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#### Abstract

In this talk, we wish to relate the Ringel-Hall algebra of a quiver with the Drinfel'd-Jimbo quantum group. As an application, we prove Kac's theorem which connects indecomposable quiver representations with positive roots.
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## 1 Example: The special linear group of size 2

### 1.1 The interplay of Lie theory and representation theory

We consider the simple Lie algebra of traceless matrices:

$$
\mathfrak{s l}_{3}(\mathbb{C})=\left\{A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \operatorname{tr}(A)=0\right\} .
$$

It is an eight-dimensional Lie algebra spanned by the weight spaces $V_{\alpha_{1}}=\mathbb{C} e_{1}, V_{\alpha_{2}}=\mathbb{C} e_{2}, V_{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}}=$ $\mathbb{C} e_{12}, V_{-\alpha_{1}}=\mathbb{C} f_{1}, V_{-\alpha_{2}}=\mathbb{C} f_{2}, V_{-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}=\mathbb{C} f_{12}$, and the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}=\mathbb{C} h_{1} \oplus \mathbb{C} h_{2}$ with

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{lll}
e_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), & e_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), & e_{12}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
f_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), & f_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), & f_{12}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
\end{array}\right), \begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), ~\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) . ~ \$
$$



Figure 1: The root system of type $A_{2}$

The root system is shown in Figure 1 Note that every root is either positive or negative. The set $\Phi^{+}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right\}$ of positive roots is linked to the representation theory of the quiver $1 \rightarrow 2$ of type $A_{2}$. More precisely, the expansion of the three positive roots as linear combinations of the simple roots $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$, namely the vectors $(1,0),(1,1)$ and $(0,1)$, are the dimension vectors of the three indecomposable representations $S_{1}=(k \rightarrow 0), P_{1}=(k \rightarrow k)$ and $S_{2}=(0 \rightarrow k)$, where $k$ is any field.

Representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ correspond to modules over its universal enveloping algebra $U(g)$. It is generated by elements $E_{1}, E_{2}, F_{1}, F_{2}, H_{1}, H_{2}$ (corresponding to $e_{1}, e_{2}, f_{1}, f_{2}, h_{1}, h_{1}$ ) subject to certain relations such as the Serre relation $E_{1}^{2} E_{2}-2 E_{1} E_{2} E_{1}+E_{2} E_{1}^{2}=0$ (corresponding to $\left[e_{1},\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]\right]=0$ ).

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{3}$ admits a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{n}_{+} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{-}$into strictly upper triangular, diagonal and strictly lower triangular matrices. The universal enveloping algebras $U(g)$ and $U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{+}\right)$admit several Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt bases: every ordered basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ or $\mathfrak{n}$ gives rise to a PBW basis of its universal enveloping algebra. For example, the basis ( $e_{1}, e_{12}, e_{2}$ ) of $\mathfrak{n}_{+}$from above yields the basis $\mathcal{P}=\left\{E_{1}^{a}\left(E_{1} E_{2}-E_{2} E_{1}\right)^{b} E_{2}^{c} \mid(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^{3}\right\}$ of $U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{+}\right)$.

The quantized universal enveloping algebra $U^{+}$is generated by elements $E_{1}, E_{2}$ subject to the quantum Serre relations, e.g. $E_{1}^{2} E_{2}-\left(v+v^{-1}\right) E_{1} E_{2} E_{1}+E_{2} E_{1}^{2}=0$, over a suitable ground ring. The quantization has the following advantages:
(1) Lusztig [9, Theorem 42.1.10] uses the quantization to construct another basis of $U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{+}\right)$, the canonical basis $\mathcal{B}$, so that application of $\mathcal{B}$ to lowest vectors $v_{0}$ yields bases of the irreducible representations. In this case Lusztig's canonical basis is: $\mathcal{B}=\left\{E_{1}^{a} E_{2}^{b} E_{1}^{c} \mid a+c \geq\right.$ $b\} \cup\left\{E_{2}^{a} E_{1}^{b} E_{2}^{c} \mid a+c \geq b\right\}$.
(2) A theorem of Green-Rosso [4, 13] asserts that we can embed $U^{+} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{v}$ in the quantum shuffle algebra. Here $\mathcal{F}_{v}$ is spanned by all symbols $w\left[i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right]$ for all finite sequences $\underline{i}=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right) \in I^{k}$ of symbols from the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ of length $k \geq 0$. The product of two basis elements is defined as a linear combination of shuffles. Green-Rosso's embedding enables us to do computer calculation in $U^{+}$efficiently.
(3) We get a deeper connection between Lie theory and quiver representations. We saw in the first talk of the seminar that we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S_{2} \rightarrow P_{1} \rightarrow S_{1}$ but $\operatorname{Ext}_{Q}^{1}\left(S_{2}, S_{1}\right)=0$, so that in the Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}(Q)$ we have $u_{S_{1}} u_{S_{2}}=u_{S_{1} \oplus S_{2}}+u_{P_{1}}$ but $u_{S_{2}} u_{S_{1}}=u_{S_{1} \oplus S_{2}}$. It follows that $u_{P_{1}}=u_{S_{1}} u_{S_{2}}-u_{S_{2}} u_{S_{1}}$. Moreover, $u_{S_{1}} u_{P_{1}}=q u_{S_{1} \oplus P_{1}}=q u_{P_{1}} u_{S_{1}}$. It follows that $u_{S_{1}}^{2} u_{S_{2}}-(q+1) u_{S_{1}} u_{S_{2}} u_{S_{1}}+q u_{S_{2}} u_{S_{1}}^{2}=0$. The relation becomes the quantum Serre relation in the twisted Ringel-Hall algebra.

In this talk, we wish to understand the first two lines of the following table. The talk is based on notes by Hubery [5].

|  | Quiver $Q$ | Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gabriel/Kac | indecomposable representations | $\Phi^{+}$positive roots |
| Ringel/Green | twisted Ringel-Hall algebra $H(Q)$ | positive quantum group $U^{+}$ |
| Lusztig | constructible sheaves on rep $p_{k}(Q, \underline{d})$ | (dual) canonical basis of $U^{+}$ |

## 2 Ringel's theorem

### 2.1 The twisted Ringel-Hall algebra and Green's formula

Let $Q$ be a quiver with $n$ vertices, and let $k$ be a finite field with $q$ elements. The Euler characteristic of two representations $M, N \in \operatorname{rep}_{k}(Q)$ is defined to be $\langle M, N\rangle=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{Q}(M, N)\right)-$ $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{Q}(M, N)\right)$. Because the category $\operatorname{rep}_{k}(Q)$ is hereditary, it induces a bilinear form $K_{0}(Q) \times$ $K_{0}(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $K_{0}(Q)$ denotes the Grothendieck group of $\operatorname{rep}_{k}(Q)$. We consider the symmetrized Euler form defined by $(M, N)=\langle M, N\rangle+\langle N, M\rangle$ for all $M, N$. Moreover, let $v=\sqrt{q} \in$ $\mathbb{R}$ be a square root of $q$.

The (twisted) Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}(Q)$ is the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space with basis elements $u_{M}$ for all isoclasses of representations $M$. We define the (twisted) multiplication and comultiplication on basis elements by the formulae:

$$
u_{M} u_{N}=v^{\langle M, N\rangle} \sum_{X} F_{M, N}^{X} u_{X}, \quad \Delta\left(u_{X}\right)=\sum_{M, N} v^{\langle M, N\rangle} \frac{a_{M} a_{N}}{a_{X}} F_{M, N}^{X} u_{M} \otimes u_{N},
$$

where $F_{M, N}^{X}$ is the number of subrepresentations $U \subseteq X$ such that $U \cong N$ and $X / U \cong M$, and $a_{X}$ is the cardinality of $\operatorname{Aut}_{Q}(X)$. Then $\mathcal{H}(Q)$ becomes a (twisted) bialgebra, i. e. we have $\Delta\left(u_{M} u_{N}\right)=\Delta\left(u_{M}\right) \cdot \Delta\left(u_{N}\right)$ for all $N, N$ when we define a multiplication on $\mathcal{H}(Q) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(Q)$ by the formula $\left(u_{A} \otimes u_{B}\right) \cdot\left(u_{C} \otimes u_{D}\right)=v^{-\langle A, D\rangle}\left(u_{A} u_{C} \otimes u_{B} u_{D}\right)$ or by the formula $\left(u_{A} \otimes u_{B}\right) \cdot\left(u_{C} \otimes u_{D}\right)=$ $v^{(B, C)}\left(u_{A} u_{C} \otimes u_{B} u_{D}\right)$ respectively. It becomes a (twisted) Hopf algebra by defining a suitable antipode, see Xiao [14, Theorem 4.5 (c)].

The (twisted) Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}(Q)$ admits a Hopf pairing $\{\cdot, \cdot\}: \mathcal{H}(Q) \times \mathcal{H}(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, e.g. we have $\{u, v w\}=\sum\left\{u_{(1)}, v\right\}\left\{u_{(2)}, w\right\}$ whenever we have $\Delta(u)=\sum u_{(1)} \otimes u_{(2)}$ in Sweedler's notation. It is determined by the values on basis elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{u_{M}, u_{N}\right\}=\delta_{M, N} \frac{v^{\mathrm{dim}(\mathrm{M})}}{a_{M}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 Ringel's theorem and the quantum Serre relations

Example 2.1. The quantum Serre relations in Farnsteiner's talk [2] become the following relations in the twisted Ringel-Hall algebra. Here we abbreviate $u_{i}=u_{S_{i}}$ for all $i$, and we denote by $[n]=$ $\left(v^{n}-v^{-n}\right) /\left(v-v^{-1}\right)$ the quantum integer.

| Quiver $Q$ | Untwisted relation | Twisted relation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \rightarrow 2$ | $u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-(q+1) u_{1} u_{2} u_{1}+u_{2} u_{1}^{2}=0$ | $u_{1}^{2} u_{2}-[2] u_{1} u_{2} u_{1}+u_{2} u_{1}^{2}=0$ |
| $1 \rightrightarrows 2$ | $u_{1}^{3} u_{2}-\left(q^{2}+q+1\right) u_{1}^{2} u_{2} u_{1}$ | $u_{1}^{3} u_{2}-[3] u_{1}^{2} u_{2} u_{1}+[3] u_{1} u_{2} u_{1}^{2}-u_{2} u_{1}^{3}=0$ |
|  | $+q\left(q^{2}+q+1\right) u_{1} u_{2} u_{1}^{2}-q^{3} u_{2} u_{1}^{3}=0$ |  |
| $1 \rightleftarrows 2$ | $u_{1}^{3} u_{2}-\left(q+1+q^{-1}\right) u_{1}^{2} u_{2} u_{1}$ |  |
| $+\left(q+1+q^{-1}\right) u_{1} u_{2} u_{1}^{2}-u_{2} u_{1}^{3}=0$ | $u_{1}^{3} u_{2}-[3] u_{1}^{2} u_{2} u_{1}+[3] u_{1} u_{2} u_{1}^{2}-u_{2} u_{1}^{3}=0$ |  |

The theorem of Ringel [11] asserts that the examples generalize. The proof is a rank 2 calculation similar to the derivation of the quantum Serre relations for the $n$-Kronecker quiver in Farnsteiner's talk [2].

Theorem 2.2 (Ringel). Assume that $e_{i}, e_{j} \in K_{0}(Q)$ correspond to distinct simple representations $S_{i}, S_{j}$. As before, we abbreviate $u_{i}=u_{s_{i}}$ and $u_{j}=u_{S_{j}}$. If $c_{i j}=\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)$, then

$$
\sum_{r+s=1-c_{i j}}(-1)^{r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
r+s \\
r
\end{array}\right] u_{i}^{r} u_{j} u_{i}^{s}=0
$$

Especially, the quantum Serre relations in the twisted Ringel-Hall algebra are invariant under changing the orientation of arrows, i. e. they depend only on the underlying undirected diagram of the quiver. The composition algebra $\mathcal{C}(Q) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(Q)$ is the Hopf subalgebra generated by the elements $u_{i}=u_{S_{i}}$ with $i \in Q_{0}$.

## 3 Green's theorem

### 3.1 The positive quantum group and its Hopf structure

Recall the construction of the quantum group from the last talk. Let $n \geq 1$. An integer $n \times n$ matrix $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ is called symmetric generalized Cartan matrix if $c_{i i}=2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $c_{i j}=c_{j i} \leq 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Such a matrix defines a Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{n}_{+} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{-}$. Drinfel'd-Jimbo replace universal enveloping algebra $U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{+}\right)$, cocommutative Hopf algebra, with the quantized enveloping algebra $U^{+}$, a not necessarily cocommutative Hopf algebra, c.f. Drinfel'd [1]. The process is called quantization, because in quantum mechanics classical commuting observables are replaced with not necessarily commutating operators. Let us briefly outline the construction of $U^{+}$; the reader can find more details in the work of Kassel [8], Lusztig [9], and Majid [10].

We fix a field $k$ of characteristic 0 . The symmetric generalized Cartan matrix $C$ defines a symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ with respect to the standard basis whose elements we denote by $e_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$. The group algebra $U^{0}$ of $\Lambda$ over $k$ can be endowed with the structure of a Hopf algebra. For every $v \in k^{*}$, that is not be a root of unity, we can define a Hopf pairing $\{\cdot, \cdot\}: U^{0} \times U^{0} \rightarrow k$. Let $f^{+}$be the free $k$-algebra with generators $E_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We can extend the Hopf structure on $U^{0}$ to a Hopf structure on the tensor product $f^{+, 0}=f^{+} \otimes U^{0}$. The Hopf algebra is graded by $\Lambda$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(E_{i}\right)=e_{i}$. The Hopf pairing extends to a Hopf pairing $\{\cdot, \cdot\}: f^{+, 0} \times f^{+, 0} \rightarrow k$ in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{E_{i}, E_{j}\right\}=\delta_{i j} \frac{1}{v-v^{-1}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. The ideal $I^{+} \subseteq f^{+}$is chosen in such a way that the restriction of the Hopf pairing to $U^{+}=f^{+} / I^{+}$is non-degenerate. Note that $U^{+}$is not a Hopf subalgebra because $\Delta\left(E_{i}\right)=$ $E_{i} \otimes 1+K_{i} \otimes E_{i} \notin U^{+}$.

Put $\mathcal{Z}=\mathbb{Q}\left[v, v^{-1},[m]^{-1}\right.$ for $\left.m \geq 2\right]$. Define $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+}$to be the $\mathcal{Z}$-algebra generated by $E_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ subject to the quantum Serre relations

$$
\sum_{r+s=1-c_{i j}}(-1)^{r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
r+s \\
r
\end{array}\right] E_{i}^{r} E_{j} E_{i}^{s}=0
$$

for all $i \neq j$. A theorem asserts that we have an isomorphism $U^{+} \cong k \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+}$, and another theorem asserts that the algebra $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+}$is a free $\mathcal{Z}$-module.

### 3.2 Green's theorem

Define a comultiplication $\Delta: U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+} \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+} \otimes U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+}$by $\Delta\left(E_{i}\right)=1 \otimes E_{i}+E_{i} \otimes 1$. The comultiplication becomes an algebra homomorphism if we define the multiplication $\left(U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+} \otimes U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+}\right) \times\left(U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+} \otimes U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+}\right) \rightarrow$
$U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+} \otimes U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+}$by $(a \otimes b) \cdot(c \otimes d)=v^{(\operatorname{deg}(b), \operatorname{deg}(c))}(a c \otimes b d)$ for all homogeneous elements $a, b, c, d \in$ $U^{+}$. We say that $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+}$is a twisted bialgebra. Together with the antipode $S: U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+} \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+}$defined by $S\left(E_{i}\right)=-E_{i}$ it becomes a twisted Hopf algebra. Lusztig shows that the twisted Hopf algebra $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+}$ admits a Hopf pairing $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+} \times U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$, which is uniquely determined by formula (2).

Let $Q$ be a quiver with $n$ vertices such that its symmetrized Euler form equals the symmetric bilinear form attached to the generalized Cartan matrix $C$. Ringel's theorem 2.2 implies that there is homomorphism $\Psi: \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Q)$ with $\psi\left(E_{i}\right)=u_{i}$. Green's main theorem [3, Theorem 3] asserts:

Theorem 3.1 (Green). The map $\psi$ is an isomorphism of twisted Hopf algebras and it respects the grading and the Hopf pairing.

Proof. The homomorphism $\psi$ respects the Hopf pairing, because $\left\{E_{i}, E_{j}\right\}=\frac{\delta_{i j}}{v-v^{-1}}=\left\{u_{i}, u_{j}\right\}$ for all $i, j$. Suppose that $x \in \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+}$lies in the kernel of $\psi$. Then $\{x, y\}=\{\psi(x), \psi(y)\}=0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{+}$which implies $x=0$ since the Hopf pairing is non-degenerate.

## 4 Kac's theorem

### 4.1 Characters and the denominator formula

Let $n$ denote the number of vertices of the quiver $Q$. For every $i$ we define the reflection $r_{i}(x)=$ $x-\left(x, e_{i}\right) e_{i}$. The formula implies $r_{i}\left(e_{i}\right)=-e_{i}$ for all $i$. The reflections $r_{i}$ with $i \in Q_{0}$ generate a subgroup $W=W(C) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, which is called the Weyl group of $C$. The root system of the Kac-Moody Lie algebra decomposes in real and imaginary roots with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{r e}=\left\{w\left(e_{i}\right): w \in W, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}=\{\alpha \in \Phi: 0<(\alpha, \alpha) \leq 2\}, \\
& \Phi_{i m}=\Phi \backslash \Phi_{r e} \subseteq\{\alpha \in \Phi:(\alpha, \alpha) \leq 0\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A theorem asserts that every root is either positive or negative and has connected support. The multiplicity mult $(\alpha)$ is defined as the dimension of the corresponding root space. It can be shown that $\operatorname{mult}(\alpha)=1$ if $\alpha$ is real, and that $\operatorname{mult}(\alpha)=\operatorname{mult}(w(\alpha))$ for all roots $\alpha$ and all Weyl group elements $w$. For every $\alpha=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \Lambda$ we define a monomial $e(\alpha)=t_{1}^{a_{1}} t_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot t_{n}^{a_{n}}$ in the power series ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]\right]$. Especially, the elements satisfy the exponential rule $e(\alpha) e(\beta)=e(\alpha+\beta)$. Define the characters of the composition algebra and the Hall algebra by

$$
\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{C}(Q))=\sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathcal{C}(Q)_{\alpha}\right) e(\alpha), \quad \operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{H}(Q))=\sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathcal{H}(Q)_{\alpha}\right) e(\alpha) .
$$

Proposition 4.1. For every dimension vector $\alpha=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ we denote by ind $(\alpha, k)$ the number of isoclasses of indecomposable representations of $Q$ over $k$ of dimension $\alpha$. We have the following product formula:

$$
\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{H}(Q))=\prod_{\alpha>0}(1-e(\alpha))^{-\operatorname{ind}(\alpha, k)}
$$

Proof. By the theorem of Krull-Remak-Schmidt every representation is a direct sum of indecomposable representations. The indecomposable representation are unique up to permutation and isomorphisms. Hence $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathcal{H}(Q)_{\alpha}\right)$, the number of isoclasses of representations of $Q$ over $k$ of dimension $\alpha$, equals the coefficient corresponding to $e(\alpha)$ in the geometric series expansion.

Green's theorem implies that the character of the composition algebra equals the character of the positive quantum group. For the positive quantum group, Kac's denominator formula [6, Chapter 10.2] holds:

Theorem 4.2 (Kac). The character of the positive quantum is

$$
\operatorname{ch}\left(U^{+}\right)=\prod_{\alpha \in \phi^{+}}(1-e(\alpha))^{-\operatorname{mult}(\alpha)}
$$

### 4.2 Indecomposable representations and Kac's theorem

Note that formula (1) implies that the Hopf pairing on $\mathcal{H}(Q)$ is positive definite. Following Sevenhant-Van Den Bergh [12, Chapter 3] we choose for every $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ an orthonormal basis $\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I(\alpha)}$ of the subspace

$$
\mathcal{G}(Q)_{\alpha}=\left(\sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma>\alpha \\ \beta, \gamma>0}} \mathcal{H}(Q)_{\beta} \mathcal{H}(Q)_{\gamma}\right)^{\perp} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(Q)_{\alpha} .
$$

Let $I$ be the union of the $I(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha$. Note that $\mathcal{G}(Q)_{e_{i}}$ is a 1-dimensional vector space spanned by $u_{i}$ so that $I\left(e_{i}\right)$ is a singleton. We define a bilinear form on $\mathbb{Z I}$ by $(i, j)=\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta_{i}\right), \operatorname{deg}\left(\theta_{j}\right)\right)$. Assume that the matrix $\widetilde{C}$ represents the bilinear form with respect to the basis $I$.

Lemma 4.3. Every $\theta_{i}$ is primitive, i.e. $\Delta\left(\theta_{i}\right)=\theta_{i} \otimes 1+1 \otimes \theta_{i}$.
Proof. Let us extend $\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \subseteq\left(\zeta_{j}\right)_{j \in J}$ to a homogeneous orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}(Q)$. Then we can write $\Delta\left(\theta_{i}\right)=\sum_{j, k \in J} c_{j k} \zeta_{j} \otimes \zeta_{k}$. As $\{,, \cdot\}$ is a Hopf pairing, we have

$$
\left\{\theta_{i}, \zeta_{l} \zeta_{m}\right\}=\sum_{j, k \in J} c_{j k}\left\{\zeta_{j}, \zeta_{l}\right\}\left\{\zeta_{k}, \zeta_{m}\right\}=c_{l m}
$$

for all $l, m \in J$. By definition $\left\{\theta_{i}, x y\right\}=0$ for all homogeneous elements $x, y \in \mathcal{H}(Q)$ of degree $\beta, \gamma$ with $\alpha=\beta+\gamma$, hence $c_{l, m}=0$ unless $\left(\zeta_{l}, \zeta_{m}\right)=\left(\theta_{i}, 1\right)$ or $\left(\zeta_{l}, \zeta_{m}\right)=\left(1, \theta_{i}\right)$ in which case $c_{l, m}=1$.

A symmetric Borcherds matrix is a generalization of a generalized Cartan matrix where diagonal entries are allowed to be in the set $\{2,0,-2,-4, \ldots\}$. Borcherds introduced these in the context of moonshine.
Lemma 4.4. The matrix $\widetilde{C}$ is a Borcherds matrix. Especially we have $(i, j) \leq 0$ whenever $i \neq j$.
Proof. Let $i, j \in I$ be distinct. By the previous lemma we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left(\theta_{i} \theta_{j}\right) & =\left(\theta_{i} \otimes 1+1 \otimes \theta_{i}\right)\left(\theta_{j} \otimes 1+1 \otimes \theta_{j}\right) \\
& =\theta_{i} \theta_{j} \otimes 1+\theta_{i} \otimes \theta_{j}+v^{(i, j)} \theta_{j} \otimes \theta_{i}+1 \otimes \theta_{i} \theta_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this equation we can follow that $\left\{\theta_{i} \theta_{j}, \theta_{i} \theta_{j}\right\}=1$ and $\left\{\theta_{i} \theta_{j}, \theta_{j} \theta_{i}\right\}=v^{(i, j)}$. The positive definiteness of the Hopf pairing implies for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ the inequality

$$
0 \leq\left\{x \theta_{i} \theta_{j}+y \theta_{j} \theta_{i}, x \theta_{i} \theta_{j}+y \theta_{j} \theta_{i}\right\}=x^{2}+2 v^{(i, j)} x y+y^{2} .
$$

Thus, the discriminant of the quadratic form must satisfy $4\left(1-v^{(i j)}\right) \geq 0$. Hence $1 \geq v^{(i, j)}$, so that $(i, j) \leq 0$. For the proof of the other properties of Borcherds matrices we refer the reader to Sevenhant-Van Den Bergh [12, Proposition 3.2].

Note that the matrix $\widetilde{C}$ is an infinite matrix with $C$ in the top left corner. It follows that the root systems $\widetilde{\Phi}$ and $\Phi$ associated with $\widetilde{C}$ and $C$ have the same real roots and the same Weyl group. With the Borcherds matrix $\widetilde{C}$ we can associate a generalized Kac-Moody Lie algebra. Let $\widetilde{U}^{+}$be its positive quantum group, which we construct similarly. As the Hopf pairing is nondegenerate on $\mathcal{H}(Q)$, a Sevenhant-Van Den Bergh's generalization [12] of Green's main theorem to generalized Kac-Moody algebras implies that we have an isomorphism of twisted Hopf algebras $\mathcal{H}(Q) \cong \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \widetilde{U}^{+}$. We obtain a different proof of a theorem of Kac [7] for indecomposable quiver representations over finite fields.
Theorem 4.5 (Kac). We have $\widetilde{\Phi}^{+}=\{\operatorname{dim}(M)$ : $M$ indecomposable $\}$. Moreover, the number of isoclasses of indecomposable representations of $Q$ over $k$ with dimension vector $\alpha \in \widetilde{\Phi}^{+}$is equal to the multiplicity of $\alpha$ in $\widetilde{\Phi}^{+}$. Especially, up to isomorphism there exists only one indecomposable representation with dimension vector $\alpha$ for every real root $\alpha \in \Phi_{r e}$.

Proof. Compare the product formula (4.1) for $\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{H}(Q))$ with the product formula (4.2) for the positive quantum group of $\widetilde{C}$.
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