

**Formal Logic — Exercise Sheet 9****Exercise 33: (All in one)**

Establish THE normal form (TNF) of

$$F = \neg\forall x \exists y (P(y, a) \wedge \neg Q(x)) \wedge \neg\exists x (P(x, x) \Rightarrow Q(x)),$$

determine the Herbrand universe of the TNF, and apply the resolution calculus to it. Is  $F$  satisfiable?

**Exercise 34: (Dancing paradox)**

Consider this statement:

At this (nonempty) party there is someone such that, if this person is dancing, then everyone at the party is dancing.

Translate the statement above into a formula  $F$  in first-order logic. Take  $U_{\mathcal{A}}$  as “all people at this party”. Prove that the statement is true for any (nonempty) party by showing that it is valid. In order to do this show that  $\neg F$  is unsatisfiable: establish the THE normal form (TNF) of  $\neg F$  and apply the resolution calculus to it.

*(Since the statement is true it is not really a paradox; it only sounds like one.)*

**Exercise 35: (I can't get no satisfaction)**

Establish THE normal form (TNF) of

$$F = \forall x \left( (\exists y (P(a) \Rightarrow Q(y))) \wedge (Q(x) \Rightarrow P(x)) \right)$$

and apply the resolution calculus. Is  $F$  satisfiable?

**Exercise 36: (Infinite models)**

Prove that there are formulas having infinite models only. That is:

(a) Show that  $F = \forall x P(x, f(x)) \wedge \forall y \neg P(y, y) \wedge \forall x \forall y \forall z ((P(x, y) \wedge P(y, z)) \Rightarrow P(x, z))$  is satisfiable by finding a model for  $F$ .

(b) Show that  $F$  is not satisfiable for any  $\mathcal{A} = (U_{\mathcal{A}}, I_{\mathcal{A}})$  where  $U_{\mathcal{A}}$  is finite.

Send your solutions until Tue 14.12.2021 at 14:00 to the tutor who sent you the correction of your last solutions.

Tutors:	Leonard Simon Ellinghaus	lellinghaus@techfak.de
	Jonas Kalinski	jkalinski@techfak.de
	Frederic Alberti	falberti@math.uni-bielefeld.de