Velocity Dependence of Atomic Friction Beyond the Thermally Activated Hopping Regime

Peter Reimann, Mykhaylo Evstigneev (Theory) André Schirmeisen, Lars Jansen, Harald Fuchs (Experiment)

- Experimental set-up and observations
- Simplified theoretical model
- Non-monotonic velocity dependence of atomic friction
- Slip statistics and rate theory



- cantilever dimensions  $\approx$  200  $\mu m$   $\times$  50  $\mu m$   $\times$  1  $\mu m$
- $\bullet$  tip height and basis radius  $\approx$  5  $\mu m$   $\times$  1  $\mu m$
- $\bullet$  tip apex radius  $\approx$  10 nm
- lattice constant  $L \approx$  0.5 nm

#### **Friction Force Microscopy**



- stick-slip motion
- "atomic resolution" ( $L \approx 0.5 \text{ nm}$ )
- thermal noise effects

#### **Friction Force Microscopy**



#### $ar{F}$ versus

v



Sills and Overney, PRL 91, 095501 (2003) glassy polysterene surface  $F_N = 15 \text{ nN}$ 

• thermal noise matters



Sills and Overney, PRL 91, 095501 (2003) glassy polysterene surface  $F_N = 15 \text{ nN}$ 

• thermal noise matters

Riedo et al., PRL 91, 084502 (2003) mica surface

T = 293 K

• "plateaux" at large v

### **Model**



neglect "fast" thermal fluctuations of molecules

 $\Rightarrow$  2 "slow" state variables/collective coordinates:

x-coordinate of tip apex (rest position x = 0)

s : position of substrate along x-axis

#### <u>Model</u>



neglect "fast" thermal fluctuations of molecules  $\Rightarrow$  2 "slow" state variables/collective coordinates: *x*-coordinate of tip apex (rest position x = 0) *s* : position of substrate along *x*-axis

- $\bullet$  given x and s, the entire "global" configuration is uniqely fixed
- x, s "slow"  $\Leftrightarrow$  "fast" molecular fluctuations always close to equilibrium
- s = v t externally imposed (still "slow")

#### <u>Model</u>



neglect "fast" thermal fluctuations of molecules  $\Rightarrow$  2 "slow" state variables/collective coordinates: *x*-coordinate of tip apex (rest position x = 0) *s* : position of substrate along *x*-axis

- $\bullet$  given x and s, the entire "global" configuration is uniquly fixed
- x, s "slow"  $\Leftrightarrow$  "fast" molecular fluctuations always close to equilibrium
- s = v t externally imposed (still "slow")

 $\Rightarrow$  goal: equation of motion for x(t)



 $s = v \, t$  : position of substrate along x-axis

substrate potential U(x-s) with U(x+L) = U(x)

elastic force  $-\kappa x(t) = -F(t)$  [ $\kappa \approx 1$  nN/nm]



s = v t: position of substrate along x-axis

substrate potential U(x-s) with U(x+L) = U(x)elastic force  $-\kappa x(t) = -F(t)$  [ $\kappa \approx 1$  nN/nm]

"fast" fluctuations of molecules  $\Rightarrow$  thermal bath effects (close to eq.)

- dissipation of cantilever & tip  $\left|-\eta_{c}\dot{x}(t)
  ight|$
- concomitant thermal noise  $\sqrt{2\eta_c kT} \xi_c(t)$

//	



s = v t: position of substrate along x-axis

substrate potential U(x-s) with U(x+L) = U(x)elastic force  $-\kappa x(t) = -F(t)$  [ $\kappa \approx 1$  nN/nm]

"fast" fluctuations of molecules  $\Rightarrow$  thermal bath effects (close to eq.)

• dissipation of cantilever & tip  $\left|-\eta_{c}\dot{x}(t)\right|$ 

• concomitant thermal noise  $\sqrt{2\eta_c kT} \xi_c(t)$ 

- dissipation of substrate  $-\eta_s (\dot{x}(t) v)$
- concomitant thermal noise  $\sqrt{2\eta_s kT} \xi_s(t)$





s = v t: position of substrate along x-axis

substrate potential U(x-s) with U(x+L) = U(x)elastic force  $-\kappa x(t) = -F(t)$  [ $\kappa \approx 1$  nN/nm]

"fast" fluctuations of molecules  $\Rightarrow$  thermal bath effects (close to eq.)

• dissipation of cantilever & tip  $\left|-\eta_{c}\dot{x}(t)
ight|$ 

• concomitant thermal noise  $\sqrt{2\eta_c kT} \xi_c(t)$ 

- dissipation of substrate  $\left[-\eta_s \left(\dot{x}(t) v\right)\right]$
- concomitant thermal noise  $\sqrt{2\eta_s kT} \xi_s(t)$



 $m\ddot{x}(t) = -U'(x(t) - vt) - \kappa x(t) - \eta_c \dot{x}(t) + \sqrt{2\eta_c kT}\xi_c(t) - \eta_s(\dot{x}(t) - v) + \sqrt{2\eta_s kT}\xi_s(t)$ 



s = v t: position of substrate along x-axis

substrate potential U(x-s) with U(x+L) = U(x)elastic force  $-\kappa x(t) = -F(t)$  [ $\kappa \approx 1$  nN/nm]

"fast" fluctuations of molecules  $\Rightarrow$  thermal bath effects (close to eq.)

• dissipation of cantilever & tip  $\left|-\eta_{c} \dot{x}(t)\right|$ 

• concomitant thermal noise  $\sqrt{2\eta_c kT} \xi_c(t)$ 

- dissipation of substrate  $-\eta_s (\dot{x}(t) v)$
- concomitant thermal noise  $\sqrt{2\eta_s kT} \xi_s(t)$



 $m\ddot{x}(t) = -U'(x(t) - vt) - \kappa x(t) - \eta_c \dot{x}(t) + \sqrt{2\eta_c kT}\xi_c(t) - \eta_s(\dot{x}(t) - v) + \sqrt{2\eta_s kT}\xi_s(t)$ 

 $\eta := \eta_c + \eta_s , \ \vartheta := \eta_c / \eta , \ X(t) := x(t) - vt , \ F(t) = \kappa x(t) = \kappa (X(t) + vt)$ 

Previous theories: bath effects of cantilever & tip ignored  $\Leftrightarrow \vartheta = 0$ 



Previous theories: bath effects of cantilever & tip ignored  $\Leftrightarrow \vartheta = 0$ Elasticities:  $\kappa_c$  (cantilever),  $\kappa_t$  (tip-apex),  $\kappa_s$  (substrate)  $\kappa$  (total): 3 springs in series:  $\frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{1}{\kappa_c} + \frac{1}{\kappa_t} + \frac{1}{\kappa_s}$ Typical values  $\kappa_c \approx 75$  nN/nm,  $\kappa \approx 1.2$  nN/nm

⇒ deformations mainly within small tip-substrate contact region



Previous theories: bath effects of cantilever & tip ignored  $\Leftrightarrow \vartheta = 0$ Elasticities:  $\kappa_c$  (cantilever),  $\kappa_t$  (tip-apex),  $\kappa_s$  (substrate)  $\kappa$  (total): 3 springs in series:  $\frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{1}{\kappa_c} + \frac{1}{\kappa_t} + \frac{1}{\kappa_s}$ Typical values  $\kappa_c \approx 75$  nN/nm,  $\kappa \approx 1.2$  nN/nm

- ⇒ deformations mainly within small tip-substrate contact region
- ⇒ inertia effects mainly due to acceleration of material within small tip-substrate contact region



Previous theories: bath effects of cantilever & tip ignored  $\Leftrightarrow \vartheta = 0$ Elasticities:  $\kappa_c$  (cantilever),  $\kappa_t$  (tip-apex),  $\kappa_s$  (substrate)  $\kappa$  (total): 3 springs in series:  $\frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{1}{\kappa_c} + \frac{1}{\kappa_t} + \frac{1}{\kappa_s}$ Typical values  $\kappa_c \approx 75$  nN/nm,  $\kappa \approx 1.2$  nN/nm

- ⇒ deformations mainly within small tip-substrate contact region
- ⇒ inertia effects mainly due to acceleration of material within small tip-substrate contact region
- $\Rightarrow$  eff. mass  $m \ll$  cantilever mass
- $\Rightarrow$  dynamics overdamped  $(m\ddot{X}(t) \simeq 0)$



Previous theories:  $m\approx$  cantilever mass  $\Rightarrow$  dynamics underdamped

$$\eta \dot{X}(t) = -U'(X(t)) - F(t) - \vartheta \eta v + \sqrt{2\eta kT} \xi(t)$$
$$F(t) = \kappa \left[ X(t) + v t \right], \quad \overline{F} := \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t dt' F(t')$$

•  $\eta \mapsto \alpha \eta \Leftrightarrow$  shifting  $\overline{F}(v)$  curve along  $\log(v)$ -axis by  $-\log(\alpha)$ 

•  $\overline{F}(v,\vartheta) = \overline{F}(v,\vartheta=0) - \vartheta\eta v$ 



• Experiment implies  $\vartheta > 0.5$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow$  friction and noise due to tip apex exceed those due to substrate

• first theoretical explanation of "plateaux" in  $\bar{F}(v)$ 

• Prediction of decreasing  $\bar{F}(v)$  upon further increasing v

• For perfect fit  $U(x) = A \sin(2\pi x/L)$  too simple





- stick-slip amplitude  $\widehat{=}$  dissipation
  - $\Rightarrow \bar{F}(v)$  decreasing



- stick-slip amplitude  $\widehat{=}$  dissipation
  - $\Rightarrow \bar{F}(v)$  decreasing
- slips: thermally activated transitions

 $\Rightarrow \bar{F}(v)$  increasing



- stick-slip amplitude  $\hat{=}$  dissipation  $\Rightarrow \bar{F}(v) \underline{\text{decreasing}}$
- slips: thermally activated transitions  $\Rightarrow \bar{F}(v)$  increasing

together  $|\bar{F}(v)|$  non-monotonic



[Reimann & Evstigneev, New J. Phys. 7, 25 (2005)]

 $\eta \dot{X}(t) = -U'(X(t)) - F(t) - \vartheta \eta v + \sqrt{2\eta kT} \xi(t)$ 

 $\eta \dot{X}(t) = -U'(X(t)) - F(t) - \vartheta \eta v + \sqrt{2\eta kT} \xi(t)$ Approximation  $F(t) \approx \overline{F}$  (exact for  $\kappa \to 0$  or  $v \to \infty$ )

$$v(f) \simeq rac{L \, k \, T \, [1 - e^{-Lf/kT}]}{\eta \int_0^L dx \int_x^{x+L} dy \, e^{[U(x) - U(y) + (x-y)f]/kT}} \quad , \quad \bar{F}(f) \simeq f - \eta v(f)$$

$$v(f) \simeq rac{L \, k \, T \, [1 - e^{-Lf/kT}]}{\eta \int_0^L dx \int_x^{x+L} dy \, e^{[U(x) - U(y) + (x-y)f]/kT}} \quad , \qquad ar{F}(f) \simeq f - \eta v(f)$$



Data for  $F_N = 12 \,\text{nN}$  from Riedo et al., PRL 91, 084502 (2003)

$$v(f) \simeq rac{L \, k \, T \, [1 - e^{-Lf/kT}]}{\eta \int_0^L dx \int_x^{x+L} dy \, e^{[U(x) - U(y) + (x-y)f]/kT}} \quad , \qquad ar{F}(f) \simeq f - \eta v(f)$$



 $\vartheta=1,~\eta=20~\mathrm{nN}\,\mu\mathrm{s/nm},~L=0.52~\mathrm{nm},~\kappa=1.2~\mathrm{nN/nm},~T=293~\mathrm{K}$ 

$$v(f) \simeq rac{L\,k\,T\,[1-e^{-Lf/kT}]}{\eta \int_0^L dx \int_x^{x+L} dy \,\,e^{[U(x)-U(y)+(x-y)f]/kT}} \quad , \qquad ar{F}(f) \simeq f - \eta v(f)$$



 $\vartheta = 1$ ,  $\eta = 20$  nN  $\mu$ s/nm, L = 0.52 nm,  $\kappa = 1.2$  nN/nm, T = 293 K

[Evstigneev, Schirmeisen, Jansen, Fuchs, Reimann, PRL 97, 240601 (2006)]



 $\dot{p}_v(F(t)) = -r(F(t)) p_v(F(t))$ 

F(t) instantaneous force, r(F) "slip-rate",  $p_v(F)$  "stick-probability"

[Evstigneev, Schirmeisen, Jansen, Fuchs, Reimann, PRL 97, 240601 (2006)]



 $\dot{p}_v(F(t)) = -r(F(t)) \, p_v(F(t))$ 

F(t) instantaneous force, r(F) "slip-rate",  $p_v(F)$  "stick-probability"

 $\Rightarrow | -v \ln p_v(F)$  independent of v

[Evstigneev, Schirmeisen, Jansen, Fuchs, Reimann, PRL 97, 240601 (2006)]



 $\dot{p}_v(F(t)) = -r(F(t)) \, p_v(F(t))$ 

F(t) instantaneous force, r(F) "slip-rate",  $p_v(F)$  "stick-probability"

 $\Rightarrow | -v \ln p_v(F)$  independent of v



 $\dot{p}_v(F(t)) = -r(F(t)) p_v(F(t))$ 

F(t) instantaneous force, r(F) "slip-rate",  $p_v(F)$  "stick-probability"

 $\Rightarrow | -v \ln p_v(F)$  independent of v

### Summary

- first theoretical explanation of "plateaux" in  $\overline{F}(v)$
- prediction of non-monotonic  $\overline{F}(v)$  upon further increasing v
- dynamics dominated by deformations of tip apex and contact region
- inertia effects are small (overdamped dynamics)
- damping and noise due to tip apex are crucial
- $\bullet$  single-step rate description breaks down at small v