

Fix a field k .

Definition

We call a set X of paths in a quiver Q *gently closed* if for all vertices v , arrows a, a', b, b' and paths p, q in Q the following properties hold:

- (1) $avb, a'vb' \in X \Rightarrow (a = a', b = b' \text{ or } a \neq a', b \neq b')$.
- (2) $pa, aq \in X \Rightarrow paq \in X$.
- (3) $pq \in X \Rightarrow p, q \in X$.
- (4) $a \in X$.

A *gentle quiver* $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, P, F)$ is a triple consisting of

- (i) a finite quiver Q with all indegrees and outdegrees ≤ 2 and without isolated vertices,
- (ii) a gently closed set P of paths in Q , which are called *permitted*,
- (iii) a gently closed set F of paths in Q , which are called *forbidden*,

such that each path of length 2 in Q is either permitted or forbidden (but not both).

The *gentle algebra* defined by \mathcal{Q} is $k\mathcal{Q} = kQ / \langle F \cap Q_2 \rangle$.

Note that \mathcal{Q} is completely determined by $(Q, P \cap Q_2)$ or $(Q, F \cap Q_2)$, respectively.

Lemma. P is a basis of $k\mathcal{Q}$. In particular, $k\mathcal{Q}$ is finite-dimensional iff $|P| < \infty$.

Example. The gentle quiver \mathcal{Q} given by

$$Q = a \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \bullet \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} b$$

with $P = \langle ab, ba \rangle$ and $F = \langle a^2, b^2 \rangle$ yields the gentle algebra $k\mathcal{Q} = k\langle a, b \rangle / \langle a^2, b^2 \rangle$.

Classification of Modules etc.

Assume in this section $|P| < \infty$ so that $k\mathcal{Q}$ is finite-dimensional.

The INDECOMPOSABLE MODULES in $\text{mod } k\mathcal{Q}$ can be classified in terms of strings and bands. Recall Bill's talk in our Friday seminar on 15 November 2019 and see also [Cra18].

For a combinatorial classification of MORPHISMS BETWEEN STRING AND BAND MODULES and the AUSLANDER–REITEN QUIVER of $\text{mod } k\mathcal{Q}$ see [BR87; Cra89; Kra91].

A classification of the INDECOMPOSABLE COMPLEXES in $D^b(\text{mod } k\mathcal{Q})$ and of MORPHISMS BETWEEN THEM has been worked out, too. See [BM03; ALP16; Ben19] and also [Opp17].

Example. The Kronecker quiver is gentle. Hence, its Auslander–Reiten quiver (and much more) can be described using string and band combinatorics. See <https://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~jgeuenich/string-applet/?example=Kronecker#string-info>.

Bardzell Resolution

Bardzell described the minimal projective bimodule resolution for the diagonal bimodule over a monomial algebra, which for gentle algebras assumes the following form:

Theorem ([Van94; Bar97]). *The complex $(k\mathcal{Q} \otimes_{kQ_0} kF_\ell \otimes_{kQ_0} k\mathcal{Q}[-\ell], d_\ell)$ with differentials*

$$d_\ell(1 \otimes a_1 \cdots a_\ell \otimes 1) = a_1 \otimes a_2 \cdots a_\ell \otimes 1 + (-1)^\ell \otimes a_1 \cdots a_{\ell-1} \otimes a_\ell$$

is a minimal projective resolution of $k\mathcal{Q}$ as a graded module over $(k\mathcal{Q})^e = k\mathcal{Q}^{\text{op}} \otimes_k k\mathcal{Q}$.

Koszul Duality

The *dual* of a gentle quiver $\mathcal{Q} = (Q, P, F)$ is the gentle quiver $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{op}} = (Q^{\text{op}}, F^{\text{op}}, P^{\text{op}})$.

Example. With \mathcal{Q} as in the previous example, $k\mathcal{Q}^{\text{op}} \cong k[x, y]/(xy)$.

A result by Green–Zacharia for monomial algebras specializes to the following:

Theorem ([GZ94]). *Gentle algebras $k\mathcal{Q}$ graded by path length are Koszul with dual $k\mathcal{Q}^{\text{op}}$.*

Mapping $a \in Q_1$ to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{soc } M(a) \rightarrow M(a) \rightarrow \text{top } M(a) \rightarrow 0$, where $M(a)$ is the string module defined by a , induces an isomorphism of graded k -algebras

$$k\mathcal{Q}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_{k\mathcal{Q}}^*(k\mathcal{Q}/\langle Q_1 \rangle, k\mathcal{Q}/\langle Q_1 \rangle) = E^*(k\mathcal{Q}).$$

Proof. Applying $-\otimes_{(k\mathcal{Q})^e} k\mathcal{Q}$ to Bardzell’s resolution yields the Koszul complex of $k\mathcal{Q}$. \square

In particular, $k\mathcal{Q}^{\text{op}}$ is finite-dimensional iff $k\mathcal{Q}$ has finite global dimension (see [Kva15]).

Example. The polynomial ring $k[x]$ and the ring of dual numbers $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ are gentle algebras, which are Koszul dual to each other.

Regularity

Recall that a k -algebra is said to be *homologically smooth* if it has a finite projective resolution as a module over its enveloping algebra by finitely generated modules.

The aforementioned results yield:

Proposition ([HKK17], [LP19]). *$k\mathcal{Q}$ is homologically smooth iff $|F| < \infty$.*

More precisely, $\text{gl.dim } k\mathcal{Q} = \text{proj.dim } k\mathcal{Q}_{(k\mathcal{Q})^e} = g(\mathcal{Q})$ with $g(\mathcal{Q}) = \sup\{\ell : F_\ell \neq \emptyset\}$.

Proof. Use $g(\mathcal{Q}) = \sup\{\ell : E^\ell(k\mathcal{Q}) \neq 0\} = \text{gl.dim } k\mathcal{Q} \leq \text{proj.dim } k\mathcal{Q}_{(k\mathcal{Q})^e} \leq g(\mathcal{Q})$. \square

Even though the global dimension of $k\mathcal{Q}$ can be infinite, still the following is true:

Theorem ([GR05]). *Finite-dimensional gentle algebras $k\mathcal{Q}$ are Gorenstein.*

More precisely, $\text{inj.dim } k\mathcal{Q}_{k\mathcal{Q}} = \text{inj.dim } {}_{k\mathcal{Q}}k\mathcal{Q} = \ell$, if $\ell > 0$ is maximal such that there is a forbidden path p of length ℓ in \mathcal{Q} not properly contained in any other forbidden path.

Periodicity

According to Marczinzik, a finite-dimensional k -algebra Λ is said to be *eventually periodic* if for sufficiently large n there exists some $i > 0$ such that we have $\Omega_{\Lambda^e}^{n+i}(\Lambda) \cong \Omega_{\Lambda^e}^n(\Lambda)$. Bardzell's resolution thus yields an answer to a question of Marczinzik:

Proposition. *Finite-dimensional gentle algebras are eventually periodic.*

Gradings

Let \mathcal{Q} be a gentle quiver endowed with a *grading* $Q_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $a \mapsto |a|$.

We view kQ_1 as a dg vector space with grading induced by $|\cdot|$ and differential $d = 0$.

The path algebra $kQ = \bigoplus_{\ell} kQ_{\ell}$ then becomes a dg algebra with induced grading

$$|\Pi a_i| = \sum_i |a_i|$$

and induced differential

$$d(\Pi a_i) = \sum_i \left((\Pi_{j < i} a_j) \cdot d(a_i) \cdot (\Pi_{j > i} a_j) \right) = 0.$$

Of course, kQ is also graded by path length and kQ_+ is a dg ideal since $d(kQ_{\ell}) \subseteq kQ_{\ell}$.

This construction turns $k\mathcal{Q}$ into a dg algebra equipped with an additional length grading.

Remark (see also David's talk). Let A_i ($i = 1, 2$) be two homologically smooth graded gentle algebras and let $D(A_i)$ be their derived categories of perfect dg modules. Lekili–Polishchuk, motivated by the work of Haiden–Katzarkov–Kontsevich, associate with A_i partially wrapped Fukaya categories and deduce a sufficient criterion for $D(A_1) \simeq D(A_2)$.

Note that $D(k\mathcal{Q})$ is equivalent to $K^b(\text{proj } k\mathcal{Q})$ if the grading of \mathcal{Q} is 0.

A significant part of the data appearing in this criterion for derived equivalence is provided by the (graded) Avella-Alaminos–Geiß (AG) invariant, which we will define next.

Threads

By a *trail* in a quiver we mean a subquiver t_p spanned by a path p .

A trail t_p given by a path p in a gently closed set X is called a *thread* in X if it has either of the following two properties:

- (1) t_p is not properly contained in any other trail t_q with $q \in X$.
- (2) p is the trivial path at a vertex with indegree and outdegree both ≤ 1 .

Denote by T_X the set of all threads in X .

Remark. Let $X \in \{P, F\}$. Each arrow of Q occurs in exactly one thread in X and the second condition above ensures that each vertex of Q occurs in exactly two threads in X .

Example. For \mathcal{Q} with underlying quiver

$$Q = 1 \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{b} \\ \xrightarrow{a} \\ \xrightarrow{c} \end{array} 2$$

and $F = \langle ab, ba \rangle$ and thus $P = \langle ac, ca \rangle$ we have $T_F = \{ab = ba, c\}$ and $T_P = \{ac = ca, b\}$.

Reformulating the results from before we may conclude:

Proposition. *A gentle algebra $k\mathcal{Q}$ is [finite dimensional / homologically smooth] iff its gentle quiver \mathcal{Q} has no [permitted / forbidden] cyclic threads of positive length.*

Boundary Components

Following [LP19], a *boundary component* B of a gentle quiver \mathcal{Q} is a trail t_p in $\overline{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{Q} \amalg \mathcal{Q}^{\text{op}}$ such that, assuming p to be chosen with pairwise distinct arrows, it is cyclic, avoids aa^{op} with $a \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_1$ and *alternates between forbidden and permitted threads* in the sense that

$$p = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} p_i \text{ with } t_{p_i} \in T_F \cup T_{P^{\text{op}}} \text{ and, if } n > 1, \text{ also } t_{p_i} \in T_F \Leftrightarrow t_{p_{i+1}} \in T_{P^{\text{op}}}.$$

Degree and *winding number* of such a boundary component B are defined as

$$n(B) := \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad w(B) := \sum_i w(t_{p_i})$$

where

$$w(t_{p_i}) := \begin{cases} -(\ell(p_i) - \delta_{n>1}) + |p_i| & \text{for } t_{p_i} \in T_F, \\ -|p_i| & \text{for } t_{p_i} \in T_{P^{\text{op}}}. \end{cases}$$

Example. For \mathcal{Q} as in the last example we have the following boundary components:

| B | $n(B)$ | $w(B)$ |
|--------------------|--------|-------------|
| ab | 0 | $-2 + ab $ |
| $(ac)^{\text{op}}$ | 0 | $- ac $ |
| cb^{op} | 1 | $ c - b $ |

AG Invariant

Definition ([LP19]). *The (graded) AG invariant $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathcal{Q}}} \mathbb{N}$ of \mathcal{Q} is defined as*

$$\phi_{\mathcal{Q}}(n, m) := \#\{B \text{ boundary component of } \mathcal{Q} : (n(B), n(B) - w(B)) = (n, m)\}.$$

For finite-dimensional ungraded gentle algebras \mathcal{Q} , it is clear (essentially by definition) that this AG invariant $\phi_{\mathcal{Q}}$ coincides with the invariant introduced in [AG08].

Remark (see [LP19] or wait for David's talk). $\phi_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a derived invariant for homologically smooth \mathcal{Q} . In the ungraded setting this was already proved by Avella-Alaminos–Geiß.

References

- [ALP16] K. K. Arnesen, R. Laking, and D. Pauksztello, “Morphisms between indecomposable complexes in the bounded derived category of a gentle algebra,” *J. Algebra*, vol. 467, pp. 1–46, 2016, DOI: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2016.07.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2016.07.019). arXiv: [1411.7644](https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.7644) [math.RT].
- [AG08] D. Avella-Alaminos and C. Geiß, “Combinatorial derived invariants for gentle algebras,” *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, vol. 212, no. 1, pp. 228–243, 2008, DOI: [10.1016/j.jpaa.2007.05.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2007.05.014).
- [Bar97] M. J. Bardzell, “The alternating syzygy behavior of monomial algebras,” *J. Algebra*, vol. 188, no. 1, pp. 69–89, 1997, DOI: [10.1006/jabr.1996.6813](https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1996.6813).
- [BM03] V. Bekkert and H. A. Merklen, “Indecomposables in derived categories of gentle algebras,” *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 285–302, 2003, DOI: [10.1023/A:1025142023594](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025142023594).
- [Ben19] R. Bennett-Tennenhaus, “Functorial filtrations for homotopy categories of some generalisations of gentle algebras,” version 5, 2019, arXiv: [1608.08514](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.08514) [math.RT].
- [BR87] M. C. R. Butler and C. M. Ringel, “Auslander-Reiten sequences with few middle terms and applications to string algebras,” *Comm. Algebra*, vol. 15, no. 1-2, pp. 145–179, 1987, DOI: [10.1080/00927878708823416](https://doi.org/10.1080/00927878708823416).
- [Cra18] W. Crawley-Boevey, “Classification of modules for infinite-dimensional string algebras,” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 370, no. 5, pp. 3289–3313, 2018, DOI: [10.1090/tran/7032](https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/7032). arXiv: [1308.6410](https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.6410) [math.RA].
- [Cra89] W. Crawley-Boevey, “Maps between representations of zero-relation algebras,” *J. Algebra*, vol. 126, no. 2, pp. 259–263, 1989, DOI: [10.1016/0021-8693\(89\)90304-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(89)90304-9).
- [GR05] C. Geiß and I. Reiten, “Gentle algebras are Gorenstein,” in *Representations of algebras and related topics*, vol. 45, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 129–133.
- [GZ94] E. L. Green and D. Zacharia, “The cohomology ring of a monomial algebra,” *Manuscripta Math.*, vol. 85, no. 1, pp. 11–23, 1994, DOI: [10.1007/BF02568180](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02568180).
- [HKK17] F. Haiden, L. Katzarkov, and M. Kontsevich, “Flat surfaces and stability structures,” *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, vol. 126, pp. 247–318, 2017, DOI: [10.1007/s10240-017-0095-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10240-017-0095-y). arXiv: [1409.8611](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.8611) [math.AG].
- [Kra91] H. Krause, “Maps between tree and band modules,” *J. Algebra*, vol. 137, no. 1, pp. 186–194, 1991, DOI: [10.1016/0021-8693\(91\)90088-P](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(91)90088-P).
- [Kva15] S. Kvamme, *Necessary and sufficient conditions*, BIREP Summer School on Koszul Duality, 2015, Online: <https://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/birep/activities/summer2015/notes/Kvamme.pdf>.
- [LP19] Y. Lekili and A. Polishchuk, “Derived equivalences of gentle algebras via Fukaya categories,” version 5, 2019, arXiv: [1801.06370](https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.06370) [math.SG].
- [Opp17] S. Opper, *Classification of indecomposable objects in the bounded derived category of a gentle algebra*, BIREP Summer School on Gentle Algebras, 2017, Online: <https://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/birep/activities/summer2017/summer-school-2017.pdf#section.10>.
- [Van94] M. Van den Bergh, “Noncommutative homology of some three-dimensional quantum spaces,” in *Proceedings of Conference on Algebraic Geometry and Ring Theory in honor of Michael Artin, Part III (Antwerp, 1992)*, vol. 8, 1994, pp. 213–230, DOI: [10.1007/BF00960862](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00960862).