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#### Abstract

In this talk, we follow Ringel [7] to construct the indecomposable representations of quivers of type $E$ using the magic square of Freudenthal and Tits. Moreover, we construct infinitely many pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable representations for non-Dynkin quivers using the four-subspace quiver.
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## 1 The indecomposable representations of a type $E$ Dynkin quiver

### 1.1 The Freudenthal-Tits magic square

In the last talk we saw an elementary reasoning that every Dynkin quiver of type $A$ or $D$ is representationfinite. The reasoning came with a construction of the indecomposable representations using hammocks and conical representations. In the first part of this talk we wish to construct the indecomposable representations of every Dynkin quiver of type $E$. The construction features the Freudenthal-Tits magic square.

Freudenthal [2, 3, 4, 5] and Tits [8] independently gave a construction of the exceptional Lie algebras from real division algebras. We can visualize the construction by a $4 \times 4$ square matrix. In this section we would like to present a simplified construction of the exceptional Lie algebras due to Vinberg [9]. First of all, Frobenius's theorem asserts that up to isomorphism there are only three associative finite-dimensional real division algebras. The algebras are $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{H}$; their dimensions are 1,2 and 4 . Using toplogical methods Kervaire [6] and Bott-Milnor [1] independently proved that up to isomorphism there are only four (not necessarily associative) finite-dimensional real division algebras. The algebras are $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{O}$; their dimensions are $1,2,4$ and 8.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in\{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}\}$. We let $\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the set of derivations, i. e. the set of $\mathbb{R}$-linear maps $D: \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ such that the Leibniz rule $D(x y)=D(x) y+x D(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $D(x)=0$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For example, $\operatorname{Der}(\mathbb{R})=\operatorname{Der}(\mathbb{C})=0$. The vector space $\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{a})$ becomes a Lie algebra via the commutator. One can show that $\operatorname{Der}(\mathbb{H}) \cong \mathfrak{s o}(3)$ and $\operatorname{Der}(\mathbb{O}) \cong \mathfrak{g}_{2}$. Furthermore, we denote by $\mathfrak{s a} \mathfrak{a}^{\otimes} \mathfrak{b}(3)$ the vector space of traceless skew-Hermitian matrices with entries in $\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{b}$. Vinberg [9] endows the vector space

$$
V(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})=\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{a}) \oplus \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{b}) \oplus \mathfrak{s a}_{\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b}}(3)
$$

with a Lie bracket such that $\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{a})$ are commuting Lie subalgebras and for every derivation $D \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{a}) \cup \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{b})$ and every matrix $x \in \mathfrak{s a}_{\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b}}(3)$ the Lie bracket $[D, x]$ is given by applying $D$ to the entries of $x$.

Theorem 1.1 (Vinberg). The Vinberg Lie algebra $V(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})$ is isomorphic to the corresponding entry in the Freudenthal-Tits magic square in Figure 1 .

|  | $\mathbb{R}$ | $\mathbb{C}$ | $\mathbb{H}$ | $\mathbb{O}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{R}$ | $\mathfrak{s o}(3)$ | $\mathfrak{s u}(3)$ | $\mathfrak{s p}(3)$ | $\mathfrak{f}_{4}$ |
| $\mathbb{C}$ | $\mathfrak{s u}(3)$ | $\mathfrak{s u}(3) \times \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ | $\mathfrak{s u}(6)$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{6}$ |
| $\mathbb{H}$ | $\mathfrak{s p}(3)$ | $\mathfrak{s u}(6)$ | $\mathfrak{s o}(12)$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{7}$ |
| $\mathbb{O}$ | $\mathfrak{f}_{4}$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{6}$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{7}$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{8}$ |


|  | $\mathbb{R}$ | $\mathbb{C}$ | $\mathbb{H}$ | $\mathbb{O}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{R}$ | $A_{1}$ | $A_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | $F_{4}$ |
| $\mathbb{C}$ | $A_{2}$ | $A_{2} \times A_{2}$ | $A_{5}$ | $E_{6}$ |
| $\mathbb{H}$ | $C_{3}$ | $A_{5}$ | $D_{6}$ | $E_{7}$ |
| $\mathbb{O}$ | $F_{4}$ | $E_{6}$ | $E_{7}$ | $E_{8}$ |

Figure 1: The Freudenthal-Tits magic square

### 1.2 An inductive construction of the indecomposable representations

Let $\Delta$ be a Dynkin diagram of type $E_{n}$ with $n \in\{6,7,8\}$. We define diagrams $\Delta^{\prime}$ and $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ according to the following submatrix of the Freudenthal-Tits magic square:

| $n$ | $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ | $\Delta^{\prime}$ | $\Delta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $A_{2} \times A_{2}$ | $A_{5}$ | $E_{6}$ |
| 7 | $A_{5}$ | $D_{6}$ | $E_{7}$ |
| 8 | $E_{6}$ | $E_{7}$ | $E_{8}$ |

Figure 2: Ringel's subdiagrams

Proposition 1.2. (a) There is a unique vertex $y$ of $\Delta$ such that $\Delta^{\prime}$ is obtained from $\Delta$ by removing $y$ and all edges incident with $y$. The vertex $y$ is called the exceptional vertex of $\Delta$.
(b) The exceptional vertex $y$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex $z$ in $\Delta$. The diagram $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ is obtained from $\Delta^{\prime}$ by removing the vertex $z$ together with the edges incident with $z$.

Let $k$ be a field and let $Q$ be a quiver with underlying undirected diagram $\Delta$. We denote by $\operatorname{rep}_{k}(Q)$ the category of finite-dimensional representation of $Q$ over $k$ and by $\operatorname{ind}_{k}(Q)$ the set of indecomposable representations of $Q$ over $k$. We consider the full subquivers $Q^{\prime}$ and $Q^{\prime \prime}$ of $Q$ with underlying undirected diagrams $\Delta^{\prime}$ and $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$. When we are interested in representation-finiteness we may assume that all arrows are oriented towards the central vertex without loss of generality by a proposition in the last talk. Especially, the exceptional vertex $y$ is a source in $Q$.

Given a representation $X$ of $Q$. Recall that the objects in the hammock category $\mathcal{H}(X, Q)$ are the representations of $Q$; for two representations $M, N \in \operatorname{rep}_{k}(Q)$ the set of morphisms is given by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(X, Q)}(M, N)=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{k Q}(M, N) / \simeq$ where we define $\varphi \simeq \varphi^{\prime}$ if $\operatorname{Hom}_{k Q}\left(X, \varphi-\varphi^{\prime}\right)=0$. Note that $M \in \mathcal{H}(X, Q)$ is zero if and only if $\operatorname{Hom}_{k Q}(X, M)=0$.

Theorem 1.3 (Ringel). Suppose that $M \in \operatorname{rep}_{k}(Q)$ is indecomposable. Then exactly one of the following six statements is true:
(1) The support of $M$ is contained in $Q^{\prime \prime}$. In this case we may view $M$ as an element in $\operatorname{ind}_{k}\left(Q^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
(2) The support of $M$ is contained in $Q^{\prime}$, but not in $Q^{\prime \prime}$. In this case $0 \neq \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(M_{z}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{k Q}(P(z), M)$. Hence we may view $M$ as an element in the hammock category $\mathcal{H}\left(P(z), Q^{\prime}\right)$.
(3) We have $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(M_{y}\right)=1$ and the restriction $N=\left.M\right|_{Q^{\prime}}$ of $M$ to $Q^{\prime}$ is an indecomposable object in the hammock category $\mathcal{H}\left(P(z), Q^{\prime}\right)$.
(4) We have $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(M_{y}\right)=1$ and the restriction $N=\left.M\right|_{Q^{\prime}}$ of $M$ to $Q^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum $N=N_{1} \oplus N_{2}$ of two indecomposables object $N_{1}, N_{2}$ in the hammock category $\mathcal{H}\left(P(z), Q^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{k Q^{\prime}}\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)=0=\operatorname{Hom}_{k Q^{\prime}}\left(N_{2}, N_{1}\right)$.


Figure 3: The hammock category $\mathcal{H}\left(P(z), Q^{\prime}\right)$ for $Q$ of type $E_{6}$
(5) We have $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(M_{y}\right) \in\{1,2\}$ and the restriction $N=\left.M\right|_{Q^{\prime}}$ of $M$ to $Q^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum $N=$ $N_{1} \oplus N_{2} \oplus N_{3}$ of three indecomposables object $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}$ in the hammock category $\mathcal{H}\left(P(z), Q^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{k Q^{\prime}}\left(N_{i}, N_{j}\right)=0$ for all $i \neq j$. In the case the triple $\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}\right)$ is called a special antichain triple. Furthermore, up to isomorphism and reordering there is only one special antichain triple in the hammock category $\mathcal{H}\left(P(z), Q^{\prime}\right)$. The special antichain triple obeys the relation $\operatorname{Ext}_{k Q^{\prime}}\left(N_{i}, N_{j}\right)=0$ for all $i \neq j$.
(6) The representation $M$ is isomorphic to the simple representation $S(y)$.

In particular, $\operatorname{rep}_{k}(Q)$ is representation finite. Figures 3 and 5 illustrate Ringel's theorem in the case $\Delta=E_{6}$ and $\Delta^{\prime}=A_{5}$. The hammock category $\mathcal{H}\left(P(z), Q^{\prime}\right)$ contains 9 indecomposable objects; a red edge indicates when there are no non-zero morphisms between the indecomposable objects. In Figure 5 indecomposable objects are colored red (case 1), green (case 2), blue (case 3), yellow (case 4), grey (case 5) and orange (case 6).

## 2 Representation-finite quivers are Dynkin

### 2.1 Cross ratios

Let $k$ be an infinite field and let $Q$ be the four subspace quiver of type $\tilde{D}_{4}$ as defined in Figure 4 . We consider the dimension vector $\mathbf{d}=(1,1,1,1,2) \in \mathbb{N}^{5}$. It is easy to see that if a representation $M \in$ $\operatorname{rep}_{k}(Q, \mathbf{d})$ is indecomposable, then the linear maps $M_{a}$ with $\varphi \in\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$ are injective. Furthermore, if $M, N \in \operatorname{rep}_{k}(Q, \mathbf{d})$ are two indecomposable representations with $M_{\varphi}(k)=N_{\varphi}(k)$ for all $\varphi \in\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$, then $M$ and $N$ are isomorphic. Hence any indecomposable representation $M \in \operatorname{rep}_{K}(Q, \mathbf{d})$ is determined up to isomorphism by the images $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ of $k$ under $M_{\alpha}, M_{\beta}, M_{\gamma}, M_{\delta}$. In this case we write $M=M(a, b, c, d)$.


Figure 4: The four subspace quiver
Note that the group of automorphisms of the projective line $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}\right) \cong \operatorname{PGL}_{k}(2)$. Let $a, b, c, d$ and $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ be points in $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$. Then $M(a, b, c, d)$ and $M\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if there is an automorphism $\Phi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}\right)$ such that $a^{\prime}=\Phi(a), b^{\prime}=\Phi(b), c^{\prime}=\Phi(c)$ and $d^{\prime}=\Phi(d)$. The group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}\right)$ acts 3-transitively on $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$. In particular, for every three pairwise different points $a, b, c \in \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$
there is a unique projective transformation $\Phi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}\right)$ such that $\Phi(a)=0, \Phi(b)=1$ and $\Phi(c)=\infty$ (where we view $k$ as a subset of $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ under the embedding $\left.z \mapsto(1, z)\right)$.
Definition 2.1. Suppose that $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ are pairwise different. The cross ratio of the quadruple $(a, b, c, d)$ is $\Phi(d) \in k$ where $\Phi$ is the unique projective automorphism such that $\Phi(a)=0, \Phi(b)=1$ and $\Phi(c)=\infty$.

It follows from the definition that the cross ratio is invariant under projective transformations. Moreover, two representations $M(a, b, c, d)$ and $M\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)$, where $a, b, c, d$ and $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ are four pairwise different points, respectively, are isomorphic if and only if the cross ratios of $(a, b, c, d)$ and ( $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ ) coincide. In particular, the set $\operatorname{rep}_{k}(Q, \mathbf{d})$ contains infinitely many pairwise non-isomorphic representations.

Remark 2.2. The name cross ratio comes from the following geometric construction due to Pappus of Alexandria. Suppose that $k=\mathbb{R}$. Choose a line $l \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $0 \notin l$ which is not parallel to any of the lines $a, b, c, d \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$. It meets $a, b, c, d$ in points $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then the cross ratio of $(a, b, c, d)$ is equal to the ratio $(\overline{A C} \cdot \overline{B D}) /(\overline{A D} \cdot \overline{B C})$ for every choice of $l$.

### 2.2 Thick subcategories of type $\tilde{D}_{4}$

Let $k$ be an infinite field. Suppose that $\tilde{Q}$ is a connected quiver such that the underlying undirected diagram is not Dynkin. We want to prove that $\tilde{Q}$ is representation infinite.
Lemma 2.3 (Folklore). The quiver $\tilde{Q}$ contains a full subquiver whose underlying undirected diagram is an extended Dynkin diagram of type $\tilde{A}_{n}($ with $n \neq 1), \tilde{D}_{n}$ (with $n \geq 4$ ) or $\tilde{E}_{n}$ (with $n \in\{6,7,8\}$ ).

Using the Jordan canonical form one can show that $\operatorname{rep}_{k}(\tilde{Q})$ is representation infinite if the underlying diagram of $\tilde{Q}$ contains an extended Dynkin diagram of type $\tilde{A}$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{rep}_{k}(\tilde{Q})$ contains the module category of a quiver of type $\tilde{D}_{4}$ as a thick subcategory (i. e. an exact abelian subcategory closed under extensions) if $\tilde{Q}$ is an orientation of a Dynkin diagram of type $\tilde{D}$. Without loss of generality we may therefore assume that the underlying undirected diagram of $\tilde{Q}$ has type $\tilde{E}$ and that all arrows are oriented towards the central vertex. Note that the extending vertex $x$ of $\tilde{Q}$ is adjacent to the special vertex of $y$ of the corresponding Dynkin quiver $Q$.
Theorem 2.4 (Ringel). Let $\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}\right)$ be the special antichain triple of $Q$ (with support in $Q^{\prime}$ ). We consider the subcategory $\mathcal{E}$ of $\operatorname{rep}_{k}(\tilde{Q})$ of all representation of $\tilde{Q}$ that admit a filtration with factors isomorphic to $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}, S(x)$ or $S(y)$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is a thick subcategory and it is equivalent to the module category of a quiver of type $\hat{D}_{4}$.
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Figure 5: The indecomposable representations of a Dynkin quiver of type $E_{6}$

