

# QE Optimization, WS 2016/17

## Part 2. Differential Calculus for Functions of $n$ Variables

(about 5 Lectures)

Supporting Literature: *Angel de la Fuente*, “Mathematical Methods and Models for Economists”, Chapter 2

*C. Simon, L. Blume*, “Mathematics for Economists”

### Contents

<b>2</b>	<b>Differential Calculus for Functions of <math>n</math> Variables</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Partial Derivatives . . . . .	2
2.2	Directional Derivatives . . . . .	5
2.3	Higher Order Partials . . . . .	6
2.4	Total Differentiability . . . . .	7
2.5	Chain Rule . . . . .	13
2.6	Taylor’s Formula . . . . .	16
2.7	Implicit Functions . . . . .	20
2.8	Inverse Functions . . . . .	26
2.9	Unconstrained Optimization . . . . .	27
2.10	First-Order Conditions . . . . .	28
2.11	Second-Order Conditions . . . . .	29
2.12	A Rough Guide: How to Find the Global Maxima/Minima . . . . .	32
2.13	Envelope Theorems . . . . .	34
2.14	Gâteaux and Fréchet Differentials . . . . .	38

In this chapter we consider functions

$$f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

of  $n \geq 1$  variables (**multivariate** functions). Such functions are the basic building block of formal economic models.

## 2 Differential Calculus for Functions of $n$ Variables

### 2.1 Partial Derivatives

**Everywhere below:**  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  will be an **open** set in the space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$  (with the Euclidean norm  $\|\cdot\|$ ) and  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$U \ni (x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Definition 2.1.1.** The function  $f$  is **partially differentiable** with respect to the  **$i$ -th coordinate** (or variable)  $x_i$ , at a given point  $x \in U$ , if the following limit exists

$$\begin{aligned} D_i f(x) &:= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + h e_i) - f(x)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} [f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + h, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n)], \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_i := \underbrace{\{0, \dots, 0\}}_{i-1}, 1, 0, \dots, 0\}$  is the basis vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Since  $U$  is *open*, there exists an *open ball*  $B_\varepsilon(x) \subseteq U$ . In the definition of  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0}$  one considers only “small”  $h$  with  $|h| < \varepsilon$ .

$D_i f(x)$  is called the  **$i$ -th partial derivative** of  $f$  at point  $x$ .

**Notation:** We also write  $D_{x_i} f(x)$ ,  $\partial_i f(x)$ ,  $\partial f(x)/\partial x_i$ .

The partial derivative  $D_i f(x)$  can be interpreted as a usual derivative w.r.t. the  $i$ -th coordinate, whereby all the other  $n - 1$  coordinates are kept fixed. Namely, in the  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $x_i$ , let us define a function

$$(x_i - \varepsilon, x_i + \varepsilon) \ni \xi \rightarrow g_i(\xi) := f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \xi, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

Then by Definition 2.1.1,

$$D_i f(x) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g_i(x_i + h) - g_i(x_i)}{h} = g_i'(x_i).$$

**Definition 2.1.2.** A function  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called **partially differentiable** if  $D_i f(x)$  exists for all  $x \in U$  and all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Furthermore,  $f$  is called **continuously partially differentiable**, if all partial derivatives

$$D_i f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

are continuous functions.

### Example 2.1.3.

(i) *Distance function*

$$r(x) := |x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Let us show that  $r(x)$  is partially differentiable at all points  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ .

$$\xi \rightarrow g_i(\xi) := \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + \xi^2 + \dots + x_n^2} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Use the chain rule for the derivatives of real-valued functions (cf. standard courses in Calculus)  $\implies$

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2x_i}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + \xi^2 + \dots + x_n^2}} = \frac{x_i}{r(x)}.$$

**Generalization:** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable, then  $\mathbb{R}^n \ni x \rightarrow f(r(x))$  is partially differentiable at all points  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(r) = f'(r) \cdot \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i} = f'(r) \cdot \frac{x_i}{r}.$$

(ii) *Cobb–Douglas production function with  $n$  inputs*

$$f(x) := x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \text{ for } \alpha_i > 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

defined on

$$U := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i > 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Calculate the so-called **marginal-product function** of input  $i$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) = \alpha_i x_1^{\alpha_1} x_i^{\alpha_i - 1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} = \alpha_i \frac{f(x)}{x_i}.$$

Mathematicians will say: multiplicative functions with separable variables, polynomials. Economists are especially interested in the case  $\alpha_i \in (0, 1)$ .

This is an example of **homogeneous** functions of order (degree)  $a = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ , which means

$$f(\lambda x) = \lambda^a f(x), \quad \forall \lambda > 0, \quad x \in U.$$

Moreover, the Cobb–Douglas function is log-linear:

$$\log f(x) = \alpha_1 \log x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \log x_n.$$

(iii) **Quasilinear utility function:**

$$f(m, x) := m + u(x)$$

with  $m \in \mathbb{R}_+$  (i.e.,  $m \geq 0$ ) and some  $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial m} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = u'(x).$$

(iv) **Constant elasticity of substitution (CES) production function with  $n$  inputs, which describes aggregate consumption for  $n$  types of goods.**

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) := (\delta_1 x_1^\alpha + \dots + \delta_n x_n^\alpha)^{1/\alpha},$$

with  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\delta_i > 0$  and  $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \delta_i = 1$ ,

defined on the open domain

$$U := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

We calculate the **marginal-product function**

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) &= \frac{1}{\alpha} (\delta_1 x_1^\alpha + \dots + \delta_n x_n^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \cdot \alpha \delta_i x_i^{\alpha-1} \\ &= \delta_i x_i^{\alpha-1} (\delta_1 x_1^\alpha + \dots + \delta_n x_n^\alpha)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $f$  is homogeneous :  $f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x)$ .

**Definition 2.1.4.** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be partially differentiable. Then, the vector

$$\nabla f(x) := \text{grad}f(x) := \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(x) \right) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is called the **gradient** of  $f$  at point  $x \in U$ .

**Example 2.1.5.**

(i) Distance function  $r(x)$

$$\text{grad}r(x) = \frac{x}{r(x)} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad x \in U := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

(ii) Let  $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be partially differentiable. Then

$$\nabla(f \cdot g) = f \cdot \nabla g + g \cdot \nabla f.$$

*Proof.* This follows from the product rule

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(fg) = f \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} + g \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}.$$

□

## 2.2 Directional Derivatives

Fix a directional vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|v| = 1$  (of **unit length!**).

**Definition 2.2.1.** The *directional derivative* of  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at a point  $x \in U$  along the unit vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (i.e., with  $|v| = 1$ ) is given by

$$\partial_v f(x) := D_v f(x) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + hv) - f(x)}{h}.$$

**Remark 2.2.2.**

(i) Define a new function

$$h \rightarrow g_v(h) := f(x + hv).$$

If  $g_v(h)$  is differentiable at  $h = 0$ , then  $f(x)$  is differentiable at point  $x \in U$  along direction  $v$  and

$$D_v f(x) = g'_v(0).$$

(ii) From the above definitions it is clear that the partial derivatives = directional derivatives along the basis vectors  $e_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) = D_{e_i} f(x), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

**Example 2.2.3.** Consider the “saddle” function in  $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$f(x_1, x_2) := -x_1^2 + x_2^2,$$

and find  $D_v f(x)$  along the direction  $v := (\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{2}/2), |v| = 1$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} g_v(h) &:= -\left(x_1 + h\sqrt{2}/2\right)^2 + \left(x_2 + h\sqrt{2}/2\right)^2 \\ &= -x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \sqrt{2}h(x_2 - x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $D_v f(x) = g'_v(0) = \sqrt{2}(x_2 - x_1)$ . Note that  $D_v f(x) = 0$  if  $x_1 = x_2$ . The function  $f$  has its minimum at the diagonal  $x_1 = x_2$ .

**Relation between  $\nabla f(x)$  and  $D_v f(x)$ :**

$$D_v f(x) = \langle \nabla f(x), v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i f(x) \cdot v_i. \quad (*)$$

*Proof.* will be done later, as soon as we prove the chain rule for  $\nabla f$ . □

## 2.3 Higher Order Partial

Let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be partially differentiable, i.e.,

$$\exists \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Analogously, for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  we can define (if it exists)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f \right) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

**Notation:**

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \text{ or } \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2} \quad \text{if } i = j.$$

**Warning:** In general,

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \neq \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \quad \text{if } i \neq j.$$

**Theorem 2.3.1** ((A. Schwarz); also known as Young's theorem). *Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be **twice continuously differentiable**,  $f \in C^2(U)$ , (i.e., all derivatives  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , are continuous). Then for all  $x \in U$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$*

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} = \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j},$$

*i.e., for cross-partial derivatives, the order of differentiation in their computing is irrelevant.*

**Example:** (i) The above theorem works:

$$f(x_1, x_2) := x_1^2 + bx_1x_2 + x_2^2, \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

**Counterexample:** (ii) The above theorem does not work:

$$f(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} x_1x_2 \frac{x_1^2 - x_2^2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, & (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \\ 0, & (x_1, x_2) = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

We calculate

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(0, 0) = -1 \neq 1 = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(0, 0).$$

**Reason:**  $f \notin C^2(U)$ .

**Notation:**

$$D_{i_k i_1} f, \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{i_k} \dots \partial x_{i_1}},$$

for any  $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

In general, for any  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|v| = 1$ , we have by (\*)

$$|D_v f(x)| \leq |\nabla f(x)|_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

**Geometrical interpretation of  $\nabla f$ :** Define the normalized vector

$$v := \frac{\nabla f(x)}{|\nabla f(x)|_{\mathbb{R}^n}} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, for this  $v$

$$D_v f(x) = \langle \nabla f(x), v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = |\nabla f(x)|_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

In other words, the gradient  $\nabla f(x)$  of  $f$  at point  $x$  is the direction in which the slope of  $f$  is the **largest** in absolute value.

## 2.4 Total Differentiability

**Intuition:** Repetition of the **1-dim case**

**Definition 2.4.1.** A function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  if the following limit exists

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x+h) - g(x)}{h} =: g'(x) \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (*)$$

**Geometrical picture:** Locally, i.e., for small  $|h| \rightarrow 0$ , we can approximate the values of  $g(x+h)$  by the linear function  $g(x) + ah$  with  $a := g'(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, the limit (\*) can be rewritten as

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x+h) - [g(x) + ah]}{h} = 0.$$

The approximation error  $E_g(h)$  equals

$$E_g(h) := g(x+h) - [g(x) + ah] \in \mathbb{R}$$

and it goes to zero with  $h$ :

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{E_g(h)}{h} = 0 \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|E_g(h)|}{|h|} = 0.$$

The latter can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} E_g(h) &= o(h) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0, \\ g(x+h) &\sim g(x) + ah \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Summary:**  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is **differentiable** at  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  if, for points  $x+h$  sufficiently close to  $x$ , the values  $g(x+h)$  admit a “nice” approximation by a linear function  $g(x) + ah$ , with an error

$$E_g(h) := g(x+h) - g(x) - ah$$

that goes to zero “faster” than  $h$  itself, i.e.,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|E_g(h)|}{|h|} = 0.$$

Now we extend the notion of differentiability to functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , for arbitrary  $n, m \geq 1$ :

$$\mathbb{R}^n \ni x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_m(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ \vdots \\ f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

**Definition 2.4.2.** Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open, and let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . The function  $f$  is **(totally) differentiable** at a point  $x \in U$  if there exists a **linear mapping**

$$A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

such that in some neighbourhood of  $x$ , (i.e., for small enough  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|h| < \varepsilon$ ), there is a presentation

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + Ah + E_f(h), \quad (**)$$

where the error term

$$E_f(h) := f(x+h) - f(x) - Ah \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

obeys

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|E_f(h)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}}{\|h\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}} = 0.$$

The **derivative**  $Df(x)$  of  $f$  at point  $x$  is the matrix  $A$ .

**Remark 2.4.3.**

(i) Each linear map  $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  can be represented by the  $m \times n$  **matrix** (with  $m$  rows and  $n$  columns)

$$(a_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix},$$

which describes the action of the linear map  $A$  on the **canonical basis**  $(e_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $e_j = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_j, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$  (vertical column or  $n \times 1$  matrix),

$$Ae_j = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1j} \\ a_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ a_{mj} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Below we always **identify** the linear mapping  $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  with this matrix, which acts as

$$Ah := \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ \vdots \\ h_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}h_1 + \dots + a_{1n}h_n \\ a_{21}h_1 + \dots + a_{2n}h_n \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1}h_1 + \dots + a_{mn}h_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

whereby the vector  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is considered as an  $n \times 1$  matrix.

The identity (\*\*\*) can be rewritten in **coordinate** form as

$$\begin{cases} f_i(x+h) = f_i(x) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}h_j + E_i(h), \\ i = 1, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$

with

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|E_i(h)|}{\|h\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}} = 0.$$

It is obvious that the vector-valued function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is differentiable at a point  $x \in U$  if and only if all coordinate mappings  $f_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , are differentiable.

(ii) Symbolically we write

$$E_f(h) = o(\|h\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}), \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

(iii) Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (i.e.,  $m = 1$ ). Then

$$A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (a_j)_{j=1}^n \quad (1 \times n\text{-matrix})$$

and

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j h_j + E_f(h),$$

where  $E_f(h) \in \mathbb{R}$  is such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|E_f(h)|}{\|h\|} = 0.$$

**Theorem 2.4.4.** Let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be **differentiable** at a point  $x \in U$ , i.e.,

$$f(x + h) = f(x) + Ah + o(\|h\|_{\mathbb{R}^n})$$

with a matrix

$$A = (a_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}.$$

Then:

(i)  $f$  is **continuous** at  $x$

(ii) All components  $f_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ , are **partially differentiable** at the point  $x$  and

$$\frac{\partial f_i(x)}{\partial x_j} = a_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

In other words, the derivative  $Df(x)$  of  $f$  at  $x$  is the **matrix of first partial derivatives**  $\frac{\partial f_i(x)}{\partial x_j}$  of the component functions  $f_i$ :

$$Df(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1(x)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1(x)}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1(x)}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_2(x)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2(x)}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_2(x)}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m(x)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_m(x)}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m(x)}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Such a matrix is called the **Jacobian matrix** of the function  $f$ . **Notation:**

$$Df(x) = \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_m)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(x) = \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.4.4.*

(i) We have

$$f(x + h) = f(x) + Ah + o(\|h\|), \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} Ah = 0$  and  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} o(\|h\|) = 0$ , finally

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(x + h) = f(x).$$

(ii) For each  $1 \leq i \leq m$

$$f_i(x + h) = f_i(x) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}h_j + E_i(h), \quad \text{with } E_i(h) = o(\|h\|) \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence for

$$h := te_j \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \|h\| = |t|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

with  $e_j = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_j, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  being the canonical basis vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it holds

$$f_i(x + te_j) = f_i(x) + ta_{ij} + E_i(te_j),$$

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_i(x + te_j) - f_i(x)}{t} = a_{ij} + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{E_i(te_j)}{t} = a_{ij}.$$

□

**Warning:** The inverse statement is not true! Partial differentiability alone does **not** imply total differentiability. However, the continuity of all  $x \mapsto \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x)$  would be sufficient to guarantee total differentiability (cf. Theorem 2.4.5 below).

For functions of *real* variables  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, x \in U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $n = 1$ , the notions of partial and total differentiability *coincide*. So, the total differentiability is a new concept only in the multidimensional case  $n > 1$ .

**Theorem 2.4.5** (without proof here). *Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open, and let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be partially differentiable. If all partial derivatives*

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

*are **continuous** at the point  $x \in U$ , then  $f$  is (totally) differentiable at  $x$ .*

We **summarize:** For  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  the following implications hold:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{continuously partially differentiable} \\ \Downarrow \\ \text{totally differentiable} \\ \Downarrow \\ \text{partially differentiable.} \end{array}$$

**Example 2.4.6.** *Let  $C := (c_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  be a **symmetric**  $n \times n$  matrix, i.e.,*

$$c_{ij} = c_{ji}, \quad \text{for all } i, j,$$

*and let*

$$f(x) := \langle Cx, x \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} := \sum_{i, j=1}^n c_{ij} x_i x_j, \quad f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

be the corresponding **quadratic form**. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x+h) &= \langle C(x+h), x+h \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
 &= \langle Cx, x \rangle + \langle Cx, h \rangle + \langle Ch, x \rangle + \langle Ch, h \rangle \\
 &= \langle Cx, x \rangle + 2\langle Cx, h \rangle + \langle Ch, h \rangle \\
 &= f(x) + \langle a, h \rangle + E(h),
 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &= 2Cx, \quad E(h) = \langle Ch, h \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \quad |E(h)| \leq \|C\| \cdot \|h\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2, \\
 \|C\| &:= \|C\|_{\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n} := \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left( \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} c_{ij}^2 \right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|E_f(h)|}{\|h\|} = 0,$$

we conclude that

$$\exists Df(x) = 2Cx \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Alternatively, we can calculate the partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ij} x_i = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ji} x_i = 2(Cx)_j \in \mathbb{R},$$

which are continuous functions of  $x$ . So, by Theorem 2.4.5

$$\exists Df(x) = 2Cx = 2((Cx)_j)_{j=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ (} 1 \times n \text{ - matrix)}.$$

**Remark 2.4.7** (Remark to Theorem 2.4.5). *Partially differentiable functions need not be continuous! The reason is that we consider limits along the axes, but not arbitrary sequences  $(x_k)_{k \geq 1} \subset U$  converging to a given point  $x \in U$ .*

**Exercise 2.4.8.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{y}{x^2} e^{-\frac{y}{x^2}}, & x \neq 0, \\ 0, & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Show that:

(i)  $f$  is continuous on every line drawn through  $(0, 0)$ ;

(ii)  $f$  is not continuous at  $(0, 0)$ .

(**Hint:** Consider  $y_k := cx_k^2$  with  $x_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .)

## 2.5 Chain Rule

**Theorem 2.5.1** (Chain Rule, without proof). *Let us be given two functions,*

$$f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \text{ and } g : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p,$$

where  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  are open and  $f(U) \subseteq V$ . Suppose that  $f$  is differentiable at some  $x \in U$  and  $g$  respectively at  $y := f(x)$ . Then the composite function

$$h := g \circ f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$$

is differentiable at  $x$ , and its derivative is given by (via matrix multiplication)

$$Dh(x) = \underbrace{Dg(f(x))}_{p \times m} \underbrace{Df(x)}_{m \times n}. \quad (p \times n\text{-matrix}) \quad (*)$$

*Idea of the proof.* For any  $x, \tilde{x} \in U$

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) - h(\tilde{x}) &= g(f(x)) - g(f(\tilde{x})); \\ g \text{ diff.} &\Rightarrow h(x) - h(\tilde{x}) \sim Dg(f(x)) (f(x) - f(\tilde{x})), \text{ as } f(\tilde{x}) \rightarrow f(x), \\ f \text{ diff.} &\Rightarrow h(x) - h(\tilde{x}) \sim Dg(f(x)) Df(x) (x - \tilde{x}), \text{ as } \tilde{x} \rightarrow x. \end{aligned}$$

A rigorous proof should take into account the error terms. □

In (\*) we have the product of two matrices: Let  $B$  be a  $p \times m$  matrix and  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix,

$$A = (a_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \quad (m \times n),$$

$$B = (b_{ki})_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq p \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \dots & b_{1m} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \dots & b_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{p1} & b_{p2} & \dots & b_{pm} \end{pmatrix} \quad (p \times m).$$

Then their product  $C := BA$  is a  $p \times n$  matrix defined as follows:

$$BA =: C = (c_{kj})_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq p \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \dots & c_{1n} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \dots & c_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{p1} & c_{p2} & \dots & c_{pn} \end{pmatrix},$$

with the entries

$$c_{kj} := \sum_{i=1}^m b_{ki} \cdot a_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq p, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

### Typical applications of the Chain Rule

(i) Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define

$$h := g \circ f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} f_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(t) \end{pmatrix} =: x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$\mathbb{R}^n \ni x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \rightarrow h(t) := g(f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t)).$$

Then

$$Df(t) = \begin{pmatrix} f'_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ f'_n(t) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$Dg(x) = \nabla g(x) = \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}(x), \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n}(x) \right) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By Theorem 2.5.1

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &= Dg[f(t)]Df(t) \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}(f(t)), \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n}(f(t)) \right) \times \begin{pmatrix} f'_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ f'_n(t) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \langle \nabla_x g(f(t)), \nabla f(t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(f(t)) \cdot f'_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 2.5.2** (Numerical Example). *Let*

$$f(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ t^2 \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad g(x) = g(x_1, x_2) := x_1 - x_2^2.$$

*Then*

$$h(t) = g(f(t)) = t - t^4, \quad h'(t) = 1 - 4t^3, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*On the other hand*

$$f'(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla g(x_1, x_2) = (1, -2x_2),$$

*and hence (substituting  $x_2$  by  $t^2$ )*

$$h'(t) = (1, -2t^2) \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2t \end{pmatrix} = 1 - 4t^3.$$

(ii) **Applications to directional derivatives (Section 2.2 revisited)**

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open, and let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable. Choose some unit vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|v| = 1$ . Then the directional derivative along  $v$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_v f(x) &:= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t} \\ &= \left. \frac{df(x + tv)}{dt} \right|_{t=0}.\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.5.3.** *Let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be totally differentiable and let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|v| = 1$ . Then, for any  $x \in U$*

$$\partial_v f(x) = \langle \nabla f(x), v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \cdot v_i.$$

*Proof.* By the above definition

$$\partial_v f(x) = g'_v(t) \Big|_{t=0},$$

with a scalar function

$$\begin{aligned}g_v : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \mathcal{I} &:= (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{i.e. } n = m = 1), \\ \mathcal{I} \ni t &\rightarrow g_v(t) = f(x + tv) \in \mathbb{R},\end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough such that  $B_\varepsilon(x) \subset U$ . But

$$g_v(t) = f(\varphi(t)),$$

where we set

$$\mathcal{I} \ni t \rightarrow \varphi(t) := x + tv \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \varphi(0) := x.$$

Obviously,  $\varphi$  is differentiable and  $\varphi'(t) = v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for all  $t \in \mathcal{I}$ . By the chain rule (Theorem 2.5.1)

$$g'_v(t) = Df(\varphi(t)) \cdot \varphi'(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\varphi(t)) \cdot v_i = \langle \nabla f(\varphi(t)), v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n},$$

and for  $t = 0$

$$\partial_v f(x) = g'_v(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \cdot v_i = \langle \nabla f(x), v \rangle.$$

□

(iii) Further rules: Linearity, i.e., for any  $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\begin{aligned} D(f + g) &= Df + Dg, \\ D(\alpha f) &= \alpha Df, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

**Example:** *Polar coordinates*

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} r \cos \varphi \\ r \sin \varphi \end{pmatrix}, \quad r > 0, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let us be given a differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x_1, x_2) \rightarrow f(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,

$$g(r, \varphi) := f \begin{pmatrix} r \cos \varphi \\ r \sin \varphi \end{pmatrix}, \quad r > 0, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{R},$$

defines a differential function  $g : (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with partial derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g(r, \varphi)}{\partial r} &= \frac{\partial f(r, \varphi)}{\partial x_1} \cos \varphi + \frac{\partial f(r, \varphi)}{\partial x_2} \sin \varphi, \\ \frac{\partial g(r, \varphi)}{\partial \varphi} &= -r \frac{\partial f(r, \varphi)}{\partial x_1} \sin \varphi + r \frac{\partial f(r, \varphi)}{\partial x_2} \cos \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

## 2.6 Taylor's Formula

**Intuition:** Review of **1-dim**

Let us recall the following:

**Theorem 2.6.1** (Mean value theorem). *Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuously differentiable function (i.e.,  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ ). Then for each  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b$ , there exists  $\theta \in (a, b)$  such that*

$$f(b) - f(a) = f'(\theta) \cdot (b - a). \quad (*)$$

**Taylor's formula** is a generalization of (\*) to  $(k + 1)$ -times differentiable functions ( $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). As a result we get a (finite) series expansion of a function  $f$  about a fixed point, up to the  $(k + 1)$ -th **Taylor remainder**. The following is well known from Calculus:

**Definition 2.6.2** (Taylor's Formula). *Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $(k + 1)$ -times continuously differentiable function on an open interval  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then for all  $x, x + h \in \mathcal{I}$ , we have the Taylor approximation of  $f$*

$$f(x + h) = f(x) + \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{f^{(l)}(x)}{l!} h^l + E_{k+1}, \quad (**)$$

where the  $(k + 1)$ -th error term  $E_{k+1}$  can be represented by

$$E_{k+1}(x, h) = \frac{f^{(k+1)}(x + \lambda h)}{(k + 1)!} h^{k+1}$$

for some  $\lambda = \lambda(x, h) \in (0, 1)$ . Recall that  $l! := l \cdot (l - 1) \cdot \dots \cdot 2 \cdot 1$  and  $0! := 1$ .

This is the so-called **Lagrange form** of the remainder term  $E_{k+1}$ . Of course,  $E_{k+1}$  and  $\lambda$  depend on the point  $x$ , around which we write the expansion, as well as on the increment  $h$ . Since  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , we see that  $x + \lambda h$  is some intermediate point between  $x$  and  $x + h$ . Obviously,  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} E_{k+1}(x, h)/h^k = 0$  and hence  $E_{k+1}(x, h) = o(h^k)$ ,  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

Sometimes, Taylor's formula is written in the equivalent form

$$f(x + h) = f(x) + \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{f^{(l)}(x)}{l!} h^l + o(h^k), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

If  $k = 0$ , we just get the mean value theorem (\*)

$$f(x + h) - f(x) = f'(x + \lambda h)h, \quad \lambda \in (0, 1).$$

### Generalization to several variables

**Theorem 2.6.3** (Multi-dimensional Taylor's Formula). *Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open; let  $x \in U$  and hence  $B_\delta(x) \subset U$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Let*

$$f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

*be  $(k + 1)$ -times continuously differentiable (i.e.,  $f \in C^{k+1}(U)$ ) Then for any  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\|h\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} < \delta$  there exists  $\theta = \theta(x, h) \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$f(x + h) = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \frac{D^\alpha f(x)}{\alpha!} h^\alpha + E_{k+1} \quad (***)$$

*with  $E_{k+1}(x, h) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k+1} \frac{D^\alpha f(x+\theta h)}{|\alpha|!} h^\alpha$ , where the summation is over all (i.e., with all possible permutations) multi-indices*

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n \text{ with order (degree) } |\alpha| \leq k.$$

### Multi-index notation:

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha| &:= \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \\ k! &:= k \cdot (k - 1) \cdot \dots \cdot 2 \cdot 1, \quad 0! := 1, \\ h^\alpha &= h_1^{\alpha_1} h_2^{\alpha_2} \dots h_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad h = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ D^\alpha f(x) &= D_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} D_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots D_{x_n}^{\alpha_n} f(x) := \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f(x)}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof will be done below.

**Corollary 2.6.4.** *Under the above conditions*

$$f(x+h) = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \frac{D^\alpha f(x)}{|\alpha|!} h^\alpha + o(\|h\|^k), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

**Remark 2.6.5.**

- (i) *Actually, the later formula with  $o(\|h\|^k)$  is true if we just know that  $f$  is  $k$ -times differentiable at the point  $x$ . But for the Lagrange representation of the error term  $E_{k+1}(x, h)$  in Theorem 2.6.3, we have to assume that  $f \in C^{k+1}(U)$ .*
- (ii) *If we do not allow permutations of indexes, then in Taylor's formula instead of  $|\alpha|!$  we should take  $\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!$ .*

**Example 2.6.6** (Particular Cases).

- (i) **Taylor approximation of order  $k = 2$**  for  $f \in C^2(U)$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+h) &= f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i f(x) \cdot h_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{i,j}^2 f(x) \cdot h_i h_j + o(\|h\|^2) \\ &= f(x) + \langle \text{grad} f(x), h \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \frac{1}{2} \langle h, \text{Hess} f(x) \cdot h \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} + o(\|h\|^2), \quad h \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We here use the **gradient** of  $f$

$$\text{grad} f(x) := \nabla f(x) := Df(x) := (\partial_1 f(x), \dots, \partial_n f(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and the **Hessian** of  $f$  (i.e., its **matrix of second derivatives**)

$$\text{Hess} f(x) := D^2 f(x) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_2^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_2 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_n \partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_n^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For shorthand,

$$\text{Hess} f(x) := (\partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} f(x))_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n, \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}},$$

which is a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix by Theorem 2.3.1.

- (ii) For  $n = k = 2$  we have in the **coordinate form**

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2) &= f(x_1, x_2) + \partial_{x_1} f(x_1, x_2) h_1 + \partial_{x_2} f(x_1, x_2) h_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x_1}^2 f(x_1, x_2) h_1^2 + \partial_{x_1 x_2}^2 f(x_1, x_2) h_1 h_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x_2}^2 f(x_1, x_2) h_2^2 + o(h_1^2 + h_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.6.3.* Set

$$g(t) = f(x + th), \quad t \in \mathcal{I} \supseteq [0, 1].$$

Applying the one-dimensional Taylor formula to the function  $g$  on an open interval  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we get with some  $\lambda = \lambda(t) \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= g(0) + g'(0)t + \frac{1}{2}g''(0)t^2 + \frac{1}{6}g'''(0)t^3 + \dots \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k!}g^{(k)}(0)t^k + \frac{1}{(k+1)!}g^{(k+1)}(\lambda)t^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the chain rule

$$\begin{aligned} g'(t) &= \langle Df(x + th), h \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \quad g''(t) = \langle D^2f(x + th)h, h \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \quad \dots \\ \text{and hence } g'(0) &= \langle Df(x), h \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \quad g''(0) = \langle D^2f(x)h, h \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

Finally we put  $t = 1$  and get the required expression for  $g(1) = f(x + h)$ . □

**Example 2.6.7.** Compute the Taylor approximation of order two ( $k = n = 2$ ) of the Cobb-Douglas function

$$f(x, y) = x^{1/4}y^{3/4} \text{ at point } (1, 1).$$

**Solution 2.6.8.** In the open domain  $U = \{x > 0, y > 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} &= \frac{1}{4}x^{-3/4}y^{3/4}, & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} &= \frac{3}{4}x^{1/4}y^{-1/4}, \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} &= -\frac{3}{16}x^{-7/4}y^{3/4}, & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} &= -\frac{3}{16}x^{1/4}y^{-5/4}, \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} &= \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} = \frac{3}{16}x^{-3/4}y^{-1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating these derivatives at  $x = y = 1$  gives

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{3}{4}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = -\frac{3}{16}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{3}{16}.$$

Therefore,

$$f(x + th, y + tg) = 1 + \frac{1}{4}h + \frac{3}{4}g - \frac{3}{32}(h^2 + g^2) + \frac{3}{16}hg + o(h^2 + g^2), \text{ as } h, g \rightarrow 0.$$

## 2.7 Implicit Functions

Before we studied **explicit** functions

$$f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m,$$

$$\mathbb{R}^n \supset \underbrace{U}_{\text{open}} \ni x \rightarrow f(x) =: y \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ \vdots \\ f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

This ideal situation does not always occur in economic models. Frequently, such models are described by “*mixed*” equations like

$$F(x, y) = 0, \quad F : \underbrace{U_1}_{\subset \mathbb{R}^n} \times \underbrace{U_2}_{\subset \mathbb{R}^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, \quad (*)$$

i.e., in coordinate form

$$\begin{cases} F_1(x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_m) = 0, \\ \vdots \\ F_m(x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_m) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$  are called *exogenous* variables and  $y_1, \dots, y_m \in \mathbb{R}$  resp. *endogenous* variables.

In particular, for  $m = n = 1$  we have

$$F(x, y) = 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As a rule, we cannot solve (\*) by some explicit formula separating the independent variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  on one side and  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  on the other.

**Interpretation:**  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a *vector of parameters* and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$  is the *output vector* we seek to describe the model. If for each  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in U$  the equation (\*) determines a unique value  $(y_1, \dots, y_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we say that we have an **implicit** function

$$y = g(x) \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad x \in U.$$

Below we study existence and differentiability properties of implicit functions.

**Intuition: 1-dim case:**

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be *open*, and consider a *differentiable* function

$$F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, y) \rightarrow F(x, y).$$

Fix some point  $(x_0, y_0) \in U$  such that  $F(x_0, y_0) = 0$ , and **suppose (!!!)** that there exists a differentiable function

$$g : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \rightarrow g(x), \quad g(x_0) = y_0,$$

defined on some **open interval**  $\mathcal{I} \ni x_0$  such that

$$(x, g(x)) \in U \text{ and } F(x, g(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Differentiating the equation  $F(x, g(x)) = 0$ , we get by the Chain Rule that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} F(x, g(x)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} F(x, g(x)) \cdot g'(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Assuming that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} F(x_0, y_0) \neq 0,$$

we conclude that

$$g'(x_0) = - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} F(x_0, y_0)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial y} F(x_0, y_0)}.$$

Indeed we have the following classical theorem from Calculus.

**Theorem 2.7.1** (1-dim Implicit Function Theorem, IFT). *Suppose that  $F(x, y)$  is a **continuously differentiable** function on an open domain  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , (i.e.,  $F \in C^1(U)$ , which means that  $\partial_x F, \partial_y F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous). Let a point  $(x_0, y_0) \in U$  be such that  $F(x_0, y_0) = 0$ . If*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} F(x_0, y_0) \neq 0,$$

*then there exist open intervals*

$$\mathcal{I} \ni x_0, \quad \mathcal{J} \ni y_0, \quad \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J} \subset U,$$

*and a **continuously differentiable** function*

$$g : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}, \quad g(x_0) = y_0,$$

*such that  $F(x, g(x)) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{I}$  and*

$$g'(x_0) = - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} F(x_0, y_0)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial y} F(x_0, y_0)}.$$

*Furthermore, such  $g$  is unique: if  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}$  and  $F(x, y) = 0$ , then surely  $y = g(x)$ .*

**Remark 2.7.2.** The proof of the existence of  $g$  in Theorem 2.7.1 is based on the Banach Contraction Theorem (Th. 1.12) and is highly non-trivial. This is a **local result** since it is stated on some (probably very small) open intervals  $\mathcal{I} \ni x_0$ ,  $\mathcal{J} \ni y_0$ .

**Interpretation in economics: Comparative Statistics:**

The IFT allows to study in what direction does the equilibrium  $y(x)$  change in a control variable  $x$ . The equilibrium is typically described by some equation  $F(x, y) = 0$ .

**Example 2.7.3.**

(i) Let  $\mathcal{I} = (-a, a)$ , consider the function describing an **upper half-circle**

$$y := g(x) = \sqrt{a^2 - x^2}, \quad x \in \mathcal{I}.$$

By direct calculations

$$\exists g'(x) = -\frac{x}{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}}, \quad x \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Let us check that IFT gives the same result. We have

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 &:= g^2(x) = a^2 - x^2 \iff \\ F(x, y) &:= x^2 + y^2 - a^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

on the open domain  $U := \{(x, y) \mid x \in \mathcal{I}, y > 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . So, for any  $(x, y) \in U$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} &= 2x, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 2y \neq 0, \quad \text{and} \\ \exists g'(x) &= -\frac{2x}{2\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}} = -\frac{x}{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) A **cubic implicit function**

$$F(x, y) = x^2 - 3xy + y^3 - 7 = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with

$$(x_0, y_0) = (4, 3) \quad \text{and} \quad F(x_0, y_0) = 0.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} &= 2x - 3y = -1 \quad \text{at } (x_0, y_0), \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} &= -3x + 3y^2 = 15 \quad \text{at } (x_0, y_0). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.7.1 tells us that  $F(x, y) = 0$  indeed defines  $y = g(x)$  as a  $C^1$  function of  $x$  around the point with coordinates  $x_0 = 4$  and  $y_0 = 3$ . Furthermore,

$$y'(x_0) = g'(x_0) = -\frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0)}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0)} = \frac{1}{15}.$$

(iii) A **unit circle** is described by

$$F(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0$$

$$\text{with } \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 2x, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 2y.$$

(a) Let first  $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 1)$ , so that  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) = 2 \neq 0$ . By Theorem 2.7.1 the implicit function  $y = g(x)$  exists around  $x_0 = 0$  and  $y_0 = 1$ , with  $g'(x_0) = -0/2 = 0$ . In this case we have an explicit formula

$$y^2(x) = 1 - x^2 \Rightarrow$$

$$y(x) = \sqrt{1 - x^2} > 0.$$

We also can compute directly

$$y'(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{-2x}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}, \quad y'(x_0) = 0.$$

(b) On the other hand, no nice function  $y = g(x)$  exists around the initial point  $(x_0, y_0) = (1, 0)$ . Actually, Theorem 2.7.1 does not apply since  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) = 0$ . On the picture we can see two branches tending to the point  $(1, 0)$  :

$$y(x) = \pm \sqrt{1 - x^2}.$$

### IFT, Multidimensional Case

**Theorem 2.7.4** (Multidimensional IFT). Let  $U_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $U_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be open domains and let

$$F : U_1 \times U_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, \quad (x, y) \rightarrow F(x, y),$$

be **continuously differentiable**, i.e.,  $F \in C^1(U_1 \times U_2)$ , which means that all  $\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial y_k} : U_1 \times U_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq i, k \leq m$ . Let a point  $(x_0, y_0) \in U_1 \times U_2$  be such that  $F(x_0, y_0) = 0$ . Suppose that the  $m \times m$ -matrix of partial derivatives w.r.t.  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial(F_1, \dots, F_m)}{\partial(y_1, \dots, y_m)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y_m} \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y_m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial y_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial y_m} \end{pmatrix}$$

is **invertible** at the point  $(x_0, y_0)$ , i.e., its **determinant**

$$\det \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \neq 0.$$

Then, there **exist**:

(i) **open neighbourhoods**  $V_1 \subseteq U_1$  of  $x_0$  resp.  $V_2 \subseteq U_2$  of  $y_0$  (in general, they can be smaller than  $U_1$  resp.  $U_2$ ),

(ii) a **continuously differentiable** function

$$g : V_1 \rightarrow V_2, \text{ with } g(x_0) = y_0,$$

such that

$$F(x, g(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in V_1.$$

Such function is **unique** in the following sense: if  $(x, y) \in V_1 \times V_2$  obey  $F(x, y) = 0$ , then  $y = g(x)$ . Furthermore, the **derivative** at point  $x_0$  **equals**

$$\underbrace{Dg(x_0)}_{m \times n} = - \underbrace{\left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right]^{-1}}_{m \times m} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0)}_{m \times n}.$$

**Example 2.7.5** (Special Cases).

(i)  $m = 1$ , i.e.,  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1) = 0.$$

The implicit function

$$y = g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}$$

exists under the sufficient condition

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \neq 0.$$

Then  $Dg(x_0) = \nabla g(x_0) = (\partial_i g(x_0))_{i=1}^n$ , whereby the partial derivatives  $\partial_j g(x_0)$  w.r.t.  $x_j$  are given by

$$\partial_j g(x_0) = - \frac{\partial_j F(x_0, y_0)}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

(ii)  $n = 1$ ,  $m = 2$ , i.e.,  $F : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$\begin{cases} F_1(x, y_1, y_2) = 0, \\ F_2(x, y_1, y_2) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The sufficient condition is stated in terms of

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y_2} \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

namely,

$$\det \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) = \left( \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y_1} \cdot \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y_2} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y_2} \cdot \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y_1} \right) (x_0, y_0) \neq 0.$$

Then there exists  $g(x) = (g_1(x), g_2(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  around  $x_0$  and

$$Dg(x_0) = \begin{pmatrix} g'_1(x_0) \\ g'_2(x_0) \end{pmatrix} = - \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right)^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Numerical Example:**  $n = 1$ ,  $m = 2$ , i.e.,  $F : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$F(x, y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} -2x^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 = 0, \\ x^2 + e^{y_1-1} - 2y_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

at point  $x_0 = 1, y_0 = (1, 1)$ . After calculations

$$D_y F(x, y_1, y_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 2y_1 & 2y_2 \\ e^{y_1-1} & -2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and at the point  $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$

$$D_y F(x_0, y_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\det D_y F(x_0, y_0) = 2 \cdot (-2) - 1 \cdot 2 = -6 \neq 0.$$

The inverse matrix

$$\left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{-6} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 1/6 & -1/3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also, by direct calculations

$$D_x F(x_0, y_0) = \begin{pmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{dg}{dx}(x_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 1/6 & -1/3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2/3 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Reminder:** Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \det A := ad - bc \neq 0.$$

Then, the inverse matrix is calculated by

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

## 2.8 Inverse Functions

Let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  – open set (now  $m = n$ !).

**Problem:** Does there exist an inverse mapping

$$g := f^{-1} : f(U) \rightarrow U?$$

**Theorem 2.8.1.** Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open domains and let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be continuously differentiable, i.e.,  $f \in C^1(U)$ . Let  $x_0 \in U$  and  $y_0 := f(x_0)$ . Suppose that the **Jacobi matrix** of partial derivatives

$$Df = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

is **invertible** at point  $x_0$ , i.e., its **determinant**  $\neq 0$ . Then, there exist **open neighborhoods**  $U_0 \subseteq U$  of  $x_0$  resp.  $V_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of  $y_0$  such that the mapping

$$f : U_0 \rightarrow V_0$$

is **one-to-one** (bijection) and the **inverse function**

$$g := f^{-1} : V_0 \rightarrow U_0, \quad \text{acting by} \\ (f^{-1} \circ f)(x) = x, \quad (f \circ f^{-1})y = y, \quad \forall x \in U_0, \quad \forall y \in V_0,$$

is **continuously differentiable** on  $V_0$ . Furthermore, the following holds:

$$Dg(y_0) = [Df(x_0)]^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Define the function

$$F : U \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \\ F(x, y) := y - f(x).$$

Then  $F(x_0, y_0) = 0$  and

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x, y) = -Df(x), \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = -Df(x_0) \neq 0, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x, y) = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n},$$

where  $\text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  is the identity  $n \times n$ -matrix. We claim that the equation

$$F(x, y) := y - f(x) = 0$$

locally defines the implicit function  $x := g(y) = f^{-1}(y)$ . Indeed, by Theorem 2.8.1 there exist  $V_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and a function  $g : V_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, g \in C^1(V_0)$ , such that

$$x = g(y), \quad y = f(g(y)), \quad y \in V_0.$$

So,

$$g = f^{-1} \text{ on } V_0$$

and

$$Dg(y_0) = - \left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \right]^{-1} \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n} = - [Df(x_0)]^{-1}.$$

□

**Special case:**  $n = 1$  and  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The sufficient condition is

$$f'(x_0) \neq 0.$$

$$\text{Then, } g'(y_0) = \frac{1}{f'(x_0)}.$$

**Example 2.8.2.** *Let*

$$f \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array} \right) := \left( \begin{array}{c} x^2 - y^2 \\ 2xy \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad \text{Then,}$$

$$Df(x, y) = \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial(x, y)} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 2x & -2y \\ 2y & 2x \end{array} \right), \quad \det Df(x, y) = 4(x^2 + y^2).$$

By IFT,  $f$  is (locally) invertible at every point  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  except  $(0, 0)$ . But globally  $f$  is not one-to-one, since for all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$f \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array} \right) = f \left( \begin{array}{c} -x \\ -y \end{array} \right).$$

## 2.9 Unconstrained Optimization

We now turn to study of optimization theory under *assumptions of differentiability*.

**Definition 2.9.1.** *Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an **open** domain and let*

$$f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

*be an **objective function** whose extrema we would like to analyse.*

- (i) A point  $x^* \in U$  is a **local maximum** (resp. **minimum**) of  $f$  if there exists a ball  $B_\varepsilon(x^*) \subset U$  such that for all  $x \in B_\varepsilon(x^*)$

$$f(x^*) \geq f(x) \text{ ( resp. } f(x^*) \leq f(x) \text{ )}.$$

*Local max or min are called **local extrema**.*

(ii) A point  $x^* \in U$  is a **global** (or **absolute**) **maximum** (resp. **minimum**) of  $f$  if for all  $x \in U$

$$f(x^*) \geq f(x) \text{ ( resp. } f(x^*) \leq f(x) \text{ )}.$$

(iii) A point  $x^* \in U$  is a **strict local maximum** (resp. **minimum**) of  $f$  if there exists a ball  $B_\varepsilon(x^*) \subset U$  such that for all  $x \neq x^*$  in  $B_\varepsilon(x^*)$

$$f(x^*) > f(x) \text{ ( resp. } f(x^*) < f(x) \text{ )}.$$

**Remark 2.9.2.** In the definition of the global extrema, the function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  can be defined on any domain  $U$ , which is **not necessarily open**.

We want to use methods of **Calculus** to find local extrema. So, we need smoothness (i.e., differentiability) of  $f$ .

## 2.10 First-Order Conditions

**Aim:** To find **necessary** conditions for local extrema.

**Theorem 2.10.1** (Necessary Condition for Local Extrema). Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open domain and  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be **partially differentiable** on  $U$  (i.e., all its partial derivatives  $\partial f / \partial x_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , exist). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & x^* \in U \text{ is a } \mathbf{local\ extremum} \text{ for } f \\ \implies & \text{grad} f(x^*) := \nabla f(x^*) = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x^*), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(x^*) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $i = 1, \dots, n$  define a function

$$\begin{aligned} & t \rightarrow g_i(t) := f(x^* + te_i), \text{ where} \\ & e_i = (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{1}_i, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is a unit basis vector in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  with a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\{x^* + te_i \mid -\varepsilon < t < \varepsilon\} \subset B_\varepsilon(x^*) \subset U \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

If  $x^*$  is a local extremum for  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , then clearly each real function  $g_i(t) : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has a local extremum at  $t = 0$ . Applying the one-dimensional necessary condition for extrema (well known from Calculus), we conclude that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x^*) = g'_i(0) = 0.$$

□

## 2.11 Second-Order Conditions

**Aim:** To find **sufficient** conditions for local extrema.

**Definition 2.11.1.** Any point  $x^* \in U$  satisfying the 1st condition  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$  is called a **critical point** of  $f$  on  $U$ .

The 1st order conditions for local optima do **not** distinguish between maxima and minima. To determine whether some critical point  $x^* \in U$  is a local max or min, we need to examine the behaviour of the second derivative  $D^2f(x^*)$ . To this end, we assume that  $f$  is **twice continuously differentiable** on  $U$ , i.e.,  $f \in C^2(U)$ , which means that all  $\frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . To formulate the sufficient conditions we need to use the **Hessian** of  $f$ , which is the  $n \times n$  matrix of 2nd partial derivatives:

$$\text{Hess}f(x) := D^2f(x) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_2^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_2 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_n \partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_n^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $f \in C^2(U)$ , by Theorem 2.3.1

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

so that  $D^2f(x)$  is a *symmetric* matrix. By *Taylor's approximation* of the 2nd order

$$f(x^* + h) = f(x^*) + \langle \text{grad}f(x^*), h \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \frac{1}{2} \langle h, \text{Hess}f(x^*) \cdot h \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} + o(\|h\|^2), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ ,

$$f(x^* + h) \sim f(x^*) + \frac{1}{2} \langle h, \text{Hess}f(x^*) \cdot h \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

If  $\text{Hess}f(x^*)$  is a **negative definite** matrix, i.e.,

$$\langle y, \text{Hess}f(x^*) y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} < 0 \text{ for all } 0 \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

then  $f(x^* + h) < f(x^*)$ , i.e.,  $x^*$  is a **strict local max**.

If  $\text{Hess}f(x^*)$  is a **positive definite** matrix, i.e.,

$$\langle y, \text{Hess}f(x^*) y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} > 0 \text{ for all } 0 \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

then  $f(x^* + h) > f(x^*)$ , i.e.,  $x^*$  is a **strict local min**.

We summarize the above analysis in the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.11.2** (Sufficient Conditions for Local Extrema). *Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open, the function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be **twice continuously differentiable** on  $U$ , and let  $x^* \in U$  obey  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ . Then:*

- (i) Hess $f(x^*)$  is **positive definite** (i.e., Hess $f(x^*) > 0$  as a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix)  
 $\implies x^*$  is a **strict local min**.

The positive definiteness of Hess $f(x^*)$  is **equivalent** to the **positivity** of all  $n$  **leading principal minors** of  $D^2f(x^*)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{1,1}^2 f(x^*) > 0, \quad & \left| \begin{array}{cc} \partial_{1,1}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{1,2}^2 f(x^*) \\ \partial_{2,1}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{2,2}^2 f(x^*) \end{array} \right| > 0, \\ \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \partial_{1,1}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{1,2}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{1,3}^2 f(x^*) \\ \partial_{2,1}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{2,2}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{2,3}^2 f(x^*) \\ \partial_{3,1}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{3,2}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{3,3}^2 f(x^*) \end{array} \right| > 0, \quad \dots, \quad & |D^2f(x^*)| = \det D^2f(x^*) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) Hess $f(x^*)$  is **negative definite** (i.e., Hess $f(x^*) > 0$  as a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix)  
 $\implies x^*$  is a **strict local max**.

The negative definiteness of Hess $f(x^*)$  means that the **leading principal minors alternate** in sign:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{1,1}^2 f(x^*) < 0, \quad & \left| \begin{array}{cc} \partial_{1,1}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{1,2}^2 f(x^*) \\ \partial_{2,1}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{2,2}^2 f(x^*) \end{array} \right| > 0, \\ \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \partial_{1,1}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{1,2}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{1,3}^2 f(x^*) \\ \partial_{2,1}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{2,2}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{2,3}^2 f(x^*) \\ \partial_{3,1}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{3,2}^2 f(x^*) & \partial_{3,3}^2 f(x^*) \end{array} \right| < 0, \quad \dots, \quad & (-1)^n |D^2f(x^*)| > 0. \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) Hess $f(x^*)$  is **indefinite**, i.e., for some vectors  $y_1 \neq 0$ ,  $y_2 \neq 0$

$$\langle y_1, \text{Hess}f(x^*)y_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} > 0 \quad \text{but} \quad \langle y_2, \text{Hess}f(x^*)y_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} < 0,$$

$\implies x^*$  is **not** a local extremum (i.e.,  $x^*$  is a **saddle point**)

**Remark 2.11.3.** A saddle point  $x^*$  is a min of  $f$  in some direction  $h_1 \neq 0$  and a max of  $f$  in other direction  $h_2 \neq 0$  (such that  $\langle h_1, \text{Hess}f(x^*)h_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} > 0$ ,  $\langle h_2, \text{Hess}f(x^*)h_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} < 0$ ).

**Warning:** The **positive semidefiniteness** Hess $f(x^*) \geq 0$ , i.e.,

$$\langle y, \text{Hess}f(x^*)y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

or the **negative semidefiniteness** Hess $f(x^*) \leq 0$ , i.e.,

$$\langle y, \text{Hess}f(x^*)y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

does **not** imply in general that  $x^*$  is a local (*non-strict*) minimum, or respectively, maximum. Now we cannot ignore the terms  $o(\|h\|^2)$  in Taylor's formula.

Unlike Theorem 2.10.1, the conditions of Theorem 2.11.2 are **not necessary** conditions! Remember a standard **Counterexample**:

$$f_1(x) = x^4, \quad f_2(x) = -x^4,$$

$$f_1'(0) = f_1''(0) = 0, \quad f_2'(0) = f_2''(0) = 0.$$

But  $f_1$  (resp.  $f_2$ ) has a **strict** global min (rep. max) at  $x = 0$ .

**Numerical Examples:**  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x, y) \rightarrow f(x, y)$

$$(i) \quad f(x, y) := x^2 + y^2,$$

$$\nabla f(x) = (2x, 2y) = 0 \iff x = y = 0.$$

$$D^2 f(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ the same for all } (x, y),$$

$$\det D^2 f(0) = 4 > 0$$

**Answer:**  $(0, 0)$  is a **strict local min**.

$$(ii) \quad f(x, y) := x^2 - y^2,$$

$$\nabla f(x) = (2x, -2y) = 0 \iff x = y = 0.$$

$$D^2 f(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\det D^2 f(0) = -4 < 0.$$

**Answer:**  $(0, 0)$  is a **saddle point**.

(iii)  $\text{Hess}f(x^*)$  is **semidefinite**, but we cannot say something about critical points. Consider functions

$$f_1(x, y) := x^2 + y^4, \quad f_2(x, y) := x^2, \\ f_3(x, y) := x^2 + y^3.$$

For each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , we have  $f_i(0) = 0, \nabla f_i(0) = 0$ ,

$$\text{Hess}f_i(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is positive semidefinite,}$$

i.e.,  $\langle h, \text{Hess}f_i(x^*)h \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \geq 0$  for any  $h \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

But, the point  $(0, 0)$  is:

- (1) *strict local* min for  $f_1$ ;
- (2) a *non-strict local* min for  $f_2$  (since  $f_2(0, y) = 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$ );
- (3) *not a local extremum* for  $f_3$  ( $f_3(t, 0) = t^2 > 0, f_3(0, t) = t^3 < 0$  if  $t < 0$ ).

**Reminder from Linear Algebra:**

**Proposition 2.11.4.** *A symmetric  $2 \times 2$  matrix*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_{12} = a_{21},$$

is **positive definite** if and only if

$$a_{11} > 0 \text{ and } \det A := a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}^2 > 0.$$

The matrix  $A$  is **negative definite** if and only if

$$a_{11} < 0 \text{ and } \det A = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}^2 > 0.$$

If  $\det A < 0$ , the matrix  $A$  is surely **indefinite**.

Indeed, for any vector  $y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$\langle Ay, y \rangle = a_{11}y_1^2 + a_{22}y_2^2 + 2a_{12}y_1y_2.$$

Let us assume that  $y_2 \neq 0$  and set  $z = y_1/y_2$ , then the quadratic polynomial

$$\frac{\langle Ay, y \rangle}{y_2^2} = P(z) = a_{11}z^2 + 2a_{12}z + a_{22}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R},$$

takes only positive (resp. negative) values for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  **iff** its discriminant  $\Delta := a_{12}^2 - a_{11}a_{22} = -\det A < 0$ .

## 2.12 A Rough Guide: How to Find the Global Maxima/Minima

**Problem:** to find **global maxima** (minima) for

$$f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \text{ (arbitrary set, not necessary open).}$$

- (i) Find and compare the local maxima (minima) in  $\text{int}D$  – interior of  $D$  – and choose the **best**.
- (ii) Compare with the **boundary values**  $f(x), x \in D \setminus \text{int}D$ .

**Numerical Example:** Find the max/min of

$$f(x) = 4x^3 - 5x^2 + 2x \quad \text{over } x \in [0, 1].$$

Since  $I := [0, 1]$  is **compact** and  $f$  is **continuous** on  $I$ , the **Weierstrass theorem** guarantees that  $f$  has a **global max** on this interval. There are 2 possibilities: either the maximum is a local maximum attained on the open interval  $(0, 1)$ , or it occurs at one of the boundary points  $x = 0, 1$ . In the first case we should meet the 1st order condition:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= 12x^2 - 10x + 2 = 0 \\ \implies x_1 &= 1/2 \text{ or } x_2 = 1/3. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have two critical points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . The 2nd order condition says that

$$\begin{aligned} f''(x) &= 24x - 10 \\ \implies f''(x_1) &= 2 > 0 \text{ and } f''(x_2) = -2 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $x = 1/2$  is local min and  $x = 1/3$  is local max. Evaluating  $f$  at the four points 0,  $1/3$ ,  $1/2$ , and 1 shows that

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f(1/3) = 7/27, \quad f(1/2) = 1/4, \quad f(1) = 1;$$

so  $x = 1$  is the global max resp.  $x = 1/2$  is the global min for  $f(x)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

**Literature:** Chapters 16, 17 of C. Simon, L. Blume “*Mathematics for Economists*”.

**Example 2.12.1** (Economical Example: Cobb–Douglas Function).

**Cobb–Douglas production function:**  $f(x, y) = x^a y^b$ ,  $x, y > 0$ .

Find the maximum of the **profit**  $V(x, y) = px^a y^b - k_x x - k_y y$ . 1st order conditions:

$$\begin{cases} pax^{a-1}y^b = k_x, \\ pbx^a y^{b-1} = k_y. \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

After dividing the 1st line by the 2nd one, we get

$$\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{y}{x} = \frac{k_x}{k_y} \implies y = \frac{bk_x}{ak_y} x.$$

Putting back in (\*), we have

$$k_x = pax^{a-1} \left( \frac{bk_x}{ak_y} x \right)^b = pa^{1-b} b^b \left( \frac{k_x}{k_y} \right)^b x^{a+b-1}$$

which allows us to find a unique critical point  $(x^*, y^*)$

$$x^* = \left( \frac{k_x^{1-b} k_y^b}{p a^{1-b} b^b} \right)^{\frac{1}{a+b-1}} = \frac{p^{\frac{1}{1-(a+b)}} a^{1-\frac{a}{1-(a+b)}} b^{\frac{b}{1-(a+b)}}}{k_x^{1-\frac{a}{1-(a+b)}} b^{1-\frac{b}{1-(a+b)}}},$$

$$y^* = \frac{b k_x}{a k_y} x^*.$$

Is it a maximum? Calculate

$$\text{Hess}V(x, y) = \text{Hess}f(x, y) = p \begin{pmatrix} a(a-1)x^{a-2}y^b & abx^{a-1}y^{b-1} \\ abx^{a-1}y^{b-1} & b(b-1)x^a y^{b-2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\det \text{Hess}V(x, y) = [a(a-1)b(b-1) - a^2b^2] x^{2a-2} y^{2b-2} > 0$$

if  $(a-1)(b-1) > ab$  or  $a+b < 1$ . We also have that

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x, y) < 0 \text{ if } a < 1.$$

So, a sufficient condition for max is  $a+b < 1$ .

## 2.13 Envelope Theorems

The **Envelope Theorem** (Umhüllenden-Theorem) is a general principle describing how the optimal value of the objective function in a **parametrized** optimization problem changes as the parameters of the problem change. In economics, such parameters can be prices, tax rates, income levels, etc. Such problems constitute the subject of **Comparative Statistics**.

In microeconomic theory, the envelope theorem is used, e.g., to prove *Hotelling's lemma* (1932), *Shepard's lemma* (1953) and *Roy's identity* (1947).

In applications, it is usually stated *non-rigorously*, i.e., without the suitable assumptions which guarantee the *differentiability* of the so-called optimal value function.

Let

$$f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

be a **continuously differentiable** function. We call it the **objective function**  $f(x, \alpha)$ , it depends on the choice variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and the parameter  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . We consider the unconstrained maximization problem for  $f$ , i.e.,

$$\text{maximize } f(x; \alpha) \text{ w.r.t. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let  $x^*(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a solution of the above problem, i.e.,

$$f(x^*(\alpha); \alpha) \geq f(x; \alpha) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Here we *assume* that, at each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , such a solution  $x^*(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  *exists*; in the case of non-uniqueness we take for  $x^*(\alpha)$  *any one* of the maximum points  $x$  for  $f(x; \alpha)$ . Then,

$$V(\alpha) := \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x; \alpha) = f(x^*(\alpha); \alpha)$$

is the corresponding **(optimal) value function**.

We are interested in how  $V(\alpha)$  depends on  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

Note that  $V(\alpha) = f(x^*(\alpha); \alpha)$  changes for 2 reasons:

- (i) **directly** w.r.t.  $\alpha$ , because  $\alpha$  is the 2nd variable in  $f(x; \alpha)$ ;
- (ii) **indirectly**, since  $x^*(\alpha)$  itself nontrivially depends on  $\alpha$ .

**Theorem 2.13.1** (Envelope Theorem). *Suppose that  $f(x; \alpha)$  is **continuously differentiable** w.r.t.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Suppose **additionally** that  $x^*(\alpha)$  is a **continuously differentiable** function of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then  $V(\alpha)$  is also **continuously differentiable** and for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $1 \leq i \leq m$*

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \alpha_i}(\alpha) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_i}(x^*(\alpha); \alpha).$$

*Proof.* By our assumption we have

$$V(\alpha) = f(x^*(\alpha); \alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Therefore, by the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \alpha_i}(\alpha) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_i}(x^*(\alpha); \alpha) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x^*(\alpha); \alpha) \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \alpha_i}(\alpha), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

The second sum vanishes since by the 1st order condition for extrema (cf. Theorem 2.10.1)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x^*(\alpha); \alpha) = 0, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Thus we get

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \alpha_i}(\alpha) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_i}(x^*(\alpha); \alpha).$$

□

**Remark 2.13.2.** *The same inequality holds if we minimize  $f(x; \alpha)$ .*

**Simplified rule:** When calculating  $\partial V/\partial \alpha_i$ , just forget the  $\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$  and take the derivatives of  $f(x; \alpha)$  w.r.t.  $\alpha_i$ , and then plug in the optimal solution  $x^*(\alpha)$ . So, we need to **consider only the direct effect** of  $\alpha$  on  $V(\alpha)$ , **ignoring the indirect effect** of  $x^*(\alpha)$ .

At this point it would be useful to know when  $x^*(\alpha)$  **exists** and is **continuously differentiable** w.r.t.  $\alpha$ . To answer this question we can use the **Implicit Function Theorem (IFT)**.

Assume that  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ . We know that  $x^*(\alpha)$  is a solution to

$$\nabla_x f(x, \alpha) = 0$$

(the necessary condition for extrema), i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x, \alpha) = 0, \\ \dots \quad \dots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(x, \alpha) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Consider a function

$$g : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \\ (x; \alpha) \rightarrow \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x; \alpha) \right)_{1 \leq j \leq n}.$$

The **IFT** (cf. Theorem 2.8.1) tells us that  $x^*(\alpha)$  exists as an implicit function and is continuously differentiable w.r.t.  $\alpha$  if the  $n \times n$ -matrix of partial derivatives of  $g$  w.r.t.  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is **invertible**, i.e.,  $\det D_x g(x, \alpha) \neq 0$ , where

$$D_x g = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n^2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ D_x g = \text{Hess}_x f = D_x^2 f.$$

Assume that  $\text{Hess}_x f$  at point  $(x^*(\alpha); \alpha)$  is a negative definite matrix (which is the sufficient condition for a strict local maximum w.r.t.  $x$ ). Hence  $\det D_x g(x^*(\alpha); \alpha) > 0$  if  $n = 2, 4, 6, \dots$  (or  $< 0$  if respectively,  $n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$ ). These arguments lead to the following result.

**Theorem 2.13.3** (Deep Envelope Theorem, Samuelson (1947), Auspitz–Lieben (1889)).  
Let  $U_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $U_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be open domains and let

$$f : U_1 \times U_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, \alpha) \rightarrow f(x; \alpha),$$

be **twice continuously differentiable** (i.e.,  $f \in C^2(U_1 \times U_2)$ ). Suppose that  $\text{Hess}_x f(x; \alpha)$  is **negative definite** for all  $x \in U_1$ ,  $\alpha \in U_2$ . Fix some  $\alpha \in U_2$ , and let  $x^*(\alpha) \in U_1$  be a maximum of  $f(x; \alpha)$  on  $U_1$ , i.e.,

$$f(x^*(\alpha); \alpha) = \max_{x \in U_1} f(x; \alpha)$$

↓ which, by Theorem 2.11.2, implies ↓

$$\nabla_x f((x^*(\alpha); \alpha) = 0.$$

Then there exists a **continuously differentiable** function  $x^* : V_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  defined on some open set  $V_2 \subseteq U_2$  such that

$$V(\alpha) := \max_{x \in U_1} f(x; \alpha) = f(x^*(\alpha); \alpha)$$

and  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial \alpha_i}(\alpha) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_i}(x^*(\alpha); \alpha).$

**Geometrical picture:** The curve  $\mathbb{R}^m \ni \alpha \mapsto y = V(\alpha) := f(x^*(\alpha); \alpha)$  is the **envelope** of the family of curves  $\mathbb{R}^m \ni \alpha \mapsto y = V_x(\alpha) := f(x; \alpha)$ , indexed by the parameter  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Indeed, for each  $x$  and  $\alpha$  we have

$$f(x; \alpha) \leq V(\alpha).$$

None of the  $V_x(\alpha)$ –curves can lie above the curve  $y = V(\alpha)$ . On the other hand, for each value of  $\alpha$  there exists at least one value  $x^*(\alpha)$  of  $x$  such that  $f(x^*(\alpha); \alpha) = V(\alpha)$ . The curve  $\alpha \mapsto V_{x^*(\alpha)}(\alpha)$  will just **touch** the curve  $\alpha \mapsto y = V(\alpha)$  at the point  $(x^*(\alpha), V(\alpha))$ , and so must have exactly the **same tangent** as the graph of  $V$  at this point, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \alpha_i}(\alpha) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_i}(x^*(\alpha); \alpha).$$

So, the graph of  $V(\alpha)$  is like an **envelope** that is used to “wrap” or cover all the curves  $y = V_x(\alpha)$ .

**Example 2.13.4** (Hotelling’s Lemma). *A competitive firm cannot change:*

- (i) **output prices**  $p$  (if you increase  $p$ , you lose customers);
- (ii) **wages**  $w$  (workers will go to other firms).

But the firm can chose  $x$  – the **number of workers** it uses. Let  $f(x)$  is the corresponding **production function**. The **profit** of the firm at given  $x, p, w$  is given by

$$\pi(x; p, w) = pf(x) - wx.$$

The **maximum profit function** (also called the firm's profit function)

$$V(p, w) = \max_{x \geq 0} \{pf(x) - wx\}.$$

It is important to know how the profit of the firm changes if  $p, w$  change:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial p}, \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial w} \quad ?$$

By the Envelope Theorem, if the model is “nice” (i.e., we have a continuously differentiable function  $x^*(p, w)$ ), then formally

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial p} &= f(x^*(p, w)), \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial w} &= -x^*(p, w), \end{aligned}$$

where  $x^*(p, w)$  is the optimal number of workers.

**Conclusion:** when wages are increasing, the maximum profit will be decreasing proportionally to the number of workers.

Formally  $x^*$  obeys

$$g(x, w, p) = pf'(x^*) - w = 0$$

By the IFT, a “nice” solution exists if  $f''(x^*) < 0$ .

## 2.14 Gâteaux and Fréchet Differentials

The notions of directional and total differentiability can be naturally extended to infinite dimensional spaces.

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space,  $U \subset X$  – open set and  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 2.14.1** (Gâteaux differentiability). *The function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Gâteaux differentiable at a point  $x \in U$  along direction  $v \in X, \|v\| = 1$ , if the following limit exists:*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} [f(x + tv) - f(x)] =: D_v f(x).$$

$D_v f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  is called the **Gâteaux derivative**.

**Definition 2.14.2** (Fréchet differentiability). *The function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Fréchet differentiable at a point  $x \in U$  if there exists a linear continuous mapping  $Df(x) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\|h\|} [f(x + h) - f(x) - Df(x)h] = 0;$$

$Df(x) \in \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R})$  is called the **Fréchet derivative**.

Fréchet differentiability  $\implies$  Gâteaux differentiability along all directions  $v \in X, \|v\| = 1$ .

**Proposition 2.14.3** (Sufficient condition for Fréchet differentiability). *If all directional derivatives*

$$D_v f(x), \quad \forall v \in X, \quad \|v\| = 1,$$

*exist in all points  $x \in U$  and can be represented as*

$$D_v f(x) = L(x)v$$

*with a linear bounded operator  $L(x) : X \rightarrow X$  and the mapping*

$$U \ni x \rightarrow L(x) \in \mathcal{L}(X, X)$$

*is **continuous** (in the operator norm), then  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is also Fréchet differentiable at all points  $x \in U$  and*

$$Df(x) = L(x).$$

**Proposition 2.14.4** (Necessary condition for extrema). *If  $f$  has a local extrema in  $U$ , then each  $D_v f(x) = 0$  for  $v \in X, \|v\| = 1$ , (provided this directional derivative exists).*