ .

Let us consider three special cases of function  $a$  and look for  $\psi$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  satisfying (3.8) and (3.11) for some constants  $C > 0$  and  $C_1 > 0$  respectively.

**Case 1.** Suppose that  $a \equiv 1$ . Then  $\tilde{\rho}(s) = s$ . So we have  $\psi(t) = \sqrt{t \log t}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(t) = \sqrt{t \log t}$ .

**Case 2.** Suppose that  $a(x) = (1 + |x|)^\alpha$  for some  $\alpha < 2$ . Then  $\tilde{\rho}(s) = (1 + s)^{1-\alpha/2}$ . So we have  $\psi(t) = \sqrt{t \log t}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(t) = (t \log t)^{1/(2-\alpha)}$ .

**Case 3.** Suppose that  $a(x) = (1 + |x|)^2 [\log(1 + |x|)]^\beta$  for some  $\beta \leq 1$ . Then  $\tilde{\rho}(s) = [\log(1 + s)]^{1-\beta/2}$ . We have:

- (1) If  $\beta < 1$ , then we have  $\psi(t) = t^{1+\frac{\beta}{2-2\beta}}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(t) = \exp(t^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}})$ .
- (2) If  $\beta = 1$ , then we have  $\psi(t) = \exp(t)$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(t) = \exp(\exp(t))$ .

**Remark 3.5.** In Example 3.4, if  $a(x) = (1 + |x|)^\alpha$  with  $\alpha > 2$  or  $a(x) = (1 + |x|)^2 \log(1 + |x|)^\beta$  with  $\beta > 1$ , then the corresponding Dirichlet form is not conservative (see [7, Example B and Note 6.6]).

**Remark 3.6.** To get an upper rate function with respect to the Euclidean metric for the process considered in Example 3.4, usually it is convenient to apply Theorem 2.2 with  $\lambda(r) = \tilde{a}(r)$ . That is, one can get  $\tilde{\psi}$  satisfying (3.11) by solving

$$t = \int_2^{\tilde{\psi}(t)} \frac{r}{\tilde{a}(r)(n \log(r) + \log \log r)} dr. \quad (3.12)$$

For Case 1 and Case 2, from (3.12) we get the same functions  $\tilde{\psi}(t)$  with those in Example 3.4. However, for Case 3, if  $\beta > 0$ , we have

$$\int_2^{+\infty} \frac{1}{r(\log(r))^{1+\beta}} dr < \infty,$$

so we cannot get  $\tilde{\psi}$  from (3.12). For  $\beta = 0$  and  $\beta < 0$ , by (3.12), we have  $\tilde{\psi}(t) = \exp(t)$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(t) = \exp(t^{-\frac{1}{\beta}})$  respectively. Clearly for the case  $\beta = 0$  we obtain the same function  $\tilde{\psi}(t)$  with the one obtained in Example 3.4. But for the case  $\beta < 0$ , we get less precise upper rate function. This is the cost we have to pay for the convenience of using  $\lambda(r) = \tilde{a}(r)$ .

**Remark 3.7.** Comparing with Example 4.5 in the next section, it turns out that the method using volume growth needs less information of the Dirichlet form, but sometimes gives less exact upper rate function.

#### 4. COMPARISON THEOREM FOR ESCAPE RATES

Let  $M$  be a  $n$ -dimensional complete smooth connected Riemannian manifold. Consider a diffusion operator

$$L = \Delta + Z \quad (4.1)$$

on  $M$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $M$  and  $Z$  is a  $C^1$  vector field on  $M$ .

Let  $o \in M$  be a fixed reference point and set

$$\rho_o(x) = d(x, o)$$

for every  $x \in M$ . Here  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the Riemannian distance function on  $M$ .

Let  $\text{cut}(o)$  denote the cut locus of  $o$ . Suppose that there exists some measurable function  $\theta$  on  $[0, +\infty)$  such that

$$L\rho_o \leq \theta(\rho_o) \quad (4.2)$$

on  $M \setminus \text{cut}(o)$ .

Note that by a comparison theorem of Bakry and Qian [2, Theorem 4.2], Inequality (4.2) follows from the curvature-dimension condition (see [1]).

Let  $(X_t, \zeta, \mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in M}$  be the diffusion process on  $M$  associated with  $L$ . Here  $\zeta$  is the life time of  $X_t$ . Let  $B_R$  denote the closed geodesic ball with center  $o$  and radius  $R > 0$ . Set  $B_R^o = B_R \setminus \partial B_R$ . Let  $\tau_R$  denote the first exit time of  $X_t$  from  $B_R$ . That is,

$$\tau_R := \inf\{t \geq 0: X_t \notin B_R\}.$$



Let  $(x_t, \mathbb{P}_r^0)$  be the solution to the following stochastic differential equation

$$dx_t = \theta(x_t)dt + \sqrt{2}dw_t, \quad x_0 = r \geq 0 \quad (4.3)$$

on  $[0, +\infty)$ . Here  $w_t$  is a standard Brownian motion,  $\theta$  is the function on  $[0, +\infty)$  satisfying (4.2). The infinitesimal generator of  $x_t$  is given by

$$L_0 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \theta(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r}.$$

For every  $0 < R < \delta_K$ , let  $\tau_R^0$  be the first exit time of  $x_t$  from  $[0, R]$ . That is,

$$\tau_R^0 := \inf\{t \geq 0 : x_t \notin [0, R]\}.$$

We have the following comparison theorem for the upper rate functions of  $X_t$  and  $x_t$ . To some extent, it is a generalization of [16, Theorem 2.1], [22, Proof of Inequality (4.6)] and [11, Proof of Inequality (2.2)].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose that there is some measurable function  $\theta$  on  $[0, +\infty)$  such that (4.2) holds on  $M \setminus \text{cut}(o)$ . Let  $R > 0$  and  $x \in M$  with  $r := \rho_o(x) < R$ . Then for every  $t > 0$ ,  $0 < \delta < R$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}_r^0(x_t < \delta, t < \tau_R^0) \leq \mathbb{P}_x(\rho_o(X_t) < \delta, t < \tau_R). \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore, an upper rate function for  $x_t$  is also an upper rate function for  $X_t$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be a monotone, non-increasing  $C^\infty$ -function on  $[0, R]$  with compact support in  $[0, \delta)$ . Set for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $x \in B_R$  and  $r \in [0, R]$ ,

$$u(t, x) = \mathbb{E}_x[\phi(\rho_o(X_t)), t < \tau_R] \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$u_0(t, r) = \mathbb{E}_r[\phi(x_t), t < \tau_R^0]. \quad (4.6)$$

It is clear that  $u(t, x) \in C^\infty((0, \infty) \times B_R)$  and  $u_0(t, r) \in C^\infty((0, \infty) \times [0, R])$ . Moreover,  $u(t, x)$ ,  $u_0(t, r)$  satisfy the following two equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - Lu = 0 & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times B_R^o, \\ u(0, x) = \phi(d(x, o)), \\ u(t, x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_R^o \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t} - L_0 u_0 = 0 & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times (0, R), \\ u_0(0, r) = \phi(r), \\ u_0(t, R) = 0 \end{cases}$$

respectively.

It is clear that  $\bar{x}_t$  is monotone non-decreasing relative to the initial value  $r$  (cf. [16, Lemma 2.1]). Since  $\phi$  is monotone non-increasing, by (4.6) we get that the function  $u_0(t, r)$  is monotone non-increasing in  $r < R$ . So

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} u_0(t, r) \leq 0.$$

Let  $v_0(t, x) = u_0(t, \rho_o(x))$ . By (4.2), for all  $x \notin \text{cut}(o)$  with  $\rho_o(x) = r < R$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_0(t, x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_0(t, r) \Big|_{r=\rho_o(x)} = L_0 u_0(t, r) \Big|_{r=\rho_o(x)} \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \theta(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) u_0(t, r) \Big|_{r=\rho_o(x)} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + (Lr) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) u_0(t, r) \Big|_{r=\rho_o(x)} = L v_0(t, x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - L \right) v_0(t, x) \leq 0 \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times (B_R^o \setminus \text{cut}(o)). \quad (4.7)$$

Using similar arguments in the appendix of [28], we have

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - L \right) v_0(t, x) \leq 0 \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times B_R^o$$

in the distributional sense. Let  $U(t, x) = v_0(t, x) - u(t, x)$ . Then

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - L \right) U(t, x) \leq 0 \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times B_R^o$$

in the distributional sense. Note that for all  $x \in B_R^o$ ,  $U(0, x) = 0$ , and for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \partial B_R^o$ ,  $U(t, x) = 0$ , by the parabolic maximum principle, we have

$$U(t, x) \leq 0$$

for every  $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times B_R$ . That is, we get

$$\mathbb{E}_r[\phi(x_t), t < \tau_R^0] \leq \mathbb{E}_x[\phi(\rho_o(X_t)), t < \tau_R] \quad (4.8)$$

for all  $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times B_R$  with  $r = \rho_o(x)$ . By letting  $\phi \uparrow \mathbb{1}_{[0, \delta]}$  on both sides of (4.8), we obtain (4.4).

If there exists an upper rate function for  $x_t$ , then  $\mathbb{P}_r(\zeta_0 = +\infty) = 1$ . Letting  $R \rightarrow +\infty$  on both sides of (4.4), we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}_r^0(x_t < \delta) \leq \mathbb{P}_x(\rho_o(X_t) < \delta, t < \tau_\infty). \quad (4.9)$$

So if  $R(t)$  is an upper rate function for  $x_t$ , i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}_r^0(x_t \leq R(t) \text{ for all sufficiently large } t) = 1,$$

then we also have

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\rho_o(X_t) \leq R(t) \text{ for all sufficiently large } t) = 1.$$

This proves that  $X_t$  inherits the upper rate function for  $x_t$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** A probabilistic proof of Theorem 4.1 is available by modifying the arguments in the proof of [11, Lemma 2.1].

By Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 5.4 shown in the next section we have the following result.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Suppose that for some  $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  there exists some constant  $K_\alpha > 0$  such that*

$$L\rho_o \leq K_\alpha \rho_o^\alpha$$

*holds on  $M \setminus \text{cut}(o)$ . Then for some constant  $C_\alpha > 0$ ,  $g_\alpha(C_\alpha t)$  is an upper rate function for the  $L$ -diffusion process  $X_t$ , where  $g_\alpha$  is given by*

$$g_\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{t \log \log t}, & \alpha = -1, \\ t^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}, & -1 < \alpha < 1, \\ e^t, & \alpha = 1. \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

**Example 4.4.** Let  $n$  be an integer and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be endowed with the following metric

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + \xi^2(r)d\theta^2,$$

where  $(r, \theta)$  is the polar coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,  $\xi(r)$  is a positive smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying  $\xi(0) = 0$ ,  $\xi'(0) = 1$ , and  $d\theta^2$  is the standard Riemannian metric on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . We call  $M_\xi := (\mathbb{R}^n, \xi)$  a model manifold.

The Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta$  on  $M_\xi$  can be written as follows

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + m(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\xi^2(r)} \Delta_\theta,$$

where  $\Delta_\theta$  is the standard Laplace operator on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and  $m(r)$  is the mean curvature function of  $M_\xi$  given by

$$m(r) = (n-1) \frac{\xi'(r)}{\xi(r)}.$$

It is clear that we have  $\Delta r = m(r)$ .

In particular, let us take  $\xi(r) = \sinh \sqrt{K}r$  for some constant  $K > 0$ . Then  $M_\xi$  is the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^n$ , i.e. the complete simply connected  $n$ -dimensional manifold with constant sectional curvature  $-K$ . Clearly we have

$$\Delta r = (n-1)\sqrt{K} \coth \sqrt{K}r, \quad r > 0.$$

Note that  $(n-1)\sqrt{K} \coth \sqrt{K}r \rightarrow (n-1)\sqrt{K}$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Hence, by Proposition 5.3, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $(1+\varepsilon)(n-1)\sqrt{K}t$  is an upper rate function for the Brownian motion on  $M_\xi$ .

**Example 4.5.** Consider the Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$  given by

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} dx, \quad f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where  $a_{ij}$  are continuously differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $(a_{ij})$  is positive definite. The infinitesimal generator associated with  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is given by

$$L = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_i b_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad (4.11)$$

on  $C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where

$$b_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_j}(x).$$

We introduce a new Riemannian metric  $g = (g_{ij}(x)) = (a_{ij})^{-1}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$L = \Delta_g + \nabla_g \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \det a \right).$$

Here  $\Delta_g$  and  $\nabla_g$  are the Beltrami-Laplace operator and gradient operator on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, g)$  respectively. The Riemannian distance function  $\rho$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, g)$  is the same with the intrinsic metric of the Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ .

Suppose that the Riemannian distance function is given by  $\rho_x(y) = \tilde{\rho}(|x - y|)$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , for some positive function  $\tilde{\rho} \in C^2([0, \infty))$ . Then

$$L\rho_0(x) = A(x)\tilde{\rho}''(|x|) + \frac{\tilde{\rho}'(|x|)}{|x|} [B(x) - A(x) + C(x)],$$

where

$$A(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)x_i x_j,$$

$$B(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}(x),$$

$$C(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i b_i(x).$$

In particular, let us consider a toy model to illustrate the application. Suppose that  $(a_{ij}) = \tilde{a}(|x|)I$  for some strictly positive and continuously differentiable function  $\tilde{a}$  on  $[0, \infty)$ . As in Example 3.4, for the Riemannian distance function we have

$$\rho_x(y) \approx \tilde{\rho}(|x - y|), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where

$$\tilde{\rho}(s) = \int_0^s \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}(s)}} ds.$$

Let  $r = |x|$ . Then

$$L\rho_0(x) = -\frac{\tilde{a}'(r)}{2\sqrt{\tilde{a}(r)}} + \frac{(n-1)\sqrt{\tilde{a}(r)}}{r}.$$

Now we apply Corollary 4.3 to the three cases investigated in Example 3.4. That is, we look for functions  $\psi$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  satisfying (3.8) and (3.11) for some constants  $C > 0$  and  $C_1 > 0$  respectively.

**Case 1.** Suppose that  $a \equiv 1$ . Then  $\rho_x(y) = |x - y|$  and

$$L\rho_x = \frac{n-1}{\rho_x}.$$

So we have  $\psi(t) = \sqrt{t \log \log t}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(t) = \sqrt{t \log \log t}$ .

**Case 2.** Suppose that  $a(x) = (1 + |x|)^\alpha$  for some  $\alpha < 2$ . Then  $\rho_x(y) \approx |x - y|^{1-\alpha/2}$ . So we have

$$L\rho_x \leq \frac{C'}{\rho_x}$$

for some constant  $C' > 0$ . We get  $\psi(t) = \sqrt{t \log \log t}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(t) = (t \log \log t)^{1/(2-\alpha)}$ .

**Case 3.** Suppose that  $a(x) = (1 + |x|)^2 [\log(1 + |x|)]^\beta$  for some  $\beta \leq 1$ . Then  $\rho_x(y) \approx [\log(1 + |x - y|)]^{1-\beta/2}$ . Hence for some constant  $C' > 0$ ,

$$L\rho_x \leq C' \rho_x^{\frac{\beta}{2-\beta}}.$$

We get the same functions  $\psi$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  as in Case 3 of Example 3.4.

## 5. ESCAPE RATE OF ONE DIMENSIONAL ITÔ PROCESS

Following the arguments in [10, §17], we include here a short study of the upper rate function (with respect to the Eculidean metric) for a general one dimensional Itô diffusion process. This is useful for the application of Theorem 4.1.

Consider the following one dimensional stochastic differential equation

$$dz_t = b(z_t)dt + \sigma(z_t)dw_t, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $b$  and  $\sigma > 0$  are measurable functions on  $[0, +\infty)$ ,  $w_t$  is the Brownian motion on  $[0, +\infty)$ .

We start from the following simple result.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $z_t$  satisfy (5.1). Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

(1) *For large enough  $x > 0$ ,  $b(x)$  is bounded above by some constant  $b_0 > 0$ , i.e.*

$$b(x) \leq b_0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

(2) *There exist some constants  $\alpha < 1$  and  $C_\sigma > 0$  such that for all  $x > 0$ ,*

$$\sigma^2(x) \leq C_\sigma(1 + x^\alpha). \quad (5.2)$$

*Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $(b_0 + \varepsilon)t$  is an upper rate function for  $z_t$ .*

*Proof.* As  $z_t$  satisfies (5.1), we have

$$z_t = z_0 + \int_0^t b(z_s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(z_s)dw_s. \quad (5.3)$$

By (5.2) we have (cf. [10, §17, Lemma 1])

$$\mathbb{P}_z \left( \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma(z_s)dw_s = 0 \right) = 1. \quad (5.4)$$

We only need to consider the path of  $z_t$  which wanders out to infinity. Because  $b(x) \leq b_0$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have

$$\int_0^t b(z_s) ds \leq b_0 t \quad (5.5)$$

for sufficiently large  $t > 0$ . Thus the proof is complete by combining (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5).  $\square$

With the help of the previous proposition and Itô's formula, we have the following result.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $z_t$  satisfy (5.1). Let  $f(x)$  be an increasing, twice continuously differentiable function and  $g$  the inverse function of  $f$ . Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

(1) *There exists a constant  $b_0 > 0$  such that for large enough  $x > 0$ ,*

$$b(g(x))f'(g(x)) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(g(x))f''(g(x)) \leq b_0. \quad (5.6)$$

(2) *There exist some constants  $C > 0$ ,  $\alpha < 1$  such that for all  $x > 0$ ,*

$$\sigma(g(x))f'(g(x)) \leq C(1 + x^\alpha). \quad (5.7)$$

Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $g((b_0 + \varepsilon)t)$  is an upper rate function for  $z_t$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{z}_t = f(z_t)$ . By Itô's formula we have

$$d\tilde{z}_t = \hat{b}(\tilde{z}_t)dt + \hat{\sigma}(\tilde{z}_t)dw_t, \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{b}(x) &= b(g(x))f'(g(x)) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(g(x))f''(g(x)), \\ \hat{\sigma}(x) &= \sigma(g(x))f'(g(x)). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.1 as well as Conditions (5.6) and (5.7), for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $(b_0 + \varepsilon)t$  is an upper rate function for  $\tilde{z}_t$ . So  $g((b_0 + \varepsilon)t)$  is an upper rate function for  $z_t$  since  $z_t = g(\tilde{z}_t)$ . Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $z_t$  satisfy (5.1). Let  $\tilde{b}$  be a positive function on  $[0, +\infty)$  such that  $b(x) \leq \tilde{b}(x)$  holds for large enough  $x > 0$  and  $\int^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\tilde{b}(s)} ds = +\infty$ . Let  $g$  be a function on  $[0, \infty)$  defined by  $t = \int_0^{g(t)} \frac{1}{\tilde{b}(s)} ds$ . Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

(1) *There exist some constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $\alpha < 1$  such that for all  $x > 0$ ,*

$$\frac{\sigma(g(x))}{\tilde{b}(g(x))} \leq C_1(1 + x^\alpha).$$

(2) *There exists some constant  $C_2 > 0$  such that for all  $x > 0$ ,*

$$-\left(\frac{\sigma(x)}{\tilde{b}(x)}\right)^2 \tilde{b}'(x) \leq C_2.$$

Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $g((C + \varepsilon)t)$  is an upper rate function for  $z_t$ , where  $C = 1 + C_2/2$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$f(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\tilde{b}(u)} du$$

for sufficiently large  $x > 0$ . Then we extend  $f$  in such a way that it is increasing on the rest of the half line  $[0, \infty)$ , and that  $f', f''$  exist. It is clear that for sufficiently large  $x > 0$ ,

$$\sigma(g(x))f'(g(x)) = \frac{\sigma(g(x))}{\tilde{b}(g(x))} \leq C_1(1 + x^\alpha),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & b(g(x))f'(g(x)) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(g(x))f''(g(x)) \\ &= \frac{b(g(x))}{\tilde{b}(g(x))} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sigma(g(x))}{\tilde{b}(g(x))} \right)^2 \tilde{b}'(g(x)) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2}C_2. \end{aligned}$$

So Conditions (5.6) and (5.7) are satisfied. Hence the proof is finished by applying Proposition 5.2.  $\square$

As an application of Proposition 5.3, let us give an upper rate function for  $x_t$  satisfying (4.3).

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $x_t$  satisfy (4.3). Suppose that for some  $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , there exists some constant  $\theta_\alpha > 0$  such that*

$$\theta(x) \leq \theta_\alpha x^\alpha$$

*holds for large enough  $x > 0$ . Then for some constant  $C_\alpha > 0$ ,  $g_\alpha(C_\alpha t)$  is an upper rate function for  $x_t$ , where  $g_\alpha$  is given by (4.10).*

*Proof.* The case when  $\alpha = -1$  follows from [19, Chapter 2, Theorem 5.4]. For the case  $-1 < \alpha \leq 1$ , we only need to apply Proposition 5.3 with  $\sigma = \sqrt{2}$  and  $\tilde{b}(x) = \theta_\alpha x^\alpha$  for large enough  $x > 0$ . The function  $g_\alpha(t)$  is obtained by solving the following equation

$$t = \int_0^{g_\alpha(t)} \frac{1}{\theta_\alpha x^\alpha} dx.$$

$\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Dominique Bakry. L'hypercontractivité et son utilisation en théorie des semigroupes. In *Lectures on probability theory (Saint-Flour, 1992)*, volume 1581 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–114. Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [2] Dominique Bakry and Zhongmin Qian. Volume comparison theorems without Jacobi fields. In *Current trends in potential theory*, volume 4 of *Theta Ser. Adv. Math.*, pages 115–122. Theta, Bucharest, 2005.
- [3] Alexander Bendikov and Laurent Saloff-Coste. On the regularity of sample paths of sub-elliptic diffusions on manifolds. *Osaka J. Math.*, 42(3):677–722, 2005.
- [4] Marco Biroli and Umberto Mosco. Formes de Dirichlet et estimations structurelles dans les milieux discontinus. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 313(9):593–598, 1991.
- [5] Marco Biroli and Umberto Mosco. A Saint-Venant type principle for Dirichlet forms on discontinuous media. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 169:125–181, 1995.

- [6] Nicolas Bouleau and Francis Hirsch. *Dirichlet forms and analysis on Wiener space*, volume 14 of *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1991.
- [7] E. B. Davies.  $L^1$  properties of second order elliptic operators. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 17(5):417–436, 1985.
- [8] Avner Friedman. *Stochastic differential equations and applications*. Dover Publications Inc., Mineola, NY, 2006. Two volumes bound as one, Reprint of the 1975 and 1976 original published in two volumes.
- [9] Masatoshi Fukushima, Yōichi Ōshima, and Masayoshi Takeda. *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*, volume 19 of *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994.
- [10] Ī. Ī. Gĭhman and A. V. Skorohod. *Stochastic differential equations*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. Translated from the Russian by Kenneth Wickwire, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 72*.
- [11] Fu-Zhou Gong and Feng-Yu Wang. Heat kernel estimates with application to compactness of manifolds. *Q. J. Math.*, 52(2):171–180, 2001.
- [12] Alexander Grigor'yan. Analytic and geometric background of recurrence and non-explosion of the Brownian motion on Riemannian manifolds. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 36(2):135–249, 1999.
- [13] Alexander Grigor'yan. Escape rate of Brownian motion on Riemannian manifolds. *Appl. Anal.*, 71(1-4):63–89, 1999.
- [14] Alexander Grigor'yan and Elton Hsu. Volume growth and escape rate of Brownian motion on a Cartan-Hadamard manifold. In *Sobolev spaces in mathematics. II*, volume 9 of *Int. Math. Ser. (N. Y.)*, pages 209–225. Springer, New York, 2009.
- [15] P. Elton Hsu and Guangnan Qin. Volume growth and escape rate of Brownian motion on a complete Riemannian manifold. *Ann. Probab.*, 38(4):1570–1582, 2010.
- [16] Kanji Ichihara. Comparison theorems for Brownian motions on Riemannian manifolds and their applications. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 24(2):177–188, 1988.
- [17] Kiyosi Itō and Henry P. McKean. *Diffusion Processes and their Sample Paths*. Springer, Berlin, 1974.
- [18] Terence J. Lyons and Wei An Zheng. A crossing estimate for the canonical process on a Dirichlet space and a tightness result. *Astérisque*, (157-158):249–271, 1988. Colloque Paul Lévy sur les Processus Stochastiques (Palaiseau, 1987).
- [19] Xuerong Mao. *Stochastic differential equations and applications*. Horwood Publishing Limited, Chichester, second edition, 2008.
- [20] Minoru Motoo. Proof of the law of iterated logarithm through diffusion equation. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, 10:21–28, 1959.
- [21] Tokuzo Shiga and Shinzo Watanabe. Bessel diffusions as a one-parameter family of diffusion processes. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 27:37–46, 1973.
- [22] Karl-Theodor Sturm. Heat kernel bounds on manifolds. *Math. Ann.*, 292(1):149–162, 1992.
- [23] Karl-Theodor Sturm. Analysis on local Dirichlet spaces. I. Recurrence, conservativeness and  $L^p$ -Liouville properties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 456:173–196, 1994.
- [24] Karl-Theodor Sturm. On the geometry defined by Dirichlet forms. In *Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications (Ascona, 1993)*, volume 36 of *Progr. Probab.*, pages 231–242. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.
- [25] Karl-Theodor Sturm. The geometric aspect of Dirichlet forms. In *New directions in Dirichlet forms*, volume 8 of *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 233–277. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [26] Masayoshi Takeda. On a martingale method for symmetric diffusion processes and its applications. *Osaka J. Math.*, 26(3):605–623, 1989.



- [27] Masayoshi Takeda. On the conservativeness of the Brownian motion on a Riemannian manifold. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 23(1):86–88, 1991.
- [28] Shing Tung Yau. Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifold and their applications to geometry. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 25(7):659–670, 1976.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BIELEFELD UNIVERSITY, 33615, BIELEFELD, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* souyang@math.uni-bielefeld.de