

# Entropy Estimate Between Diffusion Processes and Application to McKean-Vlasov SDEs\*

Panpan Ren<sup>b)</sup>, Feng-Yu Wang<sup>a)</sup>

<sup>a)</sup> Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

<sup>b)</sup> Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Hong Kong, China  
wangfy@tju.edu.cn

March 17, 2023

## Abstract

By developing a new technique called the bi-coupling argument, we estimate the relative entropy between different diffusion processes in terms of the distances of initial distributions and drift-diffusion coefficients. As an application, the log-Harnack inequality is established for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with multiplicative distribution dependent noise, which appears for the first time in the literature.

AMS subject Classification: 35A01, 35D35.

Keywords: Entropy, bi-coupling, diffusion process, McKean-Vlasov SDE, log-Harnack inequality.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we introduce the bi-coupling argument to estimate the relative entropy between two diffusion processes. The relative entropy, also called the Kullback-Leibler divergence or the information divergence, is a physical quantity measuring the chaos of one distribution with respect to another. As an application, we establish the log-Harnack inequality for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with multiplicative distribution dependent noise, which is unknown so far.

As a member in the family of dimension-free Hanranck inequalities (see [18, 19, 21]), the log-Harnack inequality bounds the entropy by the quadratic Wasserstein distance, hence can be regarded as an inverse of the Talagrand inequality [17]. The log-Harnack inequality has crucial applications in optimal transport, curvature on Riemannian manifolds or metric measure spaces,

---

\*Supported in part by the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2022YFA1006000, 2020YFA0712900) and NNSFC (11921001).

and exponential ergodicity in entropy, see for instance [1, 15, 19]. See [20] for more applications of this type inequalities.

Let  $T > 0$ , and let  $\Gamma$  be the space of  $(a, b)$ , where

$$b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \quad a : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$$

are measurable, and for any  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $a(t, x)$  is positive definite. For any  $(a, b) \in \Gamma$ , consider the time dependent second order differential operators on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$L_t^{a,b} := \text{tr}\{a(t, \cdot)\nabla^2\} + b(t, \cdot) \cdot \nabla, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Let  $(a_i, b_i) \in \Gamma, i = 1, 2$ , such that for any  $s \in [0, T)$ , each  $(L_t^{a_i, b_i})_{t \in [s, T]}$  generates a unique diffusion process  $(X_{s,t}^{i,x})_{(t,x) \in [s, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d}$  with  $X_{s,s}^{i,x} = x$ , and for any  $t \in (s, T]$ , the distribution  $P_{s,t}^{i,x}$  of  $X_{s,t}^{i,x}$  has positive density function  $p_{s,t}^{i,x}$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure. When  $s = 0$ , we simply denote

$$X_{0,t}^{i,x} = X_t^{i,x}, \quad P_{0,t}^{i,x} = P_t^{i,x}.$$

The associated Markov semigroup  $(P_{s,t}^i)_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T}$  is given by

$$P_{s,t}^{(i)} f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(X_{s,t}^{i,x})], \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

If the initial value is random with distributions  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the set of all probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we denote the diffusion process by  $X_t^{i,\nu}$ , which has distribution

$$P_t^{i,\nu} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_t^{i,x} \nu(dx), \quad i = 1, 2, t \in (0, T].$$

Let  $p_t^{i,\nu}$  be the density function of  $P_t^{i,\nu}$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

We estimate the relative entropy

$$\text{Ent}(P_t^{1,\nu_1} | P_t^{2,\nu_2}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \log \frac{dP_t^{1,\nu_1}}{dP_t^{2,\nu_2}} \right) dP_t^{1,\nu_1} = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \log \frac{p_t^{1,\nu_1}}{p_t^{2,\nu_2}} \right) (X_t^{1,\nu_1}) \right], \quad t \in (0, T].$$

Before moving on, let us recall a nice entropy inequality derived in [5]. For a  $d \times d$ -matrix valued function  $a = (a^{kl})_{1 \leq k, l \leq d}$ , the divergence is an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued function defined by

$$\text{div} a := \left( \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_l a^{kl} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq d},$$

where  $\partial_l := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}$  for  $x = (x^l)_{1 \leq l \leq d} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\nu(s, y) &:= (a_1(s, y) - a_2(s, y)) \nabla \log p_s^{1,\nu}(y) + \text{div}\{a_1(s, \cdot) - a_2(s, \cdot)\}(y) \\ &\quad + b_2(s, y) - b_1(s, y), \quad s \in (0, T], y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \nu \in \mathcal{P}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\nabla$  is the gradient operator for weakly differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . In particular,  $\|\nabla f\|_\infty$  is the Lipschitz constant of  $f$ .

By [5, Theorem 1.1], the entropy inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Ent}(P_t^{1,\nu} | P_t^{2,\nu}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mathbb{E} [ |a_2(s, X_s^{1,\nu})|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi^\nu(s, X_s^{1,\nu})|^2 ] ds, \quad t \in (0, T]$$

holds under the following assumption (H).

(H) For each  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $b_i$  is locally bounded, and there exists a constant  $K > 1$  such that

$$\|a_i(t, x)\| \vee \|a_i(t, x)^{-1}\| \vee \|\nabla a_i(t, \cdot)(x)\| \leq K, \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Moreover, at least one of the following conditions hold:

(1)  $\int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\|a_2(t, X_t^{1,\nu})\|}{1+|X_t^{1,\nu}|^2} + \frac{|b_2(t, X_t^{1,\nu})| + |\Phi^\nu(t, X_t^{1,\nu})|}{1+|X_t^{1,\nu}|} \right] dt < \infty;$

(2) there exist  $1 \leq V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $V(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , and a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$L_t^{a_2, b_2} V(x) \leq KV(x), \quad \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{|\langle \Phi^\nu(t, X_t^{1,\nu}), \nabla V(X_t^{1,\nu}) \rangle|}{V(X_t^{1,\nu})} \right] dt < \infty.$$

It is well known that (H) implies the existence and uniqueness of the diffusion processes  $(X_t^{i,\nu})_{i=1,2}$  for any  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$ , and the existence of the density functions  $(p_t^{i,\nu})_{i=1,2}$ , see for instance [4].

As observed in [5, Remark 1.4] that one may have

$$\int_0^t \mathbb{E} [|\nabla \log p_s^{1,\nu}|^2(X_s^{1,\nu})] ds < \infty,$$

provided  $\nu$  has finite information entropy, i.e.  $\rho(x) := \frac{d\nu}{dx}$  satisfies  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho |\log \rho|)(x) dx < \infty$ . In this case, (1.1) provides a non-trivial upper bound for  $\text{Ent}(P_t^{1,\nu} | P_t^{2,\nu})$ .

However, for a fixed initial value  $x$ , i.e.  $\nu = \delta_x$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[|\nabla \log p_s^{1,x}|^2(X_s^{1,x})]$  behaves as  $\frac{c}{s}$  for some constant  $c > 0$  and small  $s > 0$ , so that

$$\int_0^t \mathbb{E}[|\nabla \log p_s^{1,x}|^2(X_s^{1,x})] ds = \infty, \quad t > 0.$$

Consequently, the estimate (1.1) becomes invalid when

$$(1.2) \quad \inf_{(s,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \|a_1(s, x) - a_2(s, x)\| > 0.$$

To kill the singularity in (1.1) for small  $t > 0$ , we introduce a new technique by constructing an interpolation diffusion process which is coupled with each of the given two diffusion processes respectively, so we call it the bi-coupling argument.

## 1.1 Entropy estimates for diffusion processes

We make the following assumption  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_2)$  where  $b_i$  may have a Dini continuous term with respect to a Dini function in the class

$$\mathcal{D} := \left\{ \varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ is increasing and concave, } \varphi(0) = 0, \int_0^1 \frac{\varphi(s)}{s} ds < \infty \right\}.$$

For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $t > 0$  and a function  $f$  on  $[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{t,\infty} &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(t, x)|, \quad \|f\|_{r \rightarrow t, \infty} := \sup_{s \in [r, t]} \|f\|_{s,\infty}, \quad r \in [0, t], \\ \|f\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \varphi} &:= \sup_{t \in [0, T], x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left( |f(t, x)| + \frac{|f(t, x) - f(t, y)|}{\varphi(|x - y|)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(A<sub>1</sub>) For each  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $b_i = b_i^{(0)} + b_i^{(1)}$  is locally bounded, and there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$\|b_i^{(0)}\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \vee \|\nabla b_i^{(1)}\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \vee \|a_i\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \vee \|a_i^{-1}\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \vee \|\nabla a_i\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \leq K.$$

(A<sub>2</sub>) There exist  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\|b_i^{(0)}\|_{T, \varphi} \leq K$ .

For any  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ , let  $\mathcal{C}(\nu_1, \nu_2)$  be the set of all couplings of  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$ . Consider the quadratic Wasserstein distance

$$\mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2) := \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\nu_1, \nu_2)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x - y|^2 \pi(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In the following,  $c = c(K, T, d, \varphi)$  stands for a constant depending only on  $K, T, d$  and  $\varphi$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume (A<sub>1</sub>) and (A<sub>2</sub>). Then the following assertions hold for some constants  $c = c(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$  and  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(K, T, d, \varphi) \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ .*

(1) For any  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $t \in (0, T]$ ,

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ent}(P_t^{1, \nu_1} | P_t^{2, \nu_2}) &\leq \frac{c \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2}{t} + \frac{c}{t} \int_0^t \{ \|b_1 - b_2\|_{s, \infty}^2 + \|a_1 - a_2\|_{s, \infty}^2 \} \mathrm{d}s \\ &\quad + c \left[ \log(1 + t^{-1}) \|a_1 - a_2\|_{\varepsilon t \rightarrow t, \infty}^2 + \int_{\varepsilon t}^t \|\mathrm{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{s, \infty}^2 \mathrm{d}s \right]. \end{aligned}$$

(2) If there exists a constant  $C(K) > 0$  such that  $\|b_1\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \leq C(K)$ , then

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ent}(P_t^{1, \nu_1} | P_t^{2, \nu_2}) &\leq \frac{c}{t} \left( \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 + \int_0^t \{ \|b_1 - b_2\|_{s, \infty}^2 + \|a_1 - a_2\|_{s, \infty}^2 \} \mathrm{d}s \right) \\ &\quad + c \left( \|a_1 - a_2\|_{\varepsilon t \rightarrow t, \infty}^2 + \int_{\varepsilon t}^t \|\mathrm{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{s, \infty}^2 \mathrm{d}s \right), \quad \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}, t \in (0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

(3) If there exists a constant  $C(K) > 0$  such that

$$(1.5) \quad \|\nabla^i b_1\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} + \|\nabla^i a_1\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \leq C(K), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

then for any  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \in (0, T]$ ,

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ent}(P_t^{1, \nu_1} | P_t^{2, \nu_2}) &\leq \frac{c}{t} \left[ \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 + \int_0^t (\|b_1 - b_2\|_{s, \infty}^2 + \|a_1 - a_2\|_{t, \infty}^2) \mathrm{d}s \right] \\ &\quad + \int_{\varepsilon t}^t \|\mathrm{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{s, \infty}^2 \mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned}$$

## 1.2 Log-Harnack inequality for DDSDEs

Let  $\mathcal{P}_2 := \{\nu \in \mathcal{P} : \nu(|\cdot|^2) < \infty\}$ , which is a Polish space under  $\mathbb{W}_2$ . Consider the following distribution dependent SDE on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$(1.7) \quad dX_t = b(t, X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dt + \sigma(t, X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{X_t}$  is the distribution of  $X_t$ ,

$$b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \sigma : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$$

are measurable, and  $W_t$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion on a complete filtration probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$ . When this SDE is well-posed for distributions in  $\mathcal{P}_2$ , i.e. for any initial value  $X_0$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{X_0} \in \mathcal{P}_2$  (correspondingly, any initial distribution  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_2$ ), the SDE has a unique solution (correspondingly, a unique weak solution) with  $(\mathcal{L}_{X_t})_{t \in [0, T]} \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_2)$ , the space of all continuous maps from  $[0, T]$  to  $\mathcal{P}_2$  under the weak topology. In this case, let  $P_t^* \nu = \mathcal{L}_{X_t}$  for the solution with  $\mathcal{L}_{X_0} = \nu$ , and define

$$P_t f(\nu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f d(P_t^* \nu), \quad \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2, t \in [0, T], f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

We investigate the log-Harnack inequality

$$(1.8) \quad P_t \log f(\nu_1) \leq \log P_t f(\nu_2) + \frac{c}{t} \mathbb{W}_2(\mu, \nu)^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d), t \in (0, T], \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $c > 0$  is a constant, and  $\mathcal{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the set of all positive functions in  $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . By the definition of Ent and Young's inequality [2, Lemma 2.4], (1.8) is equivalent to the entropy-cost inequality

$$\text{Ent}(P_t^* \nu | P_t^* \mu) \leq \frac{c}{t} \mathbb{W}_2(\mu, \nu)^2, \quad t \in (0, T], \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

When the noise is distribution free, i.e.  $\sigma(t, x, \mu) = \sigma(t, x)$  does not depend on the distribution argument  $\mu$ , (1.8) has been established in [8, 10, 15, 22, 24] under different conditions, see also [6, 7, 23] for extensions to the infinite-dimensional and reflecting models.

However, if the noise coefficient is also distribution dependent, the coupling by change of measures applied in the above references does not apply. Recently, for  $\sigma(t, x, \mu) = \sigma(t, \mu)$  independent of the spatial variable  $x$ , (1.8) has been established in [11] by using a noise decomposition argument, see also [3] for the study on a special model.

As an application of Theorem 1.1, we are able to establish (1.8) for (1.7) with distribution dependent multiplicative noise. For any  $\mu \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_2)$ , let

$$a^\mu(t, x) := \frac{1}{2}(\sigma\sigma^*)(t, x, \mu_t), \quad b^\mu(t, x) := b(t, x, \mu_t), \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Correspondingly to  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_2)$ , we make the following assumption.

- (B) There exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that  $a^\mu$  and  $b^\mu = b^{\mu,0} + b^{\mu,1}$  satisfy the following conditions.

(1) For any  $\mu \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_2)$ ,  $b^\mu$  is locally bounded, and for any  $(t, x, \mu) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2$ ,

$$\|\nabla b^{\mu,1}\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} + \|a^\mu\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} + \|(a^\mu)^{-1}\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} + \|\nabla a^\mu\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \leq K.$$

(2) There exists  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$  such that

$$\|b^{\mu,0}\|_{T, \varphi} \leq K, \quad \mu \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_2).$$

(3) For any  $\nu, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2$ ,

$$\|b^\nu - b^\mu\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \vee \|a^\nu - a^\mu\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \vee \|\operatorname{div}(a^\nu - a^\mu)\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \leq K\mathbb{W}_2(\nu, \mu).$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume (B). Then (1.7) is well-posed for distributions in  $\mathcal{P}_2$ , and there exists a constant  $c = c(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$  such that (1.8) holds.*

In the next section, we introduce the bi-coupling argument by constructing an interpolation SDE for  $X_t^{i,x_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . This SDE has finite entropy with respect to  $X_t^{1,x_1}$ , and its density with respect to  $X_t^{2,x_2}$  has finite  $p$ -moment for some  $p > 1$ , so that by the entropy inequality in Lemma 2.1, we are able to prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in Sections 3 and 4 respectively.

## 2 Bi-coupling and moment estimate on density

Let  $\sigma_i = \sqrt{2a_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . According to [14, Theorem 2.1],  $(A_1)$  implies the well-posedness of the SDEs:

$$(2.1) \quad dX_t^i = b_i(t, X_t^i)dt + \sigma_i(t, X_t^i)dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad i = 1, 2.$$

For any  $s \in [0, T]$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , let  $X_{s,t}^{i,x}$  be the unique solution for  $t \in [s, T]$  with  $X_{s,s}^{i,x} = x$ . Then  $(X_{s,t}^{i,x})_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d}$  is the diffusion process generated by  $(L_t^{a_i, b_i})_{t \in [s, T]}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

For fixed  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , let  $X_t^{i,x_i}$  solve (2.1) for  $X_0^{i,x_i} = x_i$  and  $\sigma_i := \sqrt{2a_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . We have

$$P_t^{i,x_i} := \mathcal{L}_{X_t^{i,x_i}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad t \in (0, T].$$

To estimate  $\operatorname{Ent}(P_{t_1}^{1,x_1} | P_{t_1}^{2,x_2})$  for some  $t_1 \in (0, T]$ , we choose  $t_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}t_1]$  and construct a bridge diffusion process  $X_t^{(t_0)x_1}$  starting at  $x_1$  which is generated by  $L_t^{a_1, b_1}$  for  $t \in [0, t_0]$  and  $L_t^{a_2, b_2}$  for  $t \in (t_0, t_1]$ . More precisely, let

$$\begin{aligned} b^{(t_0)}(t, \cdot) &:= 1_{[0, t_0]}(t)b_1(t, \cdot) + 1_{(t_0, t_1]}(t)b_2(t, \cdot), \\ \sigma^{(t_0)}(t, \cdot) &:= 1_{[0, t_0]}(t)\sigma_1(t, \cdot) + 1_{(t_0, t_1]}(t)\sigma_2(t, \cdot), \quad t \in [0, t_1]. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the interpolation SDE

$$(2.2) \quad dX_t^{(t_0)x_1} = b^{(t_0)}(t, X_t^{(t_0)x_1})dt + \sigma^{(t_0)}(t, X_t^{(t_0)x_1})dW_t, \quad X_0^{x_1} = x_1, \quad t \in [0, t_1].$$

Let  $P_t^{(t_0)x_1} := \mathcal{L}_{X_t^{(t_0)x_1}}$ . We will deduce from (1.1) a finite upper bound for  $\operatorname{Ent}(P_{t_1}^{1,x_1} | P_{t_1}^{(t_0)x_1})$ , where the singularity at  $t = 0$  disappears since the distance of diffusion coefficients vanishes for  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . Moreover, we will estimate the moment for the density of  $P_{t_1}^{(t_0)x_1}$  with respect to  $P_{t_1}^{2,x_2}$ , so that by the following Lemma 2.1, we derive the desired upper bound on  $\operatorname{Ent}(P_{t_1}^{1,x_1} | P_{t_1}^{2,x_2})$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  and  $\mu$  be probability measures on a measurable space  $(E, \mathcal{B})$ . Then for any  $p > 1$ ,*

$$\text{Ent}(\mu_1|\mu_2) \leq p\text{Ent}(\mu_1|\mu) + (p-1) \log \int_E \left( \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_2} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} d\mu_2,$$

where the right hand side is set to be infinite if  $\frac{d\mu_1}{d\mu}$  or  $\frac{d\mu}{d\mu_2}$  does not exist.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove for the case that  $\frac{d\mu_1}{d\mu}$  and  $\frac{d\mu}{d\mu_2}$  exist such that the upper bound is finite. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ent}(\mu_1|\mu_2) - \text{Ent}(\mu_1|\mu) &= \int_E \left\{ \log \frac{d\mu_1}{d\mu_2} - \log \frac{d\mu_1}{d\mu} \right\} d\mu_1 \\ &= \int_E \left\{ \log \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_2} \right\} d\mu_1 = \frac{p-1}{p} \int_E \left( \frac{d\mu_1}{d\mu_2} \right) \log \left( \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_2} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} d\mu_2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with the Young inequality [2, Lemma 2.4], we obtain

$$\text{Ent}(\mu_1|\mu_2) - \text{Ent}(\mu_1|\mu) \leq \frac{p-1}{p} \text{Ent}(\mu_1|\mu_2) + \frac{p-1}{p} \log \int_E \left( \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_2} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} d\mu_2.$$

□

By Lemma 2.1, for any  $p > 1$  we have

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Ent}(P_{t_1}^{1,x_1}|P_{t_1}^{2,x_2}) \leq p\text{Ent}(P_{t_1}^{1,x_1}|P_{t_1}^{(t_0)x_1}) + (p-1) \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{dP_{t_1}^{(t_0)x_1}}{dP_{t_1}^{2,x_2}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dP_{t_1}^{2,x_2}.$$

Noting that  $a(t, \cdot) - a_1(t, \cdot) = 0$  for  $t \in [0, t_0]$ , we may apply (1.1) to derive a non-trivial upper bound on the first term in the right hand side of (2.3), see Proposition 3.1 for details. So, in the following, we only estimate the second term.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Assume  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_2)$ . Then there exist constants  $p = p(K, T, d) > 1$ ,  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(K, T, d) \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $c = c(K, T, d) > 0$ , such that for any  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $t_1 \in (0, T]$  and  $t_0 = \varepsilon t_1$ ,*

$$\log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{dP_{t_1}^{(t_0)x_1}}{dP_{t_1}^{2,x_2}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dP_{t_1}^{2,x_2} \leq \frac{c}{t_1} \left( |x_1 - x_2|^2 + \int_0^{t_1} \{ \|a_1 - a_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 \} dt \right).$$

*Proof.* (a) Let

$$P_t^{(t_0)} f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(X_t^{(t_0)x})], \quad P_t^{(2)} f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(X_t^{2,x})], \quad f \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By first taking  $f := n \wedge \left( \frac{dP_{t_1}^{(t_0)x_1}}{dP_{t_1}^{2,x_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$  then letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that the desired estimate follows from

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &|P_{t_1}^{(t_0)} f(x_1)|^p \leq (P_{t_1}^{(2)} |f|^p(x_2)) \\ &\times \exp \left[ \frac{c(p-1)}{t_1} \left( |x_1 - x_2|^2 + \int_0^{t_1} \{ \|a_1 - a_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 \} dt \right) \right], \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(P_{s,t}^{(2)})_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T}$  be the semigroup generated by  $L_t^{a_2, b_2}$ , i.e.

$$P_{s,t}^{(2)} f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(X_{s,t}^{2,x})], \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $(X_{s,t}^{2,x})_{t \in [s, T]}$  solves

$$dX_{s,t}^{2,x} = b_2(t, X_{s,t}^{2,x})dt + \sigma_2(t, X_{s,t}^{2,x})dW_t, \quad X_{s,s}^{2,x} = x, \quad t \in [s, T].$$

By the Markov property and the SDE (2.2), we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad P_{t_1}^{(t_0)} f(x_1) = \mathbb{E}[(P_{t_0, t_1}^{(2)} f)(X_{t_0}^{1, x_1})], \quad P_{t_1}^{(2)} f(x_2) = \mathbb{E}[(P_{t_0, t_1}^{(2)} f)(X_{t_0}^{2, x_2})].$$

By [14, Theorem 2.2] which applies to a more general setting where  $b_2^{(0)}$  only satisfies a local integrability condition, there exists constants  $p_1 = p_1(K, T, d) > 0$  and  $c_1 = c_1(K, T, d) > 0$  such that

$$(2.6) \quad |P_{t_0, t_1}^{(2)} f(x)|^{p_1} \leq (P_{t_0, t_1}^{(2)} |f|^{p_1})(y) e^{\frac{c_1 |x-y|^2}{t_1}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Combining this with (2.5) and Jensen's inequality, for  $p := 2p_1$  we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |P_{t_1}^{(t_0)} f(x_1)|^p &= |\mathbb{E}[P_{t_0, t_1}^{(2)} f(X_{t_0}^{1, x_1})]|^{2p_1} \leq \left( \mathbb{E}[|P_{t_0, t_1}^{(2)} f|^{p_1}(X_{t_0}^{1, x_1})] \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[ (P_{t_0, t_1}^{(2)} |f|^{p_1})(X_{t_0}^{2, x_2}) \exp \left( \frac{c_1 |X_{t_0}^{1, x_1} - X_{t_0}^{2, x_2}|^2}{t_1} \right) \right] \right\}^2 \\ &\leq (\mathbb{E}[P_{t_0, t_1}^{(2)} |f|^{2p_1}(X_{t_0}^{2, x_2})]) \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{2c_1 |X_{t_0}^{1, x_1} - X_{t_0}^{2, x_2}|^2}{t_1} \right) \right] \\ &= (P_{t_1}^{(2)} |f|^p)(x_2) \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{2c_1 |X_{t_0}^{1, x_1} - X_{t_0}^{2, x_2}|^2}{t_1} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, to prove (2.4), it remains to estimate the expectation term in the upper bound.

(b) Since the exponential term is symmetric in  $(X_{t_0}^{1, x_1}, X_{t_0}^{2, x_2})$ , without loss of generality, in  $(A_2)$  we may and do assume that  $\|b_1^{(0)}\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \varphi} \leq K$ . We shall use Zvonkin's transform to kill this non-Lipschitz term. By [27, Theorem 2.1], for fixed  $p, q \in (2, \infty)$  with  $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$ , there exist constants  $c_1 = c_1(K, T, d, p, q) > 0$  and  $\beta = \beta(p, q) \in (0, 1)$  such that for any  $\lambda > 0$ , the PDE

$$(2.8) \quad (\partial_t + L_t^{a_1, b_1} - \lambda)u_t = -b_1^{(0)}(t, \cdot), \quad t \in [0, T], u_T = 0$$

has a unique solution satisfying

$$(2.9) \quad \lambda^\beta (\|u\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} + \|\nabla u\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty}) + \|\partial_t u\|_{\tilde{L}_q^p} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_q^p} \leq c_1,$$

where

$$(2.10) \quad \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_q^p} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_0^T \|1_{B(z, 1)} f(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$



Let  $P_{s,t}^{a_1, b_1^{(1)}}$  be the Markov semigroup generated by  $L_t^{a_1, b_1^{(1)}}$ , and let  $p_{s,t}^{a_1, b_1^{(1)}}$  be the heat kernel with respect to the Lebesgue measure. By Duhamel's formula, we have

$$(2.11) \quad u_s = \int_s^T e^{-\lambda(t-s)} P_{s,t}^{a_1, b_1^{(1)}} \{ \nabla_{b_1^{(0)}} u_t + b_1^{(0)}(t, \cdot) \} dt, \quad s \in [0, T].$$

On the other hand, let  $\nabla_x^2$  be the Hessian operator in  $x$ . By [12, Theorem 1.2], under  $(A_1)$  we find a constant  $\delta = \delta(K, T, d) > 1$  such that

$$|\nabla_x^2 p_{s,t}^{a_1, b_1^{(1)}}(x, y)| \leq \frac{\lambda}{t-s} g_\delta(t-s, x, y), \quad 0 \leq s < t \leq T, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

holds for

$$g_\delta(r, x, y) := (\pi \delta r)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|\theta_{s,t}(x) - y|^2}{\delta}}, \quad r > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $\theta : [0, T] \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is a measurable map. So, letting

$$(2.12) \quad h_t(y) := \nabla_{b_1^{(0)}(t, y)} u_t(y) + b_1^{(0)}(t, y),$$

we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} |\nabla_x^2 u_s(x)| &\leq \int_s^T \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{t-s} |\nabla_x^2 P_{s,t}^{a_1, b_1^{(1)}}(h_t - h_t(z))(x)|_{z=\theta_{s,t}(x)} dt \\ &\leq \int_s^T \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{t-s} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x^2 p_{s,t}^{a_1, b_1^{(1)}}(x, y)| \cdot |h_t(y) - h_t(\theta_{s,t}(x))| dy. \end{aligned}$$

By  $(A_2)$ , (2.9) for  $\lambda \geq 1$ , and (2.12), we have

$$(2.14) \quad |h_t(y) - h_t(\theta_{s,t}(x))| \leq (1 + c_1) |b_1^{(0)}(t, y) - b_1^{(0)}(t, \theta_{s,t}(x))| + K |\nabla u_t(y) - \nabla u_t(\theta_{s,t}(x))|.$$

In the following, we estimate these two terms respectively.

Since  $\varphi$  is concave, we find a constant  $c_2 = c_2(K, T, d) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b_1^{(0)}(t, y) - b_1^{(0)}(t, \theta_{s,t}(x))| g_\delta(t-s, x, y) dy \\ &\leq K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(|y - \theta_{s,t}(x)|) g_\delta(t-s, x, y) dy \\ &\leq K \varphi \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y - \theta_{s,t}(x)| g_\delta(t-s, x, y) dy \right) \leq c_2 \varphi(\sqrt{t-s}), \quad 0 \leq s < t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \int_s^T \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{t-s} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b_1^{(0)}(t, y) - b_1^{(0)}(t, \theta_{s,t}(x))| g_\delta(t-s, x, y) dy \\ &\leq c_2 \int_0^T \frac{e^{-\lambda t} \varphi(t^{\frac{1}{2}})}{t-s} dt =: \varepsilon_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(\lambda, K, T, d, \varphi)$  goes to 0 as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

On the other hand, let  $\alpha = 1 - \frac{d}{p} \in (0, 1)$  and denote  $z = \theta_{s,t}(x)$ . By the Sobolev embedding theorem, there exists a constant  $c_0 > 0$  depending on  $p$  and  $d$  such that

$$|\nabla u_t(y) - \nabla u_t(z)| \leq c_0 |y - z|^\alpha \|1_{B(z,1)} \nabla^2 u_t\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \text{if } |y - z| < 1.$$

Since (2.9) implies  $\|\nabla u_t\| \leq c_1$  when  $\lambda \geq 1$ , we find a constant  $c_3 = c_3(K, T, d) > 0$  such that

$$|\nabla u_t(y) - \nabla u_t(\theta_{s,t}(x))| \leq c_3 |y - \theta_{s,t}(x)|^\alpha \|1_{B(z,1)} \nabla^2 u_t\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Noting that  $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$  and  $\alpha = 1 - \frac{d}{p}$  imply  $(1 - \alpha) \frac{q}{q-1} < 1$ , we find a constant  $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_2(\lambda, K, T, d, p, q) > 0$  which goes to 0 as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^T \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{t-s} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u_t(y) - \nabla u_t(\theta_{s,t}(x))| g_\delta(t-s, x, y) dy \\ & \leq c_3 \left( \int_s^T e^{-\lambda(t-s)} (t-s)^{-(1-\alpha) \frac{q}{q-1}} dt \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_q^p} \leq \varepsilon_2, \quad s \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.9), and combining this with (2.13), (2.14), and (2.15), we find large enough  $\lambda = \lambda(K, T, P, \varphi) > 0$  such that  $\|\nabla^2 u\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Combining this with (2.9), we may choose large enough  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$(2.16) \quad \|u\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \vee \|\nabla u\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \vee \|\nabla^2 u\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

In particular, letting

$$(2.17) \quad \tilde{X}_t^{i, x_i} := X_t^{i, x_i} + u_t(X_t^{i, x_i}), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

we have

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{1}{2} |X_t^{1, x_1} - X_t^{2, x_2}| \leq |\tilde{X}_t^{1, x_1} - \tilde{X}_t^{2, x_2}| \leq 2 |X_t^{1, x_1} - X_t^{2, x_2}|.$$

Hence, to bound the exponential moment in (2.7), it suffices to estimate the corresponding term for  $|\tilde{X}_{t_0}^{1, x_1} - \tilde{X}_{t_0}^{2, x_2}|^2$  replacing  $|X_{t_0}^{1, x_1} - X_{t_0}^{2, x_2}|^2$ .

(c) Let  $I_d$  be the  $d \times d$  identity matrix. By (2.8), (2.17) and Itô's formula, we obtain

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} d\tilde{X}_t^{1, x_1} &= \{\lambda u_t + b_1^{(1)}(t, \cdot)\}(X_t^{1, x_1}) dt + \{I_d + \nabla u_t(X_t^{1, x_1})\} \sigma_1(t, X_t^{1, x_1}) dW_t, \\ d\tilde{X}_t^{2, x_2} &= \{\lambda u_t + (L_t^{a_2, b_2} - L_t^{a_1, b_1})u_t + (b_2 - b_1^{(0)})(t, \cdot)\}(X_t^{2, x_2}) dt \\ &\quad + \{I_d + \nabla u_t(X_t^{2, x_2})\} \sigma_2(t, X_t^{2, x_2}) dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

By (A<sub>1</sub>), (2.16), (2.18), and Itô's formula, we find  $k_1 = k_1(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$  such that

$$(2.20) \quad d|\tilde{X}_t^{1, x_1} - \tilde{X}_t^{2, x_2}|^2 \leq k_1 (|\tilde{X}_t^{1, x_1} - \tilde{X}_t^{2, x_2}|^2 + \|a_1 - a_2\|_{t, \infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t, \infty}^2) dt + dM_t, \quad t \in [0, t_0],$$

where  $M_t$  is a martingale satisfying

$$(2.21) \quad d\langle M \rangle_t \leq k_1 |\tilde{X}_t^{1, x_1} - \tilde{X}_t^{2, x_2}|^2 dt.$$

For any  $n \geq 1$ , let

$$\tau_n := t_0 \wedge \inf \{t \geq 0 : |\tilde{X}_t^{1,x_1} - \tilde{X}_t^{2,x_2}| \geq n\}, \quad \gamma_n := \sup_{t \in [0, \tau_n]} |\tilde{X}_t^{1,x_1} - \tilde{X}_t^{2,x_2}|^2.$$

By (2.18) we have

$$|\tilde{X}_0^{1,x_1} - \tilde{X}_0^{2,x_2}|^2 \leq 4|x_1 - x_2|^2,$$

which together with (2.20), (2.21) and BDG's inequality implies that for some constant  $k_2 = k_2(K, T, d, \varphi) > 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\frac{8c_1 \gamma_n}{t_1}} \right] \leq e^{\frac{k_2}{t_1} [ |x_1 - x_2|^2 + \int_0^{t_1} (\|a_1 - a_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2) dt ]} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\frac{8c_1 k_2 t_0 \gamma_n}{t_1}} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\frac{8c_1 k_2 t_0 \gamma_n}{t_1^2}} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Taking  $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2k_2(1 \vee T)}$ , for any  $t_0 := \varepsilon t_1$  and  $t_1 \in (0, T]$  we have

$$(k_2 t_0) \vee \frac{k_2 t_0}{t_1} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\frac{8c_1 \gamma_n}{t_1}} \right] &\leq e^{\frac{k_2}{t_1} [ |x_1 - x_2|^2 + \int_0^{t_1} (\|a_1 - a_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2) dt ]} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\frac{8c_1 \gamma_n}{2t_1}} \right] \\ &\leq e^{\frac{k_2}{t_1} [ |x_1 - x_2|^2 + \int_0^{t_1} (\|a_1 - a_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2) dt ]} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\frac{8c_1 \gamma_n}{t_1}} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\gamma_n$  is bounded, this implies

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\frac{8c_1 \gamma_n}{t_1}} \right] \leq e^{\frac{2k_2}{t_1} [ |x_1 - x_2|^2 + \int_0^{t_1} (\|a_1 - a_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2) dt ]}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Therefore, by Fatou's lemma and (2.18),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\frac{2c_1 |X_{t_0}^{1,x_1} - X_{t_0}^{2,x_2}|^2}{t_1}} \right] &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\frac{2c_1 |X_{\tau_n}^{1,x_1} - X_{\tau_n}^{2,x_2}|^2}{t_1}} \right] \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\frac{8c_1 \gamma_n}{t_1}} \right] \leq e^{\frac{2k_2}{t_1} [ |x_1 - x_2|^2 + \int_0^{t_1} (\|a_1 - a_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2) dt ]}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (2.7) implies (2.4) for some constant  $c = c(K, T, d, \varphi)$ , and hence finishes the proof.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

By (2.3) and Proposition 2.2, to estimate  $\text{Ent}(P_{t_1}^{1,x_1} | P_{t_1}^{2,x_2})$ , we apply (1.1) to  $\text{Ent}(P_{t_1}^{1,x_1} | P_{t_1}^{(t_0)x_1})$ . To this end, we present the following result.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Assume  $(A_1)$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

(1) *There exists a constant  $c = c(K, T, d) > 0$  such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla p_s^{1,x}|^2}{p_s^{1,x}}(y) dy \leq c \log(1 + r^{-1}), \quad 0 < r \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(2) *If  $|b_1| \leq C(K)$  for some constant  $C(K) > 0$ , then for some constant  $c = c(K, T, d) > 0$ ,*

$$(3.2) \quad \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla p_s^{1,x}|^2}{p_s^{1,x}}(y) dy \leq c \log\left(1 + \frac{t}{r}\right), \quad 0 < r \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(3) *If (1.5) holds, then exists a constant  $c = c(K, T, d) > 0$  such that*

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla p_t^{1,x}|^2}{p_t^{1,x}}(y) dy \leq \frac{c}{t}, \quad t \in (0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

In the following two subsections, we prove this result and Theorem 1.1 respectively.

### 3.1 Proof of Proposition 3.1

We first present a lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume  $(A_1)$  with the condition on  $\|\nabla a_1\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty}$  replacing by the weaker one: there exists  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$\|a_1(t, x) - a_1(t, y)\| \leq K|x - y|^\beta, \quad t \in [0, T], x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

*Then the following assertions hold.*

(1) *There exists a constant  $c = c(K, T, d, \beta) > 0$  such that*

$$(3.4) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p_t^{1,x} \log p_t^{1,x})(y) dy \right| \leq c \log(1 + t^{-1}), \quad t \in (0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(2) *If  $|b_1| \leq C(K)$  for some constant  $C(K) > 0$ , then*

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p_r^{1,x} \log p_r^{1,x})(y) dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p_t^{1,x} \log p_t^{1,x})(y) dy \right| \leq c \log\left(1 + \frac{t}{r}\right), \quad 0 < r \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

*Proof.* (1) For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , let  $\theta_t(x)$  solve

$$(3.6) \quad \partial_t \theta_t(x) = b_1(t, \theta_t(x)), \quad \theta_0(x) = x, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

By [12, Theorem 1.2], there exists a constant  $c_0 = c_0(K, T, d) > 1$  such that

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{c_0 t^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{c_0 |\theta_t(x) - y|^2}{t}} \leq p_t^{1,x}(y) \leq \frac{c_0}{t^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|\theta_t(x) - y|^2}{c_0 t}}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in (0, T].$$

Consequently,

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p_t^{1,x} \log p_t^{1,x})(y) dy \leq \log[c_0 t^{-\frac{d}{2}}] \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t^{1,x}(y) dy = \log[c_0 t^{-\frac{d}{2}}], \quad t \in (0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

On the other hand, by Jensen's inequality and (3.7), we find a constant  $c_1 = c_1(K, T, d) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p_t^{1,x} \log p_t^{1,x})(y) dy = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t^{1,x}(y) \log\{p_t^{1,x}(y)\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy \\ & \leq 2 \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{p_t^{1,x}(y)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} dy \leq 2 \log \left[ c_0^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-\frac{d}{4}} (\pi c_0 t)^{\frac{d}{2}} \right] \leq \log[c_1 t^{\frac{d}{2}}]. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.8) implies (3.4).

(2) For any  $0 < r \leq t \leq T$ , we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} I(r, t) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho_r \log \rho_r)(y) dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho_t \log \rho_t)(y) dy = I_1(r, t) + I_2(r, t), \\ I_1(r, t) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \rho_r \log \frac{\rho_r}{\rho_t} \right)(y) dy, \quad I_2(r, t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho_r - \rho_t)(y) \log \rho_t(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

If  $b_1$  is bounded, then (3.6) implies

$$|\theta_t(x) - \theta_r(x)| \leq c_1(t - r)$$

for some constant  $c_1 > 0$ , so that by (3.7), we find a constant  $c_2 > 0$  such that

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1(r, t) &\leq \log \left[ c_0^2 \left( \frac{t}{r} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \right] + \frac{c_0^2}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\theta_t(x) - y|^2 r^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|\theta_r(x) - y|^2}{c_0 r}} dy \\ &\leq c_2 \log \left( 1 + \frac{t}{r} \right), \quad 0 < r \leq t \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (3.7), we find a constant  $c_3 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(r, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{(\rho_r - \rho_t)^+ \log \rho_t\}(y) dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{(\rho_r - \rho_t)^- \log \rho_t\}(y) dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ (\rho_r - \rho_t)^+(y) \log [c_0 t^{-\frac{d}{2}}] - (\rho_r - \rho_t)^-(y) \log [c_0^{-1} t^{-\frac{d}{2}}] \right\} dy \\ &\quad + \frac{c_0}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho_r - \rho_t)^-(y) |\theta_t(x) - y|^2 dy \\ &\leq \log[t^{-\frac{d}{2}}] \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho_r - \rho_t)(y) dy + (\log c_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\rho_r - \rho_t|(y) dy \\ &\quad + \frac{c_0}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\theta_t(x) - y|^2 \rho_t(y) dy \leq c_3. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.9) and (3.10), we derive (3.5). □

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be fixed, and simply denote  $\rho_t := p_t^{1,x}$ .

(a) We first consider the smooth case where

$$(3.11) \quad \|\nabla^i b_1\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} + \|\nabla^i a_1\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} < \infty, \quad i \geq 1.$$

By [12, Theorem 1.2], there exist a constant  $\lambda > 1$  and a measurable map  $\theta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$(3.12) \quad \lambda^{-1} t^{-\frac{d+i}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda|\theta_t - y|^2}{t}} \leq |\nabla^i \rho_t|(y) \leq \lambda t^{-\frac{d+i}{2}} e^{-\frac{|\theta_t - y|^2}{\lambda t}}, \quad t \in (0, T], y \in \mathbb{R}^d, i = 0, 1, 2.$$

Moreover, by the Kolmogorov forward equation and integration by parts formula, we have

$$(3.13) \quad \partial_t \rho_t = \operatorname{div} \left[ a_1(t, \cdot) \nabla \rho_t + \rho_t \{ \operatorname{div} a_1(t, \cdot) - b_1(t, \cdot) \} \right], \quad t \in (0, T].$$

By (3.12), (3.13) and integration by parts formula, we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{ \rho_t \log \rho_t - \rho_r \log \rho_r \} (y) dy &= \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{ (1 + \log \rho_s) \partial_s \rho_s \} (y) dy \\ &= - \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle a_1(s, \cdot) \nabla \log \rho_s + \operatorname{div} a_1(s, \cdot) - b_1(s, \cdot), \nabla \rho_s \right\rangle (y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a_1 \geq K^{-1} I_d$ , this implies

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{ \rho_t \log \rho_t - \rho_r \log \rho_r \} (y) dy + \frac{1}{K} \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho_s|^2}{\rho_s} (y) dy \\ &\leq - \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle \operatorname{div} a_1(s, \cdot) - b_1(s, \cdot), \nabla \rho_s \right\rangle (y) dy \\ &= \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle [b_1^{(0)} - \operatorname{div} a_1](s, \cdot), \nabla \rho_s \right\rangle (y) dy + \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle b_1^{(1)}(s, \cdot), \nabla \rho_s \right\rangle (y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.11), (3.12) and Lemma 3.2, we derive

$$(3.16) \quad \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho_s|^2}{\rho_s} (y) dy < \infty.$$

Noting that  $(A_1)$  implies  $|b_1^{(0)} - \operatorname{div} a_1| \leq 2K$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle [b_1^{(0)} - \operatorname{div} a_1](s, \cdot), \nabla \rho_s \right\rangle (y) dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2K} \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho_s|^2}{\rho_s} (y) dy + 2K^3 \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_s (y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2K} \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho_s|^2}{\rho_s} (y) dy + 2K^3 (t - r). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the integration by parts formula, (3.12) and  $\|\nabla b_1^{(1)}\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \leq K$ , we obtain

$$\int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle b_1^{(1)}(s, \cdot), \nabla \rho_s \right\rangle (y) dy = - \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div} \{ b_1^{(1)}(s, y) \} \rho_s (y) dy \leq K(t - r).$$

Combining these with (3.15) and (3.16), we derive

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho_s|^2}{\rho_s}(y) dy \\ & \leq 2K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{\rho_r \log \rho_r - \rho_t \log \rho_t\}(y) dy + 2K^2(2K^2 + 1)(t - r). \end{aligned}$$

(b) In general, let  $0 \leq \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(x) dx = 1$ , and define the smooth mollifier  $\mathcal{S}_n$ :

$$\mathcal{S}_n f(x) := n^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - y) \psi(ny) dy, \quad n \geq 1, f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let

$$b_1^{(n)}(t, \cdot) := \mathcal{S}_n b_1(t, \cdot), \quad a_1^{(n)}(t, \cdot) := \mathcal{S}_n a_1(t, \cdot), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then  $(a_1^{(n)}, b_1^{(n)})$  satisfies (3.11) and  $(A_1)$  for the same constant  $K$ . So, by step (a) and Lemma 3.2, the density function  $\rho_t^{(n)}$  for the diffusion process generated by  $L_t^{a_1^{(n)}, b_1^{(n)}}$  satisfies

$$(3.18) \quad \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho_s^{(n)}|^2}{\rho_s^{(n)}}(y) dy \leq c \log(1 + r^{-1}), \quad 0 < r \leq t \leq T, n \geq 1$$

for some constant  $c = c(K, T, d) > 0$ . Equivalently, for any

$$f \in C_0^{0,2}([r, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d) := \{f \in C_b([r, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d) : \nabla f, \nabla^2 f \in C_0([r, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d)\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{[r, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \rho_s^{(n)}(y) \Delta f_s(y) ds dy \right|^2 = \left| \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{\langle \nabla \log \rho_s^{(n)}, \nabla f_s \rangle \rho_s^{(n)}\}(y) dy \right|^2 \\ & \leq c \log(1 + r^{-1}) \int_{[r, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f_s|^2(y) \rho_s^{(n)}(y) ds dy, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By [16, Theorem 11.1.4],

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_s^{(n)}(y) g(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_s(y) g(y) dy, \quad g \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad s \in [r, t].$$

So, the above estimate implies

$$\left| \int_{[r, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \rho_s(y) \Delta f_s(y) ds dy \right|^2 \leq c \log(1 + r^{-1}) \int_{[r, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f_s|^2(y) \rho_s(y) ds dy$$

for any  $f \in C_0^{0,2}([r, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Therefore, (3.1) holds.

(c) If  $|b_1| \leq C(K)$  for some constant  $C(K) > 0$ , then (3.5) holds, so that instead of (3.18) we have

$$\int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho_s^{(n)}|^2}{\rho_s^{(n)}}(y) dy \leq c \log\left(1 + \frac{t}{r}\right), \quad 0 < r \leq t \leq T, n \geq 1.$$

Then the above argument implies (3.2).

(d) If (1.5) holds, then by Malliavin's calculus, see for instance [13] or [25, Remark 2.1], for any  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $|v| = 1$ , there exists a martingale  $M_t^{1,x,v}$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\nabla_v f(X_t^{1,x})] = \mathbb{E}[f(X_t^{1,x})M_t^{1,x,v}], \quad f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d), t \in (0, T]$$

and  $\mathbb{E}[|M_t^{1,x,v}|^2] \leq \frac{c}{t}$  holds for some constant  $c = c(T, K, d) > 0$  and all  $t \in (0, T]$ . This implies

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{ \langle v, \nabla_x \log p_t^{1,x} \rangle f \}(y) p_t^{1,x}(y) dy \right|^2 \leq \frac{c}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)^2 p_t^{1,x}(y) dy, \quad f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d), |v| = 1.$$

Equivalently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla p_t^{1,x}|^2}{p_t^{1,x}}(y) dy \leq \frac{cd}{t}, \quad t \in (0, T],$$

so that (3.3) holds.  $\square$

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

(1) Let  $p > 1$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  be in Proposition 2.2. By Proposition (3.1) and  $(A_1)$ ,  $(H)$  holds for  $\nu = \delta_{x_1}$  and  $(a^{(t_0)}, b^{(t_0)})$  replacing  $(a_2, b_2)$ . By (1.1) with  $\nu = \delta_{x_1}$  and (3.1), we find a constant  $c_1 = c_1(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ent}(P_{t_1}^{1,x_1} | P_{t_1}^{(t_0)x_1}) \\ (3.19) \quad & \leq c_1 \left[ \log(1 + t_1^{-1}) \|a_1 - a_2\|_{\varepsilon t_1 \rightarrow t_1, \infty}^2 + \int_{\varepsilon t_1}^{t_1} (\|\text{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{t, \infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_t^2) dt \right], \\ & t_1 \in (0, T], x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.3) and Proposition 2.2, we find a constant  $c = c(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$  such that for any  $t_1 \in (0, T]$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ent}(P_{t_1}^{1,x_1} | P_{t_1}^{2,x_2}) & \leq I_{t_1}(x_1, x_2) := \frac{c}{t_1} \left( |x_1 - x_2|^2 + \int_0^{t_1} \{ \|b_1 - b_2\|_{s, \infty}^2 + \|a_1 - a_2\|_{s, \infty}^2 \} ds \right) \\ & + c \left( \log(1 + t_1^{-1}) \|a_1 - a_2\|_{\varepsilon t_1 \rightarrow t_1, \infty}^2 + \int_{\varepsilon t_1}^{t_1} \|\text{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{s, \infty}^2 ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, for any  $t \in (0, T]$  and  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$(3.20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{ \log f(y) \} P_t^{1,x_1}(dy) \leq \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) P_t^{2,x_2}(dy) + I_t(x_1, x_2), \quad x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Let  $\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\nu_1, \nu_2)$  such that

$$\mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x_1 - x_2|^2 \pi(dx_1, dx_2).$$



we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Ent}(P_t^{1,\nu_1}|P_t^{2,\nu_2}) &= \sup_{0 < f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{ \log f(y) \} P_t^{1,\nu_1}(dy) - \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) P_t^{2,\nu_2}(dy) \right\} \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} I_t(x_1, x_2) \pi(dx_1, dx_2) \\
&= \frac{c}{t} \left( \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 + \int_0^t \{ \|b_1 - b_2\|_{s,\infty}^2 + \|a_1 - a_2\|_{s,\infty}^2 \} ds \right) \\
&\quad + c \left( \log(1 + t^{-1}) \|a_1 - a_2\|_{\varepsilon t \rightarrow t, \infty}^2 + \int_{\varepsilon t}^t \|\text{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{s,\infty}^2 ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (1.3) holds.

(2) Let  $|b_1| \leq C(K)$  for some constant  $C(K) > 0$ . By (1.1), (3.2) and noting that  $\frac{t_1}{t_0} = \varepsilon^{-1}$  for  $t_0 = \varepsilon t_1$ , we find a constant  $c_1 = c_1(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$  such that instead of (3.19),

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Ent}(P_{t_1}^{1,x_1}|P_{t_1}^{(t_0)x_1}) &\leq c_1 \|a_1 - a_2\|_{\varepsilon t_1 \rightarrow t_1, \infty}^2 + c_1 \int_{\varepsilon t_1}^{t_1} [\|\text{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2] dt, \\
t_1 &\in (0, T], \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\end{aligned}$$

By repeating the above argument with this estimate replacing (3.19), we derive (1.4) for some constant  $c = c(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$ .

(3) Let (1.5) hold. By (1.1), (3.3) and  $t_0 = \varepsilon t_1$ , we find a constant  $c_1 = c_1(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$  such that for any  $t_1 \in (0, T]$  and  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Ent}(P_{t_1}^{1,x_1}|P_{t_1}^{(t_0)x_1}) &\leq c_1 \int_{\varepsilon t_1}^{t_1} \frac{1}{t} \|a_1 - a_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 dt + c_1 \int_{\varepsilon t_1}^{t_1} [\|\text{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2] dt, \\
&\leq \frac{c_1}{\varepsilon t_1} \int_{\varepsilon t_1}^{t_1} \|a_1 - a_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 dt + c_1 \int_{\varepsilon t_1}^{t_1} [\|\text{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2] dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Then as explained above that using this estimate to replace (3.19), we derive (1.6) for some constant  $c = c(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$ .

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

By (B), for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2$ ,  $b^\mu(t, x) := b(t, x, \mu)$  has decomposition  $b^{0,\mu} + b^{1,\mu}$  such that  $b^{1,\mu}$  is locally bounded and

$$|b^{0,\mu}| \vee \|\nabla b^{1,\mu}\| \leq K.$$

Let  $b^{(1)} := b^{1,\delta_0}$ , where  $\delta_0$  is the Dirac measure at 0, and let  $b^{(0,\mu)} := b^\mu - b^{(1)}$ . Then (B) implies

$$|\nabla b^{(1)}| \leq K, \quad |b^{(0,\mu)}| \leq K + K\mu(|\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This together with the condition on  $\sigma$  included in (B) implies assumptions  $(A_0)$  and  $(A_1)$  in [9] for  $k = 2$ . Therefore, by [9, Theorem 1.1], (1.7) is well-posed for distributions in  $\mathcal{P}_2$ , and there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[|X_t|^2] \leq c(1 + \mathbb{E}[|X_0|^2]) < \infty$$

holds for any solution with  $\mathcal{L}_{X_0} \in \mathcal{P}_2$ . So, it remains to verify (1.8).

For  $\nu_i \in \mathcal{P}_2, i = 1, 2$ , and  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , let

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} a_i(t, x) &:= a(t, x, P_t^* \nu_i) = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma \sigma^*)(t, x, P_t^* \nu_i), \\ b_i(t, x) &:= b(t, x, P_t^* \nu_i), \quad b_i^{(k)}(t, x) := b_i^{k, P_t^* \nu_i}(t, x), \quad k = 0, 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.1, under (B), there exists a constant  $c_1 = c_1(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$  such that for any  $t \in (0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ent}(P_t^* \nu_1 | P_t^* \nu_2) &\leq \frac{c_1}{t} \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 \\ &+ c_1 \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t, \infty}^2 + c_1 \log(1 + t^{-1}) \|a_1 - a_2\|_{t, \infty}^2 + c_1 t \|\text{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{t, \infty}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{t} \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 + c_1 K^2 \{1 + \log(1 + t^{-1}) + t\} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \mathbb{W}_2(P_s^* \nu_1, P_s^* \nu_2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a constant  $c_2 = c_2(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$  such that

$$\text{Ent}(P_t^* \nu_1 | P_t^* \nu_2) \leq \frac{c_1}{t} \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 + \frac{c_2}{t} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \mathbb{W}_2(P_s^* \nu_1, P_s^* \nu_2)^2, \quad t \in (0, T].$$

Combining this with the following result, we derive (1.8) for some constant  $c > 0$ , and hence finish the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Assume (B). Then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that*

$$\mathbb{W}_2(P_t^* \nu_1, P_t^* \nu_2) \leq c \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2), \quad t \in [0, T], \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  be in (4.2), and let  $u_t$  be in (2.8) for large enough  $\lambda > 0$  such that (2.16) holds. Let  $X_0^1, X_0^2$  be  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable such that

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{L}_{X_0^i} = \nu_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \mathbb{E}[|X_0^1 - X_0^2|^2] = \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2.$$

Let  $X_t^i$  solve (2.1) with initial value  $X_0^i$ . We have  $\mathcal{L}_{X_t^i} = P_t^* \nu_i$ , so that

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbb{W}_2(P_t^* \nu_1, P_t^* \nu_2)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[|X_t^1 - X_t^2|^2], \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Let  $\tilde{X}_t^i = X_t^i + u_t(X_t^i), i = 1, 2$ . Then

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{1}{2} |X_t^1 - X_t^2| \leq |\tilde{X}_t^1 - \tilde{X}_t^2| \leq 2 |X_t^1 - X_t^2|, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

and similarly to (2.19), by (2.8), (1.7) for  $X_t^i$  and Itô's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{X}_t^1 &= \{\lambda u_t + b_1^{(1)}(t, \cdot)\}(X_t^1) dt + \{I_d + \nabla u_t(X_t^1)\} \sigma_1(t, X_t^1) dW_t, \\ d\tilde{X}_t^2 &= \{\lambda u_t + (L_t^{a_2, b_2} - L_t^{a_1, b_1}) u_t + (b_2 - b_1^{(0)})(t, \cdot)\}(X_t^2) dt \\ &\quad + \{I_d + \nabla u_t(X_t^2)\} \sigma_2(t, X_t^2) dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (B)(1), (2.16), (4.3) and Itô's formula, we find  $k_1 = k_1(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$  such that

$$d|\tilde{X}_t^1 - \tilde{X}_t^2|^2 \leq k_1(|\tilde{X}_t^1 - \tilde{X}_t^2|^2 + \|a_1 - a_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2)dt + dM_t, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Noting that (B)(3) and (4.2) imply

$$\|a_1 - a_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 \leq 2K^2\xi_t, \quad \xi_t := \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \mathbb{W}_2(P_s^*\nu_1, P_s^*\nu_2)^2,$$

and due to (2.16), (4.3) and (4.4)

$$\mathbb{E}[|\tilde{X}_0^1 - \tilde{X}_0^2|^2] \leq 4\mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2, \quad \mathbb{E}[|\tilde{X}_t^1 - \tilde{X}_t^2|^2] \geq \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}[|X_t^1 - X_t^2|^2] \geq \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{W}_2(P_t^*\nu_1, P_t^*\nu_2)^2,$$

we find a constant  $k_2 = k_2(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$  such that

$$\xi_t \leq k_2\mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 + k_2 \int_0^t \xi_s ds, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Since (4.1) implies  $\xi_t < \infty$ , by Gronwall's inequality, this implies

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{W}_2(P_t^*\nu_1, P_t^*\nu_2)^2 = \xi_T \leq k_2 e^{k_2 T} \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2.$$

So, the proof is finished. □

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to thank Professor Xing Huang for useful conversations and corrections. The work is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation)-Project-ID317210226-SFB 1283.

## References

- [1] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, G. Savaré, *Bakry-Emery curvature-dimension condition and Riemannian Ricci curvature bounds*, Ann. Probab. 43(2015), 339–401.
- [2] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, *Gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities on non-compact Riemannian manifolds*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 119(2009), 3653–3670.
- [3] Y. Bai, X. Huang, *Log-Harnack inequality and exponential ergodicity for distribution dependent CKLS and Vasicek Model*, arXiv:2108.02623v5.
- [4] V. I. Bogachev, N. V. Krylov, M. Röckner, S. V. Shaposhnikov, *Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations*, American Math. Soc. 2015.
- [5] V. I. Bogachev, M. Röckner, S. V. Shaposhnikov, *Distances between transition probabilities of diffusions and applications to nonlinear Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations*, J. Funct. Anal. 271(2016), 1262-1300.

- [6] X. Huang, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Non-linear Fokker–Planck equations for probability measures on path space and path-distribution dependent SDEs*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 39(2019), 3017-3035.
- [7] X. Huang, Y. Song, *Well-posedness and regularity for distribution dependent SPDEs with singular drifts*, Nonlinear Anal. 203(2021), 112167.
- [8] X. Huang, F.-Y. Wang, *Distribution dependent SDEs with singular coefficients*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 129(2019), 4747-4770.
- [9] X. Huang, F.-Y. Wang, *Singular McKean-Vlasov (reflecting) SDEs with distribution dependent noise*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 514(2022), 126301.
- [10] X. Huang, F.-Y. Wang, *Log-Harnack inequality and Bismut formula for singular McKean-Vlasov SDEs*, arXiv:2207.11536.
- [11] X. Huang, F.-Y. Wang, *Regularities and exponential ergodicity in entropy for SDEs driven by distribution dependent noise*, arXiv:2209.14619.
- [12] S. Menozzi, A. Pesce, X. Zhang, *Density and gradient estimates for non degenerate Brownian SDEs with unbounded measurable drift*, J. Diff. Equat. 272(2021), 330–369.
- [13] D. Nualart, *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics*, Springer, 2006.
- [14] P. Ren, *Singular McKean-Vlasov SDEs: well-posedness, regularities and Wang’s Harnack inequality*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 156(2023), 291–311.
- [15] P. Ren, F.-Y. Wang, *Exponential convergence in entropy and Wasserstein for McKean-Vlasov SDEs*, Nonlinear Anal. 206(2021), 112259.
- [16] D. W. Stroock, S.R.S. Varadhan, *Multidimensional Diffusion Processes*, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [17] M. Talagrand, *Transportation cost for Gaussian and other product measures*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 6(1996), 587–600.
- [18] F.-Y. Wang, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds*, Probab. Theo. Relat. Fields 109(1997),417-424.
- [19] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequalities on manifolds with boundary and applications*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 94(2010), 304-321.
- [20] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack Inequality for Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [21] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality for SDE with multiplicative noise and extension to Neumann semigroup on non-convex manifolds*, Ann. Probab. 39(2011), 1449–1467.
- [22] F.-Y. Wang, *Distribution-dependent SDEs for Landau type equations*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 128(2018), 595-621.

- [23] F.-Y. Wang, *Distribution dependent reflecting stochastic differential equations*, to appear in Science in China Math. arXiv:2106.12737.
- [24] F.-Y. Wang, *Derivative formula for singular McKean-Vlasov SDEs*, arXiv:2109.02030.
- [25] F.-Y. Wang, X. Zhang, *Derivative formula and applications for degenerate diffusion semi-groups*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 99(2013), 726–740.
- [26] P. Xia, L. Xie, X. Zhang, G. Zhao,  *$L^q(L^p)$ -theory of stochastic differential equations*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 130(2020), 5188–5211.
- [27] S.-Q. Zhang, C. Yuan, *A study on Zvonkin’s transformation for stochastic differential equations with singular drift and related applications*, J. Diff. Equat. 297(2021), 277–319.